FIRST-PRINCIPLES LCAO STUDY OF PHONONS IN NiWO₄

A. Kuzmin^{1†}, A. Kalinko¹, R.A. Evarestov²

¹ Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia,
Kengaraga street 8, LV-1063, Riga, Latvia

² Department of Quantum Chemistry, St.Petersburg State University,
26 Universitetskiy Prospekt, Stary Peterhof 198504, Russia

Abstract: The electronic, structural and phonon properties of antiferromagnetic wolframite-type NiWO₄ have been studied using first-principles spin-polarized LCAO calculations based on the hybrid Hartree-Fock (HF)/density functional (DFT) scheme. The influence of different percentages of HF contribution, i.e. different correlation strength, on the structure and phonon frequencies has been investigated and compared with the available experimental data.

PACS (2008): 71.15.Ap, 71.20.Ps, 75.50.Ee

Keywords: NiWO₄ • tungstates • LCAO • phonons • electronic structure

[†] E-mail: a.kuzmin@cfi.lu.lv

1. Introduction

Nickel tungstate (NiWO_4) has been extensively studied in the past because of its use for catalysts [1-4], humidity [5] and gas sensors [6], in microwave devices [7], and photoanodes [8, 9]. The application of mixed nickel-tungsten oxide thin films in electrochromic systems has also been proposed [10]. In such systems, the formation of the NiWO_4 phase can occur at nano- or micro-scale resulting in a modification of their chromogenic properties. The properties of electrochromic materials are determined by charge insertion/extraction accompanied by the changes in the local atomic and electronic structures [11]. These phenomena have been studied for many years in pure WO_3 and NiO both experimentally and theoretically [12-14], whereas the fundamental studies on mixed systems as well as pure NiWO_4 are rather limited. In particular, to the best of our knowledge, the band structure calculations of NiWO_4 have been not performed until now.

NiWO_4 is isomorphous to other transition-metal tungstates such as MnWO_4 , FeWO_4 , CoWO_4 , and ZnWO_4 [15], which, except for ZnWO_4 , have incomplete 3d shell and are paramagnetic at room temperature. At low temperatures, MnWO_4 , FeWO_4 , CoWO_4 , and NiWO_4 undergo cooperative transitions to antiferromagnetically-ordered states [16]. It should be noted that in the last few years MnWO_4 has attracted increased attention as a multiferroic material [17].

Figure 1. Crystal structure ($2a$, b , c) of monoclinic ($P2/c$) NiWO_4 [15, 18]. The crystallographic unit cell is indicated.

The crystal structure of NiWO_4 has been determined at room temperature by x-ray [15] and neutron [18] powder diffraction. It is monoclinic wolframite-type with the space group $P2_1/c$. There are two formula units ($Z=2$) per primitive cell, having the lattice parameters $a=4.5992$ Å, $b=5.6606$ Å, $c=4.9068$ Å, and $\beta=90.03^\circ$ [18]. The presence of two non-equivalent oxygen atoms is responsible for three pairs of Ni-O and W-O bonds, having different lengths. Thus, both Ni and W atoms are surrounded by six oxygen atoms, forming distorted octahedral coordination, which has been directly probed by the W $L_{1,3}$ and Ni K edges x-ray absorption spectroscopy in [19, 20]. Metal-oxygen octahedra of one type share edges and form zigzag chains along the c -axis (Fig. 1). The antiferromagnetic order exists in NiWO_4 below 67 K [21]. Its magnetic unit cell ($2a$, b , c) is double the crystallographic one along the a -axis [16]. The spins at nickel ions in the same chain are arranged collinearly (coupled ferromagnetically), but are antiparallel (coupled antiferromagnetically) to the spins in adjacent chain.

In the present work, the electronic, structural and phonon properties of NiWO_4 have been studied using first-principles spin-polarized periodic linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method with the hybrid Hartree-Fock (HF)-density functional (DFT) Hamiltonian. In this approach, basis functions for the crystalline orbitals are constructed as Bloch sums from the linear combination of localized Gaussian-type atomic orbitals. Therefore, a proper choice of the basis functions (preferably optimized for a particular system) is required. Such an optimized basis is used in the present calculations. The LCAO method allows one to use wavefunction-based (HF), density-based (DFT) and hybrid Hamiltonians (as PBE0 [22, 23] used in the present work). The latter provide the most accurate results eliminating the weaknesses of pure HF and DFT schemes, their realization within the Plane Wave basis calculations requires much bigger computational effort. LCAO methods are also able to avoid an artificial periodicity typically introduced in PW methods for a 0D (cluster), 1D (wire), and 2D (slab) models.

2. Computational details

The methodology used in the present work has been employed by us recently to ZnWO_4 in [22, 23], where the electronic, structural and phonon properties of tungstate have been studied within the periodic linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method [24]. The best agreement between experimental and theoretical

structural and electronic parameters of ZnWO_4 has been found for hybrid PBE0 (25%) Hamiltonian [22, 23]. However, the infra-red (IR) and Raman phonon bands in ZnWO_4 have been reproduced rather qualitatively [23]: in particular, the theory tends to overestimate the Raman phonon frequencies, especially, at high frequencies where the observed difference reaches $\sim 34 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

In this work we extend our studies to NiWO_4 , which represents an even more complicated case, since at low temperatures ($\leq 67 \text{ K}$), it undergoes cooperative transition to an antiferromagnetically (AF)-ordered state [21]. The electronic, structural and phonon properties of NiWO_4 have been studied using first-principles spin-polarized LCAO calculations by the CRYSTAL06 code [24]. To avoid core electrons of tungsten and nickel atoms, we used the Hay-Wadt (HW) effective small core potentials (ECP) and the corresponding atomic basis sets for metal atoms (W, Ni), excluding diffuse Gaussian type orbitals. The basis set for tungsten was optimized by us for ZnWO_4 [23], whereas the starting basis set for nickel was taken from [25] and re-optimized in the present work for NiWO_4 . The all-electron basis set, optimized in earlier calculations of perovskites [26], was used for oxygen atoms.

The calculations have been performed for four magnetic states by fixing the total spin projection. The first state (AF1), having the total spin projection $S_z=0$, corresponds to that observed experimentally [18, 21] with the ferromagnetic ordering of spins at nickel ions within zigzag chains but the antiferromagnetic alignment between different chains (Fig. 1). Note that AF1 state requires the doubling of crystallographic unit cell along *a*-axis direction [18, 21]. There is also another possibility for antiferromagnetic state (AF2) with $S_z=0$ when spins at nickel ions in the same chain have antiparallel arrangement: in this case, no cell doubling is required since there are two nickel ions per primitive cell. The ferromagnetic (FM) state with all spins at nickel ions ordered collinearly and the non-magnetic (NM) state have also been calculated. In the CRYSTAL06 code, the accuracy in the evaluation of the Coulomb and exchange series is controlled by a set of tolerances, which were taken to be (10^{-8} , 10^{-8} , 10^{-8} , 10^{-8} , 10^{-16}) to provide high numerical accuracy. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme [27] for $8 \times 8 \times 8$ k-point mesh in the Brillouin zone was applied. The SCF calculations were performed for hybrid Hartree-Fock (HF)-DFT Hamiltonian (PBE0-type [28]) with different percentages (0÷25%) of HF contribution. The calculations of phonon frequencies were done by the direct (frozen phonon) method [24]. The best agreement between calculated and experimental phonon frequencies has been

observed for 13% HF contribution (PBE0 13%), therefore only results for this case are given in Table 2 and will be discussed further.

3. Results and discussion

The equilibrium lattice parameters have been calculated by minimizing the crystal total energy for three magnetic (AF1, AF2 and FM) and non-magnetic (NM) states. Such simulations performed without accounting for any thermal effects are often referred as conducted at temperature $T=0$ K [29]. The presence of thermal effects is expected to result in the lattice expansion and an increase of anharmonic contributions, thus shifting slightly the position of the vibrational bands and increasing their broadening. Both effects are known to be weak in tungstates [30, 31] and, in any case, are much smaller than accuracy of our calculations. In fact, weak sensitivity of the vibrational bands of tungstates to thermal effects finds practical application as Raman-active crystals in solid-state lasers, based on stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) [32, 33].

The calculated values of the structural parameters are compared in Table 1 with the experimental data obtained by neutron powder diffraction [18]. One can see that the results for magnetic states, having close total energies, agree better than 0.03 Å with the experimental lattice constants and better than 0.1° for the angle β .

The evaluated total energy per formula unit E_{tot} (Table 1) has the lowest value for the antiferromagnetic state AF1 and the highest value for the non-magnetic state. The antiferromagnetic AF2 and ferromagnetic FM states have intermediate and close values of E_{tot} , the latter being slightly lower. These results confirm the antiferromagnetic AF1-type ordering of spins in NiWO_4 observed experimentally at low temperatures [18, 21]. Note that in all calculated magnetic states the unpaired electrons are well localized at nickel atoms, giving a net atomic spin of about 1.70.

The Mulliken population analysis was used to estimate the total atomic charges. They are equal to +1.67e for Ni, +2.78e for W, -1.16e for O_1 and -1.07e for O_2 suggesting the mixed ionic-covalent character of the Ni—O and W—O bonding. In contrast to the purely ionic picture of a bare W^{6+} ion (with no valence electrons), the tungsten valence state in tungstate is around 3+ due to back charge transfer from nearest oxygen atoms. This fact is responsible for a strong distortion of the WO_6 octahedra caused by the second-order Jahn-Teller effect [34]. As a result, there are

three significantly different W—O bonds having lengths of about 1.81 Å, 1.91 Å, and 2.12 Å (Table 1).

Band structure diagram and spin dependent total/projected density of states for wolframite-type NiWO₄ in the antiferromagnetic state are shown in Fig. 2. The dispersion curves are plotted along eight different symmetry directions in the Brillouin-zone. According to our calculations, NiWO₄ has an indirect band gap ($E \rightarrow \Gamma$ or $A \rightarrow \Gamma$). The calculated band gap value $E_g = 3.7$ eV is in good agreement with the experimental one equal to 3.6 eV [8]. Note that the smaller value of the band gap in NiWO₄ (2.28 eV in [9] and 2.52 eV in [35]) has been also reported. We believe that these two numbers are underestimated due to incorrect band gap determination in [9]. It seems that the low quality measurements performed in a limited energy range from 1.4 eV to 3.4 eV on NiWO₄ thin films in [9] have led to confusion of the band gap with the absorption bands due to the d-d transitions at Ni²⁺ ions, being responsible for the color of the compound [8, 36].

The calculated electronic structure in NiWO₄ can be compared to that in other wolframite-type monoclinic ($P2_1/c$) tungstates as CdWO₄ [37], FeWO₄ [38], CoWO₄ [38], and ZnWO₄ [22, 23]. as well as in triclinic CuWO₄ [39]. Most previous first-principles calculations were based on DFT functionals and underestimate the band gap values: $E_g = 2.9$ eV versus $E_g(\text{exp}) = 3.8\text{--}4.09$ eV in CdWO₄ [37]; $E_g = 1.78$ eV versus $E_g(\text{exp}) = 2.0$ eV in FeWO₄ [38]; $E_g = 1.36$ eV [38] versus $E_g(\text{exp}) = 2.0$ eV [40] in CoWO₄; $E_g = 1.9$ eV [39] versus $E_g(\text{exp}) = 2.06$ eV [41] and 2.3 eV [35, 42] in CuWO₄. However, the use of hybrid Hamiltonians within the LCAO method allowed us to obtain for ZnWO₄ the band gap energy $E_g = 4.6$ eV [22] and 5.4 eV [23] in better agreement with experimental values $E_g(\text{exp}) = 4.6$ eV [43] and 4.9 eV [44].

Previous band structure calculations for these tungstates allows one to conclude that FeWO₄ [38] and CoWO₄ [38] have an indirect band-gap as we found also for NiWO₄, whereas CdWO₄ [37] and ZnWO₄ [22, 23] have a direct band-gap. Note that the indirect band-gap has been also found experimentally from optical absorption spectra in CuWO₄ [35, 42]. The difference between the two groups of tungstates is connected with the contribution of partially filled 3d states, provided by Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Cu²⁺ ions, into the band structure. In the case of NiWO₄ (Fig. 2), the valence band is formed by hybridized O2p and Ni3d states, and the Ni3d(t_{2g} , $e_g \uparrow$) states contribute mainly to the upper part of the valence band. The conduction band is formed by the empty W5d states with an admixture of the empty Ni3d($e_g \downarrow$) states at the bottom of

the band. These results agree well with those obtained recently by optical and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy in [8].

Table 1. Lattice parameters (a , b , c , β), atomic fractional coordinates (x , y , z), total energy per formula unit (E_{tot}) and the nearest interatomic distances (Ni-O and W-O), calculated for magnetic (AF1, AF2, FM) and non-magnetic (NM) states of wolframite-type NiWO_4 using PBE0-type Hamiltonian (13% HF). The room-temperature neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data [18] are shown for comparison.

	NPD	AF1	AF2	FM	NM
a (Å)	4.5992	4.6226	4.6240	4.6247	4.5877
b (Å)	5.6606	5.6866	5.6874	5.6882	5.6084
c (Å)	4.9068	4.9308	4.9319	4.9328	4.9518
β (°)	90.03	89.98	89.93	89.97	89.03
Ni (y)	0.6616	0.6584	0.6583	0.6586	0.6541
W (y)	0.1786	0.1798	0.1797	0.1800	0.1802
O1 (x)	0.2241	0.2189	0.2187	0.2187	0.2218
O1 (y)	0.1105	0.1071	0.1073	0.1071	0.1090
O1 (z)	0.9204	0.9320	0.9318	0.9322	0.9276
O2 (x)	0.2644	0.2590	0.2587	0.2586	0.2600
O2 (y)	0.3772	0.3776	0.3773	0.3779	0.3807
O2 (z)	0.3953	0.4038	0.4038	0.4035	0.4029
E_{tot} (eV)		-14625.740	-14625.732	-14625.734	-14624.420
$R(\text{Ni-O}_1)$ (Å)	1.994	2.067	2.066	2.068	2.032
$R(\text{Ni-O}_2)$ (Å)	2.061	2.049	2.051	2.053	2.027
$R(\text{Ni-O}_2)$ (Å)	2.068	2.090	2.091	2.090	2.066
$R(\text{W-O}_2)$ (Å)	1.803	1.809	1.809	1.809	1.814
$R(\text{W-O}_1)$ (Å)	1.957	1.911	1.911	1.911	1.921
$R(\text{W-O}_1)$ (Å)	2.107	2.119	2.120	2.121	2.114

Figure 2. Band structure diagram (left panel) and spin dependent total/projected density of states (right panel) for wolframite-type ($P2/c$) NiWO_4 in antiferromagnetic AF2 state. The energy zero is set at the Fermi energy level.

Figure 3. (a) Comparison of the room-temperature experimental Raman spectrum for NiWO_4 with mode frequencies (vertical lines), calculated by the first-principles LCAO method using hybrid PBE0 13% HF Hamiltonian for AF1, AF2, FM, and NM states.

(b) LCAO results for hybrid PBE0-type Hamiltonian with different percentages (0÷20%) of HF contribution in the AF2 state.

Table 2. Experimental and calculated (LCAO, PBE0-13% exchange-correlation functional) zero pressure frequencies (ν) of the vibrational modes in NiWO_4 . Experimental frequencies (ν_{exper}) were obtained from the room-temperature Raman signal. The Raman and infra-red (IR) active(inactive) modes are denoted by A(I) for non-magnetic (NM) and ferromagnetic (FM) phases. In antiferromagnetic AF2 phase all modes are both Raman and IR active. The first three acoustic modes (1, 2, and 3) are not given.

Phonon mode	ν_{exper} (cm^{-1})	Phonon symmetry	NM			FM			AF2	
			ν (cm^{-1})	Raman	IR	ν (cm^{-1})	Raman	IR	Phonon symmetry	ν (cm^{-1})
4	97	B_g	84	A	I	84	A	I	B	105
5	149	A_g	134	A	I	134	A	I	A	151
6		B_u	143	I	A	143	I	A	B	179
7	174	B_g	171	A	I	171	A	I	B	190
8		B_u	181	I	A	181	I	A	B	205
9	197	B_q	189	A	I	189	A	I	B	217
10	210	B_g	202	A	I	202	A	I	B	222
11	223	A_g	240	A	I	240	A	I	A	228
12	287	B_q	259	A	I	259	A	I	A	229
13		B_u	279	I	A	279	I	A	B	273
14		A_u	283	I	A	283	I	A	B	285
15	307	A_q	302	A	I	302	A	I	B	296
16		B_u	317	I	A	317	I	A	A	314
17	326	B_g	336	A	I	336	A	I	B	317
18		A_u	358	I	A	358	I	A	B	332
19		B_u	384	I	A	384	I	A	A	336
20	363	A_g	393	A	I	393	A	I	A	356
21		A_u	402	I	A	402	I	A	A	373
22		A_u	432	I	A	432	I	A	B	392
23	380	B_g	438	A	I	438	A	I	A	423
24	418	A_q	471	A	I	471	A	I	A	449
25		B_u	494	I	A	494	I	A	B	460
26		B_u	523	I	A	523	I	A	B	513
27		A_u	533	I	A	533	I	A	A	514
28	512	B_q	539	A	I	539	A	I	A	554
29	549	A_g	586	A	I	586	A	I	B	560
30	675	B_q	681	A	I	681	A	I	B	673
31		A_u	681	I	A	681	I	A	A	677
32	697	A_g	700	A	I	700	A	I	A	704
33	775	B_g	863	A	I	863	A	I	B	744
34		B_u	877	I	A	877	I	A	B	775
35		A_u	961	I	A	961	I	A	A	862
36	893	A_g	982	A	I	982	A	I	A	897

The calculated phonon frequencies (Table 2) are compared with the experimental Raman signal measured at room temperature in Fig. 3. According to group theoretical analysis, 36 phonon modes are expected at the Brillouin zone center (Γ -point) for NiWO_4 at room temperature. Among them 18 even modes ($8A_g$ and $10B_g$) are Raman active. Note that the number of Raman active modes is doubled in the AF2 state and quadrupled in the AF1 state (Fig. 3a) due to the symmetry lowering caused by the spin ordering. Our results suggest that phonon frequencies are very sensitive to the type of the functional used in the calculations. In particular, for hybrid PBE0-type functional, composed of HF and DFT contributions, one can follow this dependence by varying the HF-to-DFT ratio (Fig. 3b). Our findings for NiWO_4 suggest that 13% HF admixture gives the best result for both lattice dynamics and the equilibrium structure.

One can compare the results for NiWO_4 with first-principles phonon calculations performed for other tungstates. Most previous works were based on the plane-wave DFT approach, which results in underestimation of the high-frequency stretching W—O mode by $\sim 45 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in ZnWO_4 [45], $\sim 32 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in CdWO_4 [46], and $\sim 52 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in CuWO_4 [29] for PBE-type Hamiltonian [47] and by $\sim 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in MgWO_4 [48] for simple LDA-type Hamiltonian [49]. On the contrary, our previous calculations [19] using hybrid PBE0 Hamiltonian [28] with 25% HF admixture overestimated the frequencies of the stretching W—O modes by $\sim 34 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in ZnWO_4 and $\sim 39 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in CaWO_4 , which has the scheelite-type structure constructed of WO_4 tetrahedra and CaO_8 polyhedra. Our present calculations suggest that a variation of the HF admixture to the DFT Hamiltonian systematically influences the values of phonon frequencies (Fig. 3b). The best agreement with the high-frequency stretching W—O mode in NiWO_4 has been found for 13% HF admixture, which results in the difference below 5 cm^{-1} (Table 2).

Finally we will discuss the distortion of the metal-oxygen octahedra (Table 1). The LCAO calculations predict larger distortion of the WO_6 octahedra but weaker distortion of the NiO_6 octahedra compared to diffraction [18]. In AF1 state, observed experimentally, the NiO_6 octahedra are nearly regular according to the LCAO results

and have three slightly different pairs of the Ni—O bonds with the standard deviation of about 0.02 Å. On the contrary, the LCAO results for the WO₆ octahedra predict three significantly different pairs of the W—O bonds with the standard deviation of about 0.13 Å. The local distortion around metal atoms can be obtained experimentally by x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), which is the local structural probe giving information complementary to diffraction methods [50]. In Fig. 4, we show our recent results obtained by the W L₃-edge XAS in NiWO₄ at 10 K and 300 K, measured at HASYLAB DESY synchrotron center in Hamburg. The use of regularization-like method [51, 52] allowed us to reconstruct the radial distribution function (RDF) within the first coordination shell of tungsten (Fig. 4). The shape of the RDF unambiguously confirms the strong distortion of the WO₆ octahedra and shows rather weak dependence on the temperature variation. Moreover, the comparison of the RDF with the lengths of the W—O bonds, obtained by LCAO method in the present work and by neutron diffraction in [18], suggests that the LCAO calculations reproduce slightly better the position of the middle group of the W—O distances at 1.911 Å. In fact, the value obtained by diffraction [18] $R(W-O_1)=1.957$ Å is located in the valley region of the RDF. At the same time, the shortest and the longest W—O bonds are very close in LCAO and diffraction.

Figure 4. Comparison of the radial distribution functions (solid lines) for the first coordination shell around tungsten, obtained from the analysis of the W L₃-edge EXAFS spectra at 10 K and 300 K, with the W—O bond lengths obtained by neutron diffraction (ND) in [18] (dashed vertical lines) and LCAO calculation in the present work (solid vertical lines).

4. Conclusions

The first-principles spin-polarized LCAO calculations have been performed for antiferromagnetic wolframite-type NiWO_4 . The indirect band gap of $E_g=3.7$ eV was calculated in good agreement with experiment. The variation of the HF/DFT mixing allowed us to determine the best HF admixture to be ~13%: it results in a good reproduction of the crystal structure (including local octahedra distortions), band gap and phonon frequencies.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by ESF Project 2009/0202/1DP/1.1.1.2.0/09/APIA/VIAA/141 and Latvian Government Research Grant No. 09.1518. The EXAFS measurements have been financed from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement No. 226716 (Project I-20090071 EC).

References

- [1] F. J. Gil-Llambías, H. Rodriguez, I. Bouyssiers, M. Escudey, I. Carkovic, J. Catal. 102, 37 (1986)
- [2] B. Scheffer, P. Molhoek, J. A. Moulijn, Appl. Catal. 46, 11 (1989)
- [3] R. A. Diaz-Real, R. S. Mann, I. S. Sami, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 32, 1354 (1993)
- [4] D. L. Stern, R. K. Grasselli, J. Catal. 167, 570 (1997)
- [5] A. K. Bhattacharya, R. G. Biswas, A. Hartridge, J. Mater. Sci. 32, 353 (1997)
- [6] V. Dusastre, D. E. Williams, J. Mater. Chem. 9, 965 (1999)
- [7] R. C. Pullar, S. Farrah, N. McN. Alford, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 27, 1059 (2007)
- [8] T. Ejima, T. Banse, H. Takatsuka, Y. Kondo, M. Ishino, N. Kimura, M. Watanabe, I. Matsubara, J. Lumin. 119-120, 59 (2006)
- [9] P. S. Pandey, N. S. Bhavé, R. B. Kharat, Electrochim. Acta 51, 4659 (2006)
- [10] A. Kuzmin, J. Purans, R. Kalendarev, D. Pailharey, Y. Mathey, Electrochim. Acta 46, 2233 (2001)
- [11] G. A. Niklasson, C. G. Granqvist, J. Mater. Chem. 17, 127 (2007)
- [12] S. K. Deb, Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells 92, 245 (2008)
- [13] K. Bange, Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells 58, 1 (1999)
- [14] S. Hüfner, Adv. Phys. 43, 183 (1994)
- [15] R. O. Keeling, Jr., Acta Cryst. 10, 209 (1957)

- [16] C. P. Landee, E. F. Westrum, Jr., J. Chem. Thermodyn. 8, 471 (1976)
- [17] O. Heyer, N. Hollmann, I. Klassen, S. Jodlauk, L. Bohaty, P. Becker, J. A. Mydosh, T. Lorenz, D. Khomskii, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18, L471 (2006)
- [18] H. Weitzel, Z. Kristallogr. 144, 238 (1976)
- [19] A. Kuzmin, J. Purans, R. Kalendarev, Ferroelectrics 258, 21 (2001)
- [20] A. Kuzmin, J. Purans, Radiat. Meas. 33, 583 (2001)
- [21] H. Weitzel, Solid State Commun. 8, 2071 (1970)
- [22] A. Kalinko, A. Kuzmin, R. A. Evarestov, Solid State Commun. 149, 425 (2009)
- [23] R. A. Evarestov, A. Kalinko, A. Kuzmin, M. Losev, J. Purans, Integr. Ferroelectr. 108, 1 (2009)
- [24] R. Dovesi et al., Crystal 06, Users manual. (University of Turin, 2006)
- [25] F. Freyria Fava, Ph. D'Arco, R. Orlando, R. Dovesi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 489 (1997)
- [26] S. Piskunov, E. Heifets, R. I. Eglitis, G. Borstel, Comput. Mater. Sci. 29, 165 (2004)
- [27] H. J. Monkhorst, J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976)
- [28] J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof, K. Burke, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 9982 (1996)
- [29] J. Ruiz-Fuertes, D. Errandonea, R. Lacomba-Perales, A. Segura, J. González, F. Rodríguez, F. J. Manjón, S. Ray, P. Rodríguez-Hernández, A. Muñoz, Zh. Zhu, C. Y. Tu, Phys. Rev. B 81, 224115 (2010)
- [30] H. Wang, F. D. Medina, Y. D. Zhou, Q. N. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 45, 10356 (1992)
- [31] M. N. Iliev, M. M. Gospodinov, A. P. Litvinchuk, Phys. Rev. B 80, 212302 (2009)
- [32] A. A. Kaminskii, H. J. Eichler, K. Ueda, N. V. Klassen, B. S. Redkin, L. E. Li, J. Findeisen, D. Jaque, J. Garcia-Sole, J. Fernandez, R. Balda, Applied Optics 38, 4533 (1999)
- [33] H. M. Pask, Prog. Quant. Electron. 27, 3 (2003)
- [34] A. D. Walkingshaw, N. A. Spaldin, E. Artacho, Phys. Rev. B 70, 165110 (2004)
- [35] R. Lacomba-Perales, J. Ruiz-Fuertes, D. Errandonea, D. Martínez-García, A. Segura, Europhys. Lett. 83, 37002 (2008)
- [36] A. L. M. de Oliveira, J. M. Ferreira, M. R. S. Silva, G. S. Braga, L. E. B. Soledade, M. A. M. M. Aldeiza, C. A. Paskocimas, S. J. G. Lima, E. Longo, A. G. Souza, I. M. G. Santos, Dyes and Pigments 77, 210 (2008)
- [37] Y. Abraham, N. A. W. Holzwarth, R. T. Williams, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1733 (2000)

- [38] S. Rajagopal, V. L. Bekenev, D. Nataraj, D. Mangalaraj, O. Yu. Khyzhun, J. Alloys Compounds 496, 61 (2010)
- [39] O. Yu. Khyzhun, V. L. Bekenev, Yu. M. Solonin, J. Alloys Compounds 480, 184 (2009)
- [40] R. Bharati, R. A. Singh, B. M. Wanklyn, J. Mater. Sci. 16, 775 (1981)
- [41] F. A. Benko, C. L. MacLaurin, F. P. Koffyberg, Mater. Res. Bull. 17, 133 (1982)
- [42] J. Ruiz-Fuertes, D. Errandonea, A. Segura, F. J. Manjón, Zh. Zhu, C. Y. Tu, High Press. Research 28, 565 (2008)
- [43] V. N. Kolobanov, I. A. Kamenskikh, V. V. Mikhailin, I. N. Shpinkov, D. A. Spassky, B. I. Zadneprovsky, L. I. Potkin, G. Zimmerer, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 486, 496 (2002)
- [44] M. Itoh, N. Fujita, Y. Inabe, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 75, 084705 (2006)
- [45] D. Errandonea, F. J. Manjón, N. Garro, P. Rodríguez-Hernández, S. Radescu, A. Mujica, A. Muñoz, C. Y. Tu, Phys. Rev. B 78, 054116 (2008)
- [46] R. Lacomba-Perales, D. Errandonea, D. Martinez-Garcia, P. Rodríguez-Hernández, S. Radescu, A. Mujica, A. Muñoz, J. C. Chervin, A. Polian, Phys. Rev. B 79, 094105 (2009)
- [47] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)
- [48] J. Ruiz-Fuertes, S. López-Moreno, D. Errandonea, J. Pellicer-Porres, R. Lacomba-Perales, A. Segura, P. Rodríguez-Hernández, A. Muñoz, A. H. Romero, J. González, J. Appl. Phys. 107, 083506 (2010)
- [49] J. P. Perdew, A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981)
- [50] J. J. Rehr, R. C. Albers, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 621 (2000)
- [51] A. Kuzmin, Physica B 208/209, 175 (1995)
- [52] A. Kuzmin, J. Purans, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 1959 (2000)