A novel concept of Fe-mineral based magnetoreception: histological and physicochemical data from the upper beak of homing pigeons

5

*Gerta Fleissner**, *Branko Stahl**, *Peter Thalau**, *Gerald Falkenberg*[°]

*and Günther Fleissner**

* FB Biowissenschaften, J. W. Goethe-Universität Frankfurt a. M., Germany

10 [°] Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Germany

15

Corresponding author:

Dr. Gerta Fleissner
AG NCR, FB Biowissenschaften
20 J. W. Goethe-Universität
Siesmayerstr. 70
D-60054 Frankfurt a. M.
Tel. 0049-(0)69-798-24837
Fax 0049-(0)6039-41629
25 Email: fleissner@bio.uni-frankfurt.de

Keywords:

Biological magnetometer, Maghemite, Magnetite, XRFS, XANES

30

Published in “Naturwissenschaften”

DOI 10.1007/s00114-007-0236-0

Abstract

Animals make use of the Earth's magnetic field for navigation and regulation of vegetative functions; however, the anatomical and physiological basis for the magnetic sense has not been elucidated yet. Our recent results from histology and X-ray analyses support the hypothesis that delicate iron-containing structures in the skin of the upper beak of homing pigeons might serve as a biological magnetometer. Histology has revealed the various iron sites within dendrites of the trigeminal nerve, their arrangement along strands of axons, the existence of three dendritic fields in each side of the beak with specific 3D-orientations and the bilateral symmetry of the whole system. Element mapping by micro-synchrotron X-ray fluorescence analysis has shown the iron distribution and its quantities. Micro-synchrotron X-ray-absorption-near-edge-structure-spectroscopy has allowed us to unambiguously identify maghemite as the predominating iron mineral (90% vs. 10% magnetite). In this paper, we show that iron-based magnetoreception needs the presence of these two iron minerals, their specific dimensions, shapes and arrangements in three different subcellular compartments. We suggest that an inherent magnetic enhancement process via an iron-crusting vesicle and the attached chains of iron platelets might be sufficient to account for the sensitivity and specificity required by such a magnetoreceptor. The appropriate alignment between the Earth's magnetic field and the maghemite bands would induce a multiple attraction of the magnetite bullets perpendicular to the membrane, thus, triggering strain-sensitive membrane channels and a primary receptor potential. Due to its 3D architecture and physico-chemical nature, the dendritic system should be able to separately sense the three vector components of the Earth's local field, simultaneously – allowing birds to detect their geographic position by the magnetic vector, i.e. amplitude and direction of the local magnetic field, irrespective of the animal's posture or movement and photoreception.

Introduction

The magnetic sense of animals is one of their least explored sensory system, although a great variety of animals display behavior that is modified or controlled by the Earth's magnetic field (for review: Wiltschko and Wiltschko 1995). Magnetic field parameters might serve various functions including compass and map orientation in true navigation or as a time cue and trigger for developmental and physiological programs (e.g. Fransson et al. 2001). Despite this importance to animals, magnetosensory organs have not been identified unambiguously (for review: Beason 2005, Johnsen and Lohmann 2005, Mouritsen and Ritz 2005). Compared to the older paradigm of a universal magnetite-based mechanism for magnetoreception (Kirschvink and Gould 1981, Kirschvink et al. 2001), an alternative mechanism or even a combination of several mechanisms is most likely: For example, the unidirectional behavior of mole rats in the magnetic field corresponds to the horizontal vector component (like a polarity compass) (Marhold et al. 1997), whereas the magnetic response of migrating birds exhibits a higher twofold rotational symmetry and reveals their blindness for the field polarity (e.g., birds only sense the axis of the magnetic field lines in space (inclination compass; Wiltschko and Wiltschko 1997). Additionally, compass orientation and map navigation might require multimodal sensory input and appropriate processing of the central nervous information (for review: Phillips and Borland 1992, Johnsen and Lohmann 2005).

Several papers have reviewed possible underlying receptor principles. Three theories currently dominate the scientific discussion: Electrical induction processes (Kalmijn 1978), photopigment-based (Ritz et al. 2000) and iron mineral-based magnetoreception (Kirschvink et al. 2001). Evidence for these issues, as derived from theoretical and experimental data, have been recently reviewed several times in great detail (e.g. Beason 2005; Johnsen and Lohmann 2005; Mouritsen and Ritz 2005). Most of the reviewed experiments have focused only on the detection of compass mechanisms, although a combination of compass with various types of map factors has been recognized as essential for true navigation and as timing cue, for e.g. developmental processes.

Sensory systems, which might function as magnetic field detectors, have not been identified with certainty, mainly due to the focus on behavioral experiments. Neurobiological studies that associate general physical principles to a detailed functional anatomy and histology, are rare (for review: Beason 2005, Johnsen and Lohmann 2005, Mouritsen and Ritz 2006). But in the end, only such investigations will be able to elucidate the various sensory systems that serve magnetoreception.

Our interdisciplinary analysis of iron-mineral containing dendrites in the beak of homing pigeons offers a promising receptor- and neurophysiological approach to this complex problem. In this paper, we report novel essential insights based on thorough histological details – in addition to previously published data (Fleissner et al. 2003, Fleissner and Stahl 2005) - in conjunction with quantitative physico-chemical measurements on iron minerals in the beak skin by spatially resolved synchrotron X-ray fluorescence analysis (μ -SXRF) and micro-synchrotron X-ray-absorption-near-edge-structure spectroscopy (μ -XANES). We propose a mechanism consisting of a magneto-mechanical transducer that relies on the magnetic interaction of two iron minerals, magnetite and maghemite, incorporating signal amplification of the magnetic input stimulus. Such an improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio might provide the necessary sensitivity and specificity for sensing both intensity and inclination of the magnetic field, abilities seen in behavioral (e.g. Becker 2000, Keeton et al. 1974) and electrophysiological experiments (e.g. Beason and Semm 1996; also: Wang et al. 2003). The aim of the present study of sensory dendrites in the pigeon's beak is to introduce a novel concept of a highly sensitive three-axis magnetometer based on ferri- and ferromagnetic materials and to describe their micromagnetic features.

Material and Methods

The standard histological methods for light and electron microscopic investigations used here have been described in Fleissner et al. (2003). To analyze the detailed architecture of the iron-mineral containing structures in the inner dermal lining of the upper beak of adult homing pigeons (raised in the loft of the Zoological Institute, University of Frankfurt a. M.) and to verify the suitability of these structures to function as a biological magnetometer, we took care to mount the skin naturally during fixation and subsequent histological processing. Sections were characterized under a Reichert Polyvar microscope (Vienna, Austria); then, they were documented by a digital camera (Spot, Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling heights, IL, USA) and stack-reconstructed by Metaview Software (version 3.6 Universal imaging Corporation, West Chester, PA, USA).

We used histological and physico-chemical methods to visualize the nature and distribution of different types of iron minerals. Only perfusion-fixed animals were used. The beak skin was then post-fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde. To evaluate possible artifacts induced by sample preparation, we tested the effects of two different buffers (Sörensen phosphate buffer and sodium cacodylate buffer) on the element distribution. The paraffin-embedded tissue was cut into 10- μ m section series. Each fourth section was mounted on glass slides and stained either with Prussian Blue (PB) for Fe^{+3} ions or Turnbull's blue (TB) for Fe^{+2} ions. These sections served as a microscopic control. The three sections in between were not stained and were mounted on ultralene foil for analysis by μ -SXRF and μ -XANES. These X-ray experiments were conducted at beamline L at HASYLAB (DESY, Hamburg, Germany). Because, in vitro, magnetite ($\text{Fe}^{+2} \text{Fe}_2^{+3} \text{O}_4$) might easily be oxidized to maghemite ($\text{Fe}_2^{+3} \text{O}_3$), we demonstrated long-term preservation of both minerals during tissue processing: Serial measurements first applied to fresh tissue were repeated with the mounted samples after 2 and 6 months. The results remained the same.

μ -SXRF is based on the analysis of the X-ray fluorescence photons that originate from atoms that are excited by incoming synchrotron radiation (17.5 keV in the present experiment). As the energy of the fluorescence is specific for each chemical element, a simultaneous mapping of element distributions can be done independent of their chemical states. The spatial resolution for this scanning technique is given by the diameter of the focused synchrotron beam, in our case 15 μ m (present status at the HASYLAB beamline L). The synchrotron beam is scanned over the sample. Data analysis is based on the X-ray spectra that are measured for all individual points of the scan.

After scanning a specific region of the sample, a more detailed chemical analysis is done by μ -XANES at points with high iron concentration. Close to the characteristic K shell absorption edge of iron (around 7.120 keV) the local chemical environment of the Fe atom will influence the details of the absorption as a function of the energy of the synchrotron radiation. By comparing the spectroscopic signal of the sample with reference samples of known composition, one can deduce the nature of chemical state of the iron (Wilke et al. 2001). The iron minerals, magnetite, maghemite and hematite, are clearly distinguishable. The physical procedures at synchrotron facilities, including sample preparation, evaluation of data and statistical methods, are described in detail by Janssens et al. (2000).

Results

μ -SXRF and μ -XANES

So far, a spatially resolved physico-chemical analysis of the putative magnetoreceptive tissue in birds is lacking. We report data from X-ray analysis of the skin of the upper beak of homing pigeons, reliably showing the distribution of chemical elements and revealing characteristics of the iron minerals present.

The μ -SXRF experiment on paraffin section series (control section, Fig. 1a) taken from the beak skin of homing pigeons showed that the element spectra inside the Fe-containing dendrite and outside in the surrounding nervous tissue are clearly distinct (Fig. 1b). Nervous tissue gives a strong Ca fluorescence signal that disappears in connective tissue. Fe is found within the nervous dendrite as a sharp local representation (Fig. 1c,d). The width of the elongated Fe peak in Fig. 1c,d is mainly due to the resolution limit of 15 μ m of the synchrotron beam. The amount of Fe was determined by a comparison of the absolute count rate with that of a known Germanium film. The Fe within one dendrite (Fig. 1c,d) amounts to 4×10^{11} Fe atoms, which would be equivalent to a mass of 35 pg of pure Fe.

Methodological pitfalls The chemistry during fixation and sectioning has a strong influence on the preservation of the Fe elements. This sensitivity to fixation is evident in a comparison of a fixative containing Soerensen-phosphate-buffer with a second fixative in Natrium-Cacodylate-buffer (Fig. 3d-f). Comparing the shape of the Fe containing dendritic field (Fig. 3d, control section after PB staining), the Fe (Fig. 3e) and As (Fig. 3f) signals, in the μ -XRF data, a perfect co-localization is evident. The As is provided as component of the Cacodylate-buffer. This, again, proves that it is essential to carefully survey the tissue processing (see Fleissner et al. 2003). Despite this reaction of every hundredth Fe atom with As (Fig. 3f), the dendritic field is clearly visible as an increased iron content in μ -SXRF (Fig. 3e). The Fe signal outside this field lies below the detection threshold.

The iron content of the entire dendritic field can be estimated by the extrapolation of the μ -SXRF data taken at the center of the field in the analyzed section. The two-dimensional Fe distribution of the section has a mean square radius of approximately 115 μ m. From this, the corresponding sphere of a three-dimensional Fe distribution (see Fig. 3b) is extrapolated, leading to an estimation of the Fe content of the entire dendritic field of about 1.5×10^{14} atoms (equivalent to 14 ng of pure Fe). Taking the Fe content of a single dendrite, a value of 400 terminals is estimated for the whole dendritic field. All six dendritic fields should contain similar amounts of iron, giving a total of 85 ng of Fe in the skin of the upper beak. This amount of Fe would fill a cube of 22 μ m³.

μ -XANES data for the pigeon sample measured in the maximum of the iron signal of Fig. 1c,d are plotted in Fig. 1e,f. Due to the presence of Fe²⁺ in magnetite (Fe³⁺₂Fe²⁺O₄) the characteristic absorption edge is slightly shifted to lower photon energies compared to the signal for maghemite (Fe³⁺₂O₃). It is striking how closely the fluorescence signal from the pigeon sample follows that of the reference sample of pure maghemite nanoparticles (diameter, 4 nm). The hematite signal above 7.122 keV (not shown here) would lie closer to the curve for magnetite (for an overview of XANES spectra of iron minerals: Wilke et al. 2001). The XANES signal for the pigeon

is identical even after storing the sample several months. A detailed analysis of the K shell edge by differentiating the XANES signal and superimposing the magnetite and maghemite reference signal with various weights suggest a mixture of iron minerals in the pigeon sample in the range of 85 % maghemite and 15 % magnetite (grey line in Fig. 1f). These values coincide with the estimates for the occurrence of platelets and vesicle versus clusters as gained from transmission electron microscope (TEM) images (Fig. 2).

Histology

Refined histological studies on the skin of the upper beak of homing pigeons provide details of the cytoarchitecture of the putative magnetoreceptor. The most characteristic and telling features of this novel structure are summarized below. They show an astonishing delicacy and regularity of the entire structure at various cellular and subcellular levels.

- a) *Loose assembly of iron minerals:* The skin lining the inner surface of the upper beak contains six patches with loose concentrations of iron minerals (Fig. 3b) seen in the light microscope after Prussian Blue and Turnbull staining. The iron concentrations below the epidermis inside the stratum laxum consist of linear or lightly curved assemblies of "iron bullets" (diameter 1 μm ; Fig. 1a, 2a after Prussian Blue (PB)-staining; Fig. 3b camera lucida drawing from a PB-stained section series through an entire dendritic field). After Turnbull's reaction (for FeII ions) the bullets are pale blue, compared to bright blue after Prussian Blue staining (for FeIII ions). This correlates to the presence of both Fe^{+2} - and Fe^{+3} in magnetite. In PB-stained sections, the red blood cells give a distinct different iron signal (Fig. 2b) that cannot be mixed up with that from the iron-mineral-containing nervous endings.
- b) *New type of dermal receptor systems:* The iron bullets exclusively occur in "naked" (i.e. unmyelinated) nervous endings (on average 25 μm long), as could be shown by immunohistological investigations with various antibodies against nervous tissue (anti-neurofilament; see also Fleissner et al. 2003). As they do not contain nuclei, according to double staining with nuclear red and PB (Fig. 2a) and crystallographic search for DNA diffraction patterns (Miehe G., unpublished), they are not nerve cell somata. As they do not stain with antibodies specific for synaptic transduction (anti-synaptophysin, anti-synapsin, anti-CGRP: Fleissner and Klauer, unpublished), they are neither receptor cells, which propagate their excitation onto afferent nervous connections, nor do they receive efferent input.
- c) *An orderly macroscopic distribution of Fe minerals:* The six patches, each more than about 350 μm long and about 200 μm in diameter, vary only slightly in shape and size (Fig. 3b); they always occur at specific sites near the lateral margin of the skin of the upper beak (Fig. 3a) and always in bilateral symmetry, namely at the base of the cere, at the height of the distal end of the palatal "slit" and directly proximal to the bill tip organ.
- d) *Orientation of axons:* Prominent is the specialized orientation of the axon bundles supporting the iron-containing dendrites (Fig. 3c). Serial sections taken in various planes show that, for each of the six areas of the skin, one spatial direction prevails (Fig. 3a): The two most caudal patches have their iron-containing endings aligned in a caudal-to-rostral direction, the middle patches mostly in a median-to-lateral orientation, and the most frontal patches in a dorsal-to-ventral direction. Thinner fascicles branch into the intermediate directions within the fields.
- e) *Regularity:* The PB-stained dendrites themselves also form a regular pattern. Several of them may align side by side, but longitudinally they have a nearly *uniform minimum distance* of about 100 μm from each other. This was confirmed in $\mu\text{-SXRF}$ -measurements of histologically undisturbed and unstained material (Stahl et al. 2006a).
- f) *Two iron minerals:* Each of these dendrites contains two types of iron-minerals (see Fig. 1e,f) in three different subcellular compartments (Fig. 2c-g), which can be only partly observed in the light microscope: (1) about 10-15 of iron bullets adhering to the cell membrane (Figs. 1a, 2c and 3b); (2) one vesicle (diameter about 3-5 μm), which is either spherical or elongated (Fig. 2g,h) and shows in the light microscope as an iron-void compartment of the dendrite (Fig. 2a). The vesicle is covered by a crust of a non-magnetite iron-mineral and contacts the cell membrane (Fig. 2c,f); and (3) several bands of maghemite platelets (each platelet 1 μm wide and long, less

than 0.1 μm thick; Fig. 2c-e) extend through the dendritic terminal (Fleissner et al. 2003). In the light microscope, after Prussian blue staining, they sometimes may appear as veils or blue shades in semithin sections.

The iron containing structures, although hard and brittle during sectioning, immediately dissolve after contact to weak acids. They may also partly wash out or change their chemistry when fixatives or components of buffers (e.g., the arsenic acid of cacodylate buffer) bind to the unsaturated Fe ions (Fig. 3e,f), properties that must be considered when analyzing putative structures and key particles of magnetoreceptors.

Discussion

The physico-chemical nature of the two iron oxide particles

Two iron minerals have been found in the putative magnetosensory dendrites of the pigeon's upper beak. The general magnetic properties of these iron-based minerals are known. In detail, they may depend, for instance, on their crystallinity and defect concentrations, size and shape, formation, tissue embedding and arrangement (see Stahl et al. 2006a, 2007b). Therefore, the histological architecture, the subcellular shape, and size of the components are essential to derive sound hypotheses on their respective function:

- In the pigeon's beak, magnetite occurs as superparamagnetic nanoparticles (2-4 nm) accumulated in clusters (diameter, about 1 μm) within an organic matrix of yet unknown nature keeping them at a distance. This was shown by TEM imaging in bright- and dark-field mode and by SAED (small area electron diffraction: Hanzlik et al. 2000; Fleissner et al. 2003).
- Additionally, a non-magnetite iron material, namely maghemite, constitutes the two other iron-mineral-containing subcellular components: Maghemite occurs as irregular crust around the vesicle (diameter, 5 μm), and several bands (each about 10 μm long) of square platelets (1 x 1 x 0.1 μm^3 ; Fleissner et al. 2003; Stahl et al. 2006b). Three pieces of evidence suggest that these platelets are single crystalline: their (1) *chemical stability* against Turnbull's reaction and especially their (2) *precise and uniform shape* that fits perfectly with the (3) *magnetocrystalline anisotropy* characteristics of maghemite (Stahl et al. 2006b; for further background information of the shape-related transition between hard and soft magnetic features in the nanoscale range, see Arcas et al. 1998).

The magnetite containing clusters can be marked as dark blue bullets by histological procedures for the light microscope (with Prussian Blue as well as Turnbull's reaction) due to their FeII and FeIII content and their high surface-to-volume-ratio as nanocrystals. Maghemite as a FeIII mineral should stain with Turnbull's reaction. The weakness of this reaction, however, seen in the light microscope may have to do with the crystallinity of the micron-sized platelets and, thus, it does not easily provide free ions. Consequently, the bands of platelets stay "invisible" in the light microscope and can be seen only in the electron microscope. Reproducible quantitative measurements of the iron distribution (see Fig. 1b-d: element scanning by μ -XRF) in dendrites from different animal preparations contradict an assumption that these maghemite platelets are only random waste or stock material for the biomineralization of magnetite. Iron is concentrated within the dendrites, only, not outside, where waste products of iron metabolism should be stored (see Fig. 1 c,d).

Only at a first glance, the platelets might resemble the magnetosomes of bacteria, which are single-domain magnetite crystals (Bazylinski 1999) or similar to chains of magnetite crystals in extracts of fish ethmoid tissue (e.g., of the sockeye salmon; Mann et al. 1988). Both structures differ in size, shape, and chemistry and, thus, in magnetic characteristics from the material in the pigeon's beak. Due to their small size (50 nm), the magnetosomes of bacteria are at the limit of magnetic stability (superparamagnetism). Therefore, the choice is a material with highest possible saturation magnetization and anisotropy constant, namely magnetite. The cost lies in the characteristic of magnetite to exhibit an easy axis of magnetization in the direction of the diagonal [111] that does not coincide with the simple cubic axis of the crystal. Thus, bacteria magnetosomes with magnetite are

chains of truncated octahedrons interconnected in the [111] direction. In the case of maghemite platelets in the beak of homing pigeons, the size and shape ($1 \times 1 \times 0.1 \mu\text{m}^3$) lies in the 4-domain range as the state of lowest energy (for further details of micro-magnetic simulations see Stahl et al. 2007b). The flat quadratic shape perfectly fits the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of maghemite that coincides with the direction of the cubic axis (in-plane magnetization with soft magnetic features). These monocrystals have, according to their shape, a vanishing coercive force and can be easily demagnetized (for review: Herzer 1997). Perhaps, the prior focus on magnetite crystals as a unifying key for iron-based magnetoreception has occluded the consideration of a possible role of additional non-magnetite minerals, although they have been found in several cases (for review: Kirschvink and Hagadorn 2000).

The subcellular components of the sensory unit suggest a specific primary transduction process

The two iron-minerals have a clearly distinct function in a three-step transduction process: The iron-crusted vesicle concentrates the magnetic flux, and the magnetic behavior of the maghemite platelets yields the specific input stimulus, whereas the opening of membrane channels results from the strain by magnetite bullets. The complex elongated entity of iron-minerals in the sensory dendrites of the pigeon's beak is proposed to reversibly acquire a net magnetization, when in parallel to the magnetic field, and to relax, when the field is rotated. On the ground of their presumed softmagnetic behavior, the bands of *maghemite* platelets may become reversibly magnetized (see also Arcas et al. 1998) and then would be able to locally amplify the Earth's magnetic field. The *magnetite* clusters may react to this local field enhancement by the stabilization of their net magnetic moment; that is, a preferred direction of the nanomagnets inside the clusters is established. This could lead to a displacement or, in higher order, a deformation of the clusters. Thus, a magneto-mechanical transduction in the dendrite membrane may be initiated by these displacing forces. In the amplified Earth's magnetic field, the induced net magnetic moment of the centrally located bands of maghemite platelets rather exerts an isometric pull to the clusters vertical to the membrane, than yielding a real displacement or deformation, which clearly would need more energy. This model matches the actual concept of a ligand-bound mechanoreception (for review: Kung 2005).

In earlier papers, two other principles have been proposed for the transduction process in iron-based magnetoreceptors, which are clearly distinct from our concept of the pigeon-type magnetoreceptor:

- (1) *A solid permanent magnet of single-domain magnetite*: Such an iron bar could rotate like a compass needle with the changing magnetic field, and induce the primary mechanosensory processes by this torque (Diebel et al 2000; Kirschvink and Gould 1981). So far, this hypothetic structure could not be described down to the subcellular level of a sensory system, although magnetite has been localized in the nervous system of various organisms (for review: Kirschvink et al. 2001). Another aspect is that such a sensor could only serve as magnetic compass and would not help to understand the sensory principles of a magnetometer.
- (2) *Ferrofluid-like behavior*: The magnetite clusters in the pigeon's beak might react to changes of a magnetic field like ferrofluid droplets (Bacri et al. 1982). Based on model experiments with technical ferrofluids (typical particle size 15-20 nm), a distortion (Winklhofer et al. 2000) or dislocation (Davila et al. 2003) is assumed to simulate the behavior of magnetite clusters in the pigeon's beak, despite the lack of data on their visco-elasticity. These ferrofluid-like processes should yield early receptor potentials via excitation of strain-sensitive membrane channels. The ferrofluid concept, with proposed forces onto the cell membrane, however, does not fit to the arrangement and morphology of the iron-mineral compartments found in the pigeon dendrites. Additionally, all model calculations with these artificial ferrofluids (Shcherbakov and Winklhofer 1999) contradict the assumption that such a process can explain the behaviorally and electrophysiologically observed high sensitivity of the magnetic sense in pigeons and other birds with the given size and shape of subcellular compartment in the pigeon's iron-mineral containing dendrites.

Therefore, a completely new receptor hypothesis, including enhancement and filter mechanisms, is necessary.

360 *The sensory system in the pigeon's beak provides evidence for signal filtering, receptor adaptation, and reset mechanisms*

Tracking down magnetic sensing requires more than the occurrence of magnetic iron minerals - which are reported to be distributed in, e.g., diseased nervous tissue (Quintana et al. 2006) and
365 various body parts (Abracado et al. 2005), or vague correlations of sensory tissue with non-characterized iron spots (e.g., Walker et al. 1997), or a molecule changing its configuration or a chemical reaction changing its path under the influence of unphysiologically strong magnetic stimuli (for review: Schulten 1982). A adequate model for a magnetoreceptor must consist of a system that provides components showing how the peripheral receptor features - specificity, sensitivity, and
370 adaptation – are achieved and which central nervous connections may serve the respective biological function in a given functional context. An essential prerequisite for addressing a structure as a magnetoreceptor candidate is that magnetic entities occur in a specific well-ordered assembly. Furthermore, the iron-based entities have to be identified not only as "iron" but also by their crystalline structure, magnetic features, oxidation states, and correlation to other chemical elements
375 to predict their response to physiologically relevant changes of the Earth's magnetic field.

The putative magnetoreceptor system in the pigeon's beak may function like a sensitive biological magnetometer, with special structural features standing for the encoding field intensity and inclination as well.

380 *Specificity of the receptor* The specificity of the receptor system is achieved, again, by a two-step process. Each dendrite is preferably excited by the magnetic field in one axis only:

(1) The iron-mineral-containing dendrites of the beak skin, are of similar size, shape, and ultrastructure. In principle, they will become strongly magnetized, when the field lines are exactly in parallel to their long axis and will be transformed to an about-20- μm -long magnetic
385 dipole. This assumption matches the finding that those dendrites, which are attached to the same axon bundle, obviously have to keep a minimum distance from each other, as could be seen in $\mu\text{-SXRf}$ measurements (Stahl et al. 2006a).

(2) If not disturbed by sectioning or other histological procedures, the dendrites appear in parallel to guiding axon bundles, aligned in series, ready to cooperatively register information on the
390 strength of the magnetic vector in a specific direction. These dendritic arrangements along axon bundles in the three different spatial orientations seem to be adapted to analyze separately the three vector components of the magnetic field: The bundles are arranged about perpendicular to each other in a bilateral symmetry.

395 *Selectivity and filter processes* Whether the main axes of the dendritic areas are strictly in parallel to the body axes or tilted by a certain angle is a still open question and will be analyzed in unsectioned samples with a high resolution, e.g., by $\mu\text{-SXRf}$ with microfocus capillaries. The bilaterally symmetrical arrangement is a general phenomenon observed in all sensory systems, and often recognized as essential for spatial localization of stimuli. This kind of selectivity would also
400 constitute a way to reduce the influence of noise or interfering signals. It has to be analyzed whether the tiny bundles with dendrites deviating from the preferred direction serve this filtering function or establish a neuronal bias (P. Schlegel, personal communication).

Amplification The sensitivity of the sensory system has not yet been investigated, but several
405 peripheral and also central nervous amplification processes may be assumed: The magnetite clusters inside the dendrite would be attracted by the magnetized maghemite bands and exert a simultaneous multiple mechanical strain perpendicular to the membrane, which is depending on both magnetic field intensity (amplified by maghemite) as well as the distance between magnetite bullets and maghemite bands (maximally 1 μm due to the diameter of the dendrite), resulting in a composite

receptor potential. Additionally, all dendrites, as the smallest sensory units, with the same directionality, are similarly affected and might, by summation, serve to amplify the magnetic signal encoding field strength parallel to their axis. A deviating field direction will yield a decreased receptor signal in these dendrites, which explains the results found in the earlier electrophysiological experiments (e.g., Beason and Semm 1996). Pilot calculations based on the histological data have already shown that the magnetic field effects inside the dendrites might well be strong enough to satisfy these assumptions (Solov'jov and Greiner 2007). More precise predictions concerning dynamics and working range are not yet possible with the actual state of the mathematical codes.

Reset and adaptation The role of the iron-crusted vesicle is still unclear in this context. It expands through the entire diameter of the dendrite and may react to magnetic field changes, e.g., flatten, when the field lines are in parallel to the long axis of the dendrite. Whether this observed deformation may contribute to the threshold of the primary receptor processes or whether it is a part of a complex magneto-mechanical feedback loop, serving as a driving or reset mechanism, must be analyzed in the future. It is an interesting idea to assume that, by such a mechanism, the dendrite may support an adaptation of the system to various background field intensities, which differ depending, e.g., on the geographical position of the homeloft.

Processing The graduated receptor potentials of the dendrites will be transformed to frequency-encoded action potentials, which then can travel over long distances to the brain. Information processing does not seem to take place along these afferent pathways: Repeated staining procedures with various antibodies have clearly shown the iron concentrations to occur only in unmyelinated nervous endings, which, at least in the periphery, do not branch. They form a sensory system composed of several separate elements, which are sensory dendrites (immunohistology and crystallography; Fleissner et al. 2003) of neurons residing in the central nervous system and must be a part of the median ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve. This nerve has, according to lesion and tracing experiments (for review: Dubbeldam 1998), its multimodal sensory endings only in the skin of the upper beak. Hence, we could not detect similar iron-containing structures in the lower beak (Fleissner, unpublished).

So far, it is unknown, which trigeminal ganglia may represent the primary targets of these putatively magnetoreceptive afferents of the pigeon. In a pilot study with mole rats, Nemec et al. (2001) showed layered accumulation of c-fos expression in the Superior Colliculus after magnetic stimuli.

In any case, the proposed magnetoreceptor is a complex system. This means that it can fulfil its function only when it is intact. Neurophysiological experiments in the periphery, for example electrophysiological recordings in one of the dendritic fields or at the afferent nervous connections of the ROM, must take into account the specificity of the respective sensory endings. The stimuli must be scanned to determine the optimal direction of field lines; otherwise the single receptor responses might be small or non detectable. Beason and Semm (1987) assumed that only about 10 to 20% of ROM fibers respond to magnetic field stimulation with action potentials or changes of the spontaneous discharge rate. Therefore, this is no indication for the real number of magnetoresponsive fibers. Further lesion and also tracing experiments might contribute to solve the multidimensional puzzle of sensory afferents in the future.

The magnetoreceptor model of homing pigeons has now several consequences

- If the iron-containing structures are sensory endings, information processing does not occur along the axonal pathway from beak to brain. The separate peripheral "channels" encoding the three spatial components of the magnetic field have to be composed to the resultant vector in the central nervous neuropils – an important fact for the (re)evaluation of all electrophysiological recordings and lesion experiments.
- As soon as the bird's head turns relative to the Earth's magnetic field, the generated receptor signals would decrease or increase, depending on the receptor subunits, whereas the resulting total field vector as a composition of these three components will stay the same.

- As soon as the magnetic field changes either its intensity or inclination, the complex receptor system will signal this change, as well.

The sensory units are dendrites of distinct axonal connections with an established relevance for magnetic orientation

It is still an open question, how the described dendritic system in the beak might contribute to magnetic orientation and how information from this system has to interact with the compass mechanism possibly located in the retina (for review: Wiltschko and Wiltschko 2005) or other sensory systems used in navigation. Our new model for a magnetic field receptor in the beak offers a reliable new approach to further elucidate these complex problems.

In general, our paper does not aim to solve the problem of magnetic field guided behavior; rather, it tries to describe a complex structure that is not an accidental feature but based on general physiological and physical principles and that, thus, provides a most promising candidate for a magnetic field sense. Indirect evidence for its function may be derived from behavioral experiments. The sophisticated architecture of the iron-containing dendrites in the pigeon's beak, which we have described above, lead us to assume that this system might be an indicator of the magnetic field strength which implies directional information as well. This constitutes than a new compass mechanism independent of photoreception. Several observations on the loss of an inclination compass by migratory birds during experiments with increased light intensity still reveal a so-called "fixed direction" (Wiltschko et al. 2005) of orientation. This phenomenon is interpreted as a polar compass, which is independent of light but follows changing magnetic field conditions. The features of the iron-based system in the beak would match such an additional compass mechanism. Magnetic pulse experiments with adult animals (e.g., birds: Wiltschko et al. 2002; turtles: Irwin and Lohmann 2003, 2005) result in a changed heading for home, possibly due to a partial "blinding" of the direction finding system. If the pulse is applied under a certain angle, direction finding changes accordingly. Recovery from this directional effect is reported to take several days and to pass through a period of misorientation. Our model of a separate recording of the vector components of the magnetic field matches these results. We still do not know whether the dendritic structure or entire information processing is affected negatively by the pulse experiments. Replications of similar experiments under well-controlled conditions need to be followed by histological and physical controls. Lesions to the median branch of the ophthalmic nerve in pigeons destroy their ability to recognize a magnetic anomaly. This was shown in conditioning experiments by Mora et al. (2004) and even in field experiments with local anesthesia of the upper beak skin (Wiltschko and Wiltschko personal communication).

Based on our ongoing histological studies, we expect that the pigeon-type receptor system in the skin of the upper beak might turn out to be a universal feature of all birds, as we have found similar iron-containing dendrites in robins, garden warblers and chicken (Stahl et al. 2007a), although these birds have to solve quite distinct navigational tasks.

In the central nervous system, evaluated control signals for behavior can be generated, matching specific actual demands. Too often, machine-like stimulus reactivity is expected. However, factors such as motivation (for example, hunger or search for the companion), physiological state (for example, migratory restlessness), multimodal stimulation (for example, simultaneously activation by flight control systems), and also the age-related maturing of the sensory structures must be consequently considered in all kinds of neurobiological experiments, also in those analyzing the nature and meaning of magnetoreceptive systems.

Acknowledgements W. and R. Wiltschko (University Frankfurt a. M.) and P. Schlegel (University Munich) gave helpful comments on data presented in this paper and discussed the theories supporting our model. P. Brownell (University Corvallis, Oregon) critically commented on the receptor physiological part of the model. We acknowledge the support by M. Wilke (University Potsdam) during the μ -XANES experiments and C. Taylor-Dorenkamp (University Boston) who helped us improve the English version of the text. We also thank the reviewers of an earlier version

of the manuscript who gave helpful and encouraging comments. We thank E. Thielen, M. Stöhr (both at University Frankfurt a. M.) and W. Hofer (MPI Hirnforschung, Frankfurt a. M.) for their expert technical help in the histology labs. This project is supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to G. F. (FI 177/15-1) and HASYLAB at DESY Hamburg to B. S. (I-04-012, I-05-095). All experimental procedures followed the legal requirements of the German law for the protection of animals.

References

- Abracado LG, Esquivel DM, Alves OC, Wajnberg E (2005) Magnetic material in head, thorax, and abdomen of *Solenopsis substituta* ants: a ferromagnetic resonance study. *J Magn Reson* 175:309-316
- Arcas J, Hernando A, Barandiaran JM, Prados C, Vazquez M, Marin P, Neuweiler A (1998) Soft to hard magnetic anisotropy in nanostructured magnets. *Phys Rev B* 58:5193-5196.
- Bacri JC, Salin D, Massart R (1982) Study of the deformation of ferrofluid droplets in a magnetic field. *J Physique Lettr* 43:L179-183
- Bazylnski DA (1999) Synthesis of the bacterial magnetosome: The making of a magnetic personality. *Int Microbiol* 2:71-80
- Beason RC (2005) Mechanisms of magnetic orientation in birds. *Integr Comp Biol* 45:565-573
- Beason RC, Semm P (1987) Magnetic responses of the trigeminal nerve system of the bobolink (*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*) *Neurosci Lett* 80: 229-234
- Beason RC, Semm P (1996) Does the avian ophthalmic nerve carry magnetic navigational information? *J Exp Biol* 199:1241-4
- Becker M (2000) Die Nutzung des Erdmagnetfeldes innerhalb der Navigation von Brieftauben (*Columba livia*). PhD-Thesis, Universität Frankfurt a. M.
- Davila A, Fleissner G, Winklhofer M, Petersen N (2003) A new model for a magnetoreceptor in homing pigeons based on interacting clusters of superparamagnetic magnetite. *Phys Chem Earth* 28: 647-652
- Diebel CE, Proksch R, Green CR, Neilson P, Walker MM (2000) Magnetite defines a vertebrate magnetoreceptor. *Nature* 406:299-302
- Dubbeldam JL (1998) The sensory trigeminal system in birds: Input, organization and effects of peripheral damage. A review. *Arch Physiol Biochem* 106:338-345.
- Fleissner G, Holtkamp-Rötzler E, Hanzlik M, Winklhofer M, Fleissner G, Petersen N, Wiltschko W (2003) Ultrastructural analysis of a putative magnetoreceptor in the beak of homing pigeons. *J Comp Neurol* 458: 350-360
- Fleissner G, Stahl B (2005) Magnetorezeption bei Brieftauben. In: Rossmann T, Tropea C (ed). *Bionik*. Springer, Berlin New York, pp. 501-515
- Fransson T, Jakobsson S, Johansson P, Kullberg C, Lind J, Vallin A (2001) Bird migration: Magnetic cues trigger extensive refuelling. *Nature* 414:35-36
- Hanzlik M, Heunemann C, Holtkamp-Rötzler E, Winklhofer M, Petersen N, Fleissner G (2000) Superparamagnetic magnetite in the upper beak tissue of Homing Pigeons. *Biometals* 13: 325-331
- Herzer G (1997) Nanocrystalline soft magnetic alloys. In: Buschow KHJ (ed) *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, Vol. 10. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 415-462
- Irwin WP, Lohmann KJ (2003) Magnet-induced disorientation in hatchling sea turtles. *J Exp Biol* 206:497-501
- Irwin WP, Lohmann KJ (2005) Disruption of magnetic orientation in hatchling loggerhead sea turtles by pulsed magnetic fields. *J Comp Physiol A* 191:475-480
- Janssens KHA, Adams FCV, Rindby A (2000) Microscopic X-ray fluorescence analysis. Chichester: Wiley
- Johnsen S, Lohmann KJ (2005) The physics and neurobiology of magnetoreception. *Nature Rev Neuroscience* 6: 703-712

- 570 Kalmijn AJ (1978) Experimental evidence of geomagnetic orientation in elasmobranch fishes. In: Schmitt-Koenig K and Keeton WT (ed) Animal migration, navigation and homing. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 347-353
- Keeton WT, Larkin TS, DM Windsor (1974) Normal fluctuations of the earth's magnetic field influence pigeon orientation. *J Comp Physiol* 95:95-103
- 575 Kirschvink JL, Gould JL 1981. Biogenic magnetite as a basis for magnetite-based magnetic field detection in animals. *BioSystems* 13:181-201
- Kirschvink JL, Hagadorn, JW 2000. A grand unified theory of biomineralisation. In: Bäuerlein E (ed) The biomineralisation of nano- and microstructures. Wiley-VCH Verlag, Weinheim, pp 139-150
- 580 Kirschvink JL, Walker MM, Diebel CE (2001) Magnetite-based magnetoreception. *Current Opinion Neurobiol* 11:462-7
- Kung C (2005) A possible unifying principle for mechanosensation. *Nature* 436:647-654
- Mann S, Sparks NH, Walker MM, Kirschvink JL (1988) Ultrastructure, morphology and organization of biogenic magnetite from sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*: implications for magnetoreception. *J Exp Biol* 140:35-49
- 585 Marhold S, Burda H, Wiltshko W (1997) A magnetic polarity compass for direction finding in a subterranean mammal. *Naturwissenschaften* 84:421-423.
- Mora CV, Davison M, Wild JM, Walker MM (2004) Magnetoreception and its trigeminal mediation in the homing pigeon. *Nature* 432:508-11
- 590 Mouritsen H, Ritz T (2005) Magnetoreception and its use in bird navigation. *Current Opinion Neurobiol* 15:406-414
- Nemec PJ, Altmann J, Marhold S, Burda H, Oelschläger HHA (2001) Neuroanatomy of magnetoreception: The superior colliculus involved in magnetic orientation in a mammal. *Science* 294: 366-368.
- 595 Phillips JB, Borland SC 1992. Behavioural evidence for use of a light-dependent magnetoreception mechanism by a vertebrate. *Nature* 359:142-144
- Quintana C, Bellefqih S, Laval JY, Guerquin-Kern JL, Wu TD, Avila J, Ferrer I, Arranz R, Patino C (2006). Study of the localization of iron, ferritin, and hemosiderin in Alzheimer's disease hippocampus by analytical microscopy at the subcellular level. *J. Struct. Biol.* 153(1):42-54
- 600 Ritz T, Adem S, Schulten K (2000) A model for photoreceptor-based magnetoreception in birds. *Biophys. J.* 78: 707-18
- Schulten, K (1982) Magnetic field effects in chemistry and biology. In: Treusch J (ed) *Festkörperprobleme*, Vieweg: Braunschweig, vol. 22, pp. 61-83.
- Shcherbakov VP, Winklhofer M (1999) The osmotic magnetometer: A new model for a magnetite-based magnetoreceptor in animals. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 28: 380-392
- 605 Solov'yov IA, Greiner W (2007) Theoretical analysis of an iron mineral-based magnetoreceptor model in birds. *Biophys J* (submitted)
- Stahl B, Fleissner G, Barnert E, Falkenberg G (2006a) Element scanning by μ XRF in putative magnetic field receptors in the upper beak skin of homing pigeons. *HASYLAB Annual Report 2005, DESY: Hamburg*, pp.1029-1030
- 610 Stahl B, Fleissner G, Falkenberg G, Fleissner G (2006b) Magnetite nanoparticles alone are not able to explain iron mineral-based magnetoreception in homing pigeons. In: Kyriakopoulos A, Michalke B, Graebert A, Behne D (ed) *Proc. 4th Fall Conference on metalloproteins and metalloproteins*. Herbert Utz Verlag: München, pp. 63-68
- 615 Stahl B, Fleissner G, Fleissner G, Falkenberg G (2007a) Cross-species unveiling of a putative avian magnetoreceptor. *HASYLAB Annual Report 2006, DESY: Hamburg*, pp. 1269-1270
- Stahl B, Fleissner G, Fleissner G, Holub-Krappe E (2007b) Micromagnetic aspects of magnetoreception of homing pigeons based on iron minerals. *XAFS 13 Proceedings, American Inst Physics* 882:755-757
- 620 Walker M, Diebel CE, Haugh CV, Pankhurst PM, Montgomery JC, Green CR (1997) Structure and function of the vertebrate magnetic sense. *Nature* 390: 371-376
- Wang JH, Shaun SD, Cain D, Lohmann KJ (2003) Identification of magnetically responsive neurons in the marine mollusc *Tritonia diomedea*. *J Exp Biol* 206:381-388

- 625 Wilke M, Farges F, Petit PE, Brown jr. GE, Martin F (2001) Oxidation state and coordination of Fe
in minerals: an Fe K XANES spectroscopic study. *American Mineralogists* 86:714-730
- Wiltschko W, Munro U, Ford H, Wiltschko R (2003) Magnetic orientation in birds: non-compass
responses under monochromatic light of increased intensity. *Proc Roy Soc London B*
270:2133-2140
- 630 Wiltschko W, Munro U, Wiltschko R, Kirschvink JL (2002) Magnetite-based magnetoreception in
birds: the effect of a biasing field and a pulse on migratory behavior. *J Exp Biol* 205:3031-7
- Wiltschko R, Wiltschko W (1995) *Magnetic orientation in animals*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg
- Wiltschko W, Wiltschko R (1997) Magnetic orientation in birds. *J Exp Biol* 199:29-38
- Wiltschko W, Wiltschko R (2005) Magnetic orientation and magnetoreception in birds and other
animals. *J Comp Physiol A* 191:675-93
- 635 Winklhofer M, Holtkamp-Rötzler E, Hanzlik M, Fleissner G, Petersen N (2001) Clusters of
superparamagnetic magnetite particles in the upper beak skin of homing pigeons: Evidence of a
magnetoreceptor? *Eur J Mineral* 13: 659-669

Fig. 1 The iron minerals in dendrites of the homing pigeon's beak. **a** Histological staining methods (here, Prussian Blue) reveal iron deposits in dendrite-like processes of the skin of the upper beak (10- μ m paraffin section). **b-d** Calibrated μ -SXRF measurements quantify Fe content. **b** High-resolution element scanning within a dendrite (*dotted line*, high concentration) and in its vicinity (*gray line*, low concentration; X-ray fluorescence spectrum in logarithmic scale). Independent of its chemical state, each element has its specific emission lines; for instance, Ca at 3.69 and 4.01 keV, Fe at 6.40 and 7.06 keV, Zn at 8.64 keV and 9.57 keV, As at 10.53 keV. **c** Mesh plot and **d** density plot of the Fe mass distribution in dendritic endings of the ophthalmic nerve in an unstained 10- μ m-thick section. A single dendrite contains about 35 pg of Fe. The width of the distribution is mainly due to the spatial resolution of 15 μ m of the X-ray beam. **e** μ -XANES measurements to identify the iron oxides of a single dendrite compared to magnetite and maghemite reference samples. **f** The slope of these curves gives an estimate for the relative quantities of magnetite and maghemite in a dendrite. The dendrite seems to contain only 10 to 15% of magnetite and about 80 to 90 % of maghemite (*grey line*), which matches the histologically found large amount of iron bound in platelets (see Fig. 2).

Fig. 2 Characterization and subcellular localization of iron minerals. **a, b** Light microscopic view of an iron-containing dendrite compared to a blood capillary with clotted erythrocytes after Prussian Blue staining (10- μ m paraffin section). **a** The "clear zone" of the dendrites, the vesicle (arrow at *v*), where no iron bullets are visible, is not a site of a nucleus. This is shown by a double staining with PB and nuclear red, which marks the nuclei (*n*) of neighboring connective tissue cells (*fc*, fat cell of

the stratum laxum). **b** Erythrocytes (*ery*) in a capillary vessel (*cw*, capillary wall). Here, the PB reactivity is much weaker, the nuclei additionally provide a clear distinction of these structures from dendrites. **c** Schematic drawing of a single dendrite as derived from serial ultrathin sections (modified from Fleissner et al. 2003) with the three iron containing subcellular components: **d, e** chains of maghemite crystals ($1 \times 1 \times 0.1 \mu\text{m}^3$) cut in two directions together with one of the magnetite clusters (diameter about $1 \mu\text{m}$, *mc* in **d**), and **f** the iron-coated vesicle (diameter 3 to $5 \mu\text{m}$, selected samples of one section series; about 40-nm ultrathin sections). **g, h** In the light microscope, this vesicle might appear oval (**g**) or round (**h**) ($10\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ paraffin sections, PB

Fig. 3 Spatial distribution and quantity of iron minerals within the putative magnetoreceptor system. **a** X-ray image of the upper beak showing its six iron-containing areas and the prevailing orientation of their dendritic fields (arrows: *c* caudal, *d* dorsal, *f* frontal, *l* lateral, *m* median, *r* rostral, *v* ventral). **b** Camera lucida drawing of all Prussian blue reactive 'bullets' (black dots) of one dendritic field as visible in the light microscope. (10-μm thick paraffin sections through the right median field;

Prussian blue staining). **c** Axon bundle, which carries multiple iron-containing dendrites. The dendrites are aligned in parallel and in a minimal distance. (10- μ m paraffin section, PB-stained). **e** Fe mass distribution in a dendritic field seen by μ -SXRF without histological staining (**d** parallel control section of a ramification, PB-stained). The Fe content of the approximately 25 dendrites (pictured here) amounts to 900 pg. Outside the field, Fe is not detectable. The Fe content of the entire dendritic field can be estimated at 14 ng. **f** μ -SXRF also helps to identify artifacts based on "standard methods" (glutaraldehyde fixative in cacodylate buffer). Here, the Arsenic acid of the buffer reacts with a fraction of the iron in the dendrites and is seen in the perfect co-localization of As with Fe although As amounts to only 1% of the Fe content (different scales in **e** and **f**).