

DESY 06-238  
IFT-06/027  
MZ-TH/06-28  
hep-ph/0612302

## ISOLATED LEPTON EVENTS AT HERA: SUSY $R$ -PARITY VIOLATION?

S.Y. Choi<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Kalinowski<sup>3</sup>, H.-U. Martyn<sup>1,4</sup>, R. Rückl<sup>5</sup>, H. Spiesberger<sup>6</sup> and P.M. Zerwas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany*

<sup>2</sup> *Physics Department and RIPC, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Korea*

<sup>3</sup> *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University, PL-00681 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>4</sup> *I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany*

<sup>5</sup> *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany*

<sup>6</sup> *Institut für Physik, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany.*

### Abstract

Events with an isolated high  $p_T$  lepton, a hadron jet and missing energy as observed in the H1 experiment at HERA, are potentially associated with  $R$ -parity violation in supersymmetric theories. However, stringent kinematic constraints must be fulfilled if the production of supersymmetric particles in  $R$ -parity violating scenarios were the correct path for explaining these puzzling events. A reference point  $\mathbb{X}$  is specified for which these constraints are illustrated and implications of the supersymmetric interpretation for new classes of multi-lepton events are indicated.

1. Several events with an isolated lepton in association with a hadron jet and missing transverse momentum,

$$e^+p \rightarrow e^+/\mu^+ + \text{jet} + p_T^{\text{miss}} \quad (1)$$

have been observed in the H1 experiment at HERA. For events with the jet transverse momentum larger than 25 GeV, the yield is larger, at a level of  $3.4\sigma$ , than the number expected in the Standard Model [1], in which  $W$  production [2], with subsequent leptonic decays, gives rise to these final states. This type of events has been observed in running HERA with positrons but not with electrons. ZEUS, on the other hand, has not reported the observation of excess of such events [3]. A direct comparison of both experiments is difficult however since H1 and ZEUS cover somewhat different phase-space regions [4].

A potential interpretation of the events (1) is based on supersymmetric theories incorporating  $R$ -parity violating interactions [for a recent review of  $R$ -parity violating supersymmetry see Ref. [5]]. Most of the models assume the formation of fairly light stop particles in  $e^+d$  fusion, via the  $R$ -parity violating interaction  $\lambda'_{131}L_1Q_3D_1^c$  in the superpotential, with stops decaying to a  $b$ -jet and a chargino.\*

(i) In Refs. [1, 3] the chargino decays to a  $W$ -boson and a neutralino  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ , with the  $W$ -boson decaying leptonically. The final-state configuration in Eq. (1), could be achieved if the neutralino is assumed to be meta-stable, decaying outside the detector. However, such an interpretation is very unlikely since the lifetime for  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  decays is bounded from above by the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow b\tilde{b} \rightarrow bd\nu$  channel mediated by a virtual  $\tilde{b}$ . The size of the partial width, estimated as  $\Gamma(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim 3\alpha_W(\lambda'_{131}/4\pi)m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^5/32\pi m_b^4$ , is set by the size of the  $e^+d\tilde{t}$  coupling responsible for the production process, see Eq. (3), and the  $\tilde{b}$  mass ( $\alpha_W$  denotes the electroweak  $SU(2)_I$  fine structure constant  $g_2^2/4\pi$ ). Similar consequences can be drawn when the chargino decays to a lepton and a meta-stable sneutrino  $\tilde{\nu}_\tau$  assumed to be the lightest SUSY particle, even if direct  $R$ -parity violating  $\tilde{\nu}_\tau$  couplings are absent, cf. Ref. [6]. The sneutrino may decay “back” through the channel  $\tilde{\nu}_\tau \rightarrow \tau\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow \tau b\tilde{t} \rightarrow \tau be^+d$  involving virtual intermediate  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  and  $\tilde{t}$  states, with  $\Gamma(\tilde{\nu}_\tau) \sim \alpha_W^2(\lambda'_{131}/4\pi)m_{\tilde{\nu}_\tau}^7/256\pi^2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2m_t^4$ . The estimated decay widths of the two modes,  $\Gamma(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim 1$  eV and  $\Gamma(\tilde{\nu}_\tau) \sim 10^{-3}$  eV, for  $\lambda'_{131} \sim 5 \times 10^{-2}$  and SUSY masses  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  GeV, suggest lifetimes of order  $\sim 10^{-15}$  sec and  $\sim 10^{-12}$  sec, respectively, much too short for the particles to escape the detector before decay.

(ii) A different class of models is based on  $R_p$  violation in both lepton-quark and lepton-lepton

---

\*Our discussion applies equally well to scenarios in which a charm squark is produced in  $e^+d$  fusion via the  $\lambda'_{121}$  coupling) and decays to a  $s$ -jet and a chargino. However, due to possible strong mixing in the stop sector, the lightest stop can be expected considerably lighter than the charm squark. The models differ in the structure of the subsequent decay chains of the chargino.


interactions. The chargino, generated in the stop decay, decays to a charged slepton and a neutrino, followed by the subsequent slepton  $\mathcal{R}_p$  decay to a lepton+neutrino pair, cf. Ref. [7, 8]. This mechanism generates the characteristic final state of Eq.(1) as shown in Fig.1(a). The production of the intermediate stop particle is governed by the  $\mathcal{R}_p$  term  $\lambda' L Q D^c$  in the superpotential, and the slepton decay to a lepton + neutrino pair by  $\lambda L L E^c$ . [The slepton may also decay back, with strength  $\lambda'$ , to a top + jet pair.] Moreover, if the chargino decays alternatively to a sneutrino and a charged lepton, the final state, three charged leptons and no missing transverse energy as predicted by the same  $\mathcal{R}_p$  coupling, can be reconstructed *in toto*, Fig.1(b). [Also in this case the lepton pair may be replaced by a jet pair.]

Besides the prediction of additional lepton and jet final states in the second scenario, the clustering of partons and leptons at invariant masses corresponding to the chargino mass in all events, and in addition the sneutrino mass in multi-lepton events, provides powerful internal cross-checks of the SUSY  $\mathcal{R}_p$  interpretation of any events with signature (1). [If the on-shell constraints fail, the escape to virtual intermediate states is hardly a way out as rates fall dramatically in this case.]

The coupling  $\lambda'$  must be sufficiently large, a few  $10^{-2}$  for  $\lambda'_{131}$ , to guarantee the necessary stop production rate. This size can only be maintained if the suppression of rare lepton final states in meson decays is reached *ad-hoc* either by selection of non-vanishing  $\lambda', \lambda$  couplings or by fine-tuned destructive interference between several  $\lambda', \lambda$  couplings. Though the fine-tuning of order  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-2}$  remains moderate, both solutions are based on *ad-hoc* assumptions which superficially lack naturalness.

These general remarks will be illustrated in the analysis of a specific reference point  $\mathbb{R}$  in the next section. Many of the discussions will be generic and the emphasis will not be on the detailed properties of the model but on the general characteristics that can easily be transferred to a wider scenario and to other scenarios. In particular the crucial clustering conditions will apply to any scenario based on stop production in the *s*-channel and they are characteristic for a whole class of  $\mathcal{R}_p$  models for the supersymmetric interpretation of the HERA events. The reference point  $\mathbb{R}$  is chosen to develop constraints on the SUSY  $\mathcal{R}_p$  interpretation of experimental data but it should not be mis-interpreted as an outstanding candidate for explaining existing data.

**2.** The reference point  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined such that stop states are mixed strongly and a rather light  $\tilde{t}_1$  emerges while all the other squark states are heavy. The light chargino and the lightest neutralino are assumed higgsino-like so as to suppress  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  decays in the  $\tilde{t}_1$  cascade which, with subsequent  $\mathcal{R}_p$  violating  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  decays, would not generate the desired final states. The Higgs mixing parameter  $\tan \beta$  is chosen moderate to allow comparable charged slepton and sneutrino decays



**Figure 1:** *Supersymmetric R-parity violating interactions generating isolated lepton events*

of the chargino. [*R*-type sneutrinos are assumed to be very heavy and inaccessible.]

Constraints on the  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda$  couplings in  $\mathbb{R}$  from rare mesonic decays may be illustrated by three important examples [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_d^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu & : |\lambda'_{131}\lambda_{122}^* + \lambda'_{331}\lambda_{322}^*| \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-6} [\tilde{m}/100 \text{ GeV}]^2 \\
B_d^0 \rightarrow \mu e & : |\lambda'_{131}\lambda_{121}^* + \lambda'_{331}\lambda_{321}^*| \lesssim 8 \times 10^{-6} [\tilde{m}/100 \text{ GeV}]^2 \\
K_L^0 \rightarrow \mu e & : |\lambda'_{1k1}\lambda_{2k2}^*| \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-7} [\tilde{m}/100 \text{ GeV}]^2
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $\tilde{m}$  is the mass of the sparticle involved in the decay. Vastly different  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda$  values in any product of the couplings or fine-tuning in destructive interferences can suppress all these amplitudes. To illustrate the first point, we may assume  $\lambda'_{131}$  sufficiently large for generating the necessary  $\tilde{t}_1$  rate, and  $\lambda_{322}$  or/and  $\lambda_{321}$  sufficiently large for generating isolated  $\mu$  and  $e$  events [for the sake of simplicity assumed to be equal]. The constraints from rare decays can be complied with in this configuration if  $\lambda'_{331}$  and  $\lambda_{122,121}$  are very small. The third condition can be solved in a similar way or by destructive interferences in the amplitudes. Even though such a specific choice may look unnatural, it cannot be refuted by experimental results on the other hand.

In summary. The reference point  $\mathbb{R}$  defined in Table 1 does not appear in conflict with experimental data. The  $\mathbb{R}_p$  couplings of the superfields generate interactions in the (s)quark and (s)lepton sectors of the type:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda'_{131} L_1 Q_3 D_1^c & \Rightarrow \lambda'_{131} (e \tilde{t} d - \nu_e \tilde{b} d + \text{cycled}) \\
\lambda_{322} L_3 L_2 E_2^c & \Rightarrow \lambda_{322} (\tau \tilde{\nu}_\mu \mu - \nu_\tau \tilde{\mu} \mu + \text{cycled}) \\
\lambda_{321} L_3 L_2 E_1^c & \Rightarrow \lambda_{321} (\tau \tilde{\nu}_\mu e - \nu_\tau \tilde{\mu} e + \text{cycled})
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For brevity we use a simplified notation in which fields are supposed to be cycled, with the tilde characterizing the sfermion field kept in the middle position.

Masses and mixings generated by this reference point, and relevant for the subsequent discussion, are listed in Table 2. These values are compatible with the bounds on masses and mixings

from LEP, Tevatron and HERA [10]. If interpreted strictly within the MSSM, the parameters would lead to too low a mass of the lightest Higgs boson; however, this mass can be raised beyond the LEP limit in extended theories [11] without affecting the mass and mixing parameters of Table 2 significantly, cf. Ref. [12].

**Table 1:** *Definition of the reference point  $\mathbb{R}$*

$\mathbb{R}$ : Parameters	Values
elw gaugino masses	$M_2 = 2M_1 = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$
higgsino mass	$\mu = 160 \text{ GeV}$
Higgs mixing	$\tan \beta = 1.5$
scalar lepton masses	$M_L = M_E = 130 \text{ GeV}$
scalar quark masses	$M_Q = M_U = M_D = 420 \text{ GeV}$
trilinear $A$ coupling	$A_t = 840 \text{ GeV}$
$\lambda', \lambda$ couplings	$\lambda'_{131} = 5 \times 10^{-2}$ $\lambda_{322} = \lambda_{321} = \lambda'_{131}$ other $\lambda', \lambda$ very small

**Table 2:** *Masses and mixings at the reference point  $\mathbb{R}$*

$\mathbb{R}$ : Observables	Masses and mixing elements
stop masses	$m[\tilde{t}_{1/2}] = 203/609 \text{ GeV}$
stop mixing	$[U_{\tilde{t}}]_{11} = -0.71$
slepton/sneutrino masses	$m[\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}] = 133/123 \text{ GeV}$
chargino masses	$m[\tilde{\chi}_{1/2}^{\pm}] = 156/1505 \text{ GeV}$
chargino mixings	$[U_{L/R}]_{11} = -0.049/-0.068$
neutralino masses	$m[\tilde{\chi}_{1/2/3/4}^0] = 152/160/753/1505 \text{ GeV}$
neutralino mixings	$[N]_{11/2/3/4} = -0.070/0.058/-0.71/0.70$

**3.** The production cross section of stop particles at HERA, cf. Figs. 1, is given in the narrow-width approximation by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(e^+p \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1) &= \hat{\sigma}_0(e^+d \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1) f_d(m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s) \\
\hat{\sigma}_0(e^+d \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1) &= \frac{\pi}{4m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2} |\lambda'_{131}|^2 |[U_{\tilde{t}}]_{11}|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the  $d$ -parton density  $f_d$  in the proton evaluated at  $x = m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s$ . For the  $\mathbb{R}$  parameter set introduced above and the MRST2004 parametrization of the parton densities [13], the numerical

value of  $\sigma(e^+p \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1) = 0.54$  pb leads to a sample of about ninety  $\tilde{t}_1$  events for an integrated luminosity of  $\int \mathcal{L} = 160$  pb $^{-1}$ .

Since the  $t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  decay channel is kinematically inaccessible,  $\tilde{t}_1$  particles decay to nearly 100% into  $b\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  final states, as indicated in Figs. 1; remnant  $\tilde{R}_p$  decays to  $e^+/\tau^+d$  are reduced to a level of 3% as a result of the small  $\lambda'_{131/331}$  couplings compared with the gauge coupling.

Also the subsequent chargino  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  decays follow the standard path to  $\tilde{\ell}_L^+\nu_\ell$  and  $\tilde{\nu}\ell^+$  final states since the chargino decay to  $W^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  is strongly suppressed  $\sim (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})^5$  in the higgsino region with nearly degenerate  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+/\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  states, i.e.  $\Delta m_{\tilde{\chi}} \simeq 4$  GeV in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The partial widths are given by

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_L^+\nu_\ell/\tilde{\nu}\ell^+) = \frac{\alpha}{16\sin^2\theta_W} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \left[1 - m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}}^2/m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2\right]^2 |[U_{L/R}]_{11}|^2 \quad (5)$$

To generate a sufficiently large number of isolated lepton plus missing  $p_T$  events, the Higgs mixing parameter  $\tan\beta$  cannot be much larger than unity; this is required by the electroweak  $D$  term  $m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2 - m_{\tilde{\ell}_L}^2 = m_W^2 \cos 2\beta$  as well as the ratio of mixing elements  $[U_L]_{11}/[U_R]_{11} \sim 1/\tan\beta$ . The branching ratio for charged slepton final states amounts to 21% so that a significant number of sneutrino final states is predicted in the  $\mathbb{R}$  environment. Reducing  $\tan\beta$  further leads to near balance between charged and neutral final states.

For the decays of the charged sleptons and sneutrinos a variety of channels may be open. In addition to the leptonic  $\tilde{R}_p$  decays, hadronic  $\tilde{R}_p$  decays and standard gaugino decays to  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  may be observed, with  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  finally decaying to leptons and hadrons through  $\tilde{R}_p$  interactions mediated by a virtual  $\tilde{b}$  state:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\ell}_L^+ &\rightarrow e^+\nu_\mu, e^+\nu_\tau, \mu^+\nu_\mu, \mu^+\nu_\tau ; t\bar{b} ; \ell^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\nu}_\ell &\rightarrow e^-\mu^+, e^-\tau^+, \mu^-\mu^+, \mu^-\tau^+ ; d\bar{b} ; \nu_\ell\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$


The branching ratios depend strongly on the details of the parameter choice, apparent from the partial widths:

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}_L \rightarrow \ell\nu/\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \ell\ell') = \frac{|\lambda|^2}{16\pi} m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}} \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}_L \rightarrow t d/\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow b d) = \frac{3|\lambda'|^2}{16\pi} m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}} \left[1 - m_{t/b}^2/m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}}^2\right]^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}_L \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\chi}_1^0/\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \nu\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \frac{\alpha}{4\sin^2 2\theta_W} |N_{12}c_W + N_{11}s_W|^2 m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}} \left[1 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2/m_{\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}}^2\right]^2 \quad (9)$$

In the reference scenario selectrons and  $e$ -sneutrinos decay into hadrons, but the other  $\mu, \tau$  type sleptons decay to leptons. Standard neutralino decays are also suppressed due to the reduced phase-space if the neutralino mass is close to the slepton/sneutrino masses and if the neutralino is higgsino-like.



**Figure 2:** *Mixed  $R$ -parity conserving and  $R$ -parity violating decays of the lighter top squark  $\tilde{t}_1$  which give rise to multi-lepton and jet final states, in the left cascade including missing transverse momentum due to escaping neutrinos.*

The chargino decay modes in the  $\mathbb{R}$  scenario are summarized in Table 3, leading to the experimentally observable final states indicated in the two branches of Fig.2 and for charged leptons and sneutrinos. All multi-lepton events in  $\mathbb{R}$  contain  $\tau^+$ 's in the final state.

A large variety of experimental signatures emerges from the model, with no overwhelming preference for a particular channel, but large uncertainties due to the choice of mass, mixing and  $\lambda', \lambda$  parameters. The table should therefore not be interpreted as a quantitative prediction but rather as a listing of interesting signatures to be searched for experimentally.

4. In on-shell  $\tilde{t}_1$  production in  $e^+d$  collisions, the  $\tilde{t}_1$  mass determines the value  $x$  of the target  $d$  parton,

$$x = m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s \quad (10)$$

The 4-momentum of the stop quark, traveling along the proton direction, is predicted to be

$$p_{\tilde{t}_1} = p_e + (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s)p_p \quad (11)$$

in obvious notation for the momenta of  $\tilde{t}_1$ , positron and proton. Energy and 3-momentum of  $\tilde{t}_1$  along the proton axis are fixed in the laboratory frame by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\tilde{t}_1} &= E_e + (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s)E_p \\ p_{\tilde{t}_1}^z &= -E_e + (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2/s)E_p \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

**Table 3:** The chargino and the subsequent slepton/sneutrino decay modes and the experimentally observable final states in the  $\mathbb{R}$  scenario. Estimates of the combined branching ratios for the  $\tilde{t}_1$  decays to the observable final states are listed in the last column. [The top quark in  $\tilde{e}_L^+ \rightarrow t\bar{d}$  is virtual as  $m_{\tilde{e}_L} < m_t$ .]

$\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow b\tilde{\ell}_L^+\nu$ and $b\tilde{\nu}\ell^+$					
$\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ decay	$\lambda_{322}$	$\lambda_{321}$	$\lambda'_{131}$	$e^+p \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1$ final state	Estimated fractions (%)
$\tilde{e}_L^+\nu_e$	—	—	$t^*\bar{d}$	$jjW^+b \cancel{E}_T$	7.0
$\tilde{\mu}_L^+\nu_\mu$	$\mu^+\nu_\tau$	$e^+\nu_\tau$	—	$\mu^+j \cancel{E}_T$ and $e^+j \cancel{E}_T$	3.5 and 3.5
$\tilde{\tau}_L^+\nu_\tau$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu$	$e^+\nu_\mu$	—	$\mu^+j \cancel{E}_T$ and $e^+j \cancel{E}_T$	3.5 and 3.5
$\tilde{\nu}_e e^+$	—	—	$d\bar{b}$	$e^+jjj$	23.0
$\tilde{\nu}_\mu \mu^+$	$\mu^-\tau^+$	$e^-\tau^+$	—	$\mu^-\mu^+\tau^+j$ and $e^-\mu^+\tau^+j$	11.5 and 11.5
$\tilde{\nu}_\tau \tau^+$	$\mu^-\mu^+$	$e^-\mu^+$	—	$\mu^-\mu^+\tau^+j$ and $e^-\mu^+\tau^+j$	11.5 and 11.5

These relations for the stop energy and momentum serve as basis for evaluating the kinematical constraint equations which follow from the on-shell condition for  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ .

In all scenarios discussed above, and universally for all SUSY  $\mathcal{R}_p$  scenarios analyzed in the literature we are aware of, the decay of  $\tilde{t}_1$  always proceeds through  $b\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  if the final state in Eq.(1) is generated. Using the  $\tilde{t}_1$  4-momentum from Eq.(12) and the measured  $b$ -jet energy  $E_b$  and longitudinal  $z$ -momentum  $p_b^z$  along the proton direction, the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  mass condition  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 = (p_{\tilde{t}_1} - p_b)^2$  can be cast in the form

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2 = m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 [1 - (E_b - p_b^z)/2E_e] - 2E_e(E_b + p_b^z) \quad (13)$$


With the *a priori* unknown stop and chargino masses, each event with measured values of  $b$ -jet energy and longitudinal momentum defines, according to Eq. (13), a line in the  $(\text{mass})^2$  plane, the coordinates labeled by  $(m_x^2, m_y^2)$ .

Lines corresponding to the signal events must cross at the single point corresponding to the true values of stop and chargino masses  $(m_x^2, m_y^2) = (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2)$ , if the considered  $\mathcal{R}_p$  scenario is the correct interpretation of the data. This is demonstrated in Fig. 3(a) for the reference point  $\mathbb{R}$ . All allowed signal lines in the mass plane are located within the double cone formed by the line with slope = 1 and cutting the  $m_y^2$ -axis at the minimum value =  $-(m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2)$ , and the line crossing the origin with slope =  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2/m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2$ , both intercept and slope given by the true mass values. The opening angle of the cone increases apparently with the  $\tilde{t}_1 - \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  mass gap.

On the other hand, lines corresponding to background events do not cross at a single point but rather form an irregular mesh over the  $(m_x^2, m_y^2)$  plane. This is shown in Fig. 3(b) for a few



examples for which the jet parameters are identified with values derived from  $W$ -photoproduction  $\gamma q \rightarrow W q'$ . Inserting jet energy and momentum for a sample of events apparently does not lead to any clustering of the solutions (in contrast to the signal lines), reflecting that the process does not proceed through resonance formation. For  $W$ -photoproduction the lines fill the area between (and including) the double-cone of maximum  $\gamma q$  invariant mass,  $M_{\gamma q}^2 = s$ , and the line corresponding to the degenerate double-cone for minimum  $\gamma q$  invariant mass,  $M_{\gamma q}^2 = M_W^2$ .



**Figure 3:** Left: Lines corresponding to  $\mathcal{R}_p$  signal events. All signal lines must lie within the double cone. The (blue) dot corresponds to the signal point for the true mass values,  $m_x^2 \rightarrow m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2$  and  $m_y^2 \rightarrow m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}^2$ . Right: Lines corresponding to background events from  $W$ -photoproduction  $\gamma q \rightarrow W q'$ . The kinematically excluded regions bounded by black solid lines are shaded. [Note that the lower  $x$ -axis is cut to accommodate the forbidden wedge on the right.]

Apparent from Figs.1(a) and (b) and Table 3, many more consistency conditions can be derived from the leptons and jets in the final state.

Two examples are provided by the first and fourth row in Table 3. The  $\tilde{e}_L^+$  events in the first row must cluster at the invariant mass

$$M[jt] = m_{\tilde{e}_L} \quad (14)$$

while the  $\tilde{\nu}_e$  events in the fourth row must cluster at the triple point

$$\begin{aligned} M[jj] &= m_{\tilde{\nu}_e} \\ M[e^+ jj] &= m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \\ M[e^+ jjj] &= m_{\tilde{t}_1} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Moreover, since the  $\nu_\tau$  3-momentum can be reconstructed fully in single  $\tau$  events, the last two rows of Table 3 accounting for all sneutrino decays, must fulfill a large set of constraint equations. This may be illustrated by analyzing the  $\mu\mu$  chain of the last row in Table 3:

$$\begin{aligned} M[\mu\mu] &= m_{\tilde{\nu}_\tau} \\ M[\tau^+ \mu\mu] &= m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \\ M[j\tau^+ \mu\mu] &= m_{\tilde{t}_1} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and analogously for the other chains. Particularly appealing is the requirement of clustering for the 2-lepton and 3-lepton invariant masses at the  $\tilde{\nu}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  masses.

It should also be noted that the transverse energies of the  $b$ -jets in the laboratory cluster for  $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^+$  decays at the maximum possible value

$$\max[E_T^b] = (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2)/2m_{\tilde{t}_1} \tag{17}$$

as a result of the well-known transverse Jacobian peak.

**5.** In this brief note we have examined necessary requirements which the interpretation of isolated lepton events with large missing transverse momentum and a hadron jet at HERA must comply with in the context of  $R$ -parity violating supersymmetric interactions. Independent of the specific reference point used in this note merely to illustrate the analysis in detail, two generic implications have emerged from the study:

- Kinematical constraint equations relate the observed jet and lepton energies and momenta with masses of stop, chargino and sneutrino, and clusters of invariant masses must be observed experimentally.
- $R$ -parity violating couplings connect leptons and sleptons of different charges and species. This implies that also events including multi-lepton final states and  $\tau^+$  leptons should be observed.

The lifetime of meta-stable neutralinos and sneutrinos is estimated to be very likely too short to provide a possible alternative to the generic type of scenarios analyzed above.

## Acknowledgments

We should like to thank G. Brandt for providing us with the  $W$ -photoproduction events. A communication on the Higgs sector by F. Richard is gratefully acknowledged. The work was supported in part by the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2006-013-C00097), by KOSEF through CHEP at Kyungpook National University, by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant No 1 P03B 108 30, and by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under contract number 05HT6WWA. S.Y.C. is grateful for support during his visit to DESY.

## References

- [1] A. Aktas *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **36** (2004) 425 [hep-ex/0403027]; D. South, *Proceedings, DIS2006, Tsukuba*, 2006, <http://www-conf.kek.jp/dis06/doc/WG3/ew06-south.pdf>; H1 Collaboration, *Contributed paper to ICHEP, Moscow*, 2006, <http://www-h1.desy.de/h1/www/publications/htmlsplit/H1prelim-06-162.long.html>.
- [2] K. P. Diener, C. Schwanenberger and M. Spira, Eur. Phys. J. C **25** (2002) 405 [hep-ph/0203269]; P. Nason, R. Rückl and M. Spira, J. Phys. G **25** (1999) 1434 [hep-ph/9902296].
- [3] ZEUS Collaboration, *Contributed paper to ICHEP, Moscow*, 2006, <http://www-zeus.desy.de/physics/phch/conf/ichep06>, ZEUS-prel-06-012.
- [4] C. Diaconu, *Talk at ICHEP2006, Moscow*, arXiv:hep-ex/0610041.
- [5] R. Barbier *et al.*, Phys. Rept. **420** (2005) 1 [hep-ph/0406039].
- [6] D. South, *Talk at DIS2006, Tsukuba*, <http://www-conf.kek.jp/dis06/ transparencies/WG3/ew-south.pdf>; E. Sauvan, *Talk at DIS2006, Tsukuba*, <http://www-conf.kek.jp/dis06/ transparencies/summary/ew/pl-sauvan.pdf>.
- [7] J. Kalinowski, R. Rückl, H. Spiesberger and P.M. Zerwas, DESY Internal Note [unpublished], 1997.
- [8] C. Diaconu, J. Kalinowski, T. Matsushita, H. Spiesberger and D. S. Waters, *Proceedings, 3rd UK Workshop on HERA Physics, Durham*, J. Phys. G **25** (1999) 1412 [hep-ph/9901335].
- [9] J. H. Jang, J. K. Kim and J. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 7296 [hep-ph/9701283]; H. K. Dreiner, G. Polesello and M. Thormeier, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 115006 [hep-ph/0112228]; R. Van Kooten, *Proceedings of 4th Flavor Physics and CP Violation Conference (FPCP 2006), Vancouver BC*, arXiv:hep-ex/0606005.

- [10] L. Bellagamba, *Stop production in R-parity violating supersymmetry at Tevatron*, arXiv:hep-ex/0611012.
- [11] S. F. King, S. Moretti and R. Nevzorov, Phys. Lett. B **634** (2006) 278 [hep-ph/0511256].
- [12] S. Y. Choi, H. E. Haber, J. Kalinowski and P. M. Zerwas, *The neutralino sector in the  $U(1)$ -extended supersymmetric standard model*, arXiv:hep-ph/0612218.
- [13] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling and R. S. Thorne, *MRST partons generated in a fixed-flavor scheme*, arXiv:hep-ph/0603143.