Future collider perspectives on Higgs sector *CP* violation

Arun Atwal,[1] Jessica Burridge,[2,*] António Jacques Costa,[2,†] Christoph Englert,[2,‡] Sinead Farrington,[3,4,§] Jay Nesbitt,[5,‖] Leonor Santos Pereira Trigo,[6,¶] Andrew Pilkington,[2,**] Aidan Robson,[5,††] Júlia Cardoso Silva,[3,‡‡] Sarah Williams,[1,§§] and Yuyang Zhang[5,‖‖]

[1]*Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0US, United Kingdom*
[2]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom*
[3]*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom*
[4]*Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, Didcot, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom*
[5]*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, United Kingdom*
[6]*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany*

(Received 30 November 2025; accepted 11 May 2026; published 11 June 2026)

The search for new sources of *CP* violation is a cornerstone of the beyond the Standard Model (BSM) phenomenology program at the LHC and beyond. We provide a comprehensive analysis of such searches at a range of future facilities with the aim of informing the currently unfolding future collider roadmap. Focusing on new sources of *CP* violation specifically in the gauge-Higgs sector, we demonstrate the outstanding potential held by future electron-positron and proton-proton colliders to reveal and identify BSM physics with direct relevance for the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry. In particular, the future colliders will provide an order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity to anomalous *CP*-violating interactions induced by dimension-six effective field theory operators when compared to the high-luminosity LHC program.

DOI: 10.1103/hvrk-d82n

I. INTRODUCTION

There is compelling experimental evidence indicating that the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is incomplete. Beyond several theoretical fine-tuning issues, the SM fails to account for the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in the visible Universe, providing strong motivation for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). This shortcoming is formally framed by the Sakharov criteria [1], which, from an SM perspective, necessitate additional sources of *CP* violation and BSM out-of-equilibrium dynamics.

The absence of direct evidence for BSM physics in current searches deepens the puzzle of the SM's incompleteness. A contemporary way to navigate this impasse is through effective field theory [2] (EFT), which interprets the lack of direct new physics signals as indicative of a mass gap between the energy scales currently accessible at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the intrinsic scale of new physics. Within this framework, new physics can be probed agnostically by identifying deviations from SM interactions when the high-energy behavior of SM fields and symmetries is experimentally scrutinized.

At the leading order in the expansion of the generic new physics away from the Standard Model relevant for collider physics, such modifications are given by dimension-six operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ [3],

*Contact author: jessica.burridge@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk
†Contact author: antonio.mendesjacquesdacosta@manchester.ac.uk
‡Contact author: christoph.englert@manchester.ac.uk
§Contact author: sinead.farrington@ed.ac.uk
‖Contact author: jay.nesbitt@glasgow.ac.uk
¶Contact author: leonor.santos@desy.de
**Contact author: andrew.pilkington@manchester.ac.uk
††Contact author: aidan.robson@glasgow.ac.uk
‡‡Contact author: jcardoso@ed.ac.uk
§§Contact author: sarahw@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk
‖‖Contact author: y.zhang.15@research.gla.ac.uk

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm BSM} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_i \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2}\mathcal{O}_i, \tag{1.1}$$

where the Wilson coefficient c_i parametrizes the strength of the new physics interaction at the new physics scale Λ. In this parametrization, CP violation in the weak bosonic gauge-Higgs sector takes a comparably compact form; relevant interactions are given by[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{B}} &= \Phi^\dagger\Phi B^{\mu\nu}\Phi\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu},\\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}} &= \Phi^\dagger\Phi W^{i\mu\nu}\tilde{W}^i_{\mu\nu},\\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B} &= \Phi^\dagger\sigma^i\tilde{W}^{i\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu},\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where Φ is the Higgs doublet and the $\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta}X^{\rho\delta}/2$ is the dual field strength tensor for the $X = B, W$ hypercharge and weak gauge fields, respectively. The σ^i are the Pauli matrices.

Considering only these dimension-six operators,[2] any scattering amplitude can be expanded as a sum of SM and dimension-six parts, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{M}_{\rm d6}/\Lambda^2$, leading to

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_{\rm SM}|^2 + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2}{\rm Re}(\mathcal{M}^*_{\rm SM}\mathcal{M}_{\rm d6}) + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4}|\mathcal{M}_{\rm d6}|^2 \tag{1.3}$$

for differential probabilities. The interference term ${\rm Re}(\mathcal{M}^*_{\rm SM}\mathcal{M}_{\rm d6})$ is the leading correction to the SM when experimental sensitivity is high enough to establish robust constraints. For the CP-odd interactions noted above, the interference term is CP odd and thus produces asymmetries in CP-odd collider observables while integrating to zero for any CP-even observable. The "squared" dimension-six contributions, however, produce CP-even modifications to the scattering rate and produce no asymmetries. CP-odd observables targeting the interference term are therefore needed if the goal is to set genuine limits on CP-violating effects in the gauge-Higgs interactions.

The LHC is expected to increase its sensitivity to these interactions during its high-luminosity (HL) phase as they leave telltale signatures in CP-sensitive observables in relatively clean, albeit statistics-limited, final states such as $H \to ZZ^*, WW^*$, weak boson fusion (WBF) Higgs production, and weak boson pair production. In particular, the use of highly sensitive observables will qualitatively modify earlier sensitivity estimates, which have served as a baseline for informing future collider cases. In light of this progress, it is prudent to reconsider future collider cases that are now under active discussion in both the European committee for future accelerators process and the imminent update to the European Strategy for Particle Physics (ESPPU). This is the purpose of this work.

We structure this paper as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the collider cases that we consider in this study, namely, the HL-LHC, FCC-ee (future circular collider (electron positron option)), FCC-hh (future circular collider (hadron hadron option)), and a linear collider facility (LCF). We also provide a brief overview of our simulation setup for each collider. In Sec. III, we introduce the CP-sensitive observables that are capable of projecting out the interference contributions to the squared scattering amplitude. The limit-setting procedure is described in Sec. IV. Sections V–VIII contain the results of our studies, covering a variety of different Higgs boson production/decay channels at different colliders. We summarize and conclude in Sec. X.

II. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION AND COLLIDER SCENARIOS

This study considers both proton-proton collisions in the context of the HL-LHC and FCC-hh, as well as electron-positron collisions in the context of FCC-ee and LCF. The HL-LHC is expected to begin operation in the 2030s, following extensive upgrades to the accelerator and experiments. HL-LHC will deliver 3 ab^{-1} of pp collisions to the ATLAS and CMS experiments by the end of operation in 2041. The Future Circular Collider (FCC) project [13] is a proposal to explore the high-energy frontier after HL-LHC and will be actively discussed as part of the 2026 ESPPU. FCC would involve a new 91 km tunnel being built at CERN. The first stage of FCC would involve e^+e^- collisions (FCC-ee) at a range of center-of-mass energies, including at the Z pole ($\sqrt{s} = 91$ GeV), the WW threshold ($\sqrt{s} = 160$ GeV), the ZH threshold ($\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV), and a high-energy run sensitive to $t\bar{t}$ production ($\sqrt{s} = 365$ GeV). The luminosity delivered by FCC-ee at the ZH threshold is expected to be 10.8 ab^{-1}. The second stage of FCC would involve proton-proton collisions (FCC-hh) at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 84$ TeV with an integrated luminosity of 30 ab^{-1}.[3] The LCF is

[1]Assuming a vanishing weak CP phase of heavy fermions, these operators form a closed set under the dimension-six renormalization group flow [4–8]. In principle, we could further consider the gluonic $\Phi^\dagger\Phi G^{\mu\nu}\Phi\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$, which, however, does not enable a reasonable comparison between lepton and hadron machines. Phenomenological analyses on the latter for the HL-LHC and ways to disentangle it from competing top-Yukawa modifications have been presented elsewhere [9].

[2]It is further worth highlighting that a range of lepton-sector extensions have been proposed in the literature [10,11] that source these interactions dominantly to address recently observed anomalies in electroweak ATLAS data [12].

[3]The baseline energy of FCC-hh has been updated recently as part of the FCC feasibility study [14]. However, the FCC-hh studies carried out in this article predated this update and our analysis is carried out using samples at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV (corresponding to the parameters as were used for the FCC Conceptual Design Report [13]). The impact of this change in center-of-mass energy will be a slight reduction in sensitivity, as the Higgs boson production cross sections (and hence event rates) are slightly smaller at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV.

expected to deliver e^+e^- collisions at a range of center-of-mass energies, including at the Z pole ($\sqrt{s} = 91$ GeV) and the ZH threshold ($\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV), as well as high-energy runs at $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV, $\sqrt{s} = 550$ GeV, and $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV. The LCF will also use polarized beams with nominal beam polarizations at most center-of-mass energies of 80% for the electron beam and 30% for the positron beam. Each beam can also be configured to be majority left-handed or majority right-handed polarized, thus producing four possible initial-state beam polarizations. At the ZH threshold, the fraction of data collected for each $\{e^+, e^-\}$ beam polarization configuration is expected to be 45% for both the $\{+80\%, -30\%\}$ and $\{-80\%, +30\%\}$ configurations and 5% for both of the $\{+80\%, +30\%\}$ and $\{-80\%, -30\%\}$ configurations. The total luminosity at the LCF at the ZH threshold is expected to be 3 ab^{-1}.

At FCC-ee and LCF, ZH production is studied at the ZH threshold using inclusive decays of the Higgs boson and also in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel. ZH production is also considered at the pp colliders (HL-LHC and FCC-hh), along with $H \to 4l$ production and WBF Hjj production in the $H \to \tau\tau$ decay channel. Events are generated using MadGraph5_aMC@NLO [15], at leading-order accuracy in perturbative QCD. Parton shower, hadronization, and underlying event activity effects are modeled through interfacing to PYTHIA8 [16,17]. SMEFTSim 3.0 [18,19] is used to model the anomalous interactions from the EFT operators introduced in Eq. (1.2). For the processes considered, we simulate both the SM and interference terms [$\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathrm{SM}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{d6}})$], with the interference contributions produced at $c/\Lambda^2 = 1/\mathrm{TeV}^2$. Normalization factors are applied to cover missing higher-order effects. For pp collisions, cross-checks on the predicted SM signal and background yields are made with relevant LHC analyses at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

Detector effects are modeled using DELPHES v3 [20], which provides a parametrized fast simulation of a generic multipurpose detector. For pp collisions at the (HL-)LHC, the default ATLAS card of DELPHES v3 is used. The IDEA detector card provided by DELPHES v3 is used for FCC-ee, and the default FCC-hh detector card is used for pp collisions. These correspond to the same detector settings as were used for the FCC Conceptual Design Report [13]. At the LCF, the ILCgen card provided by DELPHES v3 is used.

III. *CP*-SENSITIVE OBSERVABLES

As mentioned above, the CP-odd operator structures considered in this work can be accessed through CP-odd collider observables, directly projecting out the experimental sensitivity on the associated Wilson coefficient in ways that minimize contributions from CP-even interactions. The study of (optimized) asymmetries, therefore, gives rise to an experimentally robust and theoretically appealing approach to limit or discover CP violation: dominant backgrounds will exhibit symmetric distributions and, hence, have a highly reduced impact on the sensitivity. And any observed asymmetry can be directly attributed to specific dimension-six interactions.

Depending on the specific process under consideration, a range of observables have been considered in the literature. In weak boson fusion, $pp \to Hjj$, the most prominent of such observables is the "signed" azimuthal angle between the two jets [21]

$$\Delta\phi_{jj} = \phi(j_1) - \phi(j_2) \quad \text{for } \eta(j_1) > \eta(j_2). \tag{3.1}$$

Including transverse momentum information, this observable has been improved to an "optimal observable," considered in, e.g., Refs. [22–25]. The $(C)P$ properties of this observable can be exported to a range of other processes by constructing similar signed angular observables. Examples include the leptonic equivalent of this observable [9,26,27],

$$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell} = \phi(\ell_1) - \phi(\ell_2) \quad \text{for } \eta(\ell_1) > \eta(\ell_2), \tag{3.2}$$

which is relevant for Higgs CP measurements using ZH production at both electron-positron and proton-proton colliders.

Moving to cleaner, fully reconstructible final states such as $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$, a wide variety of angular observables can be constructed [28–30] to measure and constrain coupling properties of the gauge-Higgs interactions. Specifically, CP-violating effects in $H \to 4\ell$ can be investigated using the variable $\Phi_{4\ell}$, as defined in Refs. [31,32],

$$\Phi_{4\ell} = \frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2)}{|\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2)|} \arccos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2), \tag{3.3a}$$

where the normal vectors to the decay planes are given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{q}_{11} \times \mathbf{q}_{12}}{|\mathbf{q}_{11} \times \mathbf{q}_{12}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{q}_{21} \times \mathbf{q}_{22}}{|\mathbf{q}_{21} \times \mathbf{q}_{22}|}. \tag{3.3b}$$

Here, each $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha\beta}$ represents the three-momentum of the lepton or antilepton β originating from the decay $Z_\alpha \to \ell^-\ell^+$, while $\mathbf{q}_\alpha = \mathbf{q}_{\alpha 1} + \mathbf{q}_{\alpha 2}$ denotes the total three-momentum of the corresponding Z_α. All momenta are evaluated in the Higgs boson center-of-mass frame. Notably, the variable $\Phi_{4\ell}$ corresponds to the difference in polar angles between the same-charge leptons in their respective Z-boson rest frames, provided the reference axes are aligned.

More recently, machine learning (ML) has been employed to optimize beyond traditional collider observables, significantly improving the sensitivity of CP-violation searches [33–37]. Depending on the operator structures considered, these techniques can dramatically enhance sensitivity. For instance, at the LHC, incorporating additional characteristic kinematic information into an optimized asymmetry observable has been shown to improve sensitivity by up to a factor

of 10. This is achieved (see also Ref. [35]) by categorizing the interference contribution into positively weighted and negatively weighted events depending on the sign of the differential event probability. This serves as two target groups for binary classification tasks. To further enhance the separation between interference and SM contributions, the SM prediction can be incorporated into the training process in a multiclass approach.[4] This serves the purpose of further optimizing observables when traditional kinematics such as $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ are near optimal [35], leading to reduced statistical information in the associated asymmetry. From this, *CP*-sensitive observables can be defined on an event-by-event basis using the trained models' output

$$O_{\mathrm{NN}} = P_+ - P_-, \tag{3.4}$$

where P_+ and P_- represent the probabilities that an event belongs to the positively weighted or negatively weighted category, respectively. In a binary classification setup, these probabilities satisfy $P_+ + P_- = 1$. For a multiclass model, the sum extends to the SM probability, such that $P_+ + P_- + P_{\mathrm{SM}} = 1$; P_{SM} denotes the probability of an event being classified as an SM event.[5]

For any of these differential observables, whether they relate to traditional collider observables, Eqs. (3.1)–(3.3), or are obtained from ML optimization, Eq. (3.4), it is possible to construct theoretically appealing asymmetries that will show robust performance as the dominant backgrounds and systematics are expected to be symmetric.

IV. LIMIT-SETTING PROCEDURE

To determine the sensitivity, we construct confidence intervals for the process-dependent *CP*-odd observables using a binned likelihood function

$$\mathcal{L}(\{c_i\}/\Lambda^2) = \prod_k \exp\{-\lambda_k\}\frac{\lambda_k^{n_k}}{n_k!}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here, k labels the bins, with n_k representing the expected number of events in bin k under the SM-only hypothesis. The predicted number of events, λ_k, is derived from the standard model effective theory hypothesis for a given choice of the Wilson coefficients. The likelihood function in Eq. (4.1) is converted into a confidence level using a profile-likelihood test statistic [41]. Through Wilks's theorem [42], this statistic follows a χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom, allowing us to extract the 95% confidence level. The values of λ_k and n_k in Eq. (4.1) are obtained directly from simulated samples, after applying normalization factors to convert predicted cross sections into event yields. These normalization factors are determined for each process to ensure that the SM prediction matches the expected number of SM events within the relevant fiducial regions of the corresponding analyses; details will be given for the relevant processes and colliders below.

Systematic uncertainties are not explicitly included in the likelihood function, as the constraints primarily arise from asymmetries in *CP*-odd observables. Since systematic uncertainties generally affect these distributions symmetrically, their impact is expected to be small [36].

V. *ZH* PRODUCTION AT e^+e^- COLLIDERS

The sensitivity of ZH production at electron-positron colliders to *CP*-violating HZZ interactions is studied for inclusive Higgs boson decays using samples of $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- H$. Simulated samples are produced with a version of MadGraph5 that does not account for initial-state radiation (ISR) in e^+e^- collisions. The cross section is, hence, corrected by a factor $k_{\mathrm{ISR}} = \sigma_{ZH}^{\mathrm{ISR\,on}}/\sigma_{ZH}^{\mathrm{MG}}$, where $\sigma_{ZH}^{\mathrm{MG}}$ is the MadGraph5 $e^+e^- \to ZH$ cross section and $\sigma_{ZH}^{\mathrm{ISR\,on}}$ is the $e^+e^- \to ZH$ cross section with ISR effects included [43]. The dominant backgrounds from ZZ production ($e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- Z$) and WW production ($e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$) are also simulated and normalized using k_{ISR}.

A. Inclusive higgs boson decays at FCC-ee

Events are required to satisfy selection criteria consistent with a $e^+e^- \to ZH$ final state, following the approach taken in Ref. [43]. Two same-flavor leptons are required, each with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 10$ GeV. The momentum of the dilepton system is required to satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell\ell} > 15$ and $p_{\mathrm{z}}^{\ell\ell} < 70$ GeV. The angle between the two leptons has to satisfy $\arccos(\mathbf{n}_{\ell_1}\cdot\mathbf{n}_{\ell_2}) > 100°$, where $\mathbf{n}_{\ell_i}$ is the normalized three-momentum of lepton i. The angle between the plane containing the two leptons and the z axis is required to satisfy $\arccos\left([\mathbf{n}_{\ell_1}\times\mathbf{n}_{\ell_2})\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}]\right) > 10°$. The invariant mass of the dilepton system is required to satisfy $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 15$ GeV. The mass recoiling against the dilepton system can be calculated from $m^2_{\mathrm{recoil}} = s + m^2_{\ell\ell} + 2\sqrt{s}(E_{\ell_1} + E_{\ell_2})$, where E_{ℓ_i} is the energy of lepton i and is required to be consistent with a Higgs boson candidate, i.e., $|m_{\mathrm{recoil}} - m_H| < 5$ GeV. Following this selection, 77,300 signal events are predicted for an integrated luminosity of 10.8 ab^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV. The background yields are 3470 and 26,100 for $e^+e^- \to ZZ, W^+W^-$, respectively.

[4]The scalability to many competing interactions has been demonstrated in [38] for non-*CP*-violating interactions.

[5]O_{NN} can be constructed using neural networks (NNs) or boosted decision trees. Both approaches have been investigated in this paper, with similar results obtained for each architecture. The NNs are trained using the MLPClassifier in SCIKIT HEP [39], whereas the boosted decision trees were trained using CatBoost [40]. Hyperparameter optimization is performed individually for each architecture. To mitigate biases from statistical fluctuations, a data augmentation procedure is applied during training. Each event is used twice: once with its original input variables and once with a *CP* transformation applied to these variables. For interference samples, the event weight is multiplied by -1 for *CP*-flipped events.




FIG. 1. Expected event yields for $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production at FCC-ee as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ in (a) the electron decay channel of the Z boson and (b) the muon decay channel of the Z boson. (c) Expected event yield as a function of the ML-based observable ($O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$) in the electron channel. (d) Expected event yields as a function of $m_{\ell\ell}$ and $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$, for the interference contributions induced by the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operators in the electron channel.

Two types of *CP*-odd observables are found to be sensitive to *CP* violation in the *HZZ* coupling. The first is the signed azimuthal angle between the two leptons that originate from the Z-boson decay, $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$. Second, there are the aforementioned ML-based observables constructed using either binary classifiers or multiclass models trained on the relevant interference samples. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the expected event yields as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ in the muon and electron channels, respectively, for both the SM prediction and the interference contributions produced by each dimension-six operator. There are clear differences in the shapes of the interference spectra in the two decay channels. Figure 1(c) shows the $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$ observables for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operator in the electron channel, which demonstrates much improved sensitivity compared to $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ alone. An investigation into the origin of this sensitivity using permutation feature importance techniques exposes a sign flip in the interference contribution for dilepton invariant masses around the m_Z pole. This is shown in Fig. 1(d). The sign flip in the interference around the m_Z pole is only observed in the electron channel and not in the muon channel, which implies that the effect arises from vector-boson-fusion contributions to the scattering amplitude.

The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are presented in Table I.

TABLE I. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients using $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production at FCC-ee. Constraints are shown separately for the electron channel, the muon channel, and their combination. The $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ observables are constructed using a binary classifier. The $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$ observables are constructed using a multiclass model that discriminates between constructive interference, destructive interference, and the SM.

	95% confidence intervals (TeV^{-2})		
Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$
$\Delta\phi_{ee}$	$[-0.25, 0.25]$	$[-0.36, 0.36]$	$[-0.57, 0.57]$
$\Delta\phi_{\mu\mu}$	$[-0.24, 0.24]$	$[-0.89, 0.89]$	$[-0.47, 0.47]$
$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$	$[-0.17, 0.17]$	$[-0.33, 0.33]$	$[-0.36, 0.36]$
$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$	$[-0.13, 0.13]$	$[-0.08, 0.08]$	$[-0.12, 0.12]$
$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$	$[-0.13, 0.13]$	$[-0.07, 0.07]$	$[-0.12, 0.12]$

The profile likelihood is performed after splitting the events into the electron channel and the muon channel. In the case of the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$ and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients, the constraints are improved by a factor of 4–5 when using a fit to the O_{NN} distribution. The improvements in sensitivity when using O_{NN} are driven by the electron channel, which exploits the sign flip in the interference pattern about the m_Z pole. The multiclass models do not add noticeable sensitivity compared to the binary classifiers; this is due to the limited kinematic range when running at the ZH threshold at electron-positron colliders.

B. Extension to the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel

The analysis presented in Sec. V A can be extended to the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel by selecting the subset of events that have at least two b-tagged jets with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV. Dedicated samples of inclusive $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ events are produced, which contain both the signal (ZH) and background (ZZ) processes. Following this selection, 12,900 $\ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ events are predicted for an integrated luminosity of 10.8 ab^{-1} at 240 GeV.[6]

[6]The event yields obtained after requiring two b jets have been studied for both the purpose-generated $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ sample and the combined $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- H$ and $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- Z$ samples used in Sec. V A. For the SM, the event yields are nearly 20% larger when using the $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ sample, across all distributions. For the interference samples, the effect is larger and the amplitude of the interference spectrum as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ is increased by nearly a factor of 2. These effects arise from interferences between signal diagrams (containing a Higgs boson) and background diagrams (no Higgs boson). We note that (i) such large interference effects between Higgs and non-Higgs processes have been largely overlooked in previous electron-proton collider studies and (ii) that the constraints achieved in Sec. V A are likely conservative.

TABLE II. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients at the FCC-ee, obtained using $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production and in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel. Constraints are shown separately for the electron channel, the muon channel, and their combination. The $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ observables are constructed using a binary classifier.

	95% confidence intervals (TeV^{-2})		
Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$
$\Delta\phi_{ee}$	$[-0.35, 0.35]$	$[-0.31, 0.31]$	$[-0.67, 0.67]$
$\Delta\phi_{\mu\mu}$	$[-0.46, 0.46]$	$[-2.2, 2.2]$	$[-1.0, 1.0]$
$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$	$[-0.28, 0.28]$	$[-0.31, 0.31]$	$[-0.56, 0.56]$
$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$	$[-0.23, 0.23]$	$[-0.08, 0.08]$	$[-0.14, 0.14]$

Observables constructed from the b jets are found to be insensitive to the CP-violating effects in the HZZ coupling, whereas the interference structures in the $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ distribution are found to be similar to those observed for inclusive Higgs boson decays. For the ML-based approach, a detailed investigation of the binary classifier showed that the performance is almost entirely driven by the information in the $Z \to \ell^+\ell^-$ decay.[7]

The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are presented in Table II. The results follow the same pattern as for inclusive Higgs boson decays, with ML-based observables outperforming simple angular observables. In the muon channel, the sensitivity in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ channel is approximately a factor of 2 worse than that obtained for inclusive Higgs decays. This can be understood from the reduced event yields caused by the Higgs branching ratio, the inefficiency of reconstructing two b jets, and the selection inefficiency when requiring two jets with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV. In the electron channel, however, the sensitivity in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ channel is much closer to that obtained for inclusive Higgs boson decays. This feature has been studied in detail and arises from a different selection efficiency when requiring two b jets for SM events and interference events. In particular, the differences in the selection efficiency between the SM and interference samples are topology dependent and the ratio of interference-to-SM increases for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operators in the region $|\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}| \leq 1.5$ in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ analysis, as shown in Fig. 2.

C. Impact of beam polarization at the LCF

In this section, the FCC-ee analysis presented in Sec. V A is modified to account for beam polarization and lower luminosity at the LCF. Dedicated signal and background

[7]The observation that the Higgs boson decay products carry no relevant information on CP-violating effects in the HZZ coupling was cross-checked in the $H \to \tau\tau$ channel. Similar results were obtained.




FIG. 2. Expected event yields for $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel at FCC-ee. Distributions are shown as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ in (a) the electron decay channel of the Z boson and (b) the muon decay channel of the Z boson.

samples are produced at $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV for each of the four initial-state polarization configurations (discussed in Sec. II), which are then summed to produce the expected mix of polarizations expected at the LCF. The same event selection criteria are applied as in Sec. V A. Following this selection, 25,300 signal events are predicted for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV. The corresponding background yields are 1110 and 7960 for $e^+e^- \to ZZ$ and $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the expected event yields as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ in the electron channel, for each of the initial-state beam polarization configurations. The interference structure induced by each operator is heavily dependent on the beam polarization. For example, the interference induced by the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{B}}$ operator flips sign between the $\{+80\%, -30\%\}$ and $\{-80\%, +30\%\}$ configurations. Furthermore, with respect to the SM, the magnitude of the interference contribution induced by this operator is much larger for the $\{+80\%, +30\%\}$ and $\{-80\%, -30\%\}$ configurations than for the $\{+80\%, -30\%\}$ and $\{-80\%, +30\%\}$ configurations. To exploit the sensitivity to different beam polarizations when constructing the ML-based observables, dedicated classifiers are trained for each initial-state polarization configuration, and the O_{NN} distributions are then combined in the likelihood when setting limits on the Wilson coefficients.

The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are presented in Table III. The results are shown for both polarized and unpolarized beams. The beam polarization has a significant effect, improving the limits by a factor of 1.2–1.8 compared to the unpolarized case, depending on the distribution used in the limit setting. The improvement in sensitivity when exploiting beam polarization at the LCF partially mitigates the impact of smaller event yields caused by the lower luminosity at the LCF (compared to FCC-ee).

VI. *ZH* PRODUCTION AT *pp* COLLIDERS

The sensitivity of ZH production at pp colliders is studied in the dominant $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel. An inclusive sample of $pp \to \ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ events is generated at order α^4_{EW}, which includes contributions from both signal (ZH production) and diboson backgrounds (ZZ production). The cross section for the SM and interference samples is then scaled by a factor $\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}_{ZH}/\sigma^{\mathrm{MG}}_{ZH}$, where $\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}_{ZH}$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{MG}}_{ZH}$ are the cross sections for ZH production calculated by the LHC Higgs cross section working group [44,45] and by MadGraph5, respectively. The SM sample is then scaled by an additional factor to account for missing loop-induced $gg \to ZH$ contributions [45]. Finally, an additional sample of $\ell^+\ell^- b\bar{b}$ events is generated at order $\alpha^2_{\mathrm{EW}}\alpha^2_{\mathrm{QCD}}$ to account for the dominant $Z+$jets background.

Events are required to satisfy selection criteria consistent with a recent ATLAS analysis of ZH production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel [46]. Two same-flavor leptons are required, each with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 7$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$ (electrons) or $|\eta| < 2.7$ (muons). The dilepton system is required to satisfy $p^{\ell\ell}_{\mathrm{T}} > 150$ and $81 < m_{\ell\ell} < 101$ GeV. Jets are reconstructed and required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV ($|\eta| < 2.5$) or $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 35$ GeV ($2.5 < |\eta| < 4.5$). Exactly two b-tagged jets are required, and at least one of these is required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 45$ GeV. The invariant mass of the




FIG. 3. Expected event yields for $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production at the LCF with inclusive Higgs boson decays and in the electron decay channel of the Z boson. Distributions are shown as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ for different initial-state beam polarizations, namely, (a) $\{e^-_{\rm pol}, e^+_{\rm pol}\} = \{+80\%, -30\%\}$, (b) $\{e^-_{\rm pol}, e^+_{\rm pol}\} = \{-80\%, +30\%\}$, (c) $\{e^-_{\rm pol}, e^+_{\rm pol}\} = \{+80\%, +30\%\}$, and (d) $\{e^-_{\rm pol}, e^+_{\rm pol}\} = \{-80\%, -30\%\}$.

b-jet pair is required to satisfy $110 < m_{b\bar{b}} < 150$ GeV.[8] Following this event selection, the signal yield for $ZH + ZZ$ production is predicted to be 133 events at LHC and 207,000 at FCC-hh. The background from $Z +$ jets is estimated to be 3300 at LHC and 13.9×10^6 at FCC-hh.

The sensitivity to CP violation in the HZZ coupling is investigated using the signed azimuthal angle between the two leptons, $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$, as well as observables constructed from ML classifiers. Figure 4 shows the expected event yields at FCC-hh as a function of (a) $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ and (b) the $O^{\rm binary}_{\rm NN}$ observable obtained after training a binary classifier on the interference sample from the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator. The use of a binary classifier slightly improves the separation of the SM and interference contributions when compared to $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$

[8]The selection criteria are validated by comparing the measured event yields in the phase space of the relevant ATLAS analysis [46], which are the same criteria as used in this article except for (i) omitting the $m_{b\bar{b}}$ cut and (ii) adding cuts on the ΔR between the two b jets. Good agreement is observed for the $ZH + ZZ$ signal sample, whereas the background from $Z +$ jets is underestimated by a factor of 1.3. Note that the background sample is only accurate to leading order in perturbative QCD, and the agreement in yields would likely be improved if the cross section were corrected for missing higher-order effects. The $Z +$ jets background sample is therefore scaled by the factor of 1.3 in the studies at all colliders, in line with the expected QCD corrections [47].

TABLE III. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients using $e^+e^- \to ZH$ production at the LCF with an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1}. Results are shown for the nominal beam polarization at the LCF. The effect of using unpolarized beams (labeled "unpol") with the same integrated luminosity is also shown for comparison. The $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ observables are constructed using a binary classifier.

	95% confidence intervals (TeV^{-2})		
Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$
$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ (pol)	$[-0.28, 0.28]$	$[-0.35, 0.35]$	$[-0.38, 0.38]$
$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$ (unpol)	$[-0.33, 0.33]$	$[-0.63, 0.63]$	$[-0.69, 0.69]$
$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ (pol)	$[-0.21, 0.21]$	$[-0.11, 0.11]$	$[-0.19, 0.19]$
$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ (unpol)	$[-0.25, 0.25]$	$[-0.14, 0.14]$	$[-0.23, 0.23]$

alone. The use of a multiclass network was investigated, but no real improvement in sensitivity was observed due to (i) the lack of statistics in the Monte Carlo samples and (ii) the fact that the jets merge into a single object at high Higgs boson transverse momentum. Further studies using large-R jets and jet substructure techniques would likely improve sensitivity in this channel further.

The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are presented in Table IV at LHC, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh. The use of a binary classifier improves the constraints by approximately 20%–30% compared to the use of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$. As expected, FCC-hh performs much better than HL-LHC for measurements in the ZH production channel. Interestingly, for this production and decay channel, the sensitivity to CP-violating HZZ interactions at FCC-hh is comparable to that obtained at FCC-ee for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator. However, FCC-hh is much less sensitive than FCC-ee for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operators.

TABLE IV. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$, and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients obtained from studies of ZH production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel at LHC, HL-LHC and FCC-hh. The CP-sensitive observables include the signed azimuthal angle between the two leptons from the Z-boson decay, as well as the optimized observables defined using a multiclass NN.

		95% confidence intervals (TeV^{-2})		
Collider	Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$
LHC	$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$	$[-5.5, 6.0]$	$[-50, 50]$	$[-15, 14]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$	$[-3.8, 3.8]$	$[-36, 36]$	$[-9.7, 9.7]$
HL-LHC	$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$	$[-1.2, 1.2]$	$[-15, 15]$	$[-4.3, 2.2]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$	$[-0.83, 0.83]$	$[-7.7, 7.7]$	$[-2.1, 2.1]$
FCC-hh	$\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$	$[-0.31, 0.31]$	$[-2.6, 2.6]$	$[-0.82, 0.82]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$	$[-0.22, 0.22]$	$[-2.2, 2.2]$	$[-0.56, 0.56]$

VII. $H \to 4\ell$ AT pp COLLIDERS

The sensitivity to CP-violating interactions in $H \to 4\ell$ decays is studied using MadGraph5 samples of $gg \to H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$. The cross section of this sample is only valid to leading order in QCD and is therefore scaled by a factor $\sigma_{gg\to H}^{\mathrm{N^3LO}}/\sigma_{gg\to H}^{\mathrm{MG}}$, where $\sigma_{gg\to H}^{\mathrm{N^3LO}}$ and $\sigma_{gg\to H}^{\mathrm{MG}}$ are the cross sections for gluon fusion production calculated by the LHC Higgs cross section working group [44] and by MadGraph5, respectively. Furthermore, the $H \to 4\ell$ branching ratio is rescaled to include relevant corrections [48]. Concretely, the MadGraph5 sample cross section is further scaled by a factor $\Gamma_{H\to 4\ell}^{\mathrm{best}}/\Gamma_{H\to 4\ell}^{\mathrm{MG}}$, where $\Gamma_{H\to 4\ell}^{\mathrm{best}}$ and $\Gamma_{H\to 4\ell}^{\mathrm{MG}}$




FIG. 4. (a) Expected event yields for ZH production at FCC-hh in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$. (b) Expected event yield as a function of the $O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{binary}}$ observable using a network trained on the interference contribution induced by the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator.




FIG. 5. (a) The expected event yield for $H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ at FCC-hh as a function of $\Phi_{4\ell}$, for both SM events and the interference contributions predicted for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operators. (b) The expected yield of the interference contribution predicted by the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}$ operator as a function of $\Phi_{4\ell}$ and m_{12}, where m_{12} is the invariant mass of the SFOS pair that is closest to m_Z.

are the partial widths estimated by the LHC Higgs cross section working group and by MadGraph5, respectively. Backgrounds are estimated by producing an inclusive $pp \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ sample, with all SM contributions from $H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$, $Z \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$, and $ZZ^* \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ included. The background prediction is then estimated per bin of a distribution using this sample, but after subtracting the predicted event yields from the $gg \to H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ sample.

Events are required to pass the selection criteria used by ATLAS for measurements of $H \to 4\ell$ differential cross sections [49]. Electrons are required to have $p_T > 7$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$, whereas muons are required to have $p_T > 5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.7$. The leptons are then ordered in transverse momentum, and the three leading leptons are required to have $p_T > 20$, 15, 10 GeV, respectively. The leptons are then arranged into same-flavor-opposite-sign (SFOS) pairs, and each pair is required to have $\Delta R > 0.1$. The SFOS pair with invariant mass closest to the Z-boson mass is required to have $50 < m_{\ell\ell} < 106$ GeV. The other SFOS pair is required to have $m_{\min} < m_{\ell\ell} < 115$ GeV, where $m_{\min}$ is a threshold ranging from 12 to 50 GeV [49]. Finally, the invariant mass of the four-lepton system is required to be $120 < m_{4\ell} < 130$ GeV.

After applying the selection criteria, 79 SM $H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ events are predicted for the LHC Run-II dataset. This is similar to the predicted yield obtained in the ATLAS analysis [49]; the remaining differences can be attributed to the simple detector efficiency and resolution parametrizations used in DELPHES. The SM Higgs boson event yields predicted at HL-LHC and FCC-hh are 1700 and 364,000, respectively. The background predictions are scaled by a constant normalization factor in order to reproduce the measured background yields in the ATLAS analysis and account for missing higher-order effects in the QCD calculation. The final predicted background yields are 50, 1070, and 89,000 at LHC Run-II, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the expected event yield for $H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ at FCC-hh as a function of (a) $\Phi_{4\ell}$ and (b) as a function of both $\Phi_{4\ell}$ and m_{12}, where m_{12} is the invariant mass of the SFOS pair that is closest to the Z pole. The features of the distributions are broadly similar to those observed in previous LHC-based studies [35], specifically that the observed asymmetry in $\Phi_{4\ell}$ flips in sign when comparing on-shell and off-shell Z bosons.

The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$ and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are presented in Table V

TABLE V. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$ and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients obtained using $H \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ production at the LHC, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh. $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ is predominantly constrained using WBF discussed in Sec. VIII.

		95% confidence intervals (TeV^{-2})	
Collider	Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$
LHC	$\Phi_{4\ell}$	$[-1.4, 1.4]$	$[-5.5, 5.5]$
	$\Phi_{4\ell}$ vs m_{12}	$[-0.83, 0.84]$	$[-1.7, 1.7]$
HL-LHC	$\Phi_{4\ell}$	$[-0.29, 0.29]$	$[-1.2, 1.2]$
	$\Phi_{4\ell}$ vs m_{12}	$[-0.14, 0.14]$	$[-0.27, 0.27]$
FCC-hh	$\Phi_{4\ell}$	$[-0.017, 0.017]$	$[-0.077, 0.077]$
	$\Phi_{4\ell}$ vs m_{12}	$[-0.007, 0.007]$	$[-0.015, 0.015]$

at LHC Run-II, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh. The expected sensitivities at LHC are in good agreement with previous studies [35]. The much larger event yields at HL-LHC and FCC-hh allow a finer binning of the $\Phi_{4\ell}$ and m_{12} phase space in the likelihood fit, which, in turn, improves the constraints on the Wilson coefficients by more than naively expected from just cross section and luminosity considerations. The constraints on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$ and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients at FCC-hh are a factor of 20 (150) better than those obtained at HL-LHC (LHC) for this process. When compared to the constraints obtained for ZH production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel at FCC-ee (Sec. V), the constraints on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{B}}/\Lambda^2$ and $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}B}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficients are improved by approximately an order of magnitude.

VIII. *Hjj* PRODUCTION AT *pp* COLLIDERS

Weak boson fusion production of the Higgs boson has been shown to provide the most stringent constraints on the CP-odd operator $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ [50]. As such, the sensitivity to this particular operator at pp colliders was further studied in Hjj production. Decays of the Higgs boson into two τ leptons ($H \to \tau^+\tau^-$) are targeted [51]. A sample of $q\bar{q} \to \tau^+\tau^- q\bar{q}$ is generated at leading order using MadGraph5, for both WBF and VH events. The invariant mass of the final-state leptons (m_{ll}) of the generated events is required to be within [123, 127] GeV, in order to ensure that a very large fraction of $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ events is produced. The cross section of the generated sample is scaled by a factor of $\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}_{\mathrm{WBF}}/\sigma^{\mathrm{MG}}_{\mathrm{WBF}}$, where $\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}_{\mathrm{WBF}}$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{MG}}_{\mathrm{WBF}}$ are the WBF cross section calculated by the LHC Higgs working group [44,52] and MadGraph5, respectively. An additional $q\bar{q} \to \tau^+\tau^- q\bar{q}$ sample is generated at leading order with a requirement of $78 < m_{ll} < 103$ GeV, in order to estimate the dominant Zjj background.

Events are selected following the criteria used by ATLAS for measurements of WBF Higgs boson production in the $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel [53]. Three final states are targeted: two different flavor leptonically decaying τ leptons ($\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}$); one hadronically and one leptonically decaying τ lepton ($\tau_{\mathrm{had}}\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}$); and two hadronically decaying τ leptons ($\tau_{\mathrm{had}}\tau_{\mathrm{had}}$). Electrons are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$, excluding the ATLAS detector transition region of $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$. Muons are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. τ_{had} candidates are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$, vetoing the transition region. Jets are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ and to not be tagged as τ leptons. The impact of ATLAS triggers is emulated by requiring that events have either at least one electron with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 27$ GeV, one muon with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 27.3$ GeV, one electron with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 18$ GeV and one muon with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 14.7$ GeV, or two τ_{had} with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 40$ and $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 30$ GeV, respectively.

A dedicated event selection is applied depending on the analysis channel considered. In the $\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}$ channel, events are required to have one electron and one muon with an invariant mass of $30 < m_{e\mu} < 100$ GeV. In the $\tau_{\mathrm{lep}}\tau_{\mathrm{had}}$ channel, events are considered if they have one τ_{had} and either one electron or one muon. The transverse mass of the lepton and missing transverse momentum system (m_{T}) is required to be larger than 70 GeV. Events are selected in the $\tau_{\mathrm{had}}\tau_{\mathrm{had}}$ channel requiring exactly two τ_{had} candidates. In all decay channels, the two final-state objects are required to have opposite charge and angular requirements are placed on $\Delta R_{\tau\tau}$ and $|\Delta\eta_{\tau\tau}|$ and the p_T of the leading jet in the event. The invariant mass of the di-τ system calculated using the collinear approximation is required to satisfy $m^{\mathrm{coll}}_{\tau\tau} > 110$ GeV. Furthermore, selection requirements are applied on the missing transverse momentum ($E^{\mathrm{miss}}_{\mathrm{T}}$) and on the fraction of $p_{\mathrm{T}}(\tau)$ carried by the visible decay products.

Events are required to have at least two jets and are vetoed if they have one b-tagged jet passing the aforementioned jet object selections. The invariant mass of the two leading jets in the event must be greater than 350 GeV, and the absolute pseudorapidity difference between them must be greater than 3. Furthermore, the two jets must be in opposite hemispheres of the detector, and the τ lepton decay products must be contained in the rapidity interval between those two jets. Finally, the subleading jet must satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 30$ GeV. Following application of the selection requirements described, the SM prediction for the number of $H(\to \tau\tau)jj$ events produced is 212, 4580, and 862,000 at LHC Run-II, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh, respectively. The predicted background yields are 1680, 36,200, and 16×10^6 at the LHC, HL-LHC, and the FCC-hh, respectively.[9]

Figure 6 shows the expected event yields for $H(\to \tau\tau)jj$ at FCC-hh as a function of (a) $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ and (b) as a function of the CP-odd observable produced by a multiclass NN. The NN was trained on jet kinematics using both the interference event sample and the SM Hjj event sample. It was verified that the inclusion of kinematic information from the di-τ system provides no significant improvement to the NN performance. As expected, for both CP-odd

[9]The SM yields are validated against the relevant ATLAS publication [53]. This analysis uses the same selection criteria used in this study, with the exception of the requirement of $m^{\mathrm{coll}}_{\tau\tau} > 110$ GeV. Instead, a requirement of $m^{\mathrm{coll}}_{\tau\tau} > (m_Z - 25)$ GeV, where m_Z is the mass of the Z boson [54], is only applied in the lepton-lepton channel. The validation is done against the sum of yields from regions WBF_0 and WBF_1 described in Ref. [53], which are defined through the application of a boosted decision tree tagger. The Hjj predictions obtained in this study are very similar to the yields reported by ATLAS. The Zjj prediction is scaled by a factor $N_{\mathrm{total}}/N_{Zjj}$, in which N_{total} is the total number of background events reported in Ref. [53]. A different scaling factor is derived for each final state considered in the analysis. This scaling factor is applied to the Zjj background sample in the studies at all colliders.




FIG. 6. (a) The expected event yields for $H(\to \tau\tau)jj$ at FCC-hh as a function of $\Delta\phi_{jj}$, for both SM events and the interference contributions predicted for the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator. (b) The same expected yields as a function of the CP-odd observable produced by a multiclass NN.

observables, the interference contribution is asymmetric around $\Delta\phi_{jj} = 0$ and integrates to 0, whereas the pure-SM distribution is symmetric.

WBF is predominantly sensitive to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ in contrast to $H \to ZZ$ discussed in the previous section, and we focus on this interaction in the following. The 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficient for the different pp colliders in study are presented in Table VI, using either $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ or O_{NN} for the statistical analysis. The expected sensitivities at the LHC are similar to recent constraints by ATLAS on $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$, set using the same signal process [55]. They are also in good agreement with previous studies, which investigated the use of the same NN-based observables [35]. The expected sensitivity to the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator at FCC-hh is improved by a factor of 20 (100) compared to HL-LHC (LHC). Furthermore, this channel provides the best overall sensitivity to the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Phi\tilde{W}}$ operator, when compared to the constraints obtained for other production and decay channels considered at FCC-hh (Secs. VI and VII). When compared to the constraints obtained for ZH production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel at FCC-ee (Sec. V), the constraints on $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$ are improved by an order of magnitude.

TABLE VI. 95% confidence intervals on the $c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$ Wilson coefficient, obtained from analysis of WBF Higgs production in the $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel at the LHC, HL-LHC, and FCC-hh.

Collider	Observable	$c_{\Phi\tilde{W}}/\Lambda^2$ (TeV^{-2})
LHC	$\Delta\phi_{jj}$	$[-0.89, 0.89]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$	$[-0.74, 0.73]$
HL-LHC	$\Delta\phi_{jj}$	$[-0.19, 0.19]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$	$[-0.16, 0.16]$
FCC-hh	$\Delta\phi_{jj}$	$[-0.016, 0.016]$
	$O_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{multi}}$	$[-0.007, 0.007]$

IX. DISCUSSION

The results presented in Secs. V–VIII demonstrate two clear features. First, the constraints on CP-violating HZZ interactions will be improved at all future colliders with respect to the HL-LHC alone. Second, the constraints obtained at a future hadron collider operating at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV will be much tighter than those obtained at a future electron-positron collider operating at $\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV; this implies that the FCC-hh is better suited to studying CP-violation in the Higgs sector. In this section, we consider various limitations that are present in our studies to demonstrate that the main conclusions of the paper are robust.

In our analysis, constraints are placed on Wilson coefficients assuming that a single operator describes any new physics effects that are present in the data. A more realistic and model-independent assumption would be to allow all three operators to be simultaneously constrained in the fit. The impact of this approach would be to weaken the constraints and induce blind directions in the parameter space, due to the cancellation of asymmetries induced by different operators. These blind directions can, however, be limited by performing a global fit across all available CP-sensitive measurements [56], because each measurement has different sensitivity to each operator. In a global fit approach, all new measurements from a future collider would be combined with all previous measurements at HL-LHC.

The operators that induce CP asymmetries in HZZ interactions also affect other final states, and measurements of these final states would provide additional sensitivity in a global fit. Measurements of WH production [57–59], inclusive $W\gamma$ production [60], and vector boson fusion (VBF) Z production [12] at hadron colliders would add substantial extra sensitivity. This also extends to dimension-six operators not considered in this work, e.g., Refs. [11,61]. Likewise, measurements of $H\ell^+\ell^-$ production at additional center-of-mass energies at a lepton collider could help untangle the contributions from ZH production and VBF Higgs production. Furthermore, it is important to recognize that the operators that induce CP asymmetries in HZZ interactions also affect noncollider experiments, and CP violation has been formidably constrained by the measurement of anomalous electric dipole moments (EDMs). The EDM constraints, however, are also susceptible to blind directions in the parameter space and are particularly affected when CP violation across the three fermion families is considered [62]. Global fits to collider and EDM measurements will mitigate this issue and additional flavor-specific insight can be gained from collider-based analyses of top quark interactions [9,63].

At hadron colliders, the validity of the EFT expansion is a valid concern because the large center-of-mass energy provides access to momentum scales at (or above) the new physics scale Λ. Such considerations have limited impact on the results presented in this paper. First, the $H \to 4\ell$ measurements are performed at the Higgs pole and therefore largely unaffected. Second, for ZH production, questions over EFT validity would only arise if the Z and H have high momentum. However, this coincides with the "boosted" limit in which the Higgs boson decay products are merged into a single large-radius jet; our results are obtained for resolved jets at lower transverse momentum. Finally, for VBF Higgs production, we assessed the EFT validity directly by rederiving constraints on Wilson coefficients after removing EFT contributions that are above a given momentum scale. The constraints on the Wilson coefficients were unchanged if the jet momenta were restricted to $p_{\mathrm{T}} < 500$ GeV and weakened only by a factor of 1.4 if the dijet invariant mass was restricted to $m_{jj} < 2$ TeV.

Finally, our analysis is limited to tree-level sensitivity explorations. It is, however, worthwhile mentioning that the expected sensitivity at e^+e^- colliders will enable a detailed exploration of radiative imprints of CP violation. For instance, interference with the imaginary part of the one-loop amplitude with the CP-violating operators will then manifest itself in asymmetries of CP-even observables, e.g., in the Z boson's transverse momentum [64,65].[10] Formally, these effects are suppressed by a loop order compared to the tree-level analysis presented in this work. The high precision that can be obtained, particularly at an FCC-ee, however, opens up this direction as a complementary way for studying CP violation, which requires careful disentangling from other competing effects. In the SM, the only source of CP violation is the phase of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix, which has a small relevance compared to residual theoretical uncertainties (in practical high-energy calculations at proton machines, the quark mixing is assumed to be absent to a very good approximation [66]). The Higgs production $e^+e^- \to ZH$ process in the SM does not have a dependence on the CKM matrix at next-to-leading order, as only leptonic charged currents are probed in this process. At hadron colliders, the dominant uncertainty arises from QCD corrections. As QCD is CP conserving, the differential asymmetries considered here are robust beyond rate changes. The QCD corrections to WBF are known to be small, e.g., Ref. [67]. Regions of electroweak Sudakov enhancement [68–70] do not drive the sensitivity (see above); we therefore expect our results to be robust after rescaling to total cross sections.

[10]We thank Sally Dawson and Pier Paolo Giardino for bringing this to our attention.

X. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The absence of concrete evidence for new physics beyond the Standard Model at the energy frontier explored by the Large Hadron Collider contrasts sharply with experimentally established phenomena that the SM fails to explain. This apparent conundrum underscores the need for precision studies beyond the HL-LHC era, especially if no new physics signals emerge there. One such area is the search for additional CP violation, which, through Sakharov's criteria, can be linked to the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe as part of electroweak baryogenesis. While the HL-LHC will significantly enhance sensitivity to CP-violating effects, future experimental programs at electron-positron and proton-proton colliders have the potential to set even more ambitious benchmarks for these searches.

In this work, we present a comprehensive comparison of sensitivity to new sources of weak gauge-Higgs CP violation. Leveraging well-motivated, experimentally accessible processes and specific CP-sensitive observables, we provide a detailed outlook on the future gauge-Higgs CP profile, highlighting the capabilities of both electron-positron colliders and proton-proton colliders. As a common theme, we find improvements in sensitivity to CP-violating Higgs boson interactions at all future colliders, when compared to the HL-LHC expectations. The electron-positron colliders (FCC-ee and LCF) are *a priori* capable of providing a detailed picture of the electroweak Higgs boson interactions in a clean environment. However, the study of asymmetries in appropriately constructed CP-sensitive observables will reduce the impact of experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties.

This allows FCC-hh to fully utilize the abundance of final states produced in pp collisions, the larger event yields, and the additional kinematic information, which collectively compensate for the busier background environment. Overall, this suggests the FCC-hh is more suited to analyze the Higgs boson CP properties in great detail.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Arun Atwal for collaboration during the initial phase of this work. J. B. is funded by the Science Technology and Facilities Council (STFC) under Grant No. ST/Y509814/1. A. J. C. is supported by the Leverhulme Trust under Grant No. RPG-2020-004. The work of C. E. is supported by the Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology Associateship Scheme. S. F. is supported by the STFC under the Grant No. ST/W000482/1 and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program Grant Agreement No. 772357-Open3Gen. J. N. is funded by the Royal Society under Grant No. URF/R/241013. L. S. P. T. is funded by the DESY laboratory. A. P. is supported by the STFC under Grant No. ST/W000601/1 and by the Leverhulme Trust under Grant No. RPG-2020-004. A. R. is supported by the STFC under Grant No. ST/W000520/1. J. C. S. is supported by the STFC under Grant No. ST/X005984/1. S. L. W. is supported by the STFC under Grant No. ST/W00044X/1. Y. Z. is supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council under Grant No. 202308090795.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this article are not publicly available. The data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

[1] A. D. Sakharov, Violation of CP invariance, C asymmetry, and baryon asymmetry of the universe, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **5**, 32 (1967).
[2] S. Weinberg, Phenomenological Lagrangians, Physica (Amsterdam) **96A**, 327 (1979).
[3] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, Dimension-six terms in the standard model Lagrangian, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2010) 085.
[4] C. Grojean, E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, Renormalization group scaling of Higgs operators and $\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)$, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 016.
[5] E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, Renormalization group evolution of the standard model dimension six operators I: Formalism and lambda dependence, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2013) 087.
[6] E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, Renormalization group evolution of the standard model dimension six operators II: Yukawa dependence, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2014) 035.
[7] R. Alonso, E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, Renormalization group evolution of the standard model dimension six operators III: Gauge coupling dependence and phenomenology, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2014) 159.
[8] C. Englert and M. Spannowsky, Effective theories and measurements at colliders, Phys. Lett. B **740**, 8 (2015).
[9] C. Englert, P. Galler, A. Pilkington, and M. Spannowsky, Approaching robust EFT limits for CP-violation in the Higgs sector, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 095007 (2019).
[10] S. D. Bakshi, J. Chakrabortty, C. Englert, M. Spannowsky, and P. Stylianou, Landscaping CP-violating BSM scenarios, Nucl. Phys. **B975**, 115676 (2022).
[11] S. Das Bakshi, J. Chakrabortty, C. Englert, M. Spannowsky, and P. Stylianou, CP violation at ATLAS in effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 055008 (2021).
[12] ATLAS Collaboration, Differential cross-section measurements for the electroweak production of dijets in association with a Z boson in proton–proton collisions at ATLAS, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 163 (2021).
[13] FCC Collaboration, FCC physics opportunities: Future circular collider conceptual design report volume 1, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 474 (2019).
[14] M. Benedikt and F. Zimmermann, The FCC feasibility study, Proc. Sci. EPS-HEP2023 (**2024**) 001.
[15] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.
[16] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 852 (2008).
[17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015).
[18] I. Brivio, Y. Jiang, and M. Trott, The SMEFTSim package, theory and tools, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2017) 070.
[19] I. Brivio, SMEFTSim 3.0—A practical guide, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2021) 073.
[20] DELPHES 3 Collaboration, DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2014) 057.
[21] T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater, and D. Zeppenfeld, Determining the structure of Higgs couplings at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 051801 (2002).
[22] ATLAS Collaboration, Test of CP invariance in vector-boson fusion production of the Higgs boson using the

optimal observable method in the ditau decay channel with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 658 (2016).
[23] CMS Collaboration, Constraints on anomalous HVV couplings from the production of Higgs bosons decaying to τ lepton pairs, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 112002 (2019).
[24] ATLAS Collaboration, Test of CP invariance in vector-boson fusion production of the Higgs boson in the $H \to \tau\tau$ channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **805**, 135426 (2020).
[25] CMS Collaboration, Constraints on anomalous Higgs boson couplings to vector bosons and fermions in its production and decay using the four-lepton final state, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 052004 (2021).
[26] M. R. Buckley and D. Goncalves, Constraining the strength and CP structure of dark production at the LHC: The associated top-pair channel, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 034003 (2016).
[27] D. Gonçalves, J. H. Kim, K. Kong, and Y. Wu, Direct Higgs-top CP-phase measurement with $t\bar{t}h$ at the 14 TeV LHC and 100 TeV FCC, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2022) 158.
[28] C. P. Buszello, I. Fleck, P. Marquard, and J. J. van der Bij, Prospective analysis of spin- and CP-sensitive variables in $H \to ZZ \to {l^+}_1 {l^-}_1 {l^+}_2 {l^+}_2$ at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C **32**, 209 (2004).
[29] S. Y. Choi, D. J. Miller, M. M. Muhlleitner, and P. M. Zerwas, Identifying the Higgs spin and parity in decays to Z pairs, Phys. Lett. B **553**, 61 (2003).
[30] C. P. Buszello, P. Marquard, and J. J. van der Bij, On the determination of the structure of the scalar Higgs boson's couplings to vectorbosons, arXiv:hep-ph/0406181.
[31] S. Bolognesi, Y. Gao, A. V. Gritsan, K. Melnikov, M. Schulze, N. V. Tran, and A. Whitbeck, On the spin and parity of a single-produced resonance at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 095031 (2012).
[32] A. V. Gritsan, R. Röntsch, M. Schulze, and M. Xiao, Constraining anomalous Higgs boson couplings to the heavy flavor fermions using matrix element techniques, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 055023 (2016).
[33] J. Brehmer, F. Kling, T. Plehn, and T. M. P. Tait, Better Higgs-CP tests through information geometry, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 095017 (2018).
[34] A. V. Gritsan, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Schulze, M. Xiao, and Y. Zhou, New features in the JHU generator framework: Constraining Higgs boson properties from on-shell and off-shell production, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 056022 (2020).
[35] A. Bhardwaj, C. Englert, R. Hankache, and A. D. Pilkington, Machine-enhanced CP-asymmetries in the Higgs sector, Phys. Lett. B **832**, 137246 (2022).
[36] N. C. Hall, I. Criddle, A. Crossland, C. Englert, P. Forbes, R. Hankache, and A. D. Pilkington, Machine-enhanced CP-asymmetries in the electroweak sector, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 016008 (2023).
[37] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements and interpretations of $W^{\pm}Z$ production cross-sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2025) 006.
[38] O. Atkinson, A. Bhardwaj, S. Brown, C. Englert, D. J. Miller, and P. Stylianou, Improved constraints on effective top quark interactions using edge convolution networks, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 137.
[39] E. Rodrigues *et al.*, The SCIKIT HEP project—Overview and prospects, EPJ Web Conf. **245**, 06028 (2020).
[40] A. V. Dorogush, A. Gulin, G. Gusev, N. Kazeev, L. O. Prokhorenkova, and A. Vorobev, Fighting biases with dynamic boosting, arXiv:1706.09516.
[41] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, A unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3873 (1998).
[42] S. S. Wilks, The Large-Sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses, Ann. Math. Stat. **9**, 60 (1938).
[43] P. Azzi, C. Bernet, C. Botta, P. Janot, M. Klute, P. Lenzi *et al.*, Prospective studies for LEP3 with the CMS detector, arXiv:1208.1662.
[44] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. Deciphering the nature of the Higgs sector, CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. **2**, 1 (2017).
[45] M. Cepeda *et al.*, Report from Working Group 2: Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. **7**, 221 (2019).
[46] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of WH and ZH production in the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay channel in pp collisions at 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 178 (2021).
[47] R. Boughezal, J. M. Campbell, R. K. Ellis, C. Focke, W. T. Giele, X. Liu, and F. Petriello, Z-boson production in association with a jet at next-to-next-to-leading order in perturbative QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 152001 (2016).
[48] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 1. Inclusive observables, arXiv:1101.0593.
[49] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of the Higgs boson inclusive and differential fiducial cross sections in the 4ℓ decay channel at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 942 (2020).
[50] ATLAS Collaboration, Test of CP-invariance of the Higgs boson in vector-boson fusion production and in its decay into four leptons, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2024) 105.
[51] D. L. Rainwater, D. Zeppenfeld, and K. Hagiwara, Searching for $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ in weak boson fusion at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 014037 (1998).
[52] M. Mangano, Physics at the FCC-hh, a 100 TeV pp collider, arXiv:1710.06353.
[53] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of Higgs boson production cross-sections in the $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 175.
[54] Particle Data Group, Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 030001 (2024).
[55] ATLAS Collaboration, Differential cross-section measurements of Higgs boson production in the $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2025) 010.
[56] ATLAS Collaboration, Combination of measurements of CP properties of Higgs boson interactions with vector bosons using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, arXiv:2603.20117.
[57] F. Bishara, P. Englert, C. Grojean, M. Montull, G. Panico, and A. N. Rossia, A new precision process at FCC-hh: The

diphoton leptonic WH channel, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 075.
[58] R. Barrué, P. Conde-Muíño, V. Dao, and R. Santos, Simulation-based inference in the search for *CP* violation in leptonic WH production, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2024) 014.
[59] A. N. Rossia and E. Vryonidou, *CP*-odd effects at NLO in SMEFT WH and ZH production, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2024) 142.
[60] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement and interpretation of inclusive $W\gamma$ production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, arXiv:2603.22478.
[61] A. Biekötter, P. Gregg, F. Krauss, and M. Schönherr, Constraining *CP* violating operators in charged and neutral triple gauge couplings, Phys. Lett. B **817**, 136311 (2021).
[62] J. Brod, J. M. Cornell, D. Skodras, and E. Stamou, Global constraints on Yukawa operators in the standard model effective theory, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 294.
[63] A. Bhardwaj, C. Englert, D. Gonçalves, and A. Navarro, Nonlinear *CP* violation in the top-Higgs sector, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 115006 (2023).
[64] K. Asteriadis, S. Dawson, P. P. Giardino, and R. Szafron, Impact of next-to-leading-order weak standard-model-effective-field-theory corrections in $e^+e^- \to ZH$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **133**, 231801 (2024).
[65] K. Asteriadis, S. Dawson, P. P. Giardino, and R. Szafron, $e^+e^- \to ZH$ process in the SMEFT beyond leading order, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2025) 162.
[66] A. Denner, Techniques for calculation of electroweak radiative corrections at the one loop level and results for W physics at LEP-200, Fortschr. Phys. **41**, 307 (1993).
[67] T. Figy, C. Oleari, and D. Zeppenfeld, Next-to-leading order jet distributions for Higgs boson production via weak boson fusion, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 073005 (2003).
[68] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, and S. Dittmaier, Electroweak and QCD corrections to Higgs production via vector-boson fusion at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 013002 (2008).
[69] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, and S. Dittmaier, Strong and electroweak corrections to the production of Higgs + 2jets via weak interactions at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 161803 (2007).
[70] T. Figy, S. Palmer, and G. Weiglein, Higgs production via weak boson fusion in the standard model and the MSSM, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 105.