


Elliptic anisotropy measurement of the $f_0(980)$ hadron in proton-lead collisions and evidence for its quark-antiquark composition

Received: 18 January 2024

Accepted: 10 January 2025

Published online: 27 August 2025


 Check for updatesThe CMS Collaboration*

Despite the $f_0(980)$ hadron having been discovered half a century ago, the question about its quark content has not been settled: it might be an ordinary quark-antiquark ($q\bar{q}$) meson, a tetraquark ($q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$) exotic state, a kaon-antikaon ($K\bar{K}$) molecule, or a quark-antiquark-gluon ($q\bar{q}g$) hybrid. This paper reports strong evidence that the $f_0(980)$ state is an ordinary $q\bar{q}$ meson, inferred from the scaling of elliptic anisotropies (ν_2) with the number of constituent quarks (n_q), as empirically established using conventional hadrons in relativistic heavy ion collisions. The $f_0(980)$ state is reconstructed via its dominant decay channel $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, in proton-lead collisions recorded by the CMS experiment at the LHC, and its ν_2 is measured as a function of transverse momentum (p_T). It is found that the $n_q = 2$ ($q\bar{q}$ state) hypothesis is favored over $n_q = 4$ ($q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ or $K\bar{K}$ states) by 7.7, 6.3, or 3.1 standard deviations in the $p_T < 10, 8,$ or 6 GeV/ c ranges, respectively, and over $n_q = 3$ ($q\bar{q}g$ hybrid state) by 3.5 standard deviations in the $p_T < 8$ GeV/ c range. This result represents the first determination of the quark content of the $f_0(980)$ state, made possible by using a novel approach, and paves the way for similar studies of other exotic hadron candidates.

One of the most intriguing puzzles in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory describing the strong interaction, is the phenomenon of confinement. Confinement is the peculiar feature of the QCD color charges that they cannot be separated and are fatefully confined in color-neutral bound states known as hadrons. The mechanism for the color confinement is still not well understood. A hadron can be the usual quark-antiquark ($q\bar{q}$) meson or three-quark (qqq) baryon, but it has been suggested that there also exist less conventional, “exotic” forms, such as tetraquarks or meson molecules ($q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$), pentaquarks ($q\bar{q}qqq$), and dibaryons ($qqqqqq$)^{1–3}, where q stands for a constituent quark of any flavor. Studies of these exotic states can significantly advance our understanding of how partons can form bound states and in which configurations. This knowledge is fundamental for a deeper understanding of QCD, especially in its nonperturbative regime^{4,5}.

Exotic hadrons are expected to be short-lived and decay into ordinary hadrons, making it challenging to decipher their original parton structure. The first evidence of a tetraquark or a molecular state, $X(3872)$, was reported by the Belle experiment at KEK⁶. Experiments at the CERN LHC, particularly LHCb, have recently observed several new candidates for tetraquarks and pentaquarks, as discussed, e.g., in ref. 7. Those candidates all involve heavy quarks, which implies that their properties can be calculated in nonrelativistic QCD^{8,9}.

However, similar calculations are hard to perform for hadrons built of only light quarks, as one has to use relativistic QCD in its nonperturbative regime. In particular, the $f_0(980)$ hadron, discovered 50 years ago^{10–12}, has been hypothesized to be an ordinary $q\bar{q}$ meson, a tetraquark state, a $K\bar{K}$ molecule, or a $q\bar{q}$ -gluon hybrid state^{13–18}. The suggestion that the $f_0(980)$ hadron can be a tetraquark extends

*A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper.  e-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

beyond the ground-state constituent quark model, and studies of such states would impact our understanding of QCD and color confinement. Despite a multitude of experimental and theoretical works, the nature of the $f_0(980)$ state has not yet been established, as is evident from ref. 19 and references therein.

This is where high-energy nuclear collisions may come to the rescue. The collisions of lead-lead (PbPb) ions at the LHC aim to recreate the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), widely believed to be the state of matter prevailing in the early universe, when the temperature and energy density were too high to allow for the formation of hadrons. They offer a universal laboratory to study various aspects of QCD, such as the formation of hadrons from the QGP hadronization. A large number of hadron species, presumably including exotic ones, are abundantly produced during and following the phase transition from the QGP to hadronic matter. Indeed, evidence for the production of the $X(3872)$ exotic state in PbPb collisions was reported by the CMS experiment²⁰. The QGP phase transition (hadronization), intimately connected to color confinement, is being extensively studied, both experimentally and theoretically. A viable way to describe hadronization is via the coalescence of quarks, now dressed with gluons over the phase transition, into hadrons. The coalescence model was initially proposed to describe the formation of deuterons in targets exposed to proton beams²¹ and is now commonly used to model hadronization in relativistic nuclear collisions^{22–26}.

In heavy ion collisions, the azimuthal distribution of produced particles is anisotropic. The anisotropy is believed to result from the interactions among quarks and gluons created in these collisions, converting the initial approximately elliptical (“almond-like”) overlap region of the colliding nuclei with a nonzero impact parameter into the anisotropy of particle momenta²⁷. It is noteworthy that the collision geometry anisotropy is generic and also present in head-on heavy ion collisions, as well as in proton-proton (pp) and proton-nucleus collisions, arising from fluctuations in the distribution of constituents inside the colliding objects²⁸. While it initially came as a surprise when momentum anisotropy was first observed in pp^{29–32} and proton-lead (pPb)^{33–40} collisions, it is by now a well-established fact. This momentum anisotropy of quarks is then inherited by the formed hadrons, thus providing information that can be used to experimentally determine the quark content of the hadrons⁴¹, as explained below. Since the

anisotropy has been established at the LHC energies in PbPb, pPb, and even pp collisions with high multiplicity of particles produced, any of these colliding systems can be used for this type of measurements.

Azimuthal distributions of particles are often described by a Fourier series⁴²,

$$\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)], \quad (1)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle of the particle momentum vector and ψ_n is the azimuthal angle of the n th harmonic plane, defined in each event such that $\sum_i \sin[n(\phi_i - \psi_n)] = 0$, where the index i runs over all particles in an event. Details on the reconstruction of the harmonic planes using event observables are given in the Methods section. The coefficients v_n , called anisotropic flow parameters, generally depend on the particle transverse momentum (p_T) and rapidity (y). The v_2 coefficient, called the elliptic flow, describes the dominant anisotropic component. The second-order harmonic plane angle ψ_2 is an approximation of the azimuthal angle of the reaction plane, which is defined by the line connecting the centers of the colliding nuclei and the beam line.

In the coalescence picture, illustrated in Fig. 1, quarks with close spatial positions and momenta are more likely to combine and, therefore, the anisotropic flow coefficients v_n of the formed hadron inherit those of the parent quarks ($v_{n,q}$). If n_q quarks with approximately equal momenta combine to form a hadron, the resulting azimuthal distribution is then given by

$$\frac{dN_h}{d\phi} \propto \left(\frac{dN_q}{d\phi}\right)^{n_q} \propto \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_{n,q}(p_T^q) \cos(n[\phi - \psi_n])\right]^{n_q}, \quad (2)$$

where $p_T^q = p_T/n_q$, and N_h (N_q) is the multiplicity of hadrons (quarks). For small values of v_n , relevant for the measurement reported in this paper, one can simplify Eq. (2) as

$$v_n(p_T) \approx n_q v_{n,q}(p_T/n_q).$$

This expression is commonly referred to as the number of constituent quarks (NCQ) scaling of the anisotropic flow⁴³. The anisotropic flow of




Fig. 1 | Coalescence hadronization. This picture illustrates the formation of hadrons in heavy-ion collisions in the coalescence model. Hadrons tend to form when the constituent quarks have similar positions and momenta. [Detector image reprinted from ref. 69, under a CC BY SA 4.0 license].

hadrons formed in heavy ion collisions can therefore reveal the NCQ n_q contained in a hadron, conventional or exotic⁴¹. Alternatively, such information can also be extracted by measuring the yields and p_T spectra (or their ratios) of these hadrons in heavy ion collisions, albeit in a more model-dependent way^{44–47}.

The NCQ scaling has been observed to approximately hold for common hadrons in heavy ion collisions at the BNL RHIC^{48,49} and at the CERN LHC^{38,50,51}. It has also been established in pPb collisions at the LHC by the CMS experiment for K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω hadrons^{38,52}. These observations of the NCQ scaling support the validity of the coalescence hadronization model, at least at low p_T . We note that the NCQ scaling may additionally arise from other mechanisms in the same or an extended p_T range. The empirical observations of the NCQ scaling do not depend, however, on a particular underlying physics mechanism.

This paper presents the first measurement of the elliptic flow of the $f_0(980)$ state. Data from pPb collisions at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV are used. The choice of pPb collisions used in this measurement is driven by the smaller combinatorial background than in PbPb collisions, which simplifies the $f_0(980)$ signal extraction. The elliptic flow coefficient v_2 of the $f_0(980)$ state is determined as a function of p_T . The NCQ scaling of the $f_0(980)$ hadron v_2 coefficient is tested. We demonstrate that the hypothesis of the $f_0(980)$ state being an ordinary $q\bar{q}$ meson is significantly preferred over alternative hypotheses. This novel technique could be used to investigate other exotic hadron candidates. The numeric values from various figures presented in this paper can be found in the HEPData database⁵³.

Results

Analysis of $f_0(980)$ signal

In this paper, the $f_0(980)$ state is measured in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV by the CMS experiment. The CMS detector is described in Methods. A high-multiplicity data sample collected in 2016 is used, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 186 nb^{-1} ⁵⁴. The charged-particle multiplicity range is chosen to be $185 \leq N_{\text{trk}} < 250$. The N_{trk} multiplicity observable is defined in ref. 52, and its range is chosen to be identical to the one used in that measurement, where significant anisotropic flow has been observed, and to which we compare the NCQ scaling of the $f_0(980)$ measurement. The triggers and event selections are identical to those in ref. 55, as discussed in more detail in the Methods section.

The $f_0(980)$ state is reconstructed within the rapidity $|\eta| \lesssim 2.4$ via its dominant decay channel, $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ¹⁹. The pion mass is assigned to all charged-particle tracks. The combinatorial background is modeled via same-charge-sign pion track pairs and subtracted from the opposite-charge-sign dipion mass spectrum. The resulting distribution is then fit to extract the $f_0(980)$ yield. The fit model includes a sum of three Breit–Wigner functions^{56–59} corresponding to the $f_0(980)$, $\rho(770)^0$, and $f_2(1270)$ resonances, and a third-order polynomial for the residual background. Details of the fit procedure are described in the Methods section.

The observed elliptic flow v_2 of the $f_0(980)$ state is extracted by fitting the yield as a function of ϕ . The contamination from nonflow correlations—those unrelated to the nuclear collision geometry—is subtracted. After nonflow-contamination subtraction, the elliptic flow coefficient is denoted by v_2^{sub} . More details can be found in the Methods section.

In order to compare the $f_0(980)$ v_2^{sub} values to the established NCQ scaling for other hadrons, the $v_{n,q}$ of K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω states measured in the same high-multiplicity range are fit with the following empirical function derived from data:

$$f(KE_T/n_q) = KE_T/n_q \left(p_0 + p_1 KE_T/n_q \right) e^{-p_2 KE_T/n_q}. \quad (3)$$

The argument of the function, KE_T/n_q , is related to the kinetic energy per constituent quark, where $KE_T = \sqrt{m^2 + \langle p_t \rangle^2} - m$, $\langle p_t \rangle$ is the average p_T of a p_T bin of the corresponding bound state, and m is its invariant mass. The $f_0(980)$ $\langle p_t \rangle$ values of the p_T bins are obtained from an exponential fit to the $f_0(980)$ candidate dN/dp_T distribution. The KE_T variable is chosen to describe the NCQ scaling as it yields better agreement with the data than p_T ⁴⁹. The NCQ scaling fit is based on the minimization of the χ^2 , assuming that the bin-by-bin uncertainties are uncorrelated, with the coefficients p_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) being free parameters of the fit. Details about the n_q extraction and about testing of various quark content hypotheses can be found in the Methods section.

Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in the $f_0(980)$ v_2 and v_2^{sub} are detailed in the Methods section. The correlation of systematic uncertainties between different p_T bins is taken into account by using a covariance matrix in the χ^2 calculation when extracting n_q . The statistical uncertainty in the $f(KE_T/n_q)$ fit is also included as a systematic uncertainty component in the extracted n_q . The n_q extraction procedure is repeated for variations in the functional form of $f(KE_T/n_q)$, as well as by using p_T instead of E_T in the NCQ scaling expression given by Eq. (3) (as discussed in the Methods section). The resulting difference in n_q from the default value is taken as the corresponding systematic uncertainty. The systematic uncertainties are listed in Table 1. The uncertainty in the $\langle p_t \rangle$ of the $f_0(980)$ state has a negligible impact on n_q .

Elliptic anisotropy of $f_0(980)$

Figure 2 shows the v_2^{sub} of the $f_0(980)$ state, which is significantly above zero and exhibits a clear trend of rising and then falling with p_T ,

Table 1 | Sources and magnitudes of the uncertainties in the extracted n_q of the $f_0(980)$ state in the range $p_T < 10$ GeV/c

Source	n_q uncertainty
Statistical	0.16
$f_0(980)$ v_2 systematic uncertainty	0.13
Nonflow effects in v_2^{sub}	0.04
NCQ scaling fit parameters	0.02
NCQ scaling fit function	0.04
NCQ scaling using p_T/n_q	0.06




Fig. 2 | Elliptic anisotropy results. The nonflow-effect-subtracted elliptic anisotropy v_2^{sub} of the $f_0(980)$ is shown as a function of p_T within $|\eta| \lesssim 2.4$ in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. The error bars show statistical uncertainties while the shaded areas represent systematic uncertainties.

reaching a maximum in the $4 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c range. Such a trend in p_T has been also observed for other hadrons and is generally considered to come from an interplay between the hydrodynamic expansion at low p_T and partonic energy loss at high p_T .

Quark content of $f_0(980)$

Figure 3 shows a comparison of v_2^{sub}/n_q for the $f_0(980)$ state with those of K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ , and Ω hadrons⁵² as a function of KE_T/n_q . (A similar comparison for v_2^{sub}/n_q as a function of p_T/n_q can be found in the Methods section.) The two sets of the $f_0(980)$ data points correspond to the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses. The red curve shows the NCQ scaling parameterization of the v_2^{sub} data for these other hadrons (whose n_q values are fixed by their known quark content).

To assess the significance of the result, the log-likelihood ratio $-2 \ln(L_{n_q=4}/L_{n_q=2})$ is calculated using the v_2^{sub}/n_q data and the NCQ scaling expectation between the $n_q = 2$ and 4 assumptions. Details about the log-likelihood ratio can be found in the Methods section. The measured value is shown by the red arrow in Fig. 4, together with the distributions of the log-likelihood ratio from pseudo-experiments. The $f_0(980)$ v_2^{sub} values are generated according to the NCQ scaling under the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses, with a Gaussian smearing to account for




Fig. 3 | NCQ scaling of elliptic anisotropy. The v_2^{sub}/n_q of the $f_0(980)$ state (for the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses) as a function of KE_T/n_q , compared with those of K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ , and Ω strange hadrons⁵² in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. The error bars show statistical uncertainties while the shaded areas represent systematic uncertainties. The red curve is the NCQ scaling parameterization of the data for K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ , and Ω hadrons given by Eq. (3).




Fig. 4 | Exclusion significance from $n_q = 4$. The log-likelihood ratio distributions for the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses from pseudo-experiments, together with the measured value for the $f_0(980)$ state in the $0 < p_T < 10$ GeV/c range.

the uncertainties. The extracted significance of the $n_q = 2$ hypothesis over the $n_q = 4$ hypothesis is 7.7 standard deviations (σ) in the $p_T < 10$ GeV/c range. As shown in Fig. 3, the NCQ scaling range as delineated by the K_S^0 data extends up to p_T/n_q of 4 GeV/c, whereas for the baryons it is restricted to about 2.5 GeV/c. For the $n_q = 2$ hypothesis, our high- p_T data start falling out of the measured NCQ scaling p_T/n_q range; for the $n_q = 4$ hypothesis, however, our data are within that range. Consequently, we extract significance values also for two restricted- p_T ranges: $p_T < 8$ and 6 GeV/c. The exclusion significances of the $n_q = 4$ vs. 2 hypotheses in these ranges are 6.3 and 3.1 σ , respectively.

The $K\bar{K}$ molecule, if produced by the coalescence of two kaons, would possess the same v_2 as that of a tetraquark, and is thus practically also ruled out. It is unclear what v_2 a hybrid $q\bar{q}g$ state would attain in pPb collisions because the NCQ scaling has been tested only with ordinary hadrons. If the constituent gluon behaves just like the constituent (anti)quarks, the v_2 of a hybrid $q\bar{q}g$ state would scale as $n_q = 3$. Such a state would be ruled out with a 3.5 σ significance using the $p_T < 8$ GeV/c range, in which the NCQ scaling is adequately measured for the $n_q = 3$ case.

The χ^2 quantity is calculated between the $v_{n,q}$ data of the $f_0(980)$, with floating n_q , and the NCQ curve in KE_T/n_q in Fig. 3, with the covariance matrix taking into account correlations among uncertainties. Scans of χ^2 versus n_q are performed, as detailed in the Methods section. Using $f_0(980)$ data within the $p_T < 6$ GeV/c range (a conservative choice, which ensures that the NCQ scaling holds for the $n_q = 2$ hypothesis, given that $p_T/n_q < 3$ GeV/c), the preferred n_q value of the $f_0(980)$ is found to be $n_q = 2.40 \pm 0.40$. Assuming the NCQ scaling extends beyond $p_T/n_q > 3$ GeV/c, the preferred n_q values of 2.10 ± 0.24 and 2.07 ± 0.21 are extracted in the $p_T < 8$ and 10 GeV/c ranges, respectively. Indeed, the $n_q = 2$ hypothesis for $f_0(980)$ is consistent with the NCQ scaling from the other hadrons, with $\chi^2 = 4.7$ for the 5 data points. Contrary to that, the $n_q = 4$ hypothesis is inconsistent with the data, as evident from the corresponding $\chi^2 = 58$, with a Gaussian p value of 3×10^{-11} . Consequently, we report a strong evidence for the $q\bar{q}$ quark content of the $f_0(980)$ state.

Discussion

The $f_0(980)$ state is observed in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution of high-multiplicity proton-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV, using data collected by the CMS experiment in 2016 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 186 nb⁻¹. The elliptic flow anisotropy v_2 of the $f_0(980)$ state is measured as a function of p_T up to 10 GeV/c, with respect to the second-order harmonic plane reconstructed from forward/backward energy flow. After subtracting the nonflow contamination, evaluated from K_S^0 measurements, we obtain the corrected v_2^{sub} observable. By comparing the $f_0(980)$ v_2^{sub} to those of K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ , and Ω under the number-of-constituent-quarks scaling hypothesis, we rule out the hypotheses that the $f_0(980)$ is a tetraquark state or a $K\bar{K}$ molecule, in favor of an ordinary $q\bar{q}$ meson hypothesis, at 7.7 σ (6.3 or 3.1 σ , respectively, if only a restricted range of $p_T < 8$ or 6 GeV/c is considered). The $f_0(980)$ data in the $p_T < 8$ GeV/c range are found to disfavor a quark-antiquark-gluon hybrid state at 3.5 σ . The NCQ of the $f_0(980)$ state, as extracted from a fit to the v_2^{sub} data, is consistent with the value of 2, characteristic of an ordinary meson. Consequently, we find strong evidence that the $f_0(980)$ hadron is a normal quark-antiquark state. We believe that the results reported in this paper offer a solution to a half-century-old puzzle.

The experimental determination of the quark content of the $f_0(980)$ state with high confidence, using this novel approach, is expected to stimulate further experimental investigations as well as theoretical studies. It paves the way for studies of other exotic hadron candidates using the collective flow scaling approach in high-multiplicity proton-nucleus and heavy ion collisions.

Methods

In this section, we provide experimental details of various steps used in the analysis presented in this paper.

CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The silicon tracker measures charged particles within the range $|\eta| < 2.5$. For nonisolated particles of $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 1.4$, the track resolutions are typically 1.5% in p_T and 25–90 (45–150) μm in the transverse (longitudinal) impact parameter⁶⁰. The procedure followed for aligning the detector is described in ref. 61.

Trigger

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system, a suite of triggers based on particle multiplicity. The first level (level-1), composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of 4 μs ⁶². At level-1, where tracking information is not available, the events were seeded using a tower count in the ECAL and HCAL barrel calorimeters, by selecting events passing a minimum threshold on the number of active towers. An active tower is defined as a trigger tower with a transverse energy exceeding 0.5 GeV. Trigger towers are built by summing energy deposits in the ECAL and HCAL cells in $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.087 \times 0.087$ regions (matching the size of one HCAL cell in the barrel region). The trigger required the tower count to exceed either 115 or 120, depending on the data-taking period.

The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage⁵⁴. Several high-level triggers based on the multiplicity of tracks reconstructed either in the pixel detector layers or the full tracker were used for the analysis. The events were first selected requiring more than 125 tracks with $p_T > 0.4$ GeV/c, $|\eta| < 2.4$, and the distance of closest approach along the beam axis between the track and the interaction vertex of less than 0.12 cm, reconstructed using only the pixel detector. Further, the events were required to have more than 185 tracks reconstructed in the full tracker with the same p_T and $|\eta|$ requirements, and with the distance of closest approach less than 0.15 cm. The interaction vertex is required to be within 15 cm of the detector center along the beam direction. Offline, we require the number of reconstructed tracks, N_{trk} , to be between 185 and 250. The trigger turn-on effect has been shown to have a negligible impact on the result.

More detailed descriptions of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in refs. 63,64.

Event selection and reconstruction of the $f_0(980)$ signal

The $f_0(980)$ candidates are reconstructed through the dominant decay channel, $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ¹⁹. All charged-particle tracks with $p_T > 0.4$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2.4$ passing standard high-purity requirements⁶⁰, and with a distance of closest approach to the interaction vertex divided by its uncertainty of less than 3 in both the direction along the beams and in the plane perpendicular to it, are considered as pion candidates. To improve the mass resolution, we only consider tracks with a relative uncertainty in p_T of less than 10%. The $f_0(980)$ candidates are formed

from pairs of tracks of opposite-charge-sign, with the charged pion mass assigned to both. The combinatorial background is estimated from same-charge-sign track pairs and is subtracted from the invariant mass spectrum of the $f_0(980)$ candidates. The spectrum is further corrected for the tracking efficiency as a function of the track p_T and η , as obtained via a HIJING v1.0 simulation⁶⁵ followed by the CMS detector response simulation with GEANT4⁶⁶. The analysis is performed in bins of $\phi - \psi_2$, the azimuthal angle of the $f_0(980)$ candidate relative to that of the second harmonic plane. The latter is reconstructed from the energy deposition in the hadron forward (HF) calorimeter covering $3 < \eta < 5$ in the Pb-going direction (resulting in a better resolution compared to that using the opposite HF calorimeter) and corrected for the nonuniform detector performance by using the procedure described in ref. 42. Figure 5 shows an example of the invariant mass spectrum of the $f_0(980)$ candidates within a p_T range of 4–6 GeV/c and a $\phi - \psi_2$ range of $0 - \pi/12$ (where the $\phi - \psi_2$ value is first folded from the full range into the $0 - \pi/2$ range to decrease the statistical uncertainty per $\phi - \psi_2$ bin).

Several resonances are evident in the mass spectrum shown in Fig. 5, including a significant $f_0(980)$ peak at -0.98 GeV/ c^2 . The mass spectrum is fit with a template composed of three Breit–Wigner functions corresponding to the $\rho(770)^0$, $f_0(980)$, and $f_2(1270)$ resonances, and a third-order polynomial to model the residual background. The fit mass range is chosen to be 0.8–1.7 GeV/ c^2 in order to exclude the contribution from a $\rho(1700)$ peak at high masses and to avoid the low-mass region (< 0.8 GeV/ c^2) exhibiting a nontrivial turn-on behavior. Since only the right tail of the $\rho(770)^0$ resonance is included in the fit, the extrapolated peak into the lower mass region does not necessarily represent the true shape of the $\rho(770)^0$ resonance. The ϕ -integrated mass spectrum is fit in each p_T interval to obtain the $f_0(980)$ yield and the line-shapes of the three resonances present within the fit window. The resonant line-shapes are then fixed, and the fit of the mass spectrum is repeated in six individual $\phi - \psi_2$ bins in the corresponding p_T interval, treating the resonance yields as free parameters. The resultant fit to the example $\phi - \psi_2$ bin of the p_T interval is superimposed in Fig. 5, along with the χ^2 of the fit per degree of freedom (dof).

Extraction of $f_0(980)$ elliptic anisotropy v_2 values

Figure 6a shows the $f_0(980)$ yield as a function of $\phi - \psi_2$ in the $4 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c bin as an example. The $f_0(980)$ yield as a function of $\phi - \psi_2$ is fit with Eq. (1) with only the $n = 2$ term to extract the v_2 parameter. The fitted v_2 values are corrected for the harmonic plane resolution, which represents the precision of the reconstructed ψ_2 . The resolution is obtained by the three-subevent method⁴² and evaluated in each fine multiplicity interval, and an average resolution is obtained weighted by the corresponding ϕ -integrated yield of $f_0(980)$. The three-subevent method uses the two HFs and the central tracker detector, where the η gaps between the subevents help suppress the nonflow effects. Figure 6b shows the corrected v_2 of the $f_0(980)$ as a function of p_T .

The v_2 measurement is contaminated by nonflow correlations, such as back-to-back jet pairs, where an $f_0(980)$ candidate is found within a jet and the harmonic plane is reconstructed from hadrons that include the other fragments of the dijet system. Since $f_0(980)$ is a hadron known to likely contain strange quarks, the relative nonflow contribution $(v_2 - v_2^{\text{sub}})/v_2$ to the $f_0(980)$ v_2 is assumed to be the same as that for the K_S^0 meson, in each p_T bin, where v_2^{sub} represents the elliptic flow after nonflow-effect subtraction. The latter, evaluated using events with low track multiplicity⁵², is fit with a second-order polynomial as a function of p_T . The relative nonflow contribution to the $f_0(980)$ v_2 is evaluated from the fit function at the $\langle p_T \rangle$ in each p_T bin and ranges 9–64% for different p_T bins. The nonflow effects are subtracted to obtain the final v_2^{sub} of the $f_0(980)$ state. The v_2^{sub} of the $f_0(980)$ is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of p_T .




Fig. 5 | Invariant mass fit. The invariant mass spectrum of opposite-sign pion pairs after the combinatorial background subtraction, for the pair transverse momentum $4 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c and the azimuthal angle $0 < \phi - \psi_2 < \pi/12$, in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. The solid blue curve is the fit result within the fit range marked with vertical blue dashed lines; the orange dashed curve represents the residual background. The solid red curve represents the $f_0(980)$ signal, while the dashed dark-

violet and light-green curves correspond to the background contributions from the $\rho(770)^0$ and $f_2(1270)$ resonances, respectively. The ratio between data and the fit result is shown in the lower panel, with the error bars representing statistical uncertainties only. The low-mass region exhibits a nontrivial turn-on behavior and is not included in the fit.

Systematic uncertainties in $f_0(980)$ v_2 values

The systematic uncertainties in the $f_0(980)$ yield, and consequently those in the $f_0(980)$ v_2 values, include those from track selection, track efficiency correction, combinatorial background subtraction, residual background parameterization, resonance line-shape modeling, fit range choice, and the harmonic plane resolution. They are summarized in Table 1 and are evaluated as follows.

- Looser and tighter criteria of track selection are applied, and the obtained $f_0(980)$ v_2 results are compared to the default ones, all of which are not corrected for track efficiencies. The uncertainty is 3–22% in the $f_0(980)$ v_2 value, depending on p_T .
- There could be a difference in the detector response between the same-charge-sign and opposite-charge-sign pairs in the same event. To assess this systematic uncertainty, the default combinatorial background spectrum from same-sign pairs is scaled by the ratio of opposite- to same-sign spectra from mixed events (i.e., when the two tracks forming a pair are taken from different events). The effect on the $f_0(980)$ v_2 values is smaller than 3%.
- The residual background is parameterized by second-, fourth-, and fifth-order polynomials besides the default third-order one. The corresponding systematic uncertainty in v_2 is found to be less than 5%.
- The resonance mass peaks are alternatively modeled via a relativistic Breit–Wigner function⁶⁷ and a relativistic Voigt function⁶⁸, which yields a systematic uncertainty in v_2 of less than 2%, except in the highest measured p_T interval, where it reaches 25%. The default fit range (0.8–1.7 GeV/c²) is varied by 0.02 GeV/c² on each side and gives a systematic uncertainty in v_2 of less than 8%.
- The statistical uncertainty in the harmonic plane angle extraction is propagated to the $f_0(980)$ v_2 and treated as a systematic uncertainty, of ~6%.
- An alternative way to estimate the nonflow contribution to the $f_0(980)$ v_2 is by assuming that the absolute nonflow contribution $v_2 - v_2^{\text{sub}}$, instead of the relative $(v_2 - v_2^{\text{sub}})/v_2$ contribution, at a

given p_T , is the same as that of the K_S^0 meson. The difference between the $f_0(980)$ v_2^{sub} estimates obtained with the alternative and default methods is treated as the systematic uncertainty in the nonflow-effect subtraction, which is further symmetrized using the larger of the negative and positive variations. The resultant systematic uncertainty band in v_2^{sub} is then capped between the measured v_2 value and zero, ranging from 1% to 33%, depending on p_T .

- We have also examined the nonflow contribution using D^0 and Λ data instead of K_S^0 data. Moreover, we have compared the uncorrected v_2 distribution of the $f_0(980)$ to those of the K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ , and Ω hadrons. The variations observed in these cross-checks are shown to be fully covered by the systematic uncertainty detailed in the previous item.

These various sources of systematic uncertainties are assumed to be independent of each other. The statistical uncertainty is treated as uncorrelated for different p_T bins. The systematic uncertainty arising from the event plane resolution is assumed to be fully correlated between the p_T bins. For each of the other systematic uncertainties, the v_2^{sub} covariance matrix element for the i th and j th p_T bins is calculated as

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{alt}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{alt}}} \left(v_{2,k}^{\text{sub}}(p_{T,i}) - v_{2,\text{default}}^{\text{sub}}(p_{T,i}) \right) \left(v_{2,k}^{\text{sub}}(p_{T,j}) - v_{2,\text{default}}^{\text{sub}}(p_{T,j}) \right), \quad (4)$$

where N_{alt} is the number of alternative methods to extract this systematic uncertainty, and $v_{2,\text{default}}^{\text{sub}}$ is the default value. The overall covariance matrix of the v_2^{sub} is the sum of the covariance matrices corresponding to the various systematic uncertainties. The uncertainty in the $\langle p_T \rangle$ evaluation is estimated using pseudo-experiments and is found to be negligible.




Fig. 6 | Elliptic anisotropy before the nonflow effect subtraction. **a** The $f_0(980)$ yield in the $4 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c range as a function of $\phi - \psi_2$ in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. Error bars show statistical uncertainties. The red curve is a fit to Eq. (1) with only the $n = 2$ term, from which the elliptic anisotropy v_2 parameter is extracted. **b** The elliptic anisotropy v_2 of the $f_0(980)$ state is shown before the nonflow effect subtraction as a function of p_T within rapidity $|\eta| \lesssim 2.4$ in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. The error bars show statistical uncertainties while the shaded areas represent systematic uncertainties.

Cross-checks of the NCQ scaling assumption

The uncertainty used in the parametrization of Eq. (3) of the v_2^{sub}/n_q data for the K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω hadrons is the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties of the fit added in quadrature. The resulting best fit parameters are: $p_0 = 0.111 \pm 0.004$, $p_1 = 0.045 \pm 0.017$, and $p_2 = -1.00 \pm 0.08$. The fit yields a relatively large $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 80/34$, which indicates that the NCQ scaling is not perfect. To accommodate for this, we increased the uncertainties to achieve the χ^2/dof of 1 and found the effect on the n_q result to be negligible.

The NCQ scaling can also be parameterized in p_T/n_q . The fit quality is worse, with $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 170/34$. The NCQ-scaled v_2^{sub}/n_q as a function of p_T/n_q is shown in Fig. 7 for the $f_0(980)$ state together with those of the K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω hadrons⁵². Using NCQ scaling in p_T/n_q , the extracted significance of the $n_q = 2$ hypothesis over the $n_q = 4$ hypothesis is 7.8, 6.2, or 2.4σ , in the $p_T < 10$, 8, or 6 GeV/c ranges, respectively.

To extract the n_q of $f_0(980)$, the χ^2 of the $f_0(980) v_2^{\text{sub}}/n_q$ data (denoted by \vec{y}) with respect to the NCQ scaling curve (denoted by \vec{f}) is calculated by $\chi^2 = (\vec{y} - \vec{f})^T (C_y + C_f)^{-1} (\vec{y} - \vec{f})$, where C_y is the $f_0(980) v_2^{\text{sub}}/n_q$ covariance matrix scaled by $1/n_q^2$ and C_f is the covariance matrix between the NCQ scaling function from the fit and the $f_0(980) v_2^{\text{sub}}/n_q$ data. The latter is given by $C_f = J \cdot C_p \cdot J^T$, where C_p is the covariance matrix of the fit parameters and $J = \partial f / \partial \vec{p}$ is the Jacobian




Fig. 7 | NCQ scaling of elliptic anisotropy in p_T/n_q . The v_2^{sub}/n_q of the $f_0(980)$ state (for the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses) as a function of p_T/n_q is compared with those of the K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω strange hadrons⁵² in high-multiplicity pPb collisions. Error bars show the statistical uncertainties while the shaded areas represent systematic uncertainties. The red curve is the NCQ scaling parameterization of the data for the K_S^0 , Λ , Ξ^- , and Ω hadrons.




Fig. 8 | The χ^2 scan. The χ^2 of the $f_0(980)$ elliptic flow data with respect to the NCQ scaling parameterization, scanned in steps of n_q . The three curves correspond to using $f_0(980)$ data for $p_T < 6$, 8, and 10 GeV/c, respectively.

matrix that describes how the fit function value changes with the fit parameters \vec{p} .

Scans of χ^2 as a function of n_q , treated as a continuous parameter, between the $f_0(980) v_2^{\text{sub}}/n_q$ data and the NCQ scaling curve, are shown in Fig. 8. The three curves correspond to the $f_0(980)$ data for $p_T < 6$, 8, and 10 GeV/c, respectively. The statistical and systematic uncertainties are included in the χ^2 calculation with the covariance matrix. The optimal n_q value is determined at the minimum χ^2 , denoted by χ^2_{min} , with the uncertainty bracketed by the $\chi^2_{\text{min}} + 1$ level. The corresponding n_q values are listed in Fig. 8, with the uncertainties accounting for the effects of variations in the NCQ fit functional forms and from using p_T/n_q instead of kE_T/n_q , which are relatively small (as detailed in Table 1).

Lead-lead collision data from ALICE suggest that the NCQ scaling holds within a precision of 20%^{50,51}. We vary the overall fit curve of the NCQ scaling by $\pm 10\%$ and obtain $n_q = 1.95 \pm 0.22$ and 2.19 ± 0.24 , respectively, for the positive and negative variations, by using $f_0(980)$ data for $p_T < 10$ GeV/c. Both these values agree well with the nominal result of $n_q = 2.07 \pm 0.22$, demonstrating the robustness of our result with respect to variations in the NCQ scaling assumption.




Fig. 9 | Exclusion significances. Same as Fig. 4 but using $f_0(980) \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ data within the restricted ranges $p_T < 8$ GeV/c (a) and $p_T < 6$ GeV/c (b). c The expected log-likelihood ratio distributions for $n_q = 2$ vs. 3 hypotheses from the pseudo-

experiments and the observed value for the $f_0(980)$ in data in the $p_T < 8$ GeV/c range to extract the exclusion significance for the $n_q = 3$.

The NCQ scaling may be less valid at low p_T where ν_2^{sub} likely reflects hydrodynamic behavior, which is mass dependent. However, excluding the lowest p_T data point has negligible effect on our results.

To examine the effects of imperfect NCQ scaling, we carry out further cross-checks as follows. We fit only the $K_S^0 \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ data to obtain an alternative NCQ scaling curve and repeat the analysis. The $f_0(980) n_q$ extracted this way is 2.03 ± 0.22 . When we use the nominal NCQ scaling curve with $\Lambda \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ data, the extracted Λn_q value is 2.73 ± 0.14 . The 2σ deviation from the nominal value of 3 indicates degree of the validity of the NCQ scaling between the K_S^0 and Λ hadrons. Similarly, when we use only the $\Lambda \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ data to obtain the NCQ scaling curve, the extracted n_q is 2.30 ± 0.22 for the $f_0(980)$ and 2.29 ± 0.18 for the K_S^0 states. We have also tested the NCQ scaling validity on Ω data by using the K_S^0 , Λ , and Ξ^- data for the NCQ scaling fit; the extracted n_q value for Ω is 3.21 ± 0.69 .

Exclusion significance determination

The log-likelihood ratio $-2 \ln(L_{n_q=4}/L_{n_q=2})$, evaluated as the χ^2 difference between the $n_q = 2$ and 4 hypotheses, is calculated for the $f_0(980) \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ data. We also generate pseudo-data of $f_0(980) \nu_2^{\text{sub}}$ according to the NCQ scaling curve for a given n_q hypothesis. The ν_2^{sub} uncertainties are taken into account by smearing ν_2^{sub} with a Gaussian distribution according to the covariance matrix given by Eq. (4). The corresponding log-likelihood ratio is calculated for the pseudo-data in the same way as for pPb data. The pseudo-experiments yield an expected distribution of the log-likelihood ratio for the two given $f_0(980) n_q$ hypotheses. Each of these distributions is fit with a Gaussian function and the significance of the main hypothesis over the alternative one is extracted as the distance between the Gaussian mean of

the alternative distribution (the yellow histogram in, e.g., Fig. 4) and the measured value in data (the red arrow in the same figure), divided by the width of the Gaussian function. The consistency with the main hypothesis can be inferred in a similar way by comparing the value obtained in data with the Gaussian mean and width of the main distribution (the green histogram in the same figure).

The log-likelihood ratio distributions for the $n_q = 2$ vs. 4 hypotheses for the two restricted p_T ranges are shown in Fig. 9a, b. The log-likelihood ratio distributions for the $n_q = 2$ vs. 3 hypotheses in the $p_T < 8$ GeV/c range are shown in Fig. 9c.

Data availability

Release and preservation of data used by the CMS Collaboration as the basis for publications is guided by the [CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy](#).

Code availability

The CMS core software is publicly available in our [GitHub repository](#).

References

- Gell-Mann, M. A schematic model of baryons and mesons. *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 214 (1964).
- Zweig, G. An SU_3 model for strong interaction symmetry and its breaking; Version 2. Developments in the Quark Theory of Hadrons. **1**, 1964–1978. <https://doi.org/10.17181/CERN-TH-412> (1964).
- Jaffe, R. L. Perhaps a stable dihyperon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 195 (1977).
- Jaffe, R. L. Exotica. *Phys. Rept.* **409**, 1 (2005).
- Briceno, R. A., Dudek, J. J., Edwards, R. G. & Wilson, D. J. Isoscalar $\pi\pi$, $K\bar{K}$, $\eta\eta$ scattering and the σ , f_0 , f_2 mesons from QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 054513 (2018).

6. Belle Collaboration. Observation of a narrow charmonium-like state in exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}J/\psi$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 262001 (2003).
7. LHCb Collaboration. Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark. *Nature Phys.* **18**, 751 (2022).
8. Esposito, A., Pilloni, A. & Polosa, A. D. Multiquark resonances. *Phys. Rept.* **668**, 1 (2017).
9. Olsen, S. L., Skwarnicki, T. & Zieminska, D. Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: experimental evidence. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015003 (2018).
10. Protopopescu, S. D. et al. $\pi\pi\pi$ partial wave analysis from reactions $\pi^{\pm}p \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\Delta^{++}$ and $\pi^{\pm}p \rightarrow K^{\pm}K^{\mp}\Delta^{++}$ at 7.1 GeV/c. *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 1279 (1973).
11. Hyams, B. et al. $\pi\pi\pi$ phase shift analysis from 600 to 1900 MeV. *Nucl. Phys. B* **64**, 134 (1973).
12. Grayer, G. et al. High statistics study of the reaction $\pi\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}n$: apparatus, method of analysis, and general features of results at 17 GeV/c. *Nucl. Phys. B* **75**, 189 (1974).
13. Jaffe, R. L. Multi-quark hadrons. 1. phenomenology of $(Q^2\bar{Q}^2)$ mesons. *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 267 (1977).
14. Weinstein, J. D. & Isgur, N. $K\bar{K}$ molecules. *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 2236 (1990).
15. Amsler, C. & Tornqvist, N. A. Mesons beyond the naive quark model. *Phys. Rept.* **389**, 61 (2004).
16. Bugg, D. V. Four sorts of meson. *Phys. Rept.* **397**, 257 (2004).
17. Klempt, E. & Zaitsev, A. Glueballs, hybrids, multiquarks. experimental facts versus QCD inspired concepts. *Phys. Rept.* **454**, 1 (2007).
18. Deandrea, A. et al. The $s\bar{s}$ and $s\bar{s}$ nature of $f_0(980)$ in D_s decays. *Phys. Lett. B* **502**, 79 (2001).
19. Particle Data Group. Review of particle physics. *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
20. CMS Collaboration. Evidence for $\chi(3872)$ in Pb-Pb collisions and studies of its prompt production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 032001 (2022).
21. Butler, S. T. & Pearson, C. A. Deuterons from high-energy proton bombardment of matter. *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 836 (1963).
22. Dover, C. B., Heinz, U. W., Schnedermann, E. & Zimanyi, J. Relativistic coalescence model for high-energy nuclear collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **44**, 1636 (1991).
23. Fries, R. J., Greco, V. & Sorensen, P. Coalescence models for hadron formation from quark gluon plasma. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **58**, 177 (2008).
24. Hwa, R. C. & Yang, C. B. Scaling behavior at high p_T and the p/π ratio. *Phys. Rev. C* **67**, 034902 (2003).
25. Fries, R. J., Muller, B., Nonaka, C. & Bass, S. A. Hadronization in heavy ion collisions: recombination and fragmentation of partons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 202303 (2003).
26. Greco, V., Ko, C. M. & Levai, P. Parton coalescence and the antiproton/pion anomaly at RHIC. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 202302 (2003).
27. Ollitrault, J.-Y. Anisotropy as a signature of transverse collective flow. *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 229 (1992).
28. PHOBOS Collaboration. Importance of correlations and fluctuations on the initial source eccentricity in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **77**, 014906 (2008).
29. CMS Collaboration. Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-proton collisions at the LHC. *JHEP* **09**, 091 (2010).
30. ATLAS Collaboration. Observation of long-range elliptic azimuthal anisotropies in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ and 2.76 TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 172301 (2016).
31. CMS Collaboration. Measurement of long-range near-side two-particle angular correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 172302 (2016).
32. CMS Collaboration. Evidence for collectivity in pp collisions at the LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **765**, 193 (2017).
33. CMS Collaboration. Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-lead collisions at the LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 795 (2013).
34. ALICE Collaboration. Long-range angular correlations on the near and away side in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. *Phys. Lett. B* **719**, 29 (2013).
35. ATLAS Collaboration. Observation of associated near-side and away-side long-range correlations in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV proton-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 182302 (2013).
36. CMS Collaboration. Multiplicity and transverse momentum dependence of two- and four-particle correlations in pPb and PbPb collisions. *Phys. Lett. B* **724**, 213 (2013).
37. ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of long-range pseudorapidity correlations and azimuthal harmonics in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV proton-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector. *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 044906 (2014).
38. CMS Collaboration. Long-range two-particle correlations of strange hadrons with charged particles in pPb and PbPb collisions at LHC energies. *Phys. Lett. B* **742**, 200 (2015).
39. CMS Collaboration. Evidence for collective multiparticle correlations in pPb collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 012301 (2015).
40. LHCb Collaboration. Measurements of long-range near-side angular correlations in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV proton-lead collisions in the forward region. *Phys. Lett. B* **762**, 473 (2016).
41. Gu, A., Edmonds, T., Zhao, J. & Wang, F. Elliptical flow coalescence to identify the $f_0(980)$ content. *Phys. Rev. C* **101**, 024908 (2020).
42. Poskanzer, A. M. & Voloshin, S. A. Methods for analyzing anisotropic flow in relativistic nuclear collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **58**, 1671 (1998).
43. Molnar, D. & Voloshin, S. A. Elliptic flow at large transverse momenta from quark coalescence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 092301 (2003).
44. Maiani, L., Polosa, A. D., Riquer, V. & Salgado, C. A. Counting valence quarks at RHIC and LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **645**, 138 (2007).
45. ExHIC Collaboration. Multi-quark hadrons from heavy ion collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 212001 (2011).
46. Gu, A. & Wang, F. Transverse momentum spectra of $f_0(980)$ from coalescence model. *Phys. Lett. B* **848**, 138399 (2024).
47. ALICE Collaboration. Observation of abnormal suppression of $f_0(980)$ production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. *Phys. Lett. B* **853**, 138665 (2024).
48. STAR Collaboration. Particle type dependence of azimuthal anisotropy and nuclear modification of particle production in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 052302 (2004).
49. PHENIX Collaboration. Scaling properties of azimuthal anisotropy in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 162301 (2007).
50. ALICE Collaboration. Elliptic flow of identified hadrons in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. *JHEP* **06**, 190 (2015).
51. ALICE Collaboration. Anisotropic flow of identified particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. *JHEP* **09**, 006 (2018).
52. CMS Collaboration. Elliptic flow of charm and strange hadrons in high-multiplicity pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 082301 (2018).
53. HEPData record for this analysis, <https://doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.146017> (2023).
54. CMS Collaboration. The CMS trigger system. *JINST* **12**, P01020 (2017).
55. CMS Collaboration. Observation of correlated azimuthal anisotropy Fourier harmonics in pp and p + Pb collisions at the LHC. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 092301 (2018).
56. Weisskopf, V. & Wigner, E. P. Berechnung der natürlichen linienbreite auf grund der Diracschen lichttheorie. *Z. Phys.* **63**, 54 (1930).

57. Hull, M. H. & Breit, G. *Coulomb Wave Functions*, p. 408. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45923-8_2 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1959).
58. STAR Collaboration. ρ^0 production and possible modification in Au+Au and p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 092301 (2004).
59. STAR Collaboration. NCQ scaling of $f_0(980)$ elliptic flow in 200 GeV Au+Au collisions by STAR and its constituent quark content. *Eur. Phys. J. Web Conf.* **259**, 10013 (2022).
60. CMS Collaboration. Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker. *JINST* **9**, P10009 (2014).
61. CMS Collaboration. Strategies and performance of the CMS silicon tracker alignment during LHC Run 2. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **1037**, 166795 (2022).
62. CMS Collaboration. Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. *JINST* **15**, P10017 (2020).
63. CMS Collaboration. The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC. *JINST* **3**, S08004 (2008).
64. CMS Collaboration. Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3. *JINST* **19**, P05064 (2024).
65. Gyulassy, M. & Wang, X.-N. HIJING 1.0: a Monte Carlo program for parton and particle production in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **83**, 307 (1994).
66. GEANT4 Collaboration. Geant 4—a simulation toolkit. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
67. Matthews, P. T. & Salam, A. Relativistic theory of unstable particles. II. *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 1079 (1959).
68. Kycia, R. A. & Jadach, S. Relativistic Voigt profile for unstable particles in high energy physics. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **463**, 1040 (2018).
69. Sakuma, T. & McCauley, T. *SketchUpCMS web site. See also Detector and Event Visualization with SketchUp at the CMS Experiment*, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/513/2/022032>. <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/SketchUpCMS> (2019).

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); Minciencias (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the

Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22r1-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program – ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 124845, K 124850, K 128713, K 128786, K 129058, K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by the EU NexGeneration program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF "a way of making Europe", and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

Author contributions

All authors have contributed to the publication, being variously involved in the design and the construction of the detectors, in writing software, in calibrating sub-systems and operating the detectors, in acquiring data, and in analyzing the processed data.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-56200-6>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to The CMS Collaboration.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Shinichi Esumi, Martin Spousta and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© CERN, for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration 2025

The CMS Collaboration

A. Hayrapetyan¹, A. Tumasyan^{1,2}, W. Adam³, J. W. Andrejkovic³, T. Bergauer³, S. Chatterjee³, K. Damanakis³, M. Dragicevic³, P. S. Hussain³, M. Jeitler^{3,4}, N. Krammer³, A. Li³, D. Liko³, I. Mikulec³, J. Schieck^{3,4}, R. Schöfbeck³, D. Schwarz³, M. Sonawane³, S. Templ³, W. Waltenberger³, C.-E. Wulz^{3,4}, M. R. Darwish^{5,6}, T. Janssen⁵, P. Van Mechelen⁵, E. S. Bols⁷, J. D'Hondt⁷, S. Dansana⁷, A. De Moor⁷, M. Delcourt⁷, H. El Faham⁷, S. Lowette⁷, I. Makarenko⁷, D. Müller⁷, S. Tavernier⁷, M. Tytgat^{7,8}, G. P. Van Onsem⁷, S. Van Putte⁷, D. Vannerom⁷, B. Clerbaux⁹, A. K. Das⁹, G. De Lentdecker⁹, H. Evard⁹, L. Favart⁹, P. Giannelos⁹, D. Hohov⁹, J. Jaramillo⁹, A. Khalilzadeh⁹, F. A. Khan⁹, K. Lee⁹, M. Mahdavihorrani⁹, A. Malara⁹, S. Paredes⁹, L. Thomas⁹, M. Vanden Bemden⁹, C. Vander Velde⁹, P. Vanlaer⁹, M. De Coen⁸, D. Dobur⁸, Y. Hong⁸, J. Knolle⁸, L. Lambrecht⁸, G. Mestdach⁸, K. Mota Amarilo⁸, C. Rendón⁸, A. Samalan⁸, K. Skovpen⁸, N. Van Den Bossche⁸, J. van der Linden⁸, L. Wezenbeek⁸, A. Benecke¹⁰, A. Bethani¹⁰, G. Bruno¹⁰, C. Caputo¹⁰, C. Delaere¹⁰, I. S. Donertas¹⁰, A. Giammanco¹⁰, Sa. Jain¹⁰, V. Lemaître¹⁰, J. Lidrych¹⁰, P. Mastrapasqua¹⁰, K. Mondal¹⁰, T. T. Tran¹⁰, S. Wertz¹⁰, G. A. Alves¹¹, E. Coelho¹¹, C. Hensel¹¹, T. Menezes De Oliveira¹¹, A. Moraes¹¹, P. Rebello Teles¹¹, M. Soeiro¹¹, W. L. Aldá Júnior¹², M. Alves Gallo Pereira¹², M. Barroso Ferreira Filho¹², H. Brandao Malbouisson¹², W. Carvalho¹², J. Chinellato^{12,13}, E. M. Da Costa¹², G. G. Da Silveira^{12,14}, D. De Jesus Damiao¹², S. Fonseca De Souza¹², R. Gomes De Souza¹², J. Martins^{12,15}, C. Mora Herrera¹², L. Mundim¹², H. Nogima¹², J. P. Pinheiro¹², A. Santoro¹², A. Sznajder¹², M. Thiel¹², A. Vilela Pereira¹², C. A. Bernardes^{14,16}, L. Calligaris¹⁶, T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei¹⁶, E. M. Gregores¹⁶, P. G. Mercadante¹⁶, S. F. Novaes¹⁶, B. Orzari¹⁶, Sandra S. Padula¹⁶, A. Aleksandrov¹⁷, G. Antchev¹⁷, R. Hadjiiska¹⁷, P. Iaydjiev¹⁷, M. Misheva¹⁷, M. Shopova¹⁷, G. Sultanov¹⁷, A. Dimitrov¹⁸, L. Litov¹⁸, B. Pavlov¹⁸, P. Petkov¹⁸, A. Petrov¹⁸, E. Shumka¹⁸, S. Keshri¹⁹, S. Thakur¹⁹, T. Cheng²⁰, T. Javaid²⁰, L. Yuan²⁰, Z. Hu²¹, J. Liu²¹, K. Yi^{21,22,27,1}, G. M. Chen^{23,24}, H. S. Chen^{23,24}, M. Chen^{23,24}, F. Iemmi²³, C. H. Jiang²³, A. Kapoor^{23,25}, H. Liao²³, Z.-A. Liu^{23,24}, R. Sharma^{23,26}, J. N. Song^{23,24}, J. Tao²³, C. Wang^{23,24}, J. Wang²³, Z. Wang^{23,24}, H. Zhang²³, A. Agapitos²⁷, Y. Ban²⁷, A. Levin²⁷, C. Li²⁷, Q. Li²⁷, Y. Mao²⁷, S. J. Qian²⁷, X. Sun²⁷, D. Wang²⁷, H. Yang²⁷, L. Zhang²⁷, C. Zhou²⁷, Z. You²⁸, K. Jaffel²⁹, N. Lu²⁹, G. Bauer^{22,27,2}, X. Gao^{9,30}, D. Leggat³⁰, H. Okawa³⁰, Z. Lin³¹, C. Lu³¹, M. Xiao³¹, C. Avila³², D. A. Barbosa Trujillo³², A. Cabrera³², C. Florez³², J. Fraga³², J. A. Reyes Vega³², J. Mejia Guisao³³, F. Ramirez³³, M. Rodriguez³³, J. D. Ruiz Alvarez³³, D. Giljanovic³⁴, N. Godinovic³⁴, D. Lelas³⁴, A. Sculac³⁴, M. Kovac³⁵, T. Sculac³⁵, P. Bargassa³⁶, V. Brigljevic³⁶, B. K. Chitroda³⁶, D. Ferencek³⁶, K. Jakovcic³⁶, S. Mishra³⁶, A. Starodumov^{36,280}, T. Susa³⁶, A. Attikis³⁷, K. Christoforou³⁷, S. Konstantinou³⁷, J. Mousa³⁷, C. Nicolaou³⁷, F. Ptochos³⁷, P. A. Razis³⁷, H. Rykaczewski³⁷, H. Saka³⁷, A. Stepennov³⁷, M. Finger³⁸, M. Finger Jr³⁸, A. Kveton³⁸, E. Ayala³⁹, E. Carrera Jarrin⁴⁰, A. A. Abdelalim^{41,42,273}, E. Salama^{41,43,274}, M. A. Mahmoud⁴⁴, Y. Mohammed⁴⁴, K. Ehataht⁴⁵, M. Kadastik⁴⁵, T. Lange⁴⁵, S. Nandan⁴⁵, C. Nielsen⁴⁵, J. Pata⁴⁵, M. Raidal⁴⁵, L. Tani⁴⁵, C. Veelken⁴⁵, H. Kirschenmann⁴⁶, K. Osterberg⁴⁶, M. Voutilainen⁴⁶, S. Bharthuar⁴⁷, E. Brücken⁴⁷, F. Garcia⁴⁷, K. T. S. Kallonen⁴⁷, R. Kinnunen⁴⁷, T. Lampén⁴⁷, K. Lassila-Perini⁴⁷, S. Lehti⁴⁷, T. Lindén⁴⁷, L. Martikainen⁴⁷, M. Myllymäki⁴⁷, M. M. Rantanen⁴⁷, H. Siikonen⁴⁷, E. Tuominen⁴⁷, J. Tuominiemi⁴⁷, P. Luukka⁴⁸, H. Petrow⁴⁸, M. Besancon⁴⁹, F. Couderc⁴⁹, M. Dejardin⁴⁹, D. Denegri⁴⁹, J. L. Faure⁴⁹, F. Ferri⁴⁹, S. Ganjour⁴⁹, P. Gras⁴⁹, G. Hamel de Monchenault⁴⁹, V. Lohezic⁴⁹, J. Malcles⁴⁹, J. Rander⁴⁹, A. Rosowsky⁴⁹

M. Ö. Sahin⁴⁹, A. Savoy-Navarro^{49,50}, P. Simkina⁴⁹, M. Titov⁴⁹, M. Tornago⁴⁹, C. Baldenegro Barrera⁵¹, F. Beaudette⁵¹, A. Buchot Perraguin⁵¹, P. Busson⁵¹, A. Cappati⁵¹, C. Charlot⁵¹, M. Chiusi⁵¹, F. Damas⁵¹, O. Davignon⁵¹, A. De Wit⁵¹, B. A. Fontana Santos Alves⁵¹, S. Ghosh⁵¹, A. Gilbert⁵¹, R. Granier de Cassagnac⁵¹, A. Hakimi⁵¹, B. Harikrishnan⁵¹, L. Kalipoliti⁵¹, G. Liu⁵¹, J. Motta⁵¹, M. Nguyen⁵¹, C. Ochando⁵¹, L. Portales⁵¹, R. Salerno⁵¹, J. B. Sauvan⁵¹, Y. Sirois⁵¹, A. Tarabini⁵¹, E. Vernazza⁵¹, A. Zabi⁵¹, A. Zghiche⁵¹, J.-L. Agram^{52,53}, J. Andrea⁵², D. Appar⁵², D. Bloch⁵², J.-M. Brom⁵², E. C. Chabert⁵², C. Collard⁵², S. Falke⁵², U. Goerlach⁵², C. Grimault⁵², R. Haeberle⁵², A.-C. Le Bihan⁵², M. Meena⁵², G. Saha⁵², M. A. Sessini⁵², P. Van Hove⁵², S. Beauceron⁵⁴, B. Blancon⁵⁴, G. Boudoul⁵⁴, N. Chanon⁵⁴, J. Choi⁵⁴, D. Contardo⁵⁴, P. Depasse⁵⁴, C. Dozen^{21,54}, H. El Mamouni⁵⁴, J. Fay⁵⁴, S. Gascon⁵⁴, M. Gouzevitch⁵⁴, C. Greenberg⁵⁴, G. Grenier⁵⁴, B. Ille⁵⁴, I. B. Laktineh⁵⁴, M. Lethuillier⁵⁴, L. Mirabito⁵⁴, S. Perries⁵⁴, A. Purohit⁵⁴, M. Vander Donckt⁵⁴, P. Verdier⁵⁴, J. Xiao⁵⁴, G. Adamov⁵⁵, I. Lomidze⁵⁵, Z. Tsamalaidze^{55,280}, V. Botta⁵⁶, L. Feld⁵⁶, K. Klein⁵⁶, M. Lipinski⁵⁶, D. Meuser⁵⁶, A. Pauls⁵⁶, N. Röwert⁵⁶, M. Teroerde⁵⁶, S. Diekmann⁵⁷, A. Dodonova⁵⁷, N. Eich⁵⁷, D. Eliseev⁵⁷, F. Engelke⁵⁷, J. Erdmann⁵⁷, M. Erdmann⁵⁷, P. Fackeldey⁵⁷, B. Fischer⁵⁷, T. Hebbeker⁵⁷, K. Hoepfner⁵⁷, F. Ivone⁵⁷, A. Jung⁵⁷, M. Y. Lee⁵⁷, F. Mausolf⁵⁷, M. Merschmeyer⁵⁷, A. Meyer⁵⁷, S. Mukherjee⁵⁷, D. Noll⁵⁷, F. Nowotny⁵⁷, A. Pozdnyakov⁵⁷, Y. Rath⁵⁷, W. Redjeb⁵⁷, F. Rehm⁵⁷, H. Reithler⁵⁷, U. Sarkar⁵⁷, V. Sarkisovi⁵⁷, A. Schmidt⁵⁷, A. Sharma⁵⁷, J. L. Spah⁵⁷, A. Stein⁵⁷, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{57,58}, L. Vigilante⁵⁷, S. Wiedenbeck⁵⁷, S. Zaleski⁵⁷, C. Dziwok⁵⁹, G. Flügge⁵⁹, W. Haj Ahmad^{59,60}, T. Kress⁵⁹, A. Nowack⁵⁹, O. Pooth⁵⁹, A. Stahl⁵⁹, T. Ziemons⁵⁹, A. Zotz⁵⁹, H. Aarup Petersen⁶¹, M. Aldaya Martin⁶¹, J. Alimena⁶¹, S. Amoroso⁶¹, Y. An⁶¹, S. Baxter⁶¹, M. Bayatmakou⁶¹, H. Becerril Gonzalez⁶¹, O. Behnke⁶¹, A. Belvedere⁶¹, S. Bhattacharya⁶¹, F. Blekman^{61,62}, K. Borrás^{57,61}, A. Campbell⁶¹, A. Cardini⁶¹, C. Cheng⁶¹, F. Colombina⁶¹, S. Consuegra Rodríguez⁶¹, G. Correia Silva⁶¹, M. De Silva⁶¹, G. Eckerlin⁶¹, D. Eckstein⁶¹, L. I. Estevez Banos⁶¹, O. Filatov⁶¹, E. Gallo^{61,62}, A. Geiser⁶¹, A. Giralaldi⁶¹, V. Guglielmi⁶¹, M. Guthoff⁶¹, A. Hinzmann⁶¹, A. Jafari^{61,63}, L. Jeppe⁶¹, N. Z. Jomhari⁶¹, B. Kaech⁶¹, M. Kasemann⁶¹, C. Kleinwort⁶¹, R. Kogler⁶¹, M. Komm⁶¹, D. Krücker⁶¹, W. Lange⁶¹, D. Leyva Pernia⁶¹, K. Lipka^{61,64}, W. Lohmann^{61,65}, R. Mankel⁶¹, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann⁶¹, M. Mendizabal Morentin⁶¹, A. B. Meyer⁶¹, G. Milella⁶¹, A. Mussgiller⁶¹, L. P. Nair⁶¹, A. Nürnberg⁶¹, Y. Otari⁶¹, J. Park⁶¹, D. Pérez Adán⁶¹, E. Ranken⁶¹, A. Raspereza⁶¹, B. Ribeiro Lopes⁶¹, J. Rübenach⁶¹, A. Saggio⁶¹, M. Scham^{57,61,66}, S. Schnake^{57,61}, P. Schütze⁶¹, C. Schwanenberger^{61,62}, D. Selivanova⁶¹, K. Sharko⁶¹, M. Shchedrolosiev⁶¹, R. E. Sosa Ricardo⁶¹, D. Stafford⁶¹, F. Vazzoler⁶¹, A. Ventura Barroso⁶¹, R. Walsh⁶¹, Q. Wang⁶¹, Y. Wen⁶¹, K. Wichmann⁶¹, L. Wiens^{57,61}, C. Wissing⁶¹, Y. Yang⁶¹, A. Zimmermann Castro Santos⁶¹, A. Albrecht⁶², S. Albrecht⁶², M. Antonello⁶², S. Bein⁶², L. Benato⁶², S. Bollweg⁶², M. Bonanomi⁶², P. Connor⁶², M. Eich⁶², K. El Morabit⁶², Y. Fischer⁶², C. Garbers⁶², E. Garutti⁶², A. Grohsjean⁶², J. Haller⁶², H. R. Jabusch⁶², G. Kasieczka⁶², P. Keicher⁶², R. Klanner⁶², W. Korcari⁶², T. Kramer⁶², V. Kutzner⁶², F. Labe⁶², J. Lange⁶², A. Lobanov⁶², C. Matthies⁶², A. Mehta⁶², L. Moureaux⁶², M. Mrowietz⁶², A. Nigamova⁶², Y. Nissan⁶², A. Paasch⁶², K. J. Pena Rodriguez⁶², T. Quadfasel⁶², B. Raciti⁶², M. Rieger⁶², D. Savoie⁶², J. Schindler⁶², P. Schleper⁶², M. Schröder⁶², J. Schwandt⁶², M. Sommerhalder⁶², H. Stadie⁶², G. Steinbrück⁶², A. Tews⁶², M. Wolf⁶², S. Brommer⁶⁷, M. Burkart⁶⁷, E. Butz⁶⁷, T. Chwalek⁶⁷, A. Dierlamm⁶⁷, A. Droll⁶⁷, N. Faltermann⁶⁷, M. Giffels⁶⁷, A. Gottmann⁶⁷, F. Hartmann^{67,68}, R. Hofsaess⁶⁷, M. Horzela⁶⁷, U. Husemann⁶⁷, J. Kieseler⁶⁷, M. Klute⁶⁷, R. Koppenhöfer⁶⁷, J. M. Lawhorn⁶⁷, M. Link⁶⁷, A. Lintuluoto⁶⁷, S. Maier⁶⁷, S. Mitra⁶⁷, M. Mormile⁶⁷, Th. Müller⁶⁷, M. Neukum⁶⁷, M. Oh⁶⁷, E. Pfeffer⁶⁷, M. Presilla⁶⁷, G. Quast⁶⁷, K. Rabbertz⁶⁷, B. Regnery⁶⁷, N. Shadskiy⁶⁷, I. Shvetsov⁶⁷, H. J. Simonis⁶⁷, M. Toms⁶⁷, N. Trevisani⁶⁷, R. F. Von Cube⁶⁷, M. Wassmer⁶⁷, S. Wieland⁶⁷, F. Wittig⁶⁷, R. Wolf⁶⁷, X. Zuo⁶⁷, G. Anagnostou⁶⁹, G. Daskalakis⁶⁹, A. Kyriakis⁶⁹, A. Papadopoulos^{68,69}, A. Stakia⁶⁹, P. Kontaxakis⁷⁰, G. Melachroinos⁷⁰, A. Panagiotou⁷⁰, I. Papavergou⁷⁰, I. Paraskevas⁷⁰, N. Saoulidou⁷⁰, K. Theofilatos⁷⁰, E. Tziaferi⁷⁰, K. Vellidis⁷⁰, I. Zisopoulos⁷⁰, G. Bakas⁷¹, T. Chatzistavrou⁷¹, G. Karapostoli⁷¹, K. Kousouris⁷¹, I. Papakrivopoulos⁷¹, E. Siamarkou⁷¹, G. Tsiopolitis⁷¹, A. Zacharopoulou⁷¹, K. Adamidis⁷², I. Bestintzanos⁷², I. Evangelou⁷², C. Foudas⁷², C. Kamtsikis⁷², P. Katsoulis⁷², P. Kokkas⁷², P. G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou⁷², N. Manthos⁷², I. Papadopoulos⁷², J. Strologas⁷², M. Bartók^{73,74}, C. Hajdu⁷³, D. Horvath^{73,75,275}, K. Márton⁷³, F. Sikler⁷³, V. Veszpremi⁷³, M. Csanád⁷⁶, K. Farkas⁷⁶, M. M. A. Gadallah^{76,77}, Á. Kadlecik⁷⁶, P. Major⁷⁶, K. Mandal⁷⁶, G. Pásztor⁷⁶, A. J. Rádl^{73,76}, G. I. Veres⁷⁶, P. Raics⁷⁸, B. Ujvari⁷⁸, G. Zilizi⁷⁸, G. Bencze⁷⁵, S. Czellar⁷⁵, J. Molnar⁷⁵, Z. Szillasi⁷⁵, T. Csorgo^{73,79}, F. Nemes^{73,79}, T. Novak⁷⁹, J. Babbar⁸⁰, S. Bansal⁸⁰, S. B. Beri⁸⁰, V. Bhatnagar⁸⁰, G. Chaudhary⁸⁰, S. Chauhan⁸⁰, N. Dhingra^{80,81}, A. Kaur⁸⁰, A. Kaur⁸⁰, H. Kaur⁸⁰, M. Kaur⁸⁰, S. Kumar⁸⁰, K. Sandeep⁸⁰, T. Sheokand⁸⁰, J. B. Singh⁸⁰, A. Singla⁸⁰, A. Ahmed⁸², A. Bhardwaj⁸², A. Chhetri⁸², B. C. Choudhary⁸², A. Kumar⁸², A. Kumar⁸², M. Naimuddin⁸², K. Ranjan⁸², S. Saumya⁸², S. Baradia⁸³, S. Barman^{83,84}, S. Bhattacharya⁸³, S. Dutta⁸³,

S. Dutta⁸³, S. Sarkar⁸³, M. M. Ameen⁸⁵, P. K. Behera⁸⁵, S. C. Behera⁸⁵, S. Chatterjee⁸⁵, P. Jana⁸⁵, P. Kalbhor⁸⁵, J. R. Komaragiri^{85,86}, D. Kumar^{85,86}, P. R. Pujahari⁸⁵, N. R. Saha⁸⁵, A. Sharma⁸⁵, A. K. Sikdar⁸⁵, S. Verma⁸⁵, S. Dugad⁸⁷, M. Kumar⁸⁷, G. B. Mohanty⁸⁷, P. Suryadevara⁸⁷, A. Bala⁸⁸, S. Banerjee⁸⁸, R. M. Chatterjee⁸⁸, R. K. Dewanjee^{88,89}, M. Guchait⁸⁸, Sh. Jain⁸⁸, A. Jaiswal⁸⁸, S. Karmakar⁸⁸, S. Kumar⁸⁸, G. Majumder⁸⁸, K. Mazumdar⁸⁸, S. Parolia⁸⁸, A. Thachayath⁸⁸, S. Bahinipati^{90,91}, C. Kar⁹⁰, D. Maity^{90,92}, P. Mal⁹⁰, T. Mishra⁹⁰, V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{90,92}, K. Naskar^{90,92}, A. Nayak^{90,92}, P. Sadangi⁹⁰, P. Saha⁹⁰, S. K. Swain⁹⁰, S. Varghese^{90,92}, D. Vats^{90,92}, S. Acharya^{93,94}, A. Alpina⁹³, S. Dube⁹³, B. Gomber^{93,94}, B. Kansal⁹³, A. Laha⁹³, B. Sahu^{93,94}, S. Sharma⁹³, K. Y. Vaish⁹³, H. Bakhshiansohi^{61,63}, E. Khazaie^{63,95}, M. Zeinali^{63,96}, S. Chenarani^{97,98}, S. M. Etesami⁹⁷, M. Khakzad⁹⁷, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi⁹⁷, M. Grunewald⁹⁹, M. Abbrescia^{100,101}, R. Aly^{42,100,102}, A. Colaleo^{100,101}, D. Creanza^{100,102}, B. D'Anzi^{100,101}, N. De Filippis^{100,102}, M. De Palma^{100,101}, A. Di Florio^{100,102}, W. Elmetenawee^{42,100,101}, L. Fiore¹⁰⁰, G. Iaselli^{100,102}, M. Louka^{100,101}, G. Maggi^{100,102}, M. Maggi¹⁰⁰, I. Margjeka^{100,101}, V. Mastrapasqua^{100,101}, S. My^{100,101}, S. Nuzzo^{100,101}, A. Pellecchia^{100,101}, A. Pompili^{100,101}, G. Pugliese^{100,102}, R. Radogna¹⁰⁰, G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{100,102}, D. Ramos¹⁰⁰, A. Ranieri¹⁰⁰, L. Silvestris¹⁰⁰, F. M. Simone^{100,101}, Ü. Sözbilir¹⁰⁰, A. Stamerra¹⁰⁰, R. Venditti¹⁰⁰, P. Verwilligen¹⁰⁰, A. Zaza^{100,101}, C. Battilana^{103,104}, D. Bonacorsi^{103,104}, L. Borgonovi¹⁰³, R. Campanini^{103,104}, P. Capiluppi^{103,104}, A. Castro^{103,104}, F. R. Cavallo¹⁰³, M. Cuffiani^{103,104}, G. M. Dallavalle¹⁰³, T. Diotallevi^{103,104}, F. Fabbri¹⁰³, A. Fanfani^{103,104}, D. Fasanella^{103,104}, P. Giacomelli¹⁰³, L. Giommi^{103,104}, C. Grandi¹⁰³, L. Guiducci^{103,104}, S. Lo Meo^{103,105}, L. Lunerti^{103,104}, S. Marcellini¹⁰³, G. Masetti¹⁰³, F. L. Navarra^{103,104}, A. Perrotta¹⁰³, F. Primavera^{103,104}, A. M. Rossi^{103,104}, T. Rovelli^{103,104}, G. P. Siroli^{103,104}, S. Costa^{106,107,108}, A. Di Mattia¹⁰⁶, R. Potenza^{106,107}, A. Tricomi^{106,107,108}, C. Tuve^{106,107}, P. Assiouras¹⁰⁹, G. Barbagli¹⁰⁹, G. Bardelli^{109,110}, B. Camaiani^{109,110}, A. Cassese¹⁰⁹, R. Ceccarelli¹⁰⁹, V. Ciulli^{109,110}, C. Civinini¹⁰⁹, R. D'Alessandro^{109,110}, E. Focardi^{109,110}, T. Kello¹⁰⁹, G. Latino^{109,110}, P. Lenzi^{109,110}, M. Lizzo¹⁰⁹, M. Meschini¹⁰⁹, S. Paoletti¹⁰⁹, A. Papanastassiou^{109,110}, G. Sguazzoni¹⁰⁹, L. Viliani¹⁰⁹, L. Benussi¹¹¹, S. Bianco¹¹¹, S. Meola^{111,112}, D. Piccolo¹¹¹, P. Chatagnon¹¹³, F. Ferro¹¹³, E. Robutti¹¹³, S. Tosi^{113,114}, A. Benaglia¹¹⁵, G. Boldrini^{115,116}, F. Brivio¹¹⁵, F. Ceteorelli¹¹⁵, F. De Guio^{115,116}, M. E. Dinardo^{115,116}, P. Dini¹¹⁵, S. Gennai¹¹⁵, R. Gerosa^{115,116}, A. Ghezzi^{115,116}, P. Govoni^{115,116}, L. Guzzi¹¹⁵, M. T. Lucchini^{115,116}, M. Malberti¹¹⁵, S. Malvezzi¹¹⁵, A. Massironi¹¹⁵, D. Menasce¹¹⁵, L. Moroni¹¹⁵, M. Paganoni^{115,116}, D. Pedrini¹¹⁵, B. S. Pinolini¹¹⁵, S. Ragazzi^{115,116}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{115,116}, D. Zuolo¹¹⁵, S. Buontempo¹¹⁷, A. Cagnotta^{117,118}, F. Carnevali^{117,118}, N. Cavallo^{117,119}, F. Fabozzi^{117,119}, A. O. M. Iorio^{117,118}, L. Lista^{117,118,120}, P. Paolucci^{68,117}, B. Rossi¹¹⁷, C. Sciacca^{117,118}, R. Ardino¹²¹, P. Azzi¹²¹, N. Bacchetta^{121,122}, A. Bergnoli¹²¹, M. Biasotto^{121,123}, D. Bisello^{121,124}, P. Bortignon¹²¹, G. Bortolato^{121,124}, A. Bragagnolo^{121,124}, R. Carlin^{121,124}, P. Checchia¹²¹, T. Dorigo¹²¹, F. Gasparini^{121,124}, U. Gasparini^{121,124}, E. Lusiani¹²¹, M. Margoni^{121,124}, F. Marini¹²¹, A. T. Meneguzzo^{121,124}, M. Migliorini^{121,124}, J. Pazzini^{121,124}, P. Ronchese^{121,124}, R. Rossin^{121,124}, G. Strong¹²¹, M. Tosi^{121,124}, A. Triossi^{121,124}, S. Ventura¹²¹, H. Yarar^{121,124}, M. Zanetti^{121,124}, P. Zotto^{121,124}, A. Zucchetta^{121,124}, S. Abu Zeid^{125,274}, C. Aimè^{125,126}, A. Braghieri¹²⁵, S. Calzaferri¹²⁵, D. Fiorina¹²⁵, P. Montagna^{125,126}, V. Re¹²⁵, C. Riccardi^{125,126}, P. Salvini¹²⁵, I. Vai^{125,126}, P. Vitulo^{125,126}, S. Ajmal^{127,128}, G. M. Bilei¹²⁷, D. Ciangottini^{127,128}, L. Fanò^{127,128}, M. Magherini^{127,128}, G. Mantovani^{127,128}, V. Mariani^{127,128}, M. Menichelli¹²⁷, F. Moscatelli^{127,129}, A. Rossi^{127,128}, A. Santocchia^{127,128}, D. Spiga¹²⁷, T. Tedeschi^{127,128}, P. Asenov^{130,131}, P. Azzurri¹³⁰, G. Bagliesi¹³⁰, R. Bhattacharya¹³⁰, L. Bianchini^{130,131}, T. Boccali¹³⁰, E. Bossini¹³⁰, D. Bruschini^{130,132}, R. Castaldi¹³⁰, M. A. Ciocci^{130,131}, M. Cipriani^{130,131}, V. D'Amante^{130,133}, R. Dell'Orso¹³⁰, S. Donato¹³⁰, A. Giassi¹³⁰, F. Ligabue^{130,132}, D. Matos Figueiredo¹³⁰, A. Messineo^{130,131}, M. Musich^{130,131}, F. Palla¹³⁰, A. Rizzi^{130,131}, G. Rolandi^{130,132}, S. R. Chowdhury¹³⁰, T. Sarkar¹³⁰, A. Scribano¹³⁰, P. Spagnolo¹³⁰, R. Tenchini¹³⁰, G. Tonelli^{130,131}, N. Turini^{130,133}, A. Venturi¹³⁰, P. G. Verdini¹³⁰, P. Barria¹³⁴, C. Basile^{134,135}, M. Campana^{134,135}, F. Cavallari¹³⁴, L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{134,135}, D. Del Re^{134,135}, E. Di Marco¹³⁴, M. Diemoz¹³⁴, F. Errico^{134,135}, E. Longo^{134,135}, P. Meridiani¹³⁴, J. Mijuskovic^{134,135}, G. Organtini^{134,135}, F. Pandolfi¹³⁴, R. Paramatti^{134,135}, C. Quaranta^{134,135}, S. Rahatlou^{134,135}, C. Rovelli¹³⁴, F. Santanastasio^{134,135}, L. Soffi¹³⁴, N. Amapane^{136,137}, R. Arcidiacono^{136,138}, S. Argiro^{136,137}, M. Arneodo^{136,138}, N. Bartosik¹³⁶, R. Bellan^{136,137}, A. Bellora^{136,137}, C. Biino¹³⁶, C. Borca^{136,137}, N. Cartiglia¹³⁶, M. Costa^{136,137}, R. Covarelli^{136,137}, N. Demaria¹³⁶, L. Finco¹³⁶, M. Grippo^{136,137}, B. Kiani^{136,137}, F. Legger¹³⁶, F. Luongo^{136,137}, C. Mariotti¹³⁶, L. Markovic^{136,137}, S. Maselli¹³⁶, A. Mecca^{136,137}, E. Migliore^{136,137}, M. Monteno¹³⁶, R. Mulargia¹³⁶, M. M. Obertino^{136,137}, G. Ortona¹³⁶, L. Pacher^{136,137}, N. Pastrone¹³⁶, M. Pelliccioni¹³⁶, M. Ruspa^{136,138}, F. Siviero^{136,137}, V. Sola^{136,137}, A. Solano^{136,137}, A. Staiano¹³⁶, C. Tarricone^{136,137}, D. Trocino¹³⁶, G. Umoret^{136,137}, E. Vlasov^{136,137}, S. Belforte¹³⁹, V. Candelise^{139,140}, M. Casarsa¹³⁹, F. Cossutti¹³⁹, K. De Leo^{139,140}, G. Della Ricca^{139,140}, S. Dogra¹⁴¹, J. Hong¹⁴¹, C. Huh¹⁴¹,

B. Kim¹⁴¹, D. H. Kim¹⁴¹, J. Kim¹⁴¹, H. Lee¹⁴¹, S. W. Lee¹⁴¹, C. S. Moon¹⁴¹, Y. D. Oh¹⁴¹, M. S. Ryu¹⁴¹, S. Sekmen¹⁴¹, Y. C. Yang¹⁴¹, M. S. Kim¹⁴², G. Bak¹⁴³, P. Gwak¹⁴³, H. Kim¹⁴³, D. H. Moon¹⁴³, E. Asilar¹⁴⁴, D. Kim¹⁴⁴, T. J. Kim¹⁴⁴, J. A. Merlin¹⁴⁴, S. Choi¹⁴⁵, S. Han¹⁴⁵, B. Hong¹⁴⁵, K. Lee¹⁴⁵, K. S. Lee¹⁴⁵, S. Lee¹⁴⁵, J. Park¹⁴⁵, S. K. Park¹⁴⁵, J. Yoo¹⁴⁵, J. Goh¹⁴⁶, S. Yang¹⁴⁶, H. S. Kim¹⁴⁷, Y. Kim¹⁴⁷, S. Lee¹⁴⁷, J. Almond¹⁴⁸, J. H. Bhyun¹⁴⁸, J. Choi¹⁴⁸, W. Jun¹⁴⁸, J. Kim¹⁴⁸, S. Ko¹⁴⁸, H. Kwon¹⁴⁸, H. Lee¹⁴⁸, J. Lee¹⁴⁸, J. Lee¹⁴⁸, B. H. Oh¹⁴⁸, S. B. Oh¹⁴⁸, H. Seo¹⁴⁸, U. K. Yang¹⁴⁸, I. Yoon¹⁴⁸, W. Jang¹⁴⁹, D. Y. Kang¹⁴⁹, Y. Kang¹⁴⁹, S. Kim¹⁴⁹, B. Ko¹⁴⁹, J. S. H. Lee¹⁴⁹, Y. Lee¹⁴⁹, I. C. Park¹⁴⁹, Y. Roh¹⁴⁹, I. J. Watson¹⁴⁹, S. Ha¹⁵⁰, H. D. Yoo¹⁵⁰, M. Choi¹⁵¹, M. R. Kim¹⁵¹, H. Lee¹⁵¹, Y. Lee¹⁵¹, I. Yu¹⁵¹, T. Beyrouthy¹⁵², K. Dreimanis¹⁵³, A. Gaile¹⁵³, G. Pikurs¹⁵³, A. Potrebko¹⁵³, M. Seidel¹⁵³, V. Veckalns¹⁵³, N. R. Strautnieks¹⁵⁴, M. Ambrozys¹⁵⁵, A. Juodagalvis¹⁵⁵, A. Rinkevicius¹⁵⁵, G. Tamulaitis¹⁵⁵, N. Bin Norjoharuddeen¹⁵⁶, I. Yusuff^{156,157}, Z. Zolkapli¹⁵⁶, J. F. Benitez¹⁵⁸, A. Castaneda Hernandez¹⁵⁸, H. A. Encinas Acosta¹⁵⁸, L. G. Gallegos Maríñez¹⁵⁸, M. León Coello¹⁵⁸, J. A. Murillo Quijada¹⁵⁸, A. Sehrawat¹⁵⁸, L. Valencia Palomo¹⁵⁸, G. Ayala¹⁵⁹, H. Castilla-Valdez¹⁵⁹, H. Crotte Ledesma¹⁵⁹, E. De La Cruz-Burelo¹⁵⁹, I. Heredia-De La Cruz^{159,160}, R. Lopez-Fernandez¹⁵⁹, C. A. Mondragon Herrera¹⁵⁹, A. Sánchez Hernández¹⁵⁹, C. Oropeza Barrera¹⁶¹, M. Ramírez García¹⁶¹, I. Bautista¹⁶², I. Pedraza¹⁶², H. A. Salazar Ibarquen¹⁶², C. Uribe Estrada¹⁶², I. Bujanja¹⁶³, N. Raicevic¹⁶³, P. H. Butler¹⁶⁴, A. Ahmad¹⁶⁵, M. I. Asghar¹⁶⁵, A. Awais¹⁶⁵, M. I. M. Awan¹⁶⁵, H. R. Hoorani¹⁶⁵, W. A. Khan¹⁶⁵, V. Avati¹⁶⁶, L. Grzanka¹⁶⁶, M. Malawski¹⁶⁶, H. Bialkowska¹⁶⁷, M. Bluj¹⁶⁷, B. Boimska¹⁶⁷, M. Górski¹⁶⁷, M. Kazana¹⁶⁷, M. Szleper¹⁶⁷, P. Zalewski¹⁶⁷, K. Bunkowski¹⁶⁸, K. Doroba¹⁶⁸, A. Kalinowski¹⁶⁸, M. Konecki¹⁶⁸, J. Krolikowski¹⁶⁸, A. Muhammad¹⁶⁸, K. Pozniak¹⁶⁹, W. Zabolotny¹⁶⁹, M. Araujo¹⁷⁰, D. Bastos¹⁷⁰, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva¹⁷⁰, A. Boletti¹⁷⁰, M. Bozzo¹⁷⁰, T. Camposi¹⁷⁰, G. Da Molin¹⁷⁰, P. Faccioli¹⁷⁰, M. Gallinaro¹⁷⁰, J. Hollar¹⁷⁰, N. Leonardo¹⁷⁰, T. Niknejad¹⁷⁰, A. Petrilli¹⁷⁰, M. Pisano¹⁷⁰, J. Seixas¹⁷⁰, J. Varela¹⁷⁰, J. W. Wulff¹⁷⁰, P. Adzic¹⁷¹, P. Milenovic¹⁷¹, M. Dordevic¹⁷², J. Milosevic¹⁷², V. Rekovic¹⁷², M. Aguilar-Benitez¹⁷³, J. Alcaraz Maestre¹⁷³, Cristina F. Bedoya¹⁷³, M. Cepeda¹⁷³, M. Cerrada¹⁷³, N. Colino¹⁷³, B. De La Cruz¹⁷³, A. Delgado Peris¹⁷³, A. Escalante Del Valle¹⁷³, D. Fernández Del Val¹⁷³, J. P. Fernández Ramos¹⁷³, J. Flix¹⁷³, M. C. Fouz¹⁷³, O. Gonzalez Lopez¹⁷³, S. Goy Lopez¹⁷³, J. M. Hernandez¹⁷³, M. I. Josa¹⁷³, D. Moran¹⁷³, C. M. Morcillo Perez¹⁷³, Á. Navarro Tobar¹⁷³, C. Perez Dengra¹⁷³, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo¹⁷³, J. Puerta Pelayo¹⁷³, I. Redondo¹⁷³, D. D. Redondo Ferrero¹⁷³, L. Romero¹⁷³, S. Sánchez Navas¹⁷³, L. Urda Gómez¹⁷³, J. Vazquez Escobar¹⁷³, C. Willmott¹⁷³, J. F. de Trocóniz¹⁷⁴, B. Alvarez Gonzalez¹⁷⁵, J. Cuevas¹⁷⁵, J. Fernandez Menendez¹⁷⁵, S. Folgueras¹⁷⁵, I. Gonzalez Caballero¹⁷⁵, J. R. González Fernández¹⁷⁵, E. Palencia Cortezon¹⁷⁵, C. Ramón Álvarez¹⁷⁵, V. Rodríguez Bouza¹⁷⁵, A. Soto Rodríguez¹⁷⁵, A. Trapote¹⁷⁵, C. Vico Villalba¹⁷⁵, P. Vischia¹⁷⁵, S. Bhowmik¹⁷⁶, S. Blanco Fernández¹⁷⁶, J. A. Brochero Cifuentes¹⁷⁶, I. J. Cabrillo¹⁷⁶, A. Calderon¹⁷⁶, J. Duarte Campderros¹⁷⁶, M. Fernandez¹⁷⁶, G. Gomez¹⁷⁶, C. Lasasosa García¹⁷⁶, C. Martinez Rivero¹⁷⁶, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol¹⁷⁶, F. Matorras¹⁷⁶, P. Matorras Cuevas¹⁷⁶, E. Navarrete Ramos¹⁷⁶, J. Piedra Gomez¹⁷⁶, L. Scodellaro¹⁷⁶, I. Vila¹⁷⁶, J. M. Vizan Garcia¹⁷⁶, M. K. Jayananda¹⁷⁷, B. Kailasapathy^{177,178}, D. U. J. Sonnadara¹⁷⁷, D. D. C. Wickramaratna¹⁷⁷, W. G. D. Dharmaratna^{179,180}, K. Liyanage¹⁷⁹, N. Perera¹⁷⁹, N. Wickramage¹⁷⁹, D. Abbaneo⁶⁸, C. Amendola⁶⁸, E. Auffray⁶⁸, G. Auzinger⁶⁸, J. Baechler⁶⁸, D. Barney⁶⁸, A. Bermúdez Martínez⁶⁸, M. Bianco⁶⁸, B. Bilin⁶⁸, A. A. Bin Anuar⁶⁸, A. Bocci⁶⁸, C. Botta⁶⁸, E. Brondolin⁶⁸, C. Caillol⁶⁸, G. Cerminara⁶⁸, N. Chernyavskaya⁶⁸, D. d'Enterria⁶⁸, A. Dabrowski⁶⁸, A. David⁶⁸, A. De Roeck⁶⁸, M. M. Defranchis⁶⁸, M. Deile⁶⁸, M. Dobson⁶⁸, L. Forthomme⁶⁸, G. Franzoni⁶⁸, W. Funk⁶⁸, S. Giani⁶⁸, D. Gigi⁶⁸, K. Gill⁶⁸, F. Glege⁶⁸, L. Gouskos⁶⁸, M. Haranko⁶⁸, J. Hegeman⁶⁸, B. Huber⁶⁸, V. Innocente⁶⁸, T. James⁶⁸, P. Janot⁶⁸, O. Kaluzinska⁶⁸, S. Laurila⁶⁸, P. Lecoq⁶⁸, E. Leutgeb⁶⁸, C. Lourenço⁶⁸, B. Maier⁶⁸, L. Malgeri⁶⁸, M. Mannelli⁶⁸, A. C. Marini⁶⁸, M. Matthewman⁶⁸, F. Meijers⁶⁸, S. Mersi⁶⁸, E. Meschi⁶⁸, V. Milosevic⁶⁸, F. Monti⁶⁸, F. Moortgat⁶⁸, M. Mulders⁶⁸, I. Neutelings⁶⁸, S. Orfanelli⁶⁸, F. Pantaleo⁶⁸, G. Petrucciani⁶⁸, A. Pfeiffer⁶⁸, M. Pierini⁶⁸, D. Piparo⁶⁸, H. Qu⁶⁸, D. Rabady⁶⁸, G. Reales Gutiérrez⁶⁸, M. Rovere⁶⁸, H. Sakulin⁶⁸, S. Scarfi⁶⁸, C. Schwick⁶⁸, M. Selvaggi⁶⁸, A. Sharma⁶⁸, K. Shchelina⁶⁸, P. Silva⁶⁸, P. Sphicas^{68,70}, A. G. Stahl Leiton⁶⁸, A. Steen⁶⁸, S. Summers⁶⁸, D. Treille⁶⁸, P. Tropea⁶⁸, A. Tsiros⁶⁸, D. Walter⁶⁸, J. Wanczyk^{68,181}, J. Wang⁶⁸, S. Wuchterl⁶⁸, P. Zehetner⁶⁸, P. Zexid⁶⁸, W. D. Zeuner⁶⁸, T. Bevilacqua^{182,183}, L. Caminada^{182,183}, A. Ebrahimi¹⁸², W. Erdmann¹⁸², R. Horisberger¹⁸², Q. Ingram¹⁸², H. C. Kaestli¹⁸², D. Kotlinski¹⁸², C. Lange¹⁸², M. Missiroli^{182,183}, L. Noehte^{182,183}, T. Rohe¹⁸², T. K. Aarrestad¹⁸⁴, K. Androsov^{181,184}, M. Backhaus¹⁸⁴, A. Calandri¹⁸⁴, C. Cazzaniga¹⁸⁴, K. Datta¹⁸⁴, A. De Cosa¹⁸⁴, G. Dissertori¹⁸⁴, M. Dittmar¹⁸⁴, M. Donegà¹⁸⁴, F. Eble¹⁸⁴, M. Galli¹⁸⁴, K. Gedia¹⁸⁴, F. Glessgen¹⁸⁴, C. Grab¹⁸⁴, D. Hits¹⁸⁴, W. Lustermann¹⁸⁴, A.-M. Lyon¹⁸⁴, R. A. Manzoni¹⁸⁴, M. Marchegiani¹⁸⁴, L. Marchese¹⁸⁴, C. Martin Perez¹⁸⁴, A. Mascellani^{181,184}, F. Nessi-Tedaldi¹⁸⁴, F. Pauss¹⁸⁴, V. Perovic¹⁸⁴, S. Pigazzini¹⁸⁴, C. Reissel¹⁸⁴, T. Reitenspiess¹⁸⁴, B. Ristic¹⁸⁴, F. Riti¹⁸⁴, R. Seidita¹⁸⁴, J. Steggemann^{181,184}, D. Valsecchi¹⁸⁴, R. Wallny¹⁸⁴, C. AMSler^{183,185}, P. Bärttschi¹⁸³

D. Brzhechko¹⁸³, M. F. Canelli¹⁸³, K. Cormier¹⁸³, J. K. Heikkilä¹⁸³, M. Huwiler¹⁸³, W. Jin¹⁸³, A. Jofrehei¹⁸³, B. Kilminster¹⁸³, S. Leontsinis¹⁸³, S. P. Liechti¹⁸³, A. Macchiolo¹⁸³, P. Meiring¹⁸³, U. Molinatti¹⁸³, A. Reimers¹⁸³, P. Robmann¹⁸³, S. Sanchez Cruz¹⁸³, M. Senger¹⁸³, F. Stäger¹⁸³, Y. Takahashi¹⁸³, R. Tramontano¹⁸³, C. Adloff^{186,187}, D. Bhowmik¹⁸⁶, C. M. Kuo¹⁸⁶, W. Lin¹⁸⁶, P. K. Rout¹⁸⁶, P. C. Tiwari^{86,186}, S. S. Yu¹⁸⁶, L. Ceard¹⁸⁸, Y. Chao¹⁸⁸, K. F. Chen¹⁸⁸, P. S. Chen¹⁸⁸, Z. G. Chen¹⁸⁸, A. De Iorio¹⁸⁸, W.-S. Hou¹⁸⁸, T. H. Hsu¹⁸⁸, Y. W. Kao¹⁸⁸, R. Khurana¹⁸⁸, G. Kole¹⁸⁸, Y. Y. Li¹⁸⁸, R.-S. Lu¹⁸⁸, E. Paganis¹⁸⁸, X. F. Su¹⁸⁸, J. Thomas-Wilsker¹⁸⁸, L. S. Tsai¹⁸⁸, H. Y. Wu¹⁸⁸, E. Yazgan¹⁸⁸, C. Asawatangtrakuldee¹⁸⁹, N. Srimanobhas¹⁸⁹, V. Wachirapusanand¹⁸⁹, D. Agyel¹⁹⁰, F. Boran¹⁹⁰, Z. S. Demiroglu¹⁹⁰, F. Dolek¹⁹⁰, I. Dumanoglu^{190,191}, E. Eskut¹⁹⁰, Y. Guler^{190,192}, E. Gurpinar Guler^{190,192}, C. Isik¹⁹⁰, O. Kara¹⁹⁰, A. Kayis Topaksu¹⁹⁰, U. Kiminsu¹⁹⁰, G. Onengut¹⁹⁰, K. Ozdemir^{190,193}, A. Polatoz¹⁹⁰, B. Tali^{190,194}, U. G. Tok¹⁹⁰, S. Turkcapar¹⁹⁰, E. Uslan¹⁹⁰, I. S. Zorbakir¹⁹⁰, M. Yalvac^{195,196}, B. Akgun¹⁹⁷, I. O. Atakisi¹⁹⁷, E. Gülmez¹⁹⁷, M. Kaya^{197,198}, O. Kaya^{197,199}, S. Tekten^{197,200}, A. Cakir²⁰¹, K. Cankocak^{191,201,276}, Y. Komurcu²⁰¹, S. Sen^{201,202}, O. Aydilek^{60,203}, S. Cerci^{194,203}, V. Epshteyn²⁰³, B. Haciasahinoglu²⁰³, I. Hos^{203,204}, B. Kaynak²⁰³, S. Ozkorucuklu²⁰³, O. Potok²⁰³, H. Sert²⁰³, C. Simsek²⁰³, C. Zorbilmez²⁰³, B. Isildak²⁰⁵, D. Sunar Cerci^{194,205}, A. Boyaryntsev²⁰⁶, B. Grynyov²⁰⁶, L. Levchuk²⁰⁷, D. Anthony²⁰⁸, J. J. Brooke²⁰⁸, A. Bundock²⁰⁸, F. Bury²⁰⁸, E. Clement²⁰⁸, D. Cussans²⁰⁸, H. Flacher²⁰⁸, M. Glowacki²⁰⁸, J. Goldstein²⁰⁸, H. F. Heath²⁰⁸, L. Kreczko²⁰⁸, S. Paramesvaran²⁰⁸, L. Robertshaw²⁰⁸, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey²⁰⁸, V. J. Smith²⁰⁸, N. Stylianou^{7,208}, K. Walkingshaw Pass²⁰⁸, R. White²⁰⁸, A. H. Ball²⁰⁹, K. W. Bell²⁰⁹, A. Belyaev^{209,210}, C. Brew²⁰⁹, R. M. Brown²⁰⁹, D. J. A. Cockerill²⁰⁹, C. Cooke²⁰⁹, K. V. Ellis²⁰⁹, K. Harder²⁰⁹, S. Harper²⁰⁹, M.-L. Holmberg^{208,209}, J. Linacre²⁰⁹, K. Manolopoulos²⁰⁹, D. M. Newbold²⁰⁹, E. Olaiya²⁰⁹, D. Petyt²⁰⁹, T. Reis²⁰⁹, A. R. Sahasransu²⁰⁹, G. Salvi²⁰⁹, T. Schuh²⁰⁹, C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous²⁰⁹, I. R. Tomalin²⁰⁹, T. Williams²⁰⁹, R. Bainbridge²¹¹, P. Bloch²¹¹, C. E. Brown²¹¹, O. Buchmuller²¹¹, V. Cacchio²¹¹, C. A. Carrillo Montoya²¹¹, G. S. Chahal^{211,212}, D. Colling²¹¹, J. S. Dancu²¹¹, I. Das²¹¹, P. Dauncey²¹¹, G. Davies²¹¹, J. Davies²¹¹, M. Della Negra²¹¹, S. Fayer²¹¹, G. Fedi²¹¹, G. Hall²¹¹, M. H. Hassanshahi²¹¹, A. Howard²¹¹, G. Iles²¹¹, M. Knight²¹¹, J. Langford²¹¹, J. León Holgado²¹¹, L. Lyons²¹¹, A.-M. Magnan²¹¹, S. Malik²¹¹, M. Mieskolainen²¹¹, J. Nash^{211,213}, M. Pesaresi²¹¹, B. C. Radburn-Smith²¹¹, A. Richards²¹¹, A. Rose²¹¹, K. Savva²¹¹, C. Seez²¹¹, R. Shukla²¹¹, A. Tapper²¹¹, K. Uchida²¹¹, G. P. Uttley²¹¹, L. H. Vage²¹¹, T. Virdee^{68,211}, M. Vojinovic²¹¹, N. Wardle²¹¹, D. Winterbottom²¹¹, K. Coldham²¹⁴, J. E. Cole²¹⁴, A. Khan²¹⁴, P. Kyberd²¹⁴, I. D. Reid²¹⁴, S. Abdullin²¹⁵, A. Brinkerhoff²¹⁵, B. Caraway²¹⁵, E. Collins²¹⁵, J. Dittmann²¹⁵, K. Hatakeyama²¹⁵, J. Hiltbrand²¹⁵, B. McMaster²¹⁵, M. Saunders²¹⁵, S. Sawant²¹⁵, C. Sutantawibul²¹⁵, J. Wilson²¹⁵, R. Bartek²¹⁶, A. Dominguez²¹⁶, C. Huerta Escamilla²¹⁶, A. E. Simsek²¹⁶, R. Uniyal²¹⁶, A. M. Vargas Hernandez²¹⁶, B. Bam²¹⁷, R. Chudasama²¹⁷, S. I. Cooper²¹⁷, S. V. Gleyzer²¹⁷, C. U. Perez²¹⁷, P. Rumerio^{137,217}, E. Usai²¹⁷, R. Yi²¹⁷, A. Akpinar²¹⁸, D. Arcaro²¹⁸, C. Cosby²¹⁸, Z. Demiragli²¹⁸, C. Erice²¹⁸, C. Fangmeier²¹⁸, C. Fernandez Madrazo²¹⁸, E. Fontanesi²¹⁸, D. Gastler²¹⁸, F. Golf²¹⁸, S. Jeon²¹⁸, I. Reed²¹⁸, J. Rohlf²¹⁸, K. Salyer²¹⁸, D. Sperka²¹⁸, D. Spitzbart²¹⁸, I. Suarez²¹⁸, A. Tsatsos²¹⁸, S. Yuan²¹⁸, A. G. Zecchinelli²¹⁸, G. Benelli²¹⁹, X. Coubez^{57,219}, D. Cutts²¹⁹, M. Hadley²¹⁹, U. Heintz²¹⁹, J. M. Hogan^{219,220}, T. Kwon²¹⁹, G. Landsberg²¹⁹, K. T. Lau²¹⁹, D. Li²¹⁹, J. Luo²¹⁹, S. Mondal²¹⁹, M. Narain^{219,277}, N. Pervan²¹⁹, S. Sagir^{219,221}, F. Simpson²¹⁹, M. Stamenkovic²¹⁹, X. Yan²¹⁹, W. Zhang²¹⁹, S. Abbott²²², J. Bonilla²²², C. Brainerd²²², R. Breedon²²², H. Cai²²², M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez²²², M. Chertok²²², M. Citron²²², J. Conway²²², P. T. Cox²²², R. Erbacher²²², F. Jensen²²², O. Kukral²²², G. Mocellin²²², M. Mulhearn²²², D. Pellett²²², W. Wei²²², Y. Yao²²², F. Zhang²²², M. Bachtis²²³, R. Cousins²²³, A. Datta²²³, G. Flores Avila²²³, J. Hauser²²³, M. Ignatenko²²³, M. A. Iqbal²²³, T. Lam²²³, E. Manca²²³, A. Nunez Del Prado²²³, D. Saltzberg²²³, V. Valuev²²³, R. Clare²²⁴, J. W. Gary²²⁴, M. Gordon²²⁴, G. Hanson²²⁴, W. Si²²⁴, S. Wimpenny^{224,278}, J. G. Branson²²⁵, S. Cittolin²²⁵, S. Cooperstein²²⁵, D. Diaz²²⁵, J. Duarte²²⁵, L. Giannini²²⁵, J. Guiang²²⁵, R. Kansal²²⁵, V. Krutelyov²²⁵, R. Lee²²⁵, J. Letts²²⁵, M. Masciovecchio²²⁵, F. Mokhtar²²⁵, S. Mukherjee²²⁵, M. Pieri²²⁵, M. Quinnan²²⁵, B. V. Sathia Narayanan²²⁵, V. Sharma²²⁵, M. Tadel²²⁵, E. Vourliotis²²⁵, F. Würthwein²²⁵, Y. Xiang²²⁵, A. Yagil²²⁵, A. Barzdukas²²⁶, L. Brennan²²⁶, C. Campagnari²²⁶, J. Incandela²²⁶, J. Kim²²⁶, A. J. Li²²⁶, P. Masterson²²⁶, H. Mei²²⁶, J. Richman²²⁶, U. Sarica²²⁶, R. Schmitz²²⁶, F. Setti²²⁶, J. Sheplock²²⁶, D. Stuart²²⁶, T. Á. Vámi²²⁶, S. Wang²²⁶, A. Bornheim²²⁷, O. Cerri²²⁷, A. Latorre²²⁷, J. Mao²²⁷, H. B. Newman²²⁷, M. Spiropulu²²⁷, J. R. Vlimant²²⁷, C. Wang²²⁷, S. Xie²²⁷, R. Y. Zhu²²⁷, J. Alison²²⁸, S. An²²⁸, M. B. Andrews²²⁸, P. Bryant²²⁸, M. Cremonesi²²⁸, V. Dutta²²⁸, T. Ferguson²²⁸, A. Harilal²²⁸, C. Liu²²⁸, T. Mudholkar²²⁸, S. Murthy²²⁸, P. Palit²²⁸, M. Paulini²²⁸, A. Roberts²²⁸, A. Sanchez²²⁸, W. Terrill²²⁸, J. P. Cumalat²²⁹, W. T. Ford²²⁹, A. Hart²²⁹, A. Hassani²²⁹, G. Karathanasis²²⁹, E. MacDonald²²⁹, N. Manganello²²⁹, A. Perloff²²⁹, C. Savard²²⁹, N. Schonbeck²²⁹, K. Stenson²²⁹, K. A. Ulmer²²⁹,

S. R. Wagner²²⁹, N. Zipper²²⁹, J. Alexander²³⁰, S. Bright-Thonney²³⁰, X. Chen²³⁰, D. J. Cranshaw²³⁰, J. Fan²³⁰, X. Fan²³⁰, D. Gadkari²³⁰, S. Hogan²³⁰, P. Kotamnives²³⁰, J. Monroy²³⁰, M. Oshiro²³⁰, J. R. Patterson²³⁰, J. Reichert²³⁰, M. Reid²³⁰, A. Ryd²³⁰, J. Thom²³⁰, P. Wittich²³⁰, R. Zou²³⁰, M. Albrow¹²², M. Alyari¹²², O. Amram¹²², G. Apollinari¹²², A. Apresyan¹²², L. A. T. Bauerdick¹²², D. Berry¹²², J. Berryhill¹²², P. C. Bhat¹²², K. Burkett¹²², J. N. Butler¹²², A. Canepa¹²², G. B. Cerati¹²², H. W. K. Cheung¹²², F. Chlebana¹²², G. Cummings¹²², J. Dickinson¹²², I. Dutta¹²², V. D. Elvira¹²², Y. Feng¹²², J. Freeman¹²², A. Gandrakota¹²², Z. Gecse¹²², L. Gray¹²², D. Green¹²², A. Grummer¹²², S. Grünendahl¹²², D. Guerrero¹²², O. Gutsche¹²², R. M. Harris¹²², R. Heller¹²², T. C. Herwig¹²², J. Hirschauer¹²², L. Horyn¹²², B. Jayatilaka¹²², S. Jindariani¹²², M. Johnson¹²², U. Joshi¹²², T. Klijsma¹²², B. Klima¹²², K. H. M. Kwok¹²², S. Lammel¹²², D. Lincoln¹²², R. Lipton¹²², T. Liu¹²², C. Madrid¹²², K. Maeshima¹²², C. Mantilla¹²², D. Mason¹²², P. McBride¹²², P. Merkel¹²², S. Mrenna¹²², S. Nahn¹²², J. Ngadiuba¹²², D. Noonan¹²², V. Papadimitriou¹²², N. Pastika¹²², K. Pedro¹²², C. Pena^{122,227}, F. Ravera¹²², A. Reinsvold Hall^{122,231}, L. Ristori¹²², E. Sexton-Kennedy¹²², N. Smith¹²², A. Soha¹²², L. Spiegel¹²², S. Stoynev¹²², J. Strait¹²², L. Taylor¹²², S. Tkaczyk¹²², N. V. Tran¹²², L. Uplegger¹²², E. W. Vaandering¹²², A. Whitbeck¹²², I. Zoi¹²², C. Aruta²³², P. Avery²³², D. Bourilkov²³², L. Cadamuro²³², P. Chang²³², V. Cherepanov²³², R. D. Field²³², E. Koenig²³², M. Kolosova²³², J. Konigsberg²³², A. Korytov²³², K. Matchev²³², N. Menendez²³², G. Mitselmakher²³², K. Mohrman²³², A. Muthirakalayil Madhu²³², N. Rawal²³², D. Rosenzweig²³², S. Rosenzweig²³², J. Wang²³², T. Adams²³³, A. Al Kadhimi²³³, A. Askew²³³, S. Bower²³³, R. Habibullah²³³, V. Hagopian²³³, R. Hashmi²³³, R. S. Kim²³³, S. Kim²³³, T. Kolberg²³³, G. Martinez²³³, H. Prosper²³³, P. R. Prova²³³, M. Wulansatiti²³³, R. Yohay²³³, J. Zhang²³³, B. Alsufyani²³⁴, M. M. Baarmand²³⁴, S. Butalla²³⁴, T. Elkafrawy^{234,274}, M. Hohlmann²³⁴, R. Kumar Verma²³⁴, M. Rahmani²³⁴, E. Yanes²³⁴, M. R. Adams²³⁵, A. Baty²³⁵, C. Bennett²³⁵, R. Cavanaugh²³⁵, R. Escobar Franco²³⁵, O. Evdokimov²³⁵, C. E. Gerber²³⁵, D. J. Hofman²³⁵, J. H. Lee²³⁵, D. S. Lemos²³⁵, A. H. Merrit²³⁵, C. Mills²³⁵, S. Nanda²³⁵, G. Oh²³⁵, B. Ozek²³⁵, D. Pilipovic²³⁵, R. Pradhan²³⁵, T. Roy²³⁵, S. Rudrabhatla²³⁵, M. B. Tonjes²³⁵, N. Varelas²³⁵, Z. Ye²³⁵, J. Yoo²³⁵, M. Alhusseini²³⁶, D. Blend²³⁶, K. Dilsiz^{236,237}, L. Emediato²³⁶, G. Karaman²³⁶, O. K. Köseyan²³⁶, J.-P. Merlo²³⁶, A. Mestvirishvili^{55,236}, J. Nachtman²³⁶, O. Neogi²³⁶, H. Ogul^{236,238}, Y. Onel²³⁶, A. Penzo²³⁶, C. Snyder²³⁶, E. Tiras^{236,239}, B. Blumenfeld²⁴⁰, L. Corcodilos²⁴⁰, J. Davis²⁴⁰, A. V. Gritsan²⁴⁰, L. Kang²⁴⁰, S. Kyriacou²⁴⁰, P. Maksimovic²⁴⁰, M. Roguljic²⁴⁰, J. Roskes²⁴⁰, S. Sekhar²⁴⁰, M. Swartz²⁴⁰, A. Abreu²⁴¹, L. F. Alcerro Alcerro²⁴¹, J. Anguiano²⁴¹, P. Baringer²⁴¹, A. Bean²⁴¹, Z. Flowers²⁴¹, D. Grove²⁴¹, J. King²⁴¹, G. Krintiras²⁴¹, M. Lazarovits²⁴¹, C. Le Mahieu²⁴¹, J. Marquez²⁴¹, N. Minafra²⁴¹, M. Murray²⁴¹, M. Nickel²⁴¹, M. Pitt²⁴¹, S. Popescu^{241,242}, C. Rogan²⁴¹, C. Royon²⁴¹, R. Salvatico²⁴¹, S. Sanders²⁴¹, C. Smith²⁴¹, Q. Wang²⁴¹, G. Wilson²⁴¹, B. Allmond²⁴³, A. Ivanov²⁴³, K. Kaadze²⁴³, A. Kalogeropoulos²⁴³, D. Kim²⁴³, Y. Maravin²⁴³, J. Natoli²⁴³, D. Roy²⁴³, G. Sorrentino²⁴³, F. Rebassoo²⁴⁴, D. Wright²⁴⁴, A. Baden²⁴⁵, A. Belloni²⁴⁵, Y. M. Chen²⁴⁵, S. C. Eno²⁴⁵, N. J. Hadley²⁴⁵, S. Jabeen²⁴⁵, R. G. Kellogg²⁴⁵, T. Koeth²⁴⁵, Y. Lai²⁴⁵, S. Lascio²⁴⁵, A. C. Mignerey²⁴⁵, S. Nabili²⁴⁵, C. Palmer²⁴⁵, C. Papageorgakis²⁴⁵, M. M. Paranjpe²⁴⁵, L. Wang²⁴⁵, J. Bendavid²⁴⁶, I. A. Cali²⁴⁶, M. D'Alfonso²⁴⁶, J. Eysermans²⁴⁶, C. Freer²⁴⁶, G. Gomez-Ceballos²⁴⁶, M. Goncharov²⁴⁶, G. Grosso²⁴⁶, P. Harris²⁴⁶, D. Hoang²⁴⁶, D. Kovalskyi²⁴⁶, J. Krupa²⁴⁶, L. Lavezzo²⁴⁶, Y.-J. Lee²⁴⁶, K. Long²⁴⁶, A. Novak²⁴⁶, C. Paus²⁴⁶, D. Rankin²⁴⁶, C. Roland²⁴⁶, G. Roland²⁴⁶, S. Rothman²⁴⁶, G. S. F. Stephens²⁴⁶, Z. Wang²⁴⁶, B. Wyslouch²⁴⁶, T. J. Yang²⁴⁶, B. Crossman²⁴⁷, B. M. Joshi²⁴⁷, C. Kapsiak²⁴⁷, M. Krohn²⁴⁷, D. Mahon²⁴⁷, J. Mans²⁴⁷, B. Marzocchi²⁴⁷, S. Pandey²⁴⁷, M. Revering²⁴⁷, R. Rusack²⁴⁷, R. Saradhy²⁴⁷, N. Schroeder²⁴⁷, N. Strobbe²⁴⁷, M. A. Wadud²⁴⁷, L. M. Cremaldi²⁴⁸, K. Bloom²⁴⁹, D. R. Claes²⁴⁹, G. Haza²⁴⁹, J. Hossain²⁴⁹, C. Joo²⁴⁹, I. Kravchenko²⁴⁹, J. E. Siado²⁴⁹, W. Tabb²⁴⁹, A. Vagnerini²⁴⁹, A. Wightman²⁴⁹, F. Yan²⁴⁹, D. Yu²⁴⁹, H. Bandyopadhyay²⁵⁰, L. Hay²⁵⁰, I. Iashvili²⁵⁰, A. Kharchilava²⁵⁰, M. Morris²⁵⁰, D. Nguyen²⁵⁰, S. Rappoccio²⁵⁰, H. Rejeb Sfar²⁵⁰, A. Williams²⁵⁰, G. Alverson²⁵¹, E. Barberis²⁵¹, J. Dervan²⁵¹, Y. Haddad²⁵¹, Y. Han²⁵¹, A. Krishna²⁵¹, J. Li²⁵¹, M. Lu²⁵¹, G. Madigan²⁵¹, R. McCarthy²⁵¹, D. M. Morse²⁵¹, V. Nguyen²⁵¹, T. Orimoto²⁵¹, A. Parker²⁵¹, L. Skinnari²⁵¹, B. Wang²⁵¹, D. Wood²⁵¹, S. Bhattacharya²⁵², J. Bueghly²⁵², Z. Chen²⁵², S. Dittmer²⁵², K. A. Hahn²⁵², Y. Liu²⁵², Y. Miao²⁵², D. G. Monk²⁵², M. H. Schmitt²⁵², A. Taliencio²⁵², M. Velasco²⁵², G. Agarwal²⁵³, R. Band²⁵³, R. Bucci²⁵³, S. Castells²⁵³, A. Das²⁵³, R. Goldouzian²⁵³, M. Hildreth²⁵³, K. W. Ho²⁵³, K. Hurtado Anampa²⁵³, T. Ivanov²⁵³, C. Jessop²⁵³, K. Lannon²⁵³, J. Lawrence²⁵³, N. Loukas²⁵³, L. Lutten²⁵³, J. Mariano²⁵³, N. Marinelli²⁵³, I. Mcalister²⁵³, T. McCauley²⁵³, C. Mcgrady²⁵³, C. Moore²⁵³, Y. Musienko^{253,280}, H. Nelson²⁵³, M. Osherson²⁵³, A. Piccinelli²⁵³, R. Ruchti²⁵³, A. Townsend²⁵³, Y. Wan²⁵³, M. Wayne²⁵³, H. Yockey²⁵³, M. Zarucki²⁵³, L. Zygala²⁵³, A. Basnet²⁵⁴, B. Bylsma²⁵⁴, M. Carrigan²⁵⁴, L. S. Durkin²⁵⁴, C. Hill²⁵⁴, M. Joyce²⁵⁴, M. Nunez Ornelas²⁵⁴, K. Wei²⁵⁴, B. L. Winer²⁵⁴, B. R. Yates²⁵⁴,

F. M. Addesa²⁵⁵, H. Bouchamaoui²⁵⁵, P. Das²⁵⁵, G. Dezoort²⁵⁵, P. Elmer²⁵⁵, A. Frankenthal²⁵⁵, B. Greenberg²⁵⁵, N. Haubrich²⁵⁵, G. Kopp²⁵⁵, S. Kwan²⁵⁵, D. Lange²⁵⁵, A. Loeliger²⁵⁵, D. Marlow²⁵⁵, I. Ojalvo²⁵⁵, J. Olsen²⁵⁵, A. Shevelev²⁵⁵, D. Stickland²⁵⁵, C. Tully²⁵⁵, S. Malik²⁵⁶, A. S. Bakshi⁵⁰, V. E. Barnes⁵⁰, S. Chandra⁵⁰, R. Chawla⁵⁰, S. Das⁵⁰, A. Gu⁵⁰, L. Gutay⁵⁰, M. Jones⁵⁰, A. W. Jung⁵⁰, D. Kondratyev⁵⁰, A. M. Koshy⁵⁰, M. Liu⁵⁰, G. Negro⁵⁰, N. Neumeister⁵⁰, G. Paspalaki⁵⁰, S. Piperov⁵⁰, V. Scheurer⁵⁰, J. F. Schulte⁵⁰, M. Stojanovic⁵⁰, J. Thieman⁵⁰, A. K. Viridi⁵⁰, F. Wang⁵⁰, W. Xie⁵⁰, J. Dolen²⁵⁷, N. Parashar²⁵⁷, A. Pathak²⁵⁷, D. Acosta²⁵⁸, T. Carnahan²⁵⁸, K. M. Ecklund²⁵⁸, P. J. Fernández Manteca²⁵⁸, S. Freed²⁵⁸, P. Gardner²⁵⁸, F. J. M. Geurts²⁵⁸, W. Li²⁵⁸, O. Miguel Colin²⁵⁸, B. P. Padley²⁵⁸, R. Redjimi²⁵⁸, J. Rotter²⁵⁸, E. Yigitbasi²⁵⁸, Y. Zhang²⁵⁸, A. Bodek²⁵⁹, P. de Barbaro²⁵⁹, R. Demina²⁵⁹, J. L. Dulemba²⁵⁹, A. Garcia-Bellido²⁵⁹, O. Hindrichs²⁵⁹, A. Khukhunaishvili²⁵⁹, N. Parmar²⁵⁹, P. Parygin^{259,281}, E. Popova^{259,281}, R. Taus²⁵⁹, K. Goulianos²⁶⁰, B. Chiarito²⁶¹, J. P. Chou²⁶¹, S. V. Clark²⁶¹, Y. Gershtein²⁶¹, E. Halkiadakis²⁶¹, M. Heindl²⁶¹, C. Houghton²⁶¹, D. Jaroslowski²⁶¹, O. Karacheban^{65,261}, I. Laflotte²⁶¹, A. Lath²⁶¹, R. Montalvo²⁶¹, K. Nash²⁶¹, H. Routray²⁶¹, S. Salur²⁶¹, S. Schnetzer²⁶¹, S. Somalwar²⁶¹, R. Stone²⁶¹, S. A. Thayil²⁶¹, S. Thomas²⁶¹, J. Vora²⁶¹, H. Wang²⁶¹, H. Acharya²⁶², D. Ally²⁶², A. G. Delannoy²⁶², S. Fiorendi²⁶², S. Higginbotham²⁶², T. Holmes²⁶², A. R. Kanuganti²⁶², N. Karunaratna²⁶², L. Lee²⁶², E. Nibigira²⁶², S. Spanier²⁶², D. Aebi²⁶³, M. Ahmad²⁶³, O. Bouhali^{263,264}, R. Eusebi²⁶³, J. Gilmore²⁶³, T. Huang²⁶³, T. Kamon^{141,263}, H. Kim²⁶³, S. Luo²⁶³, R. Mueller²⁶³, D. Overton²⁶³, D. Rathjens²⁶³, A. Safonov²⁶³, N. Akchurin²⁶⁵, J. Damgov²⁶⁵, V. Hegde²⁶⁵, A. Hussain²⁶⁵, Y. Kazhykarim²⁶⁵, K. Lamichhane²⁶⁵, S. W. Lee²⁶⁵, A. Mankel²⁶⁵, T. Peltola²⁶⁵, I. Volobouev²⁶⁵, E. Appelt²⁶⁶, Y. Chen²⁶⁶, S. Greene²⁶⁶, A. Gurrola²⁶⁶, W. Johns²⁶⁶, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli²⁶⁶, A. Melo²⁶⁶, F. Romeo²⁶⁶, P. Sheldon²⁶⁶, S. Tuo²⁶⁶, J. Velkovska²⁶⁶, J. Viinikainen²⁶⁶, B. Cardwell²⁶⁷, B. Cox²⁶⁷, J. Hakala²⁶⁷, R. Hirosky²⁶⁷, A. Ledovskoy²⁶⁷, C. Neu²⁶⁷, C. E. Perez Lara²⁶⁷, P. E. Karchin²⁶⁸, A. Aravind²⁶⁹, S. Banerjee²⁶⁹, K. Black²⁶⁹, T. Bose²⁶⁹, S. Dasu²⁶⁹, I. De Bruyn²⁶⁹, P. Everaerts²⁶⁹, C. Galloni²⁶⁹, H. He²⁶⁹, M. Herndon²⁶⁹, A. Herve²⁶⁹, C. K. Koraka²⁶⁹, A. Lanaro²⁶⁹, R. Loveless²⁶⁹, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala²⁶⁹, A. Mallampalli²⁶⁹, A. Mohammadi²⁶⁹, S. Mondal²⁶⁹, G. Parida²⁶⁹, L. Pétre²⁶⁹, D. Pinna²⁶⁹, A. Savin²⁶⁹, V. Shang²⁶⁹, V. Sharma²⁶⁹, W. H. Smith²⁶⁹, D. Teague²⁶⁹, H. F. Tsoi²⁶⁹, W. Vetens²⁶⁹, A. Warden²⁶⁹, S. Afanasiev²⁸², V. Andreev²⁸², Yu. Andreev²⁸², T. Aushev²⁸², M. Azarkin²⁸², A. Babaev²⁸², A. Belyaev²⁸², V. Blinov^{282,283}, E. Boos²⁸², V. Borshch²⁸², D. Budkouski²⁸², V. Chekhovsky²⁸², R. Chistov^{282,283}, M. Danilov^{282,283}, A. Dermenev²⁸², T. Dimova^{282,283}, D. Druzhkin^{5,282}, A. Ershov²⁸², G. Gavrillov²⁸², V. Gavrillov²⁸², S. Gninenko²⁸², V. Golovtsov²⁸², N. Golubev²⁸², I. Golutvin²⁸², I. Gorbunov²⁸², A. Gribushin²⁸², Y. Ivanov²⁸², V. Kachanov²⁸², A. Kaminskiy²⁸², V. Karjavine²⁸², A. Karneyev²⁸², L. Khein²⁸², V. Kim^{282,283}, M. Kirakosyan²⁸², D. Kirpichnikov²⁸², M. Kirsanov²⁸², O. Kodolova^{1,282}, D. Konstantinov²⁸², V. Korenkov²⁸², V. Korotkiikh²⁸², A. Kozyrev^{282,283}, N. Krasnikov²⁸², A. Lanev²⁸², P. Levchenko^{251,282}, N. Lychkovskaya²⁸², V. Makarenko²⁸², A. Malakhov²⁸², V. Matveev^{282,283}, V. Murzin²⁸², A. Nikitenko^{1,211,282}, S. Obraztsov²⁸², V. Oreshkin²⁸², V. Palichik²⁸², V. Perelygin²⁸², S. Petrushanko²⁸², S. Polikarpov^{282,283}, V. Popov²⁸², O. Radchenko^{282,283}, M. Savina²⁸², V. Savrin²⁸², V. Shalaev²⁸², S. Shmatov²⁸², S. Shulha²⁸², Y. Skovpen^{282,283}, S. Slabospitskii²⁸², V. Smirnov²⁸², A. Snigirev²⁸², D. Sosnov²⁸², V. Sulimov²⁸², E. Tcherniaev²⁸², A. Terkulov²⁸², O. Teryaev²⁸², I. Tlisova²⁸², A. Toropin²⁸², L. Uvarov²⁸², A. Uzunian²⁸², I. Vardanyan²⁸², A. Vorobyev^{279,282}, N. Voytishin²⁸², B. S. Yuldashev^{270,282}, A. Zarubin²⁸², I. Zhizhin²⁸² & A. Zhokin²⁸²

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia. ²Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia. ³Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria. ⁴TU Wien, Vienna, Austria. ⁵Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium. ⁶Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt. ⁷Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium. ⁸Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. ⁹Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium. ¹⁰Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. ¹¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ¹²Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ¹³Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil. ¹⁴Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil. ¹⁵UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil. ¹⁶Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil. ¹⁷Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria. ¹⁸University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria. ¹⁹Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica, Chile. ²⁰Beihang University, Beijing, China. ²¹Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China. ²²Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China. ²³Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China. ²⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ²⁵China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China. ²⁶China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China. ²⁷State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China. ²⁸Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ²⁹University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China. ³⁰Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ³¹Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. ³²Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia. ³³Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia. ³⁴University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia. ³⁵University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia. ³⁶Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia. ³⁷University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus. ³⁸Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. ³⁹Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador. ⁴⁰Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador. ⁴¹Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt. ⁴²Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt. ⁴³British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt. ⁴⁴Center for High

Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt. ⁴⁵National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia. ⁴⁶Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁴⁷Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland. ⁴⁸Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland. ⁴⁹IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ⁵⁰Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA. ⁵¹Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France. ⁵²Université de Strasbourg CNRS, Strasbourg, France. ⁵³Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France. ⁵⁴Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France. ⁵⁵Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia. ⁵⁶RWTH Aachen University I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany. ⁵⁷RWTH Aachen University III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany. ⁵⁸The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil. ⁵⁹RWTH Aachen University III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany. ⁶⁰Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey. ⁶¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany. ⁶²University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany. ⁶³Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran. ⁶⁴Bergische Universität Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany. ⁶⁵Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany. ⁶⁶Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany. ⁶⁷Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany. ⁶⁸CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁶⁹Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece. ⁷⁰National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ⁷¹National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ⁷²University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece. ⁷³HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary. ⁷⁴Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary. ⁷⁵Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary. ⁷⁶MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary. ⁷⁷Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt. ⁷⁸Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary. ⁷⁹Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary. ⁸⁰Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India. ⁸¹Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India. ⁸²University of Delhi, Delhi, India. ⁸³Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics HBNI, Kolkata, India. ⁸⁴University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India. ⁸⁵Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India. ⁸⁶Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India. ⁸⁷Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India. ⁸⁸Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India. ⁸⁹Birla Institute of Technology Mesra, Mesra, India. ⁹⁰National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. ⁹¹IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India. ⁹²Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India. ⁹³Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India. ⁹⁴University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India. ⁹⁵Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran. ⁹⁶Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. ⁹⁷Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran. ⁹⁸Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran. ⁹⁹University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. ¹⁰⁰INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy. ¹⁰¹Università di Bari, Bari, Italy. ¹⁰²Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy. ¹⁰³INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ¹⁰⁴Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ¹⁰⁵Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy. ¹⁰⁶INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy. ¹⁰⁷Università di Catania, Catania, Italy. ¹⁰⁸Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy. ¹⁰⁹INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy. ¹¹⁰Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy. ¹¹¹INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy. ¹¹²Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy. ¹¹³INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy. ¹¹⁴Università di Genova, Genova, Italy. ¹¹⁵INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy. ¹¹⁶Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy. ¹¹⁷INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy. ¹¹⁸Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy. ¹¹⁹Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy. ¹²⁰Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy. ¹²¹INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy. ¹²²Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA. ¹²³Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy. ¹²⁴Università di Padova, Padova, Italy. ¹²⁵INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ¹²⁶Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ¹²⁷INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy. ¹²⁸Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy. ¹²⁹Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche—Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy. ¹³⁰INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy. ¹³¹Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy. ¹³²Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy. ¹³³Università di Siena, Siena, Italy. ¹³⁴INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy. ¹³⁵Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy. ¹³⁶INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy. ¹³⁷Università di Torino, Torino, Italy. ¹³⁸Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy. ¹³⁹INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy. ¹⁴⁰Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy. ¹⁴¹Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea. ¹⁴²Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNU, Gangneung, Korea. ¹⁴³Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea. ¹⁴⁴Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁴⁵Korea University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁴⁶Department of Physics, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁴⁷Sejong University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁴⁸Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁴⁹University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁵⁰Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea. ¹⁵¹Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea. ¹⁵²College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait. ¹⁵³Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia. ¹⁵⁴University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia. ¹⁵⁵Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania. ¹⁵⁶National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ¹⁵⁷Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia. ¹⁵⁸Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico. ¹⁵⁹Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁶⁰Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁶¹Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁶²Benemerita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico. ¹⁶³University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro. ¹⁶⁴University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand. ¹⁶⁵National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. ¹⁶⁶AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland. ¹⁶⁷National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland. ¹⁶⁸Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland. ¹⁶⁹Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland. ¹⁷⁰Laboratório de Instrumenta,ção e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal. ¹⁷¹Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia. ¹⁷²VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia. ¹⁷³Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain. ¹⁷⁴Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. ¹⁷⁵Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain. ¹⁷⁶Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain. ¹⁷⁷University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka. ¹⁷⁸Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka. ¹⁷⁹Department of Physics, University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka. ¹⁸⁰Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka. ¹⁸¹Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁸²Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland. ¹⁸³Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland. ¹⁸⁴ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland. ¹⁸⁵Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria. ¹⁸⁶National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan. ¹⁸⁷Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France. ¹⁸⁸National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁸⁹High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. ¹⁹⁰Physics Department Science and Art Faculty, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey. ¹⁹¹Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey. ¹⁹²Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey. ¹⁹³Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey. ¹⁹⁴Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey. ¹⁹⁵Physics Department Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey. ¹⁹⁶Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey. ¹⁹⁷Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey. ¹⁹⁸Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey. ¹⁹⁹Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁰⁰Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey. ²⁰¹Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁰²Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey. ²⁰³Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁰⁴Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul University—Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁰⁵Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁰⁶Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine. ²⁰⁷National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine. ²⁰⁸University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. ²⁰⁹Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK. ²¹⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ²¹¹Imperial College, London, UK. ²¹²IPPP

Durham University, Durham, UK. ²¹³Faculty of Science, Monash University, Clayton, Australia. ²¹⁴Brunel University, Uxbridge, UK. ²¹⁵Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA. ²¹⁶Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA. ²¹⁷The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA. ²¹⁸Boston University, Boston, MA, USA. ²¹⁹Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. ²²⁰Bethel University, St. Paul, MN, USA. ²²¹Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey. ²²²University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA. ²²³University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ²²⁴University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA. ²²⁵University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ²²⁶Department of Physics, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA. ²²⁷California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. ²²⁸Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ²²⁹University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA. ²³⁰Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. ²³¹United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, USA. ²³²University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA. ²³³Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA. ²³⁴Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, USA. ²³⁵University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA, Chicago, USA. ²³⁶The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA. ²³⁷Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey. ²³⁸Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey. ²³⁹Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey. ²⁴⁰Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. ²⁴¹The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA. ²⁴²Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania. ²⁴³Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA. ²⁴⁴Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA. ²⁴⁵University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. ²⁴⁶Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ²⁴⁷University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA. ²⁴⁸University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA. ²⁴⁹University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA. ²⁵⁰State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA. ²⁵¹Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA. ²⁵²Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA. ²⁵³University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA. ²⁵⁴The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA. ²⁵⁵Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA. ²⁵⁶University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, USA. ²⁵⁷Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, IN, USA. ²⁵⁸Rice University, Houston, TX, USA. ²⁵⁹University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA. ²⁶⁰The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA. ²⁶¹Rutgers The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, USA. ²⁶²University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA. ²⁶³Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA. ²⁶⁴Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar. ²⁶⁵Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA. ²⁶⁶Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA. ²⁶⁷University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA. ²⁶⁸Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA. ²⁶⁹University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA. ²⁷⁰Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. ²⁷¹Present address: The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA. ²⁷²Present address: Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China. ²⁷³Present address: Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt. ²⁷⁴Present address: Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. ²⁷⁵Present address: Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizică, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. ²⁷⁶Present address: Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²⁷⁷Deceased: M. Narain. ²⁷⁸Deceased: S. Wimpenny. ²⁷⁹Deceased: A. Vorobyev. ²⁸⁰Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN: A. Starodumov, Z. Tsamalaidze, Y. Musienko. ²⁸¹Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN: P. Parygin, E. Popova. ²⁸²Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN: S. Afanasiev, V. Andreev, Yu. Andreev, T. Aushev, M. Azarkin, A. Babaev, A. Belyaev, V. Blinov, E. Boos, V. Borshch, D. Budkouski, V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov, M. Danilov, A. Dermenev, T. Dimova, D. Druzhkin, A. Ershov, G. Gavrillov, V. Gavrillov, S. Gninenko, V. Golovtsov, N. Golubev, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Gribushin, Y. Ivanov, V. Kachanov, A. Kaminskiy, V. Karjavine, A. Karneyev, L. Khein, V. Kim, M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov, M. Kirsanov, O. Kodolova, D. Konstantinov, V. Korenkov, V. Korotkiy, A. Kozyrev, N. Krasnikov, A. Lanev, P. Levchenko, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Makarenko, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev, V. Murzin, A. Nikitenko, S. Obraztsov, V. Oreshkin, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Petrushanko, S. Polikarpov, V. Popov, O. Radchenko, M. Savina, V. Savrin, V. Shalaev, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, Y. Skovpen, S. Slabospitskii, V. Smirnov, A. Snigirev, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, E. Tcherniaev, A. Terkulov, O. Teryaev, I. Tlisova, A. Topin, L. Uvarov, A. Uzunian, I. Vardanyan, A. Vorobyev, N. Voytishin, B. S. Yuldashev, A. Zarubin, I. Zhizhin, A. Zhokin. ²⁸³Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN: V. Blinov, R. Chistov, M. Danilov, T. Dimova, V. Kim, A. Kozyrev, V. Matveev, S. Polikarpov, O. Radchenko, Y. Skovpen.