

Characterization of discharge capillaries via benchmarked hydrodynamic plasma simulations

S. M. Mewes^{1,2,*}, G. J. Boyle³, R. D'Arcy^{1,†}, J. M. Garland¹, M. Huck^{1,‡}, H. Jones¹, G. Loisch^{1,§}, A. R. Maier¹, J. Osterhoff^{1,‡}, T. Parikh¹, S. Wesch^{1,§}, J. C. Wood^{1,§}, and M. Thévenet^{1,§}

¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

²Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

³James Cook University, 1 James Cook Drive, Townsville City QLD 4814, Australia



(Received 11 June 2025; accepted 9 October 2025; published 20 November 2025)

Plasma accelerators utilize strong electric fields in plasma waves to accelerate charged particles, making them a compact alternative to radiofrequency technologies. Discharge capillaries are plasma sources used in plasma accelerator research to provide acceleration targets, or as plasma lenses to capture or focus accelerated beams. They have applications for beam-driven and laser-driven plasma accelerators and can sustain high repetition rates for extended periods of time. Despite these advantages, high-fidelity simulations of discharge capillaries remain challenging due to the range of mechanisms involved and the difficulty to diagnose them in experiments. In this work, we utilize hydrodynamic plasma simulations to examine the discharge process of a plasma cell and discuss implications for future accelerator systems. The simulation model is validated with experimental measurements in a 50-mm-long, 1-mm-wide plasma capillary operating a 12–27 kV discharge at 200–1200 Pa hydrogen pressure. For 20 kV at 870 Pa, the discharge is shown to deposit 178 mJ of energy in the plasma. Potential difficulties with the common density measurement method using H_{α} emission spectroscopy are discussed. This simulation model enables investigations of repeatability, heat flow management, and fine tailoring of the plasma profile with discharges.

DOI: 10.1103/kv2z-ps8h

I. INTRODUCTION

Plasma accelerators [1,2] are compact sources of relativistic electron beams, where the accelerating gradients can be orders of magnitude higher than rf-based technologies. Proof-of-principle experiments and design studies demonstrated the potential of electron beams accelerated by laser-driven or beam-driven plasma accelerators [3] for applications such as high-energy photon sources [4], quantum electrodynamics [5], free-electron lasers [6,7], and high-energy physics [8,9]. Progress in plasma accelerator performance has been strongly coupled to advances in driver technology [10,11], but recent efforts demonstrated the importance of controlling the plasma source [12,13]. In particular, plans for plasma-based particle colliders [14], free-electron lasers [15,16], and plasma injectors for storage rings [17–20] highlighted the importance of accurate and stable tailoring of the plasma source. Furthermore, bridging the gap between

test accelerators and application-ready facilities requires high-repetition-rate operation, and efforts in this direction are being pursued by the community to explore feasibility and examine the constraints on the plasma source [21–23].

Besides shaping the gas profile with advanced gas cell or gas jet designs, two methods are commonly used for the tailoring of the plasma source prior to the wakefield acceleration event. In the first, a carefully shaped laser pulse ionizes a thin filament of plasma within a gas volume, which can be used to create a guiding structure [24,25]. In the second, a high-voltage discharge initiates an electrical breakdown in a long and thin capillary [26,27]. This discharge technology remains under consideration for plasma acceleration due to its favorable scalings to long plasmas and high repetition rates [12], as well as its versatility for plasma lenses [28] and electrothermal plasma jets [29].

Simulations of these devices typically rely on magnetohydrodynamics or reduced models [30–33], and are an active part of plasma acceleration research [34]. However, systematic benchmarking of simulations against experiments, including all physical processes, remains uncommon in the literature [35] due to experimental and multiphysics simulation challenges, limiting the ability to provide comparable results. For instance, investigations of demanding regimes about fine plasma shaping and heat management, as required for the proposed plasma-based Higgs factory concept HALHF [9], remain extremely difficult.

In a previous work, we proposed the HYdrodynamic QUasineutral Plasma (HYQUP) model [36], a collisional plasma simulation framework implemented in the

*Contact author: mathis.mewesmdi@desy.de

†Present address: Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom.

‡Present address: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley CA 94720, USA.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.




FIG. 1. Schematic of the discharge capillary. The inset simulation example shows the electron density n_e and neutral atom density n_n near the peak of a discharge (after 350 ns). The dotted line represents the simulation box used for the benchmark, assuming cylindrical symmetry.

1d/2d/3d/cylindrical COMSOL Multiphysics® software [37]. Using finite elements on COMSOL’s advanced meshing capabilities, the model solves for plasma fluid equations in a single-fluid, two-temperature (electrons and *heavies*, i.e., all neutrals and ions) model. Unlike magnetohydrodynamics, HYQUP assumes that the dynamics is not determined by the magnetic field but rather pressure/temperature effects, easing the requirements on the solvers. Electric and magnetic corrections can be added separately. This regime is appropriate for hydrodynamic optical-field-ionized waveguides studied in Ref. [36] as well as the discharge regime of the present work (systems where the dynamics is governed by the magnetic field, like Z-pinch [38], may require a different approach). HYQUP also models the reaction statistics (for ionization, recombination, dissociation, etc.) rather than assuming a Saha equilibrium, allowing for simulating extreme processes.

In this work, we present a simulation method comprising the electric discharge, the plasma response, and the emission of hydrogen spectral lines based on HYQUP, where the relevant processes (discharge and interaction with the wall) were added. Through extensive benchmarks with experimental results, we demonstrate the importance of accurate boundary conditions and spectral line calculations to reproduce measurements, and show that transverse spectroscopy measurements may be imprecise due to plasma inhomogeneity. This study also clarifies the flow of energy in the plasma during each discharge event in conditions relevant for plasma acceleration.

Section II presents the additions to the HYQUP model. The experimental setup and simulation pipeline used for the benchmark are detailed in Sec. III. Considerations on the discharge physics and its consequences are explored in Sec. IV.

II. SIMULATION MODEL

A discharge capillary, like the one shown in Fig. 1, is a long, thin channel made of an electrical insulating material such as sapphire. It is filled with gas supplied by the inlet channels and a high voltage is applied between the electrodes to trigger an electrical breakdown. The gas dynamics involved in filling the cell occur on μs - ms timescales, while the plasma

fluid dynamics of the discharge take place on a shorter ns- μs timescale, which is the focus of this article. A third regime, the kinetic dynamics of a wakefield acceleration event occurring on an even shorter (fs-ps) timescale, is not considered here.

The HYQUP model was updated in order to make it applicable to capillary discharges. The main enhancements, detailed below, are the introduction of an electric current flowing through the plasma, the confinement of the plasma inside a thin capillary, including the expulsion of plasma through its open ends, and improvements to the reaction system.

A. Electric current

The discharge current is primarily carried by electrons, which move faster than ions due to their lower mass. The ion current is therefore neglected. The current density \vec{J} and electric field \vec{E} are computed using Ohm’s law $\vec{J} = \sigma_e \vec{E}$ and Gauss’s law $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$, where σ_e is the electron electrical conductivity. A specified total current $I(t)$ flowing through the electrode surfaces is set as a boundary condition:

$$\int_{\text{Cathode}} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{n} dA = - \int_{\text{Anode}} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{n} dA \equiv I(t), \quad (1)$$

where \vec{n} is the normal vector on the surface element dA .

The electrical conductivity, like other transport parameters in the original HYQUP model (local dynamic properties like thermal conductivity, diffusivity, or viscosity), is calculated by statistical consideration of particle collisions in the plasma. The total resistivity is estimated by summation of the resistivities $(\sigma_{e\alpha})^{-1}$ between electrons and all other particle species α . The total electrical conductivity is then

$$\sigma_e = \left(\sum_{\alpha} (\sigma_{e\alpha})^{-1} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{e\alpha} = \gamma_{e\alpha} \frac{n_e e^2}{m_{e\alpha}} \frac{1}{\nu_{e\alpha}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma_{e\alpha}$ is a prefactor for additional effects (e.g., magnetization), n_e is the number density of electrons, e is the elemental charge, $m_{e\alpha} = \frac{m_e m_{\alpha}}{m_e + m_{\alpha}}$ is the reduced mass, and $\nu_{e\alpha}$ is the momentum transfer collision rate between electrons and species α ; see Ref. [36] for details.

Ohmic heating is the dominant effect by which the current affects the plasma. The resistive energy losses of the electron current are converted into electron heat, which drives the ionization and flow of the plasma. The Ohmic heating is calculated as

$$Q_{\Omega} = \vec{J} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e} J^2 \quad (4)$$

and included as a source term in the electron heat equation.

B. Magnetic field

The electric current influences the plasma through the magnetic field in two ways: The first is the Lorentz force on the current itself and the second is *magnetization*, which affects the transport properties. Both effects are generally weak within the parameter space observed in the benchmark below, but may be non-negligible at specific times and locations.

TABLE I. Reaction channels implemented in HYQUP simulation model.

Reaction	Source
$H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H + e^-$	Zel'dovich and Raizer [42] (p. 55, Eq. (15) in Ref. [43])
$H + e^- \rightarrow H^+ + 2e^-$	Detailed balancing
$H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H + \gamma$	McWirth [44] (p. 55, Eq. (14) in Ref. [43])
$H_2 + e^- \rightarrow 2H + e^-$	McWirth [44] (p. 55, Eq. (12) in Ref. [43])
$2H + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + e^-$	Detailed balancing
$H_2 + H \rightarrow 2H + H$	TABLE II in Ref. [45]
$2H + H \rightarrow H_2 + H$	TABLE XVII, line 29 in Ref. [46]
$H_2 + H_2 \rightarrow 2H + H_2$	TABLE II in Ref. [45]
$2H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H_2$	TABLE XVII, line 29 in Ref. [46]
$H_2 + e^- \rightarrow H + H^+ + 2e^-$	TABLE XII in Ref. [47]

These effects are more important in high-current-density applications, such as active plasma lenses.

The magnetic Lorentz force acts on the electron current, transversely compressing the quasineutral plasma. The force density on the fluid is implemented as $\vec{f}_L = \vec{J} \times \vec{B}$. In high-current discharges, where the magnetic pressure is of similar or higher magnitude to the thermal pressure, the self-focusing of the electron current leads to pinching.

The magnetization of the plasma happens due to the individual charged particles spiraling around magnetic field lines. This constrains the particles motion range and collision rates and, consequently, alters the macroscopic transport parameters. The magnitude of the effects depends on the Hall parameter $\beta_{\text{Hall}} = d_{\text{mfp}}/r_{\text{mag}}$, with the mean free path d_{mfp} and Larmor radius r_{mag} . For the slow and heavy ions, the Hall parameter is small ($\beta_{\text{Hall}} \ll 1$), such that magnetization is negligible. However, for electrons, it can be non-negligible (up to 0.1) in the early discharge and close to the plasma boundaries. For high-current applications, where $\beta_{\text{Hall}} > 1$ is common, the magnetization becomes a major factor. This effect is implemented, following suggestion by Diaw *et al.* [39], by including Eq. (A2) from Ref. [40] in the $\gamma_{\text{el},\alpha\alpha}$ prefactors of the electrical conductivity and similarly Eq. (83e) from Ref. [41] in the thermal conductivity. The anisotropy of these models is neglected in the cylindrically symmetric capillary geometry, applying the component orthogonal to the magnetic field as a scalar.

C. Reactions

The previous hydrogen reaction system of the HYQUP model [36] is replaced with an improved version, explicitly modeling the particle species H , H^+ , and now also H_2 . As before, the total reaction mass source of a particle species α is a sum over all reactions i , i.e.,

$$R_\alpha = m_\alpha \sum_i c_{i\alpha} r_i, \quad (5)$$

where m_α is the particle mass, $c_{i\alpha}$ is the stoichiometric number (the change of number of particles per reaction i), and r_i is the reaction rate. The reaction rates are calculated as

$$r_i = k_i \prod_\beta n_\beta^{b_{i\beta}}, \quad (6)$$

where n_β is the number density of reactant species β and $b_{i\beta}$ are the stoichiometric coefficients of the reactants (number of reactants needed per reaction). The reaction constants k_i are independent of the densities and sourced as summarized in Table I.

The first pair of reactions is ionization and recombination by electron impact. An analytical model for the three-body recombination is recommended by the NRL plasma formulary [43], accounting also for the recombination into any excited state, assumed to be followed by deexcitation. The total ionization rate, including multistep processes through collisional excitation, is approximated by applying the principle of detailed balancing [48,49] as described in previous work [36].

The radiative recombination rate recommended by the NRL plasma formulary is also included, but observed negligible under the conditions investigated here. Radiative ionization is completely neglected, as we assume the plasma to be optically thin.

Four types of dissociation reactions are included for molecular hydrogen. The first, by electron impact, is approximated by the ionization reaction for an atom with the binding energy of the molecule, recommended by the NRL plasma formulary. Two second and third types, by neutral atom or molecule impact, are implemented according to Martin *et al.* [45]. They are important in the early heat up of the discharge, where the low ionization prevents electron impact processes. The fourth type is electron impact ionization of hydrogen molecules, followed immediately by the dissociation of the molecular ion. The rate equation for this is taken from Ref. [47]. The reverse reaction rates for the first type are set by detailed balancing. For the second and third types, they are taken from Ref. [46], estimating neutral atom reaction by the molecular rate coefficient. The fourth type is not directly reversible due to its multistep nature.

D. Boundary physics

When a plasma interacts with a surface, a *plasma sheath* is formed, where quasineutrality breaks down [50,51]. This includes several ways in which the plasma exchanges energy with the surface. Under the conditions investigated here, the sheath at the wall is much thinner than the capillary radius,

making it reasonable to approximate the inner sheath boundary as the simulation boundary.

Hot plasma electrons can penetrate into a surface, while the much heavier, slower ions cannot. This results in a negative charge building up in the capillary walls that separates nearby plasma charges. The ions entering the sheath are pulled onto the surface and recombine with electrons there.

The mechanisms governing sheath formation are kinetic and therefore cannot be captured by the HYQUP quasineutral fluid model, but simplified analytical models can approximate the influence of a sheath as a boundary condition. A simple model for electrostatic sheaths on unbiased surfaces was recommended by Pekker *et al.* [52] and has been implemented in HYQUP with minor modifications: The original model of Pekker *et al.* assumes the plasma to be in local thermal equilibrium and the sheath to be collisional, so any ions recombined at the wall are reionized on the way back through the sheath to the plasma. The HYQUP model does not assume local thermal equilibrium and the sheath is almost collisionless in the discharge regime considered here. The boundary is assumed to recombine ions, while reionization is calculated by the reaction model. The ionization energy contribution is therefore subtracted from the electron heat flux to the wall, leaving it as

$$q_e = -j_{i,\text{wall}} \left(\phi_{\text{float}} + \frac{m_i v_s^2}{2e} \right) - j_{e,\text{wall}} \frac{2k_B T_e}{e}, \quad (7)$$

where $j_{e,\text{wall}}$ and $j_{i,\text{wall}}$ are, respectively, the electron and ion currents toward the wall, ϕ_{float} is the electric potential between wall and plasma, m_i is the mass of the ions, v_s is the sonic velocity of ions, e is the elementary charge, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T_e is the electron temperature.

The recombination surface reaction rate at the boundary is

$$r_{\text{rec, wall}} = \frac{j_{i,\text{wall}}}{e}. \quad (8)$$

Another change is made to the heavies temperature boundary condition. Instead of applying a Dirichlet condition at the wall temperature, the thermal conductivity of neutrals and the loss of the ions' thermal energy upon contact with the wall are used to estimate the heat flux as

$$q_h = -j_{i,\text{wall}} \frac{3k_B}{2e} (T_h - T_w) - \kappa_n \frac{T_h - T_w}{\Delta x}, \quad (9)$$

with the heavies and wall temperatures T_h and $T_w = 300$ K, and the width of the sheath Δx approximated as an electron Debye radius $\lambda_D = \sqrt{\epsilon_0 k_B T_e / (n_e e^2)}$. Doubling the estimate to $\Delta x = 2\lambda_D$ has negligible effect on the results (<1%). Physically, the modification of the heavies boundary condition compared to the suggested Dirichlet condition results in similar behavior, but the weaker constraint eases the computational effort.

This sheath model represents the interaction with electrically unbiased surfaces, but is also employed as an approximation for the electrode surfaces here. Most of the plasma bulk is much closer to the wall than the electrodes and dominated by transverse dynamics.




FIG. 2. Schematic of the experimental setup: The capillary inside a vacuum chamber is filled with gas and the discharge is triggered. Emitted plasma light is captured by an optics system and analyzed by a spectrometer with a CMOS camera.

III. EXPERIMENTAL BENCHMARK

A. Experiment setup

The experimental measurements for this article were performed at the ADVANCE laboratory at DESY [53,54], using the setup lined out in Fig. 2. The 100-mm-long, 1.0-mm-diameter capillary was placed in vacuum and supplied with a neutral molecular hydrogen gas at a continuous, controlled mass flow rate. The pressure inside the capillary was varied in the range 200–1200 Pa by changing the mass inflow and letting the outflow through the capillary's open ends equilibrate to a steady state. The electrodes were copper blocks inserted with a spacing of 50 mm, with pinholes aligned with the capillary. Plasma was ignited in the section between the electrodes at 2 Hz repetition rate by 12–27 kV pulses with approximately 400 ns duration half width at half maximum (HWHM). The peak current was 200–600 A, measured by wideband current monitors connected to a 2.5 GHz analog-to-digital converter. Due to its high pressure, some plasma is pushed out of the discharge region, hereafter referred to as *expulsion*.

The electron density n_e was inferred using optical emission spectroscopy (OES) over the above ranges of gas pressures and discharge voltages [55–61]. The spectrum of radiation emitted by the plasma was observed using a wavelength-calibrated Czerny-Turner-type imaging spectrometer coupled to a gated, intensified complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) camera. Specifically, the width of the hydrogen-alpha (H_α) line was measured, which is broadened by three effects: Stark broadening, which produces a Lorentzian line shape [55], and Doppler and instrument broadening, both contributing Gaussian components. The instrument broadening HWHM was measured to be $\Delta\lambda_{\text{inst.}} = 0.078$ nm. An effective electron density n_e is reconstructed




FIG. 3. Schematic of the simulation pipeline used for the benchmark.

from the measured linewidth by fitting a Voigt function to the data (a convolution of a Gaussian and a Lorentzian distribution). The HWHM of the Lorentzian component $\Delta\lambda$ can be converted to n_e using

$$n_e = \left(\frac{\Delta\lambda \text{ [nm]}}{1.098} \right)^{1.47135} \times 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-3}, \quad (10)$$

following the work of Refs. [55, 57].

The linewidth was measured as a function of both delay after the initiation of the discharge and longitudinal position. This was accomplished using two pairs of plano-convex cylindrical lenses, which provided a magnification of 0.17 along the length and 1.0 across the width of the plasma. This setup enabled the full longitudinal line-emission profile to be captured in a single image.

B. Simulation pipeline

Comparing experimental measurements and simulation results requires a well-controlled start-to-end pipeline as depicted in Fig. 3. The gas flow rate and the current pulses measured in the experiment are processed and put into respective initial conditions and boundary conditions of the plasma simulation. The simulation results are used in an emission model to construct an OES signal analogous to the one observed in the experiment.

Gas flow simulations, using ANSYS, of the gas supply system and the capillary were used to determine the relation between the flow rate from the gas supply and the pressure in the center of the capillary. From these simulations, this relation was observed to be

$$p \text{ [Pa]} = 73.79 \times (f_{\text{gas}} \text{ [ml}_n/\text{min]})^{0.57}, \quad (11)$$

where f_{gas} is the volumetric normalized gas flow rate. This was used to set a homogeneous initial gas density in the HYQUP simulations.

To ease the computational effort, the measured current pulse is denoised via Gaussian convolution, and low currents after 1.5 μs are cut off. The pulse is used as a constraint in the plasma simulation, fixing the total current flowing through the electrode surfaces in Eq. (1).

Given a lack of knowledge about the exact gas conditions and the electrical breakdown process, we estimate the remaining initial conditions. The initial temperature of both electrons and heavies is assumed to be room temperature everywhere. This has no significant influence on the simulation results, which quickly reaches temperatures on the eV level. The mixture is initialized as homogeneously 0.1 % ionized and

1 % neutral atomic, as a modest ionization fraction is required for the discharge to further ionize the medium. The remaining 98.9 % is molecular.

The hydrodynamic simulation of the entire cell geometry in cylindrically symmetric, longitudinally mirrored coordinates, as shown in Fig. 1, was only performed for one example case, reproducing the experiment with a 20 kV discharge in 860 Pa gas pressure. This case is used as the example throughout the article. Results from simulations reduced to the marked simulation box in Fig. 1, encompassing the discharge region and applying an open boundary for outflowing plasma were found to be indistinguishable from the results of the full simulation, while significantly reducing the computational load. This is hereafter referred to as 2D simulations. A further reduction to a radial slice through the center of the capillary, neglecting longitudinal flow, is hereafter referred to as one dimensional (1D) simulation.

It is not known exactly what volume of the narrow transverse dimensions of the capillary the imaging system resolves, but it collects light from a large portion. The H_α emission spectrum is therefore integrated over the radius of the capillary, and contributions from different plasma densities and temperatures are combined. In order to accurately reproduce the observed spectrum, we append an emission model to the hydrodynamic simulation results. The details of the emission model are elaborated in Appendix B. It calculates the relative emission intensity I_α and the HWHM of the H_α line $\Delta\lambda$ from the local electron density and temperature. The transverse distributions for two time steps in the example 1D simulation are shown in Fig. 4(a). At 0.5 μs , near the peak of the discharge current, the emission originates almost exclusively from the plasma close to the wall, where the linewidth is large. Later, at 1 μs , the intensity is more homogeneous. These complex dynamics make it difficult to reliably estimate the plasma density near the axis, the region of most interest, with OES.

The time evolution of the linewidth of the radially integrated emission signal is shown in Fig. 4(b). The 2D simulation, including the longitudinal expulsion of plasma into the vacuum chamber, demonstrates much better agreement of the decay process than the 1D simulation, highlighting the importance of the expulsion on longer timescales. The remaining difference to the measurement data, especially at late times, can be attributed to lower accuracy for both simulations and measurements for cool, low-ionization plasma.

The initial kinetic breakdown phenomena, which cannot be simulated in this model, lead to a lower reliability of the simulation at early times. An inhomogeneous initial condition can greatly influence the dynamics, because the heating and ionization processes amplify the inhomogeneity. However, upon reaching a steady state near the peak of a strong discharge, the results become independent of the initial conditions, making the peak and decay rate of the curve the best targets for a benchmark.

C. Benchmark results

For each working point measured in the experiment and simulated, the maximum value and the exponential decay rate are extracted as shown in Fig. 4(b) and summarized in




FIG. 4. Emission model demonstrated for the example simulation. In panel (a), the radial distribution of emission intensity and broadened HWHM of the H_α line are shown. In panel (b), the time evolution of the radially averaged spectral line HWHM is shown. The black line shows the measured current profile, used as input in simulations. The \times red cross and red dashed line show the distinctive properties of this curve, namely, peak linewidth $\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1.17$ nm and exponential decay rate $\delta_{\text{decay}} = 0.76$ ps $^{-1}$ [obtained by fitting $\Delta\lambda \propto \exp(-t\delta_{\text{decay}})$]. They are used for benchmarks with experiments in Sec. III C.

Fig. 5. Both the trends with the scan parameters, as well as the absolute values, are in good agreement for the full range of gas pressures and voltages, indicating a broad reliability range of the plasma simulation model. The difference between 1D and 2D simulations in Fig. 5(c) emphasizes the significance of the longitudinal expulsion for the decay curves of the plasma.

One outlier point in the experimental values in Fig. 5(c) shows a significantly different time evolution of the signal compared to all other measurements in the scan. It is likely that this measurement originates from a malfunction of the discharge, accidentally operating in an unwanted regime (e.g., partly discharging outside the capillary).

The experimental measurements also offer longitudinal resolution that is compared to the simulation in Fig. 6. The similar shape of the linewidth color map confirms that the longitudinal behavior and expulsion are well represented in the simulation, despite the simplified modeling of the electrode surfaces.

IV. CHARACTERIZATION OF DISCHARGE PLASMAS

The HYQUP simulations allow us to explore the full plasma characterization, including local states of the plasma and global parameters such as total energy and power distribution.




FIG. 5. Benchmark summary over broad working parameter space. Panels (a) and (b) show the maximum linewidth, panels (c) and (d) the exponential decay rate of each time scan. In panels (a) and (c), a parameter scan over gas pressure and in panels (c) and (d) a voltage scan are shown. The vertical line marks the example case used throughout the article.

The time evolution of the plasma density is shown in Fig. 7(a) to illustrate the complexities of OES measurements and the importance of start-to-end simulations to shape the plasma profile. The average gas density n_a shows the expulsion of approximately 50% of the original gas content from the discharge region of the capillary (volume between electrodes) within 5 μs. By this time, a large fraction of the plasma has recombined and the gas is cooling down, resulting in slowing of the expulsion. For accelerator applications, the electron density profile near the capillary axis is a critical parameter. It influences key properties of the wakefield such as accelerating gradient, matching of transverse properties, beam quality preservation, etc. While OES is a widely used diagnostic method for the electron density, the limited spatial resolution introduces uncertainty, due to the measured emission combining signals from an inhomogeneous plasma volume.

An approximate reconstruction of such a measurement from the simulation, using the OES model described in Appendix B, shows differences of up to 50% from the center




FIG. 6. Longitudinally resolved evolution of linewidth for the example operating point. The measurement in the top half is compared with the simulation in the bottom half.




FIG. 7. Time evolution of important quantities in the example simulation. In panel (a), various density values are shown. In panel (b), the different energy fluxes entering and leaving the plasma are compared. In panel (c), the internal energy balance of the plasma is shown, with the different energies stacked above each other to sum to the total energy in the plasma. The vertical lines mark the approximate time spent in the steady state.

density. The OES reconstruction is closer, but not identical, to the average density of the plasma. The optical properties of the beamline and capillary wall, as well as the optical properties of the inhomogeneous plasma, contribute to uncertainty in the exact region over which OES signal is integrated. Nevertheless, collecting OES signal from either the whole transverse disk or from a transverse line going through the center yields only a few percent difference in simulated linewidth, such that the method provides reliable benchmark. It should be noted, however, that extracting the on-axis density only from transverse measurements proves to be challenging.

The dominant energy flux pathways into and out of the plasma are compared in Fig. 7(b). Most of the energy deposited by Ohmic heating is transferred to the capillary wall via thermal flux and recombination reactions, and only a small fraction is carried with the plasma expelled from the capillary. Radiative losses are considered negligible and omitted under the conditions examined here. The total energies, calculated by integrating the energy flux curves, are displayed in Table II. For the examined working point, approximately 140 mJ/shot of heat must be removed from the cell to counteract discharge-induced heating during high-repetition-rate operation, not accounting for additional heating from beams

TABLE II. Total energy fluxes of a discharge pulse, obtained by integration of Fig. 7(c).

	E (mJ)
Ohmic heating	178.40
Wall flux	141.34
Expulsion	17.24
Remaining	19.81

or laser pulses. Simple measures could be taken to reduce the wall heat load for this setup. After reaching the steady state condition, which is necessary for shot-to-shot stability, it is maintained for approximately 250 ns. The energy deposited during this period, marked by the shaded area in Fig. 7(b), could be considered waste. This amounts to 66 mJ, reducing the required cooling to approximately 74 mJ/shot.

Finally, Fig. 7(c) shows the internal distribution of energy types throughout the discharge lifetime. Initially, electrons are heated via Ohmic heating, increasing the electron thermal energy $E_{th,e}$, which is rapidly converted to other forms. At first, the energy is mostly used for the dissociation of hydrogen molecules E_{diss} , followed by ionization E_{ion} after 100 ns. With the growing number of electrons and the decrease of reaction energy drainage, the thermal energies $E_{th,h}$ and $E_{th,e}$ start to grow and reach their peak shortly after 200 ns, when full ionization is reached. During the steady state, the ionization energy is approximately equal to all others combined. After 3 μ s, once the plasma has recombined, only the dissociation energy remains significant, as the reassociation to molecular hydrogen gas is a slower process.

V. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE

To accurately analyze the dynamics of an electrical discharge plasma cell on the nanosecond to microsecond timescales, the quasineutral hydrodynamic HYQUP simulation model has been updated to include electrical currents, boundary interactions, an improved reaction model, and magnetization. Benchmark against experimental data, spanning the parameter space from 200 to 1200 Pa of hydrogen gas pressure and 12 to 27 kV discharge voltage, established the reliability of the model to investigate a typical parameter range for plasma acceleration. The study also identified limitations in using H_α emission spectroscopy to infer electron density of the plasma: Transverse measurements only give indirect indications on the nonuniform plasma density profile within the capillary. Finally, energy deposition was investigated, and a typical working point for peak density of $\simeq 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-3}$ deposits on the order of 100 mJ/shot for a 5-cm discharge plasma. Simple scaling to megahertz operation results in an average thermal load on the order of 10 kW per centimeter of plasma, although this number could likely be reduced with dedicated engineering effort.

Further, the HYQUP simulation model will be used to study advanced tailoring of plasma sources for plasma-based accelerators, such as active plasma lenses [62], high-repetition-rate acceleration, and injection schemes. Future application to similar plasma devices in other research fields, e.g., plasma guns [29], is also possible. Expulsion of




FIG. 8. Radial distribution of plasma properties for different boundary conditions in two simulation cases. The style of lines distinguishes plotted properties, while the color stands for the respective boundary conditions.

plasma and gas through inlets and outlets warrants further studies, as it impacts the entrance and exit ramps of a plasma accelerator stage and the general density profile. Future developments of the method will include models of the anode and cathode plasma sheaths, other gas species, and approximate modeling of the electric breakdown. Finally, a significantly wider capillary would result in much lower current density where the fully ionized steady state is not reached, and further benchmark in this regime would provide a useful complement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—491245950. This research was supported by the Maxwell computational resources operated at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany. This work was also supported by PACRI—Grant Agreement No. 101188004. The authors thank Maik Dinter, Sven Karstensen, Sandra Kottler, Kai Ludwig, Amir Rahali, Vladimir Rybnikov, Andrej Schleiermacher, and Rachel Wolf for experimental support. We thank Advait Kanekar for helpful discussions.

Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this article are not publicly available upon publication because it is not technically feasible and/or the cost of preparing, depositing, and hosting the data would be prohibitive within the terms of this research project. The data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

APPENDIX A: WALL BOUNDARY

In many discharge simulation models, the temperature boundary conditions at the capillary walls are simplified to either Dirichlet or Neumann conditions. This can lead to problems demonstrated in Fig. 8, where the sheath model is

compared with a Dirichlet condition $T_e = 300$ K and a Neumann condition for thermal flux $q_e = 0$, each using a Dirichlet condition $T_h = 300$ K for the heavies. For a transverse density distribution in the steady state, shown in Fig. 8(a), the Neumann condition can lead to unphysical results. With heat exchange to the heavies being the only cooling mechanism for the electrons, the thermal energy accumulates and fully ionizes the plasma up to the wall. The Dirichlet condition works well in the steady state of a strong discharge, showing less than 10% difference to the sheath model in this example. However, at partial ionization in weak discharges or early time steps it significantly changes the dynamics. In Fig. 8(b), it accumulates more neutral gas at the wall, leading to a much lower plasma density in the bulk, compared with the sheath condition. Here, the Neumann condition appears to be a better approximation instead. Consequently, we recommend to use a sheath model to cover both cases well.

APPENDIX B: OPTICAL EMISSION MODEL

The measurements used here were obtained from OES of the hydrogen Balmer alpha (H_α) emission line. The free electrons cause a Stark broadening of the linewidth, making it possible to deduce the local electron density. To make the most reliable comparison for the benchmark, the emission spectrum equivalent to the measurement needs to be reconstructed. This requires the calculation of the emission intensity and the line spectrum at each simulation point.

1. Emission intensity

The H_α emissions originate from the decay of the third excited state to the second excited state of a hydrogen atom. The intensity of the emitted light is therefore proportional to the population of the third excited state. This population is used as a relative intensity weight I_α when calculating the combined spectrum of a plasma volume. The HYQUP simulation model does not compute excited-state populations; it is instead calculated using a model presented by Mitchner and Krüger [63] for nonequilibrium plasma, which uses a blend of Boltzmann and Saha equilibrium:

$$I_\alpha = (1 - \zeta)I_{\text{Bol.}} + \zeta I_{\text{Saha}}, \quad (B1)$$

where $I_{\text{Bol.}}$ and I_{Saha} are the respective equilibrium populations and ζ is a mixing function depending on the temperature of the plasma. For low temperature, the third state is best described in Boltzmann equilibrium, populated by excitation. For high temperature, it is in Saha equilibrium with the plasma, populated by electron capture. The switch between the two happens around the temperature where the average electron energy is equal to the ionization energy of the third excited state. Here, a smooth mixing function is chosen, defined as

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \epsilon_q^\infty + \frac{\Delta\epsilon}{2} > \epsilon_3^\infty, \\ \frac{1 + \sin(\pi \frac{k_B T_e - \epsilon_3^\infty}{\Delta\epsilon})}{2}, & \text{for } \epsilon_q^\infty - \frac{\Delta\epsilon}{2} > \epsilon_3^\infty, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (B2)$$

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_\infty}{k_B T_e}}, \quad \Delta\epsilon = \epsilon_2^4,$$

$$\epsilon_a^b = \epsilon_b - \epsilon_a = \epsilon_\infty \left(\frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{b^2} \right),$$

where q is an effective state number, marking the boundary between Saha and Boltzmann equilibrium for a given temperature, $\Delta\epsilon$ is the smoothing width, chosen as one state above and below the third, ϵ_a^b is the energy difference between states a and b , and $\epsilon_\infty = 13.6$ eV is the ionization energy of hydrogen. The individual equilibrium intensity expressions are defined as

$$I_{\text{Bol.}} = n_n g_3 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_1^3}{k_B T_e}\right) \frac{1}{Z_{\text{part.}}(T_e)}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$I_{\text{Saha}} = n_e^2 \left[\left(2\pi m_e \frac{k_B T_e}{h^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} 2 \frac{g_\infty}{g_3} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_3^\infty}{k_B T_e}\right) \right]^{-1}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$Z_{\text{part.}} = \sum_{a \leq \min(q, 10)} a^2 \exp\left[-\frac{\epsilon_1^\infty(1 - a^{-2})}{k_B T_e}\right], \quad (\text{B5})$$

where n_n and n_e are the neutral atom and electron densities, g_a is the degeneracy of the a th state, and h is the Planck constant. The canonical partition function including all possible bound states diverges [64]. The partition function given in Eq. (B5) does not account for the increasing volume of the hydrogen atom at increasingly excited states, which suppress their contributions [65]. Thus, in the partition function $Z_{\text{part.}}$, we choose to include the states according to the Boltzmann equilibrium distribution ($a < q$) up to the tenth state at most.

2. Line broadening

There are several physical effects leading to broadening of spectral lines. Those considered in the model are Stark broadening by the electric fields of the free plasma electrons, thermal Doppler broadening, and a constant instrument broadening of the optics setup. The local spectral line is modeled as a Voigt function, i.e., a convolution of a Lorentzian and a Gaussian function. The Stark effect specifies the width of the Lorentzian component, while the Gaussian is specified by the thermal and instrument broadening.

The Stark broadening model used here was presented by Pardini *et al.* [66], defining the HWHM of the Lorentzian component as

$$\Delta\lambda_{\text{Stark}} [\text{nm}] = \frac{1}{20} \frac{1}{a + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n_e [\text{m}^{-3}]}}} \left(\frac{n_e [\text{m}^{-3}]}{c} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$a = \sqrt{4033.8 - 24.45(\ln(T [\text{K}]))^2}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$b = 1.028 \times 10^{12} + 1.746 \times 10^8 T [\text{K}], \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$c = 8.02 \times 10^{18}. \quad (\text{B9})$$

The thermal Doppler broadening model is specified for a Gaussian profile [59] with the HWHM

$$\Delta\lambda_{\text{th.}} = \lambda_\alpha \sqrt{2 \ln(2) \frac{k_B T_h}{m_H c^2}}, \quad (\text{B10})$$

where $\lambda_\alpha = 656$ nm is the center wavelength of the emission line and m_H is the mass of a hydrogen atom.

Finally, the instrument broadening of the experiment setup was found to be $\Delta\lambda_{\text{inst.}} \approx 0.078$ nm, using an argon-mercury




FIG. 9. Spectral line example demonstrating the combination of spectral light using the emission model.

calibration lamp. The total Gaussian HWHM is then

$$\Delta\lambda_{\text{Gauss}}^2 = \Delta\lambda_{\text{th.}}^2 + \Delta\lambda_{\text{inst.}}^2. \quad (\text{B11})$$

It should also be noted that the plasma environment induces a shift of the spectral line location. Here, the shift is estimated via interpolation on tabulated data from Ref. [67].

3. Combined spectrum

The local emission line profile $I_{\text{loc.}}^*(\lambda, \vec{x})$ is constructed as a pseudo-Voigt function on a 10-nm-broad spectral grid with a 100 pm resolution, where λ is the wavelength relative to the unperturbed emission. Two single point emission lines at the peak of the discharge ($t = 500$ ns) are calculated from the example simulation and shown in Fig. 9, demonstrating the large variability with position in the plasma.

An intensity-weighted integral then provides the combined spectrum $I_{\text{comb.}}^*$ of the emission light from a specified plasma volume V , as measured in an experiment. This can be calculated for any slice or the whole of the capillary.

$$I_{\text{comb.}}^*(\lambda) = \frac{1}{I_{\text{tot.}}} \int_V I_{\text{loc.}}^*(\lambda, \vec{x}) I_\alpha(\vec{x}) d^3x, \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$I_{\text{tot.}} = \int_V I_\alpha(\vec{x}) d^3x. \quad (\text{B13})$$

The combined spectrum example in Fig. 9 shows good agreement with the observed measurement.

Finally, the combined HWHM has to be retrieved, but the combined line shape is not a Voigt profile anymore, nor is it symmetric. Here, a geometrical method is applied, interpolating the HWHM on both sides from the peak and finally taking the average and quantifying the asymmetry.

$$\Delta\lambda = \frac{\Delta\lambda_{\text{left}} + \Delta\lambda_{\text{right}}}{2}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$\Delta\lambda_{\text{asym.}} = \frac{\Delta\lambda_{\text{left}} - \Delta\lambda_{\text{right}}}{\Delta\lambda}. \quad (\text{B15})$$

The asymmetry is generally small (<1%) for the parameter range examined here.

[1] T. Tajima and J. M. Dawson, Laser electron accelerator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 267 (1979).

[2] P. Chen, J. M. Dawson, R. W. Huff, and T. Katsouleas, Acceleration of electrons by the interaction of a bunched electron beam with a plasma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 693 (1985).

[3] I. Blumenfeld, C. E. Clayton, F.-J. Decker, M. J. Hogan, C. Huang, R. Ischebeck, R. Iverson, C. Joshi, T. Katsouleas, N. Kirby, W. Lu, K. A. Marsh, W. B. Mori, P. Muggli, E. Oz, R. H. Siemann, D. Walz, and M. Zhou, Energy doubling of 42 GeV electrons in a metre-scale plasma wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **445**, 741 (2007).

[4] S. Corde, K. Ta Phuoc, G. Lambert, R. Fitour, V. Malka, A. Rousse, A. Beck, and E. Lefebvre, Femtosecond x rays from laser-plasma accelerators, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1 (2013).

[5] M. Vranic, J. L. Martins, J. Vieira, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, All-optical radiation reaction at 10^{21} W/cm², *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 134801 (2014).

[6] W. Wang, K. Feng, L. Ke, C. Yu, Y. Xu, R. Qi, Y. Chen, Z. Qin, Z. Zhang, M. Fang *et al.*, Free-electron lasing at 27 nanometres based on a laser wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **595**, 516 (2021).

[7] R. Pompili, D. Alesini, M. Anania, S. Arjmand, M. Behtouei, M. Bellaveglia, A. Biagioni, B. Buonomo, F. Cardelli, M. Carpanese *et al.*, Free-electron lasing with compact beam-driven plasma wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **605**, 659 (2022).

[8] C. B. Schroeder, E. Esarey, C. G. R. Geddes, C. Benedetti, and W. P. Leemans, Physics considerations for laser-plasma linear colliders, *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **13**, 101301 (2010).

[9] B. Foster, R. D'Arcy, and C. A. Lindström, A hybrid, asymmetric, linear Higgs factory based on plasma-wakefield and radio-frequency acceleration, *New J. Phys.* **25**, 093037 (2023).

[10] A. R. Maier, N. M. Delbos, T. Eichner, L. Hübner, S. Jalas, L. Jeppe, S. W. Jolly, M. Kirchen, V. Leroux, P. Messner *et al.*, Decoding sources of energy variability in a laser-plasma accelerator, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031039 (2020).

[11] C. A. Lindström, S. Corde, R. D'Arcy, S. Gessner, M. Gilljohann, M. J. Hogan, and J. Osterhoff, Beam-driven plasma-wakefield acceleration, [arXiv:2504.05558](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.05558).

[12] A. J. Gonsalves, F. Liu, N. A. Bobrova, P. V. Sasorov, C. Pieronek, J. Daniels, S. Antipov, J. E. Butler, S. S. Bulanov, W. L. Waldron, D. E. Mittelberger, and W. P. Leemans, Demonstration of a high repetition rate capillary discharge waveguide, *J. Appl. Phys.* **119**, 033302 (2016).

[13] C. Lindström, J. Beinortaité, J. Björklund Svensson, L. Boulton, J. Chappell, S. Diederichs, B. Foster, J. Garland, P. González Caminal, G. Loisch *et al.*, Emittance preservation in a plasma-wakefield accelerator, *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 6097 (2024).

[14] B. Foster, E. Adli, T. L. Barklow, M. Berggren, S. Boogert, J. B. B. Chen, R. D'Arcy, P. Drobnik, S. Gessner, M. J. Hogan *et al.*, Proceedings of the erice workshop: A new baseline for the hybrid, asymmetric, linear Higgs factory HALHF, *Phys. Open* **23**, 100261 (2025).

[15] M. Galletti, R. Assmann, M. Couprie, M. Ferrario, L. Giannessi, A. Irman, R. Pompili, and W. Wang, Prospects for free-electron lasers powered by plasma-wakefield-accelerated beams, *Nat. Photon.* **18**, 780 (2024).

[16] R. Assmann, M. Weikum, T. Akhter, D. Alesini, A. Alexandrova, M. Anania, N. Andreev, I. Andriyash, M. Artioli, A. Aschikhin *et al.*, Eupraxia conceptual design report, *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* **229**, 3675 (2020).

[17] S. Antipov, A. F. Pousa, I. Agapov, R. Brinkmann, A. Maier, S. Jalas, L. Jeppe, M. Kirchen, W. Leemans, A. M. de la Ossa *et al.*, Design of a prototype laser-plasma injector for an electron synchrotron, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **24**, 111301 (2021).

[18] A. F. Pousa, I. Agapov, S. Antipov, R. Assmann, R. Brinkmann, S. Jalas, M. Kirchen, W. Leemans, A. Maier, A. Martinez de la Ossa *et al.*, Energy compression and stabilization of laser-plasma accelerators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 094801 (2022).

[19] I. Agapov, S. Antipov, R. Brinkmann, A. Ferran Pousa, S. Jalas, M. Kirchen, W. Leemans, A. Maier, A. Martinez de la Ossa, J. Osterhoff, R. Shaloo, M. Thévenet, and P. V. Winkler, *The Plasma Injector for PETRA IV: Enabling Plasma Accelerators for Next-Generation Light Sources—Conceptual Design Report* (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, 2025).

[20] P. Winkler, M. Trunk, L. Hübner, A. Martinez de la Ossa, S. Jalas, M. Kirchen, I. Agapov, S. Antipov, R. Brinkmann, T. Eichner *et al.*, Active energy compression of a laser-plasma electron beam, *Nature (London)* **640**, 907 (2025).

[21] L. Rovige, J. Huijts, I. Andriyash, A. Vernier, V. Tomkus, V. Girdauskas, G. Raciukaitis, J. Dudutis, V. Stankevici, P. Gecys *et al.*, Demonstration of stable long-term operation of a kilohertz laser-plasma accelerator, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **23**, 093401 (2020).

[22] O. Jakobsson, S. Hooker, and R. Walczak, Gev-scale accelerators driven by plasma-modulated pulses from kilohertz lasers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 184801 (2021).

[23] R. D'Arcy, J. Chappell, J. Beinortaité, S. Diederichs, G. Boyle, B. Foster, M. J. Garland, P. G. Caminal, C. A. Lindström, G. Loisch *et al.*, Recovery time of a plasma-wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **603**, 58 (2022).

[24] C. G. Durfee and H. M. Milchberg, Light pipe for high intensity laser pulses, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2409 (1993).

[25] R. J. Shaloo, C. Arran, L. Corner, J. Holloway, J. Jonnerby, R. Walczak, H. M. Milchberg, and S. M. Hooker, Hydrodynamic optical-field-ionized plasma channels, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 053203 (2018).

[26] W. P. Leemans, B. Nagler, A. J. Gonsalves, C. Toth, K. Nakamura, C. G. R. Geddes, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, and S. M. Hooker, Gev electron beams from a centimetre-scale accelerator, *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 696 (2006).

[27] A. Butler, D. J. Spence, and S. M. Hooker, Guiding of high-intensity laser pulses with a hydrogen-filled capillary discharge waveguide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 185003 (2002).

[28] J. van Tilborg, S. Steinke, C. Geddes, N. Matlis, B. Shaw, A. Gonsalves, J. Huijts, K. Nakamura, J. Daniels, C. Schroeder *et al.*, Active plasma lensing for relativistic laser-plasma-accelerated electron beams, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 184802 (2015).

[29] A. L. Winfrey, M. A. Abd Al-Halim, J. G. Gilligan, A. V. Saveliev, and M. A. Bourham, A study of plasma parameters in a capillary discharge with calculations using ideal and nonideal plasma models for comparison with experiment, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **40**, 843 (2012).

[30] N. Bobrova, A. Esaulov, J.-I. Sakai, P. Sasorov, D. Spence, A. Butler, S. M. Hooker, and S. Bulanov, Simulations of a hydrogen-filled capillary discharge waveguide, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 016407 (2001).

[31] N. M. Cook, J. Carlsson, P. Moeller, R. Nagler, and P. Tzeferacos, Modeling of capillary discharge plasmas for wake-field acceleration and beam transport, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1596**, 012063 (2020).

[32] G. J. Boyle, M. Thévenet, J. Chappell, J. Garland, G. Loisch, J. Osterhoff, and R. D'Arcy, Reduced model of plasma evolution in hydrogen discharge capillary plasmas, *Phys. Rev. E* **104**, 015211 (2021).

[33] G. A. Bagdasarov, P. V. Sasorov, V. A. Gasilov, A. S. Boldarev, O. G. Olkhovskaya, C. Benedetti, S. S. Bulanov, A. Gonsalves, H.-S. Mao, C. B. Schroeder, J. van Tilborg, E. Esarey, W. P. Leemans, T. Levato, D. Margarone, and G. Korn, Laser beam coupling with capillary discharge plasma for laser wakefield acceleration applications, *Phys. Plasmas* **24**, 083109 (2017).

[34] A. J. Gonsalves *et al.*, Petawatt laser guiding and electron beam acceleration to 8 GeV in a laser-heated capillary discharge waveguide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 084801 (2019).

[35] S. Arjmand, A. Amato, R. Catalano, C. Manna, D. Mascali, G. Mauro, D. Oliva, A. Pappalardo, A. Pidatella, J. Suarez *et al.*, Parametric study of voltage in plasma-discharge capillary systems: A benchmarking of experimental and simulation data, *J. Instrum.* **20**, C03035 (2025).

[36] S. M. Mewes, G. J. Boyle, A. Ferran Pousa, R. J. Shalloo, J. Osterhoff, C. Arran, L. Corner, R. Walczak, S. M. Hooker, and M. Thévenet, Demonstration of tunability of hofci waveguides via start-to-end simulations, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 033112 (2023).

[37] COMSOL Multiphysics® v. 6.3., COMSOL AB, Stockholm, Sweden, www.comsol.com.

[38] M. Haines, A review of the dense *z*-pinch, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **53**, 093001 (2011).

[39] A. Diaw, S. J. Coleman, N. M. Cook, J. P. Edelen, E. C. Hansen, and P. Tzeferacos, Impact of electron transport models on capillary discharge plasmas, *Phys. Plasmas* **29**, 063101 (2022).

[40] J. R. Davies, H. Wen, J.-Y. Ji, and E. D. Held, Transport coefficients for magnetic-field evolution in inviscid magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Plasmas* **28**, 012305 (2021).

[41] J.-Y. Ji and E. D. Held, Closure and transport theory for high-collisionality electron-ion plasmas, *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 042114 (2013).

[42] Y. Zel'dovich and Y. Raizer, *Physics of Shock Waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena*, Dover Books on Physics (Dover Publications, New York, USA, 2002).

[43] A. S. Richardson, *2019 NRL Plasma Formulary* (Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC, 2019).

[44] R. W. McWhirter, Spectral intensities, in *Plasma Diagnostic Techniques*, edited by R. Huddlestone and S. Leonard (Academic Press, New York, 1965), pp. 165–217.

[45] P. G. Martin, W. J. Keogh, and M. E. Mandy, Collision-induced dissociation of molecular hydrogen at low densities, *Astrophys. J.* **499**, 793 (1998).

[46] W. E. Jones, S. D. Macknight, and L. Teng, Kinetics of atomic hydrogen reactions in the gas phase, *Chem. Rev.* **73**, 407 (1973).

[47] J.-S. Yoon, M.-Y. Song, J.-M. Han, S. H. Hwang, W.-S. Chang, B. Lee, and Y. Itikawa, Cross sections for electron collisions with hydrogen molecules, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **37**, 913 (2008).

[48] L. Boltzmann, *Lectures on Gas Theory* (University of California Press, Berkley, California, USA, 1964).

[49] R. C. Tolman, *The Principles of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover Publications, New York, USA, 1979).

[50] D. Bohm, The characteristics of electrical discharges in magnetic fields, *Qualitative Description of the Arc Plasma in a Magnetic Field* (McGraw-Hill, Columbus, Ohio, USA, 1949).

[51] V. Godyak, Modified bohm criterion for a collisional plasma, *Phys. Lett. A* **89**, 80 (1982).

[52] L. Pekker and N. Hussary, Effect of boundary conditions on the heat flux to the wall in two-temperature modeling of “thermal” plasmas, *J. Phys. D* **47**, 445202 (2014).

[53] J. Garland, R. D'Arcy, M. Dinter, S. Karstensen, S. Kottler, G. Loisch, K. Ludwig, J. Osterhoff, A. Rahali, A. Schleiermacher, and S. Wesch, A discharge plasma source development platform for accelerators: The advance laboratory at DESY, in *International Particle Accelerator Conference No. 13* (JACoW Publishing, Geneva, Switzerland, 2022), pp. 1886–1888.

[54] M. Huck, Development of stable plasma cells with high discharge rates at DESY's advance lab, in *10th Annual Meeting of Helmholtz Matter and Technologies Program* (2024).

[55] M. A. Gigosos, M. A. González, and V. Cardeñoso, Computer simulated Balmer-alpha, -beta and -gamma Stark line profiles for non-equilibrium plasmas diagnostics, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B: At. Spectrosc.* **58**, 1489 (2003).

[56] Z. Mijatović, S. Djurović, L. Gavanski, T. Gajo, A. Favre, V. Morel, and A. Bultel, Plasma density determination by using hydrogen balmer H_α spectral line with improved accuracy, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B: At. Spectrosc.* **166**, 105821 (2020).

[57] N. Konjević, M. Ivković, and N. Sakan, Hydrogen Balmer lines for low electron number density plasma diagnostics, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B: At. Spectrosc.* **76**, 16 (2012).

[58] H. Griem, *Spectral Line Broadening by Plasmas* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).

[59] H. Griem, *Principles of Plasma Spectroscopy* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[60] H. Griem, Stark broadening of the hydrogen Balmer-alpha line in low and high density plasmas, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **40**, 46 (2000).

[61] J. M. Garland, G. Tauscher, S. Bohlen, G. J. Boyle, R. D'Arcy, L. Goldberg, K. Pöder, L. Schaper, B. Schmidt, and J. Osterhoff, Combining laser interferometry and plasma spectroscopy for spatially resolved high-sensitivity plasma density measurements in discharge capillaries, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **92**, 013505 (2021).

[62] P. Drobniak, E. Adli, H. B. Anderson, A. Dyson, S. Mewes, K. Sjobak, M. Thévenet, and C. Lindstrøm, Development of a nonlinear plasma lens for achromatic beam transport, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **1072**, 170223 (2025).

[63] M. Mitchner and C. H. Kruger, Jr., *Partially Ionized Gases* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1973).

[64] R. E. Peierls, *Surprises in Theoretical Physics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA, 1979), Vol. 10.

[65] D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Thermal Physics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2020).

[66] L. Pardini, S. Legnaioli, G. Lorenzetti, V. Palleschi, R. Gaudio, A. De Giacomo, D. Diaz Pace, F. Anabitarte Garcia, G. de Holanda Cavalcanti, and C. Parigger, On the determination of plasma electron number density from Stark broadened hydrogen balmer series lines in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy experiments, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B: At. Spectrosc.* **88**, 98 (2013).

[67] J. Callaway and K. Unnikrishnan, Shift and width of the balmer- α and lyman- β lines of neutral hydrogen due to electron collisions, *Phys. Rev. A* **44**, 3001 (1991).