CERN-EP-2024-129
2024/06/10

CMS-SMP-24-001

Measurement of inclusive and differential cross sections for W^+W^- production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

Measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV of the opposite-sign W boson pair production cross section in proton-proton collisions are presented. The data used in this study were collected with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in 2022, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . Events are selected by requiring one electron and one muon of opposite charge. A maximum likelihood fit is performed on signal- and background-enriched data categories defined by the flavour and charge of the leptons, the number of jets, and number of jets originating from b quarks. An inclusive W^+W^- production cross section of $125.7 \pm 5.6 \text{ pb}$ is measured, in agreement with standard model predictions. Cross sections are also reported in a fiducial region close to that of the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. For first time in proton-proton collisions, WW events with at least two reconstructed jets are studied and compared with recent theoretical predictions.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

1 Introduction

The measurement of W boson pair (W^+W^- or simply WW) production cross sections is an important test of the standard model (SM). The WW production at hadron colliders is sensitive to the properties of electroweak (EW) boson self-interactions and provides a test of the predictions of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and the EW theory. It also constitutes a large background in the measurement of Higgs boson production, as well as in searches for physics beyond the SM. It is important to model the production of WW +jets accurately in event generators. This process is also background in $t\bar{t}$ production studies and in searches for physics beyond the SM with charged leptons and jets in the final state [1]. The WW cross section has been measured inclusively and differentially in proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [2–4] and in proton-proton (pp) collisions at 7 TeV [5, 6], 8 TeV [7, 8], and 13 TeV [9–11], and agrees with the SM predictions within uncertainties.

This letter presents the first measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV of the inclusive and differential $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ production cross sections. Data from pp collisions recorded by the CMS experiment in 2022 are used, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . The SM production of WW pairs proceeds mainly through three processes: the dominant $q\bar{q}$ annihilation process; the $gg \rightarrow WW$ process; and the Higgs boson process $H \rightarrow WW$, which is approximately ten times smaller than the sum of the other two processes and is considered as a background in this analysis. A calculation of the WW production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV using MATRIX v2.1.0 [12, 13] yields the value $125.8 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb}$ at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD and next-to-leading order (NLO) in EW. The calculation uses the parton distribution function (PDF) NNPDF 3.0 [14]. The uncertainties reflect the dependence of the calculation expansion on the QCD factorization and renormalization scales, and the PDFs. The $gg \rightarrow WW$ process contributes about 3%, after correcting by a factor of 1.4, which comes from the ratio of the $gg \rightarrow WW$ cross section at NLO to the same cross section at leading order (LO) [15]. The most important background processes are (i) top quark production ($t\bar{t}$ and tW), (ii) Drell–Yan production of leptonically decaying tau lepton pairs, and (iii) nonprompt leptons originating from leptonic decays of heavy quarks, hadrons misidentified as leptons, and electrons from photon conversions.

In this analysis, events are selected with one electron and one muon of opposite charge, including those originating from leptonic tau decays. Events with two charged leptons of the same flavour are not considered in the analysis because of the much higher background from Drell–Yan events. To measure the WW signal and estimate the most important background processes, events are further categorized into a signal region (SR) and control regions (CRs) defined by kinematic requirements on the flavour and charge of the leptons, the number of jets, and the number of jets originating from b quarks (b jets). The inclusive WW cross section is extracted from a profile maximum likelihood fit [16] to the event yields in the SR and CRs. The overall sensitivity is about 25% better than the results reported in Ref. [10] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with a similar integrated luminosity. The improvement comes from several reduced experimental uncertainties and an improved fit strategy. The WW cross section is also measured in a fiducial region close to the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. Events consistent with WW production and up to two reconstructed jets inclusively are studied in pp collisions and compared with recent theoretical predictions.

2 The CMS detector and simulated samples

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. A silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) with a silicon strip preshower detector placed at the front faces of the two endcap calorimeters, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections, are installed inside the solenoid. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system and the relevant kinematic variables, is presented in Refs. [17, 18].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of $4\,\mu\text{s}$ [19]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 5 kHz before data storage [20].

Several Monte Carlo (MC) event generators are used to simulate the signal and background processes. The simulated samples are used to optimize the event selection, evaluate selection efficiencies and systematic uncertainties, and compute expected yields. The quark-initiated nonresonant WW , other heavy vector dibosons, $t\bar{t}$ and tW processes are simulated with POWHEG v2 [21–26] at NLO accuracy for inclusive production. The MADGRAPH 2.9.9 generator [27–30] is used to simulate gluon-initiated WW production at LO accuracy. The production of a Higgs boson is also generated with POWHEG, where the Higgs bosons are decayed with JHUGEN v5.2.5 [31, 32]. Production of Z +jets, W +jets, $Z\gamma$, $W\gamma$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}WW$, and triple vector boson (VVV , $V = W/Z$) events is simulated at NLO accuracy in QCD using the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO generator.

The NNPDF 3.1 NNLO [14] PDFs are used for simulating all samples. For all processes, the underlying event, parton showering (PS), and hadronization are simulated using PYTHIA 8.2262 [33]. The modelling of the underlying event is performed using the CP5 [34] tune. All MC generated events are processed through a simulation of the CMS detector based on GEANT4 [35] and are reconstructed with the same algorithms as used for the data. Additional pp interactions in the same and nearby bunch crossings, referred to as pileup, are also simulated. The distribution of the number of pileup interactions in the simulation is adjusted to match the one observed in the data, with an average pileup of about 50 interactions per bunch crossing.

3 Object reconstruction

A particle-flow (PF) algorithm [36] reconstructs and identifies each individual particle in an event, with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. The energy of photons is obtained from the ECAL measurement. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for the response func-

tion of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energy deposits. The primary vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone, as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [37].

For each event, hadronic jets are clustered from these reconstructed particles using the anti- k_T algorithm [38, 39] with a distance parameter of 0.4. The jet momentum is determined as the vectorial sum of all particle momenta in the jet, and is found from simulation to be, on average, differs less than 5–10% from the true momentum over the whole transverse momentum (p_T) spectrum and detector acceptance. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation to bring, on average, the measured response of jets close to that of generator-level jets. In situ measurements of the momentum balance in dijet, γ +jet, Z+jet, and multijet events are used to account for any residual differences in the jet energy scale between data and simulation [40]. The jet energy resolution amounts typically to 15–20% at 30 GeV, 10% at 100 GeV, and 5% at 1 TeV [40]. Selection criteria are applied to remove jets potentially dominated by anomalous contributions from various subdetector components or reconstruction failures [41, 42]. Pileup interactions can produce additional tracks and calorimetric energy depositions to the jet momentum. The pileup-per-particle identification algorithm (PUPPI) [43, 44] is used to mitigate the effect of pileup at the reconstructed particle level, making use of local shape information, event pileup properties, and tracking information. A local shape variable is defined, which distinguishes between collinear and soft diffuse distributions [43] of other particles surrounding the particle under consideration. The former is attributed to particles originating from the hard scattering and the latter to particles originating from pileup interactions. Charged particles identified to be originating from pileup vertices are discarded. For each neutral particle, a local shape variable is computed using the surrounding charged particles compatible with the primary vertex within the tracker acceptance ($|\eta| < 2.5$), and using both charged and neutral particles in the region outside of the tracker coverage. The momenta of the neutral particles are then rescaled according to their probability to originate from the primary interaction vertex deduced from the local shape variable, superseding the need for jet-based pileup corrections [43]. The jet multiplicity N_j counts the number of reconstructed jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Jets falling within $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.4$ (where ϕ is azimuthal angle in radians) of a selected charged lepton, as described below, are discarded.

The missing transverse momentum vector, $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, is computed as the negative vector p_T sum of all the PF candidates in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [41]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is corrected to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event. The PUPPI algorithm is applied to reduce the pileup dependence of the $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ observable. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed from the PF candidates weighted by their probability to originate from the PV [41].

Jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ originating from b quarks are identified (“tagged”) by a multivariate algorithm called DEEPJET [45]. For the chosen working point, the efficiency to select b jets is about 90% and the rate for incorrectly tagging jets originating from the hadronization of gluons or u, d, s quarks is about 10%. The rate for mistagging jets originating from the hadronization of c quarks is about 50%.

Electrons and muons are reconstructed by associating a track reconstructed in the tracking detectors with either a cluster of energy in the ECAL [46] or a track in the muon system [47]. Electron and muon candidates must pass certain identification criteria to be further selected in the analysis. A “loose” identification is defined by requiring $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.4) for electrons (muons). A “tight” selection is required as a last step of the lepton selection following

the definitions provided in Refs. [46, 47], including requirements on the impact parameter of the candidates with respect to the PV and their isolation with respect to other particles in the event [48].

4 Event selection

Collision events were collected using a single-electron (single-muon) trigger that requires the presence of an isolated lepton with $p_T > 27$ (24) GeV. In addition, a set of dilepton triggers with a threshold of 23 GeV for the leading lepton and with a threshold of 8 GeV for the subleading lepton are used. This ensures a trigger efficiency above 99% for events that satisfy the offline selection.

In the analysis, the SR requirements are applied to isolate the signal topology while reducing the background processes. The CR requirements are applied to select regions dominated by background processes to constrain them. The main analysis strategy is to simultaneously fit all these regions to measure the signal processes and to control the normalization of the background components.

The leptonic final state in the $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ channel consists of two oppositely charged, high- p_T , isolated leptons. Selected events contain exactly one electron and one muon with opposite charge, including those originating from leptonic tau decays. The leading ($p_T^{\ell \max}$) and subleading ($p_T^{\ell \min}$) lepton p_T values are required to be larger than 25 and 20 GeV, respectively. Events containing any additional loosely identified lepton with $p_T > 10$ GeV are rejected to suppress backgrounds from WZ and ZZ processes. The dilepton invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell}$) is required to be larger than 85 GeV to reject $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and Higgs boson background events. To reduce top quark background contributions, events with one or more b-tagged jets are rejected.

Several orthogonal background-enriched CRs are used to select event samples enriched with top quark (referred to as “one b tag” and “two b tags”), $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ (referred to as “ $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ ”), and nonprompt-lepton background (referred to as “same-sign”) events. The top quark CRs are defined by requiring the WW SR selection with the exception of the b jet veto requirement, which require either exactly one or two b jets. The $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR is defined by inverting the $m_{\ell\ell}$ requirement, and by requiring $p_T^{\ell\ell} < 30$ GeV. Finally, the nonprompt-lepton CR is defined by requiring a total lepton charge of ± 2 . Table 1 summarizes the event selection used in these regions. All regions are split in events with 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3 reconstructed jets.

Table 1: Summary of the requirements defining the WW SR and dilepton CRs.

Quantity	WW	One/two b tags	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	Same-sign
Number of tight leptons		Strictly 2		
Additional loose leptons		0		
Lepton charges		Opposite		Same
$p_T^{\ell \max}$		> 25 GeV		
$p_T^{\ell \min}$		> 20 GeV		
$m_{\ell\ell}$	> 85 GeV	> 85 GeV	< 85 GeV	> 85 GeV
$p_T^{\ell\ell}$	—	—	< 30 GeV	—
Number of b-tagged jets	0	1/2	0	0
N_j		0/1/2/ ≥ 3		

In addition, two CRs enriched in $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ events are selected in the data, as described in Table 2. The $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ region is selected by requiring three leptons with a total

charge ± 1 . One of the oppositely charged same-flavour leptons from the Z boson candidate is required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV and the other $p_T > 10$ GeV, and the invariant mass of the pair must satisfy $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 15$ GeV. In candidate events with three same-flavour leptons, the oppositely charged leptons with the invariant mass closest to the nominal Z boson mass m_Z [49] is selected as the Z boson candidate. The third lepton with $p_T > 20$ GeV is associated with the W boson. The trilepton invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell\ell}$) is required to exceed 100 GeV. The p_T^{miss} associated with the undetected neutrinos is required to be greater than 30 GeV. Finally, to reduce top quark background contributions, events with one or more jets tagged as b jets are rejected. The $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ region is selected by requiring four leptons, where at least one lepton must have $p_T > 25$ GeV. Lepton pairs originating from hadronic decays are removed by requiring that all opposite-sign lepton pairs in the candidate have $m_{\ell\ell} > 5$ GeV. The Z boson candidates are built from two oppositely-charged leptons of the same flavour. Events with two of such pairs are retained. Finally, to emulate the WW SR, events with one or more b tagged jets are rejected.

Table 2: Summary of the requirements defining the WZ and ZZ CRs.

Variable	WZ	ZZ
Number of tight leptons	Strictly 3	Strictly 4
Additional loose leptons		0
Lepton p_T	$>25/10/20$ GeV	$>25/20/10/10$ GeV (p_T ordered)
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $	<15 GeV	<15 GeV (both pairs)
$m_{3\ell}$	>100 GeV	—
$m_{4\ell}$	—	>150 GeV
p_T^{miss}	>30 GeV	—
Number of b-tagged jets		0

5 Background estimation

A combination of methods based on CRs in data and simulation is used to estimate background contributions. The main background processes are normalized by comparing the predicted yields to data in several CRs, defined to be as close as possible to the SR.

The largest background contribution comes from top quark production, predominantly from $t\bar{t}$ and tW events. This contribution arises when b jets are not tagged either because they fall outside the kinematic region where tagging is possible or because they receive low scores from the DEEPJET b tagging algorithm. An estimate of the top quark background is obtained in each individual reconstructed jet multiplicity bin using the CRs explained in Section 4. Following the procedure used in a measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section [50], two CRs requiring exactly one and exactly two b-tagged jets are defined. By considering events in these two regions separately, the impact of the uncertainties in b tagging efficiency is reduced by about 50%. The next largest background contribution comes from the Drell–Yan process from $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ production with both τ leptons decaying leptonically. The CR described in Section 4 is used to estimate the normalization of this process.

The next most important background contribution comes from events with nonprompt leptons. The simulation alone cannot be used for an accurate estimate of this contribution, but it can be used in conjunction with the data yields in the CR to determine a background estimate. A “pass-fail” control sample is defined by one lepton that passes the lepton selection criteria and another that fails the criteria but passes looser criteria. The misidentification rate

f for a jet that satisfies loose lepton requirements and also passes the standard lepton requirements is determined using a sample dominated by multijet events with nonprompt leptons. This misidentification rate is parameterized as a function of lepton p_T and η and used to compute weights $f/(1-f)$ in the pass-fail sample that are used to determine the contribution of nonprompt leptons in the signal region [48, 51]. The systematic uncertainty to estimate this background is dominated by the uncertainty in the determination of f , which has two sources: the dependence of f on the sample composition, and the method. The first source is estimated by modifying the jet p_T threshold in the QCD multijet sample and by requiring one b jet, which change the composition. The uncertainty in the method is obtained from a closure test, where f is derived from simulated QCD multijet events and applied to simulated samples to predict the number of background events. The limited sample size of the control sample is also taken into account. In addition, the same-sign CR, enhanced in nonprompt lepton background events, is used to estimate in situ the normalization of this background, as described in Section 4.

The normalizations of the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ background processes are estimated using the dedicated CRs described in the previous section. The remaining minor sources of background, including triboson final states, top quarks in association with vector bosons, and Higgs-mediated WW production, are evaluated using simulations normalized to the most precise theoretical cross sections available.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Multiple sources of systematic uncertainty are considered in the measurement of the inclusive and differential cross sections. The uncertainties are included through nuisance parameters in the profile likelihood fit [16, 52], described in Section 7. They can affect either the normalization or both the normalization and the shape of the distributions of the signal or background processes. For each source, the predicted event samples are used to construct corresponding template histograms that describe the expected signal and background distributions for a specific nuisance parameter variation. In the fit of the templates to the data, the best values for both the measured cross section and all nuisance parameters are determined simultaneously.

The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity measurements for the data used in this analysis is 1.4% [53]. The uncertainty in the simulation of pileup events is evaluated by varying the total inelastic pp cross section of 69.2 mb by $\pm 5\%$, which has an impact in the expected signal and background yields of about 1%.

Discrepancies in the lepton reconstruction and identification efficiencies between data and simulation are corrected by applying scale factors to all simulation samples. These scale factors, which depend on the p_T and η for both electrons and muons, are determined using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in the Z boson peak region that were recorded with independent triggers [46, 47, 54], with associated uncertainties of about 0.5% for both electrons and muons. The uncertainty in the determination of the trigger efficiency leads to an uncertainty smaller than 1% in the expected signal yield. The lepton momentum scale uncertainty is computed by varying the momenta of the leptons in simulation by their uncertainties, and repeating the analysis selection. The resulting uncertainties in the yields are about 0.1% for both electrons and muons.

The uncertainty in the calibration of the jet energy scale and resolution affects the selection efficiency of the jet multiplicity requirement and the p_T^{miss} measurement. These effects are estimated by changing the jet energy in the simulation up and down by one standard deviation. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale and resolution is 2–5%, depending on the p_T and η [40], and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is 1–4% depending on the recon-

structured jet multiplicity bin.

The b tagging efficiency in the simulation is corrected using scale factors determined from data [55]. These values are estimated separately for heavy-flavour and light-flavour or gluon jets. Each set of values results in uncertainties in the b tagging efficiency of about 1–4% to select events in the WW SR, and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is about 1%.

The dominant theoretical uncertainties for simulated processes correspond to missing higher-order QCD calculations, which are estimated by varying the QCD renormalization and factorization scales independently up and down by a factor of two from their nominal values. The largest cross section variation is taken as the uncertainty, excluding the two extreme variations where one scale is varied up and the other one down. The PDF and strong coupling α_s uncertainties are evaluated according to the procedure described in Ref. [56]. The theoretical uncertainty due to the modelling of the PS is included for all the simulated samples. The uncertainty in the PS modelling is evaluated by varying the PS weights related to initial- and final-state radiation contributions computed by PYTHIA on an event-by-event basis [33], taking the uncertainty as the largest cross section variation on every analysis bin. The theoretical uncertainties are treated as fully correlated across bins for the distributions used to extract the results.

The branching fraction for leptonic decays of W bosons is $\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \ell\nu) = 0.1086 \pm 0.0009$ [49], and lepton universality is assumed to hold. This is used to quote the total inclusive cross section starting from the undecayed W bosons. The uncertainty coming from this branching fraction is not included in the uncertainty to estimate the inclusive cross section, since all theoretical predictions are computed using fully leptonic decays, and a simple numerical multiplication is applied to quote the total value. A summary of all other systematic uncertainties for inclusive signal strength (μ), the inclusive cross section measurement divided by the predicted cross section, is given in Table 3.

Table 3: Systematic uncertainties on μ , the measured inclusive cross section divided by the predicted cross section. Lepton experimental uncertainties encompass the effects of calibration of lepton momentum scale and resolution, as well as lepton trigger, reconstruction, identification, and isolation efficiencies. Jet experimental uncertainties encompass the effects of the jet energy scale and resolution. The limited sample size category is related to the finite number of MC events and data events used for data-driven background estimates.

Uncertainty source	$\Delta\mu$
Integrated luminosity	0.014
Lepton experimental	0.019
Jet experimental	0.008
b tagging	0.012
Nonprompt background	0.010
Limited sample size	0.017
Background normalization	0.018
Theory	0.011
Statistical	0.018
Total	0.044

7 Results

The results include the WW total inclusive cross section, and both the inclusive and normalized differential cross sections measured as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event, in a fiducial region that is close to the detector acceptance. The cross sections are extracted from the data using a binned maximum likelihood fit [16, 52] from the observed yields in N_j using the WW SR and the one/two b tags, $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$, same-sign, WZ and ZZ CRs. In differential distributions, for each source of uncertainty, the impact in different bins is considered fully correlated. As described above, the normalization of the main background contributions are constrained for the extraction of results by the data in the CRs. In this fit, a signal strength parameter modifies the predicted signal yield defined by the central value of the theoretical cross section. The fitted value of the signal strength is expected to be close to unity if the SM is valid, and the measured cross section is the product of the signal strength and the theoretical cross section. All uncertainties considered in the analysis are treated as nuisance parameters in the fit. When building the likelihood function, each shape systematic uncertainty is associated to a nuisance parameter taken from a unit Gaussian distribution, which is used to interpolate or extrapolate using the specified histograms, and correlations between processes are also included. Statistical uncertainties in the signal and backgrounds are also treated as nuisance parameters, but are constrained by assigning a Poisson function to each analysis bin [57]. These constraints penalize the likelihood fit if the estimated nuisance parameters pull from their measured values.

The $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ fiducial region is defined by a common set of kinematic requirements across the muon and electron final states, with all quantities evaluated at generator level after PS and hadronization, emulating the selection performed at the reconstruction level. The measured distributions, after subtracting the contributions from the background processes, are corrected for detector resolution effects and inefficiencies. The leptons at generator level are selected at the so-called “dressed level” by combining the four-momentum of each lepton after the final-state photon radiation with that of photons found within a cone of $\Delta R = 0.1$ around the lepton [54, 58]. The fiducial region is defined by requiring two opposite-sign electrons and muons with $|\eta| < 2.5$, with a leading and trailing $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$, respectively. Furthermore, $m_{\ell\ell}$ is required to be larger than 85 GeV . The jets at the generator level are clustered from stable particles (i.e. those with lifetimes greater than $0.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}$), excluding neutrinos, using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm with $R = 0.4$, and are required to have $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The jets within $\Delta R(j, \ell) < 0.4$ of the selected charged leptons are excluded. Electrons and muons produced in the decay of a τ lepton are excluded from the definition of the fiducial region, although they are treated as part of the signal component. All fiducial requirements are listed in Table 4. Nonfiducial events, i.e. events selected at the reconstructed level that do not satisfy the fiducial requirements, are included as background processes in the simultaneous fit.

To perform inclusive fiducial and normalized differential production cross section measurements, signal templates from different bins of the generator-level observable predicted by the event generator are built [10, 59, 60]. Each signal template is considered as a separate process in the simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit; thus, the signal extraction and the unfolding of the detector effects are achieved in a single step. The ratios of the differential cross sections relative to the total fiducial cross section (normalized cross sections) and the inclusive fiducial cross section are evaluated simultaneously, and therefore, the systematic uncertainties in the individual cross sections are largely reduced. The total fiducial cross section and the fractions of events in two of the three jet multiplicity bins are freely floating in the fit. In this way, the normalized cross section has the same number of degrees of freedom as a differential measurement. The fraction of nonfiducial events and pileup jets for the differential measurements is

Table 4: Definition of the fiducial region.

Variable	Requirement
Lepton origin	Direct decay of a prompt W boson
Lepton definition	Dressed leptons ($e^\pm \mu^\mp$)
Leading lepton p_T	$p_T^{\ell \max} > 25 \text{ GeV}$
Trailing lepton p_T	$p_T^{\ell \min} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
Additional leptons	0
$ \eta $ of leptons	$ \eta < 2.5$
Dilepton mass	$m_{\ell\ell} > 85 \text{ GeV}$
Jet p_T	$p_T^j > 30 \text{ GeV}$
$ \eta $ of jets	$ \eta^j < 2.5$
Jet-lepton removal	$\Delta R(j, \ell) > 0.4$

below 1%.

The data, signal, and background yields in all regions used in the analysis are shown in Tables 5 and 6. In the background categories, the symbol V represents either a W or Z boson, and tVx represents final states with a top quark, a gauge boson, and possibly additional jets. The distributions of the number of reconstructed jets, and the number of events in the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CRs after the fit to the data are shown in Fig. 1. Because of the anticorrelation between the yields of the signal and background processes, the total uncertainty in the different regions is smaller than the uncertainties in some of the individual contributions. The measured inclusive cross section based on the simultaneous fit of all event categories is $125.7 \pm 2.3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 4.8 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.8 \text{ (lumi)} \text{ pb} = 125.7 \pm 5.6 \text{ pb}$, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction within uncertainties. A summary of the inclusive fiducial cross section and normalized cross sections obtained in the analysis is shown in Table 7. Figure 2 presents a summary of WW production cross section measurements at different center-of-mass energies and a comparison with fixed-order predictions produced via the MATRIX framework [12, 61]. Results are consistent with the theoretical predictions across all center-of-mass energies. The normalized fiducial cross section measurement as a function of N_j is shown in Fig. 3. The measurement is compared to predictions with POWHEG+PYTHIA, NNLO QCD $\times$ NLO EW predictions using MATRIX calculations, and NNLO in QCD predictions using POWHEG MINNLO [62, 63] v2 interfaced with PYTHIA. The measured fiducial cross sections agree with the theoretical predictions within uncertainties. Nevertheless, the predictions using POWHEG MINNLO seem to give a sizable improvement with respect to older predictions. Tabulated results are provided in HEPData [64].

8 Summary

First measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6 \text{ TeV}$ of the WW production cross section in proton-proton collisions have been presented. The data used in this study were collected with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in 2022, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . Events were selected by requiring one electron and one muon of opposite charge. A maximum likelihood fit has been performed in event signal- and background-enriched categories defined by the flavour and charge of the leptons, the number of jets, and number of jets identified as originating from b quarks. An inclusive WW production cross section of $125.7 \pm 2.3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 4.8 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.8 \text{ (lumi)} \text{ pb} = 125.7 \pm 5.6 \text{ pb}$ is measured, in agreement with the standard model prediction. The overall sensitivity is about 25% better than previous CMS measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with a similar integrated luminosity, because of several reduced experimental uncertainties

Table 5: Data, signal, and background yields combining all reconstructed jet multiplicity bins. The combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties is shown. The expected yields are shown with their best fit normalizations from the simultaneous fit to data.

	WW SR	Same-sign CR	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR	One b tag CR	Two b tags CR
Data	43 898	3 456	56 551	68 656	57 617
WW	$16\,220 \pm 650$	81.7 ± 9.5	$2\,662 \pm 94$	$2\,220 \pm 180$	248 ± 54
Top quark	$19\,760 \pm 480$	87.3 ± 8.4	$1\,126 \pm 34$	$63\,340 \pm 750$	$55\,610 \pm 620$
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	$2\,124 \pm 72$	57.0 ± 9.3	$45\,630 \pm 590$	227 ± 27	19.6 ± 7.9
WZ	487 ± 21	512 ± 24	97.6 ± 4.9	96.9 ± 6.3	11.8 ± 1.7
ZZ	37.1 ± 1.7	33.6 ± 1.7	66.0 ± 3.9	6.9 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.1
Nonprompt	$4\,860 \pm 320$	$2\,390 \pm 130$	$6\,550 \pm 440$	$2\,630 \pm 270$	$1\,640 \pm 220$
VVV	75.9 ± 3.7	25.8 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 0.4	33.7 ± 2.1	8.7 ± 0.8
tVx	10.7 ± 1.5	8.7 ± 2.7	0.7 ± 0.1	44.1 ± 3.2	52.1 ± 3.3
$V\gamma$	225 ± 18	232 ± 19	69.2 ± 7.6	43.2 ± 9.5	3.1 ± 0.9
Higgs boson	90 ± 14	27.5 ± 5.2	344 ± 52	29.3 ± 4.8	20.7 ± 3.2
Total	$43\,890 \pm 410$	$3\,460 \pm 130$	$56\,550 \pm 420$	$68\,670 \pm 560$	$57\,610 \pm 490$

Table 6: Data, signal and background yields in events in the WZ and ZZ CRs. The combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties is shown. The expected yields are shown with their best fit normalizations from the simultaneous fit to data.

	WZ CR	ZZ CR
Data	4 732	610
WZ	$3\,470 \pm 130$	0.9 ± 0.1
ZZ	270 ± 29	599 ± 25
Nonprompt	820 ± 120	< 1
VVV	60.4 ± 3.7	5.4 ± 0.3
tVx	25.7 ± 3.1	2.3 ± 0.2
Higgs boson	55.4 ± 8.8	$2.5 \pm 0.$
$V\gamma$	28.3 ± 3.1	< 1
Total	$4\,732 \pm 78$	610 ± 25

Table 7: Inclusive fiducial cross section and normalized cross sections for events with $N_j = 0, 1, \geq 2$ jets. The uncertainty listed is the total uncertainty obtained from the fit to the yields. The expected predictions are obtained from POWHEG+PYTHIA. In parentheses, the split of systematic and statistical uncertainties are reported.

Observable	Expected	Observed
Cross section (fb)	812 ± 34 (31, 15)	813 ± 35 (32, 15)
0-jet fraction	0.648 ± 0.015 (0.012, 0.009)	0.640 ± 0.016 (0.013, 0.009)
1-jet fraction	0.256 ± 0.013 (0.008, 0.010)	0.243 ± 0.013 (0.009, 0.010)
≥ 2 -jet fraction	0.096 ± 0.011 (0.008, 0.008)	0.119 ± 0.011 (0.008, 0.008)




Figure 1: Distributions of the number of reconstructed jets after the fit to the data in the WW SR (upper left), same-sign CR (upper right), $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR (center left), one b tag CR (center right), and two b tags CR (lower left), and the number of events in the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CRs (lower right). The histograms for tVx backgrounds include the contributions from $t\bar{t}V(V)$ processes. The histograms for $V\gamma$ backgrounds include the contributions from $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ processes. The overflow is included in the last bin. The bottom panel in each figure shows the ratio of the number of events observed in data to that of the total SM prediction. The grey bands represent the uncertainties in the predicted yields. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties in the data.




Figure 2: Results obtained in this analysis and other WW production cross section measurements at different center-of-mass energies for the CMS [6, 7, 10], ATLAS [5, 8, 65], CDF [66], and D0 [67] Collaborations are presented, and compared with the NNLO QCD $\times$ NLO EW and NLO predictions from MATRIX. The vertical error bars represent the uncertainty in the measured cross section.

and the improved fit strategy. Cross sections have also been reported in a fiducial region close to that of the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. For first time in proton-proton collisions, WW events with at least two reconstructed jets are studied and compared with the most precise theoretical predictions.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centres for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Re-




Figure 3: The upper panel shows the normalized cross section measurement for events with $N_j = 0, 1, \geq 2$ jets. The filled circles represent the unfolded data results. The black, blue, and red lines represent the predictions from POWHEG+PYTHIA, MATRIX, and POWHEG MINNLO+PYTHIA, respectively. The lower panels show the ratio of the theoretical predictions to the measurement. In all panels, the error bars on the data points represent the total uncertainty in the measurement, and the shaded band depicts the uncertainty in the prediction.

search Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Centre for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing and FAIR – Future Artificial Intelligence Research, funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF "a way of making Europe", and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, "Search for new physics in events with two soft oppositely charged leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **782** (2018) 440, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.062, arXiv:1801.01846.
- [2] D0 Collaboration, "Measurement of the WW production cross section with dilepton final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 191801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191801, arXiv:0904.0673.
- [3] CDF Collaboration, "Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section and search for anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 201801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.201801, arXiv:0912.4500.
- [4] CDF Collaboration, "Measurement of the production and differential cross sections of W^+W^- bosons in association with jets in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. D*

- 91** (2015) 111101, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.111101, arXiv:1505.00801.
[Addendum: doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.039901].
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of W^+W^- production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous WWZ and WW γ couplings”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112001, arXiv:1210.2979. [Erratum: doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.079906].
- [6] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and limits on anomalous WW γ and WWZ couplings”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2610, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2610-8, arXiv:1306.1126.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous gauge couplings”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 401, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4219-1, arXiv:1507.03268.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of total and differential W^+W^- production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple-gauge-boson couplings”, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 029, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)029, arXiv:1603.01702.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of fiducial and differential W^+W^- production cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 884, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7371-6, arXiv:1905.04242.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, “ W^+W^- boson pair production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 092001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.092001, arXiv:2009.00119.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurements of $W^+W^- + \geq 1$ jet production cross-sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **06** (2021) 003, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2021)003, arXiv:2103.10319.
- [12] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, and M. Wiesemann, “Fully differential NNLO computations with MATRIX”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 537, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5771-7, arXiv:1711.06631.
- [13] M. Grazzini et al., “NNLO QCD + NLO EW with Matrix+OpenLoops: precise predictions for vector-boson pair production”, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 087, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2020)087, arXiv:1912.00068.
- [14] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions from high-precision collider data”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 663, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5, arXiv:1706.00428.
- [15] F. Caola, K. Melnikov, R. Rönsch, and L. Tancredi, “QCD corrections to W^+W^- production through gluon fusion”, *Phys. Lett. B* **754** (2016) 275, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.046, arXiv:1511.08617.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS statistical analysis and combination tool: COMBINE”, 2024. arXiv:2404.06614. Submitted to *Comput. Softw. Big Sci.*
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.

-
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3”, *JINST* **19** (2024) P05064, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/19/05/P05064, arXiv:2309.05466.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [21] P. Nason, “A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms”, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [22] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [23] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043, arXiv:1002.2581.
- [24] P. Nason and G. Zanderighi, “ W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2702, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2702-5, arXiv:1311.1365.
- [25] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO vector-boson production matched with shower in POWHEG”, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 060, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/060, arXiv:0805.4802.
- [26] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO Higgs boson production via gluon fusion matched with shower in POWHEG”, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 002, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/002, arXiv:0812.0578.
- [27] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [28] J. Alwall et al., “Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53** (2008) 473, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0490-5, arXiv:0706.2569.
- [29] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, “Merging meets matching in MC@NLO”, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 061, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061, arXiv:1209.6215.
- [30] P. Artoisenet, R. Frederix, O. Mattelaer, and R. Rietkerk, “Automatic spin-entangled decays of heavy resonances in Monte Carlo simulations”, *JHEP* **03** (2013) 015, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2013)015, arXiv:1212.3460.
- [31] I. Anderson et al., “Constraining anomalous HVV interactions at proton and lepton colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 035007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.035007, arXiv:1309.4819.

- [32] A. V. Gritsan et al., “New features in the JHU generator framework: constraining Higgs boson properties from on-shell and off-shell production”, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 056022, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.056022, arXiv:2002.09888.
- [33] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [35] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, “Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid”, CMS Technical Proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015.
- [38] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [39] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet user manual”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [40] CMS Collaboration, “Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, “Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2017.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P09018, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/p09018, arXiv:2003.00503.
- [44] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, and N. Tran, “Pileup per particle identification”, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 059, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)059, arXiv:1407.6013.
- [45] E. Bols et al., “Jet flavour classification using DeepJet”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P12012, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/12/P12012, arXiv:2008.10519.
- [46] CMS Collaboration, “Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **16** (2021) P05014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P06015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015, arXiv:1804.04528.

-
- [48] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying to a W boson pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **791** (2019) 96, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.12.073, arXiv:1806.05246.
- [49] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman et al., “Review of Particle Physics”, *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01, doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097.
- [50] CMS Collaboration, “First measurement of the top quark pair production cross section in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV”, *JHEP* **08** (2023) 204, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2023)204, arXiv:2303.10680.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states”, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 096, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2014)096, arXiv:1312.1129.
- [52] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727. [Erratum: doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z].
- [53] CMS Collaboration, “Luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at 13.6 TeV in 2022 at CMS”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001, 2024.
- [54] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of differential Z boson production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **12** (2019) 061, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2019)061, arXiv:1909.04133.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P05011, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011, arXiv:1712.07158.
- [56] J. Butterworth et al., “PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II”, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016) 023001, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/43/2/023001, arXiv:1510.03865.
- [57] R. J. Barlow and C. Beeston, “Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **77** (1993) 219, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W.
- [58] CMS Collaboration, “Object definitions for top quark analyses at the particle level”, Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2017-004, 2017.
- [59] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of production cross sections of WZ and same-sign WW boson pairs in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **809** (2020) 135710, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135710, arXiv:2005.01173.
- [60] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the Z boson differential production cross section using its invisible decay mode ($Z\nu\bar{\nu}$) in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 205, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)205, arXiv:2012.09254.
- [61] S. Kallweit, M. Grazzini, and M. Wiesemann, “Higher-order corrections on diboson production processes with MATRIX”, *PoS RADCOR2017* (2018) 075, doi:10.22323/1.290.0075.

- [62] P. F. Monni et al., “MiNNLO_{PS}: a new method to match NNLO QCD to parton showers”, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 143, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2020)143, arXiv:1908.06987.
[Erratum: doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2022)031].
- [63] P. F. Monni, E. Re, and M. Wiesemann, “MiNNLO_{PS}: optimizing $2 \rightarrow 1$ hadronic processes”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 1075, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08658-5, arXiv:2006.04133.
- [64] “HEPData record for this analysis”, 2024. doi:10.17182/hepdata.150773.
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment”, *Phys. Lett. B* **773** (2017) 354, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.047, arXiv:1702.04519.
- [66] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the WW and WZ production cross section using final states with a charged lepton and heavy-flavor jets in the full CDF Run II data set”, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 032008, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.032008, arXiv:1606.06823.
- [67] D0 Collaboration, “Measurements of WW and WZ production in W+jets final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 181803, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.181803, arXiv:1112.0536.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöffbeck , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

T. Janssen , T. Van Laer, P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

N. Breugelmans, J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , F. Heyen, S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat³ , G.P. Van Onsem , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Bilin , B. Clerbaux , A.K. Das, G. De Lentdecker , H. Evard , L. Favart , P. Gianneios , J. Jaramillo , A. Khalilzadeh, F.A. Khan , K. Lee , M. Mahdavihorrami , A. Malara , S. Paredes , M.A. Shahzad, L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , G. Gokbulut , Y. Hong , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , D. Marckx , K. Mota Amarilo , A. Samalan, K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden , L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke , A. Bethani , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , J. De Favereau De Jeneret , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , A.O. Guzel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Mastrapasqua , T.T. Tran , S. Wertz 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , E. Coelho , G. Correia Silva , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira , C. Mora Herrera⁴ , A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro, A. Vilela Pereira⁴ 

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁶ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza, M. Macedo , J. Martins⁷ , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , J.P. Pinheiro , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁶ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , I. Maietto Silverio , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula 

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , D. Laroze , S. Thakur 

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , T. Javaid , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , Z. Liang, J. Liu, K. Yi^{8,9} 

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen¹⁰ , H.S. Chen¹⁰ , M. Chen¹⁰ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor¹¹ ,
H. Liao , Z.-A. Liu¹² , R. Sharma¹³ , J.N. Song¹², J. Tao , C. Wang¹⁰, J. Wang , Z. Wang¹⁰,
H. Zhang , J. Zhao 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , S. Deng , B. Guo, C. Jiang , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao,
S. Qian, S.J. Qian , X. Qin, X. Sun , D. Wang , H. Yang, L. Zhang , Y. Zhao, C. Zhou 

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science and Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

S. Yang 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

K. Jaffel , N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer¹⁴, B. Li, J. Zhang 

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao¹⁵ , Y. Li

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

F. Ramirez , C. Rendón, M. Rodriguez , A.A. Ruales Barbosa , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , A. Petkovic, T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , K. Jakovcic, S. Mishra ,
A. Starodumov¹⁶ , T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , A. Hadjiagapiou, C. Leonidou, J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou, L. Paizanos, F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin 

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran^{17,18}, B. El-mahdy, S. Elgammal¹⁸

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

M. Abdullah Al-Mashad , M.A. Mahmoud 

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken 

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar , N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , P. Inkaew , K.T.S. Kallonen , T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , L. Martikainen , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , J. Tuominiemi 

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

P. Luukka , H. Petrow 

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , M. Kumar , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , F. Orlandi , L. Portales , A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁹ , P. Simkina , M. Titov , M. Tornago 

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

F. Beaudette , G. Boldrini , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , M. Chiusi , F. Damas , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , I.T. Ehle , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan , L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , M. Nguyen , C. Ochando , R. Salerno , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , L. Urda Gómez , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche 

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram²⁰ , J. Andrea , D. Apparu , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert , C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , O. Poncet , G. Saha , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove , P. Vaucelle 

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

A. Di Florio 

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

D. Amram, S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , C. Dozen²¹ , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg, G. Grenier , B. Ille , E. Jourdhuy, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao 

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

I. Lomidze , T. Toriashvili²² , Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁶ 

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , S. Consuegra Rodríguez , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , D. Pérez Adán , N. Röwert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , J. Erdmann , M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung , M.y. Lee , F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , F. Rehm, H. Reithler , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , C. Seth, A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , A. Stein , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo²³ , S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , J. Bach , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , F. Blekman²⁴ , K. Borras²⁵ , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina , M. De Silva , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo²⁴ , A. Geiser , V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , L. Jeppe , B. Kaech , M. Kasemann , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka²⁶ , W. Lohmann²⁷ , F. Lorkowski , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , K. Moral Figueroa , A. Mussgiller , L.P. Nair , J. Niedziela , A. Nürnberg , Y. Otariid, J. Park , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , D. Rastorguev , J. Rübenach, L. Rygaard, A. Saggio , M. Scham^{28,25} , S. Schnake²⁵ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger²⁴ , D. Selivanova , K. Sharko , M. Shchedrolosiev , D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , D. Wang , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens²⁵ , C. Wissing , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos 

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , S. Bollweg, M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , J. Haller , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , C.c. Kuo, V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoie , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews, M. Wolf 

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , A. Droll, U. Elcabuk, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann²⁹ , R. Hofsaess 

M. Horzela , U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , R. Koppenhöfer , J.M. Lawhorn , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto , B. Maier , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , E. Pfeffer , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , L. Sowa, L. Stockmeier, K. Tauqeer, M. Toms , N. Trevisani , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , X. Zuo 

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos²⁹, A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

P. Kontaxakis , G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis , I. Papavergou , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsipolitis , A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{30,31} , K. Márton, A.J. Rádl³² , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , A. Fehérkuti³³ , M.M.A. Gadallah³⁴ , Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , G. Pásztor , G.I. Veres 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

F. Nemes³³ , T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra³⁵ , A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , M.K. Saini, S. Saumya 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Barman³⁶ , S. Bhattacharya , S. Das Gupta, S. Dutta , S. Dutta, S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , G. Dash , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , S. Kamble , J.R. Komaragiri³⁷ , D. Kumar³⁷ , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , R.K. Singh, P. Verma, S. Verma , A. Vijay

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty , B. Parida , M. Shelake, P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , A. Jaiswal, S. Kumar , G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath 

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati³⁸ , C. Kar , D. Maity³⁹ , P. Mal , T. Mishra , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu³⁹ , K. Naskar³⁹ , A. Nayak³⁹ , S. Nayak, K. Pal, P. Sadangi, S.K. Swain , S. Varghese³⁹ , D. Vats³⁹ 

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Acharya⁴⁰ , A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Gomber⁴⁰ , P. Hazarika , B. Kansal , A. Laha , B. Sahu⁴⁰ , S. Sharma , K.Y. Vaish 

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴¹ , A. Jafari⁴² , M. Zeinali⁴³ 

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Bashiri, S. Chenarani⁴⁴ , S.M. Etesami , Y. Hosseini , M. Khakzad , E. Khazaie⁴⁵ , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi , S. Tizchang⁴⁶ 

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini , M. Grunewald 

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , B. D'Anzi^{a,b} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,47} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , L. Longo^a , M. Louka^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^a , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellicchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^{a,b} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,c} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^{a,b} , D. Troiano^{a,b} , R. Venditti^{a,b} , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{+,a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , D. Fasanella^a , P. Giacomelli^a , L. Giommi^{a,b} , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,48} , M. Lorusso^{a,b} , L. Lunerti^a , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , G. Paggi^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , S. Rossi Tisbeni^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,49} , A. Di Mattia^a , A. Lapertosa^a , R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,49} , C. Tuve^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

P. Assiouras^a , G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a, G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁵⁰ , D. Piccolo 

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

P. Chatagnon^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , F. Brivio^a , F. Cetorelli^{a,b} , F. De Guio^{a,b} , M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} ,
P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , R. Gerosa^{a,b} , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a ,
M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a ,
L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , S. Palluotto^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , A. Perego^{a,b} ,
B.S. Pinolini^a, G. Pizzati^{a,b}, S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , A. Cagnotta^{a,b} , F. Carnevali^{a,b}, N. Cavallo^{a,c} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} ,
A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,51} , P. Paolucci^{a,29} , B. Rossi^a 

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^a , P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,52} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^a , G. Bortolato^{a,b},
A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , A.C.M. Bulla^a , R. Carlin^{a,b} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^a ,
F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} ,
M. Migliorini^{a,b} , F. Montecassiano^a , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} ,
F. Simonetto^{a,b} , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} ,
A. Zucchetta^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

C. Aimè^a , A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^a , D. Fiorina^a , P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a ,
C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , M.E. Ascioti^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a , C. Carrivale^{a,b}, D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} ,
M. Magherini^{a,b} , V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,53} , A. Rossi^{a,b} ,
A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

C.A. Alexe^{a,c} , P. Asenov^{a,b} , P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , R. Bhattacharya^a ,
L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a ,
M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^a ,
A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , A.C. Marini^a , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} ,
M. Musich^{a,b} , F. Palla^a , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^a ,
T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Spagnolo^a , R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} ,
F. Vaselli^{a,c} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a 

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

C. Baldenegro Barrera^{a,b} , P. Barria^a , C. Basile^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} ,
D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^{a,b} , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^{a,b} ,
E. Longo^{a,b} , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a , R. Paramatti^{a,b} ,
C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a 

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a ,
R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , C. Borca^{a,b} , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} 

R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippo^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , L. Markovic^{a,b} , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , L. Menzio^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a , M. Ruspa^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , R. White^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

J. Babbar^{a,b} , S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^a , G. Della Ricca^{a,b} 

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , B. Kim , J. Kim , D. Lee , H. Lee , S.W. Lee , C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , B. Tae , Y.C. Yang 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNu, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , J. Choi , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin , Y. Ryou 

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han , B. Hong , K. Lee , K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Yoo 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , S. Yang 

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H. S. Kim , Y. Kim , S. Lee 

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond , J.H. Bhyun , J. Choi , J. Choi , W. Jun , J. Kim , S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee , J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang , I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang , Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko , J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh , I.J. Watson 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee , Y. Lee , I. Yu 

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy , Y. Gharbia 

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , C. Munoz Diaz , D. Osite , G. Pikurs , A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , D. Sidiropoulos Kontos 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozys , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

I. Yusuff⁵⁴ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , H. Crotte Ledesma, E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁵⁵ , R. Lopez-Fernandez , J. Mejia Guisao , C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , D.L. Ramirez Guadarrama, M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bujanja , N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan 

AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski 

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szeleper , P. Zalewski 

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski , A. Muhammad 

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny 

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , G.B. Marozzo, T. Niknejad , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic , P. Milenovic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

D. Devetak, M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT),

Madrid, Spain

J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , Oliver M. Carretero , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , E. Martin Viscasillas , D. Moran , C.M. Morcillo Perez , Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , S. Sánchez Navas , J. Sastre , J. Vazquez Escobar 

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , J.R. González Fernández , P. Leguina , E. Palencia Cortezon , J. Prado Pico, C. Ramón Álvarez , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Bhowmik , S. Blanco Fernández , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasasa García , R. Lopez Ruiz , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos , J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

B. Kailasapathy⁵⁶ , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna⁵⁷ , K. Liyanage , N. Perera 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney , A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , L. Borbonovi , C. Botta , E. Brondolin , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , J. Hegeman , J.K. Heikkilä , B. Huber, V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , O. Kaluzinska , O. Karacheban²⁷ , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , M. Matthewman, A. Mehta , F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , H. Qu , D. Rabadý , B. Ribeiro Lopes , M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , S. Sanchez Cruz , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁵⁸ , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea , D. Walter , J. Wanczyk⁵⁹ , J. Wang, K.A. Wozniak⁶⁰ , S. Wuchterl , P. Zehetner , P. Zejdl , W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua⁶¹ , L. Caminada⁶¹ , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶¹ , L. Noehte⁶¹ , T. Rohe 

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsov⁵⁹ , M. Backhaus , G. Bonomelli, A. Calandri , C. Cazaniga , K. Datta , P. De Bryas Dexmiers D'archiac⁵⁹ , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , N. Härringer , T.G. Harte, D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese , C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani⁵⁹ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , B. Ristic , F. Riti , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁵⁹ , A. Tarabini , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶² , P. Bärtshi , M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liehti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring , F. Meng , U. Molinatti , J. Motta , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, M. Senger , E. Shokr, F. Stäger , R. Tramontano 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶³, D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari³⁷ , S.S. Yu 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen, Z.g. Chen, A. De Iorio , W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, S. Karmakar , G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker , L.s. Tsai, D. Tsionou, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan 

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁴ , E. Eskut , Y. Guler⁶⁵ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁵ , C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁶⁶ , A. Polatoz , B. Tali⁶⁷ , U.G. Tok , S. Turkcapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

G. Sokmen, M. Yalvac⁶⁸ 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁶⁹ , O. Kaya⁷⁰ , S. Tekten⁷¹ 

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{64,72} , G.G. Dincer⁶⁴ , Y. Komurcu , S. Sen⁷³ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek⁷⁴ , B. Haciosahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁵ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert , C. Simsek , C. Zorbilmez 

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci⁶⁷ , B. Isildak⁷⁶ , D. Sunar Cerci , T. Yetkin 

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov 

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , M.-L. Holmberg , L. Kreczko , S. Paramesvaran , L. Robertshaw, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou⁷⁷ , K. Walkingshaw Pass

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁷⁸ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , A. Elliot , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , A.R. Sahasransu , G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , K.C. Whalen , T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

I. Andreou , R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal⁷⁹ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies, M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles , C.R. Knight , J. Langford , J. León Holgado , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , S. Mallios, M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁸⁰ , M. Pesaresi , P.B. Pradeep, B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , K. Savva , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , L.H. Vage, T. Virdee²⁹ , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , E. Collins , M.R. Darwish⁸¹ , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , J. Samudio , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson 

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez 

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

B. Bam , A. Buchot Perraguin , R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , C. Crovella , S.V. Gleyzer , E. Pearson, C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸² , E. Usai , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar , C. Cosby , G. De Castro, Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier , C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , F. Golf , S. Jeon , J. O'cain, I. Reed , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Benelli , D. Cutts , L. Gouskos , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan⁸³ , T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , S. Mondal , N. Pervan , T. Russell, S. Sagir⁸⁴ , X. Shen, F. Simpson , M. Stamenkovic , N. Venkatasubramanian, X. Yan 

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , H. Cai , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , S. Ostrom , W. Wei , S. Yoo , F. Zhang 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , W. Si 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

A. Aportela, A. Arora , J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , L. Giannini , Y. Gu, J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , M. Quinnan , B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil 

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , K. Downham , C. Grieco , J. Incandela , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , J. Richman , S.N. Santpur , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vámi , S. Wang , D. Zhang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

S. Bhattacharya , A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J. Mao , H.B. Newman , G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , P. Bryant , M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta , T. Ferguson , T.A. Gómez Espinosa , A. Harilal , A. Kallil Tharayil, C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , P. Palit , K. Park, M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hart , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , N. Manganelli , J. Pearkes , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper , D. Zuolo 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan , S. Hogan , P. Kotamnives, J. Monroy , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , J. Dickinson , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , Y. Feng , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green, A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid , K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , S. Norberg, V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁵ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁸⁶ , L. Ristori , M. Safdari , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field, C. Huh , E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K. Matchev , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , S. Rosenzweig , Y. Takahashi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhim , A. Askew , S. Bower , V. Hagopian , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim , S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez, H. Prosper , P.R. Prova, M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , S. Das , T. Elkafrawy⁸⁷ , M. Hohlmann , E. Yanes

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA, Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams , A. Baty , C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , M. Hawsworth, A. Hingrajiya, D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos , A.H. Merrit , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , E. Prifti, T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , M.A. Wadud , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend, K. Dilsiz⁸⁸ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁹ , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁹⁰ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁹¹ 

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , S. Arteaga Escatel , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , J. Marquez , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu⁹² , C. Rogan , C. Royon , R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , R. Gujju Gurunadha , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , Y. Maravin , J. Natoli , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

A. Baden , A. Belloni , J. Bistany-riebman, Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , B. Kronheim, Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe, E. Popova⁹³ , A. Shevelev , L. Wang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

J. Bendavid , I.A. Cali , P.c. Chou , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. McGinn, A. Novak , C. Paus , C. Reissel , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , G.S.F. Stephans , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Strobbe 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , H.w. Hsia, I. Iashvili , A. Kalogeropoulos , A. Kharchilava , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , J. Pekkanen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams , P. Young 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Bonilla , M. Campana , J. Dervan, Y. Haddad , Y. Han , A. Krishna , J. Li , M. Lu , G. Madigan , R. Mccarthy , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

J. Bueghly, S. Dittmer , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliercio , M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K.W. Ho , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹⁶ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson , A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygalá 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

H. Bouchamaoui , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg , N. Haubrich , K. Kennedy, G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow , I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik 

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

A.S. Bakshi , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic , J. Thieman , A. K. Viridi , F. Wang , A. Wildridge , W. Xie , Y. Yao 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , T. Carnahan , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , I. Krommydas , W. Li , J. Lin , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi, J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , N. Parmar, P. Parygin⁹³ , R. Taus 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , S.V. Clark , D. Gadkari , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , M. Heindl , C. Houghton , D. Jaroslowski , S. Konstantinou , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash, J. Reichert , H. Routray , P. Saha , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora , H. Wang 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , S. Higginbotham , T. Holmes , A.R. Kanuganti , N. Karunarathna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , T. Akhter , O. Bouhali⁹⁴ , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang , T. Kamon⁹⁵ , H. Kim , S. Luo , R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , N. Gogate , V. Hegde , A. Hussain , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , Y. Chen , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli , A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , H. Chung, B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , C. Neu 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

S. Bhattacharya , P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless , J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida , L. Pétré , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi , W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Alexakhin , V. Andreev , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , M. Azarkin , A. Babaev , V. Blinov⁹⁶, E. Boos , V. Borshch , D. Budkouski , V. Bunichev , M. Chadeeva⁹⁶ , V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov⁹⁶ , A. Dermenev , T. Dimova⁹⁶ , D. Druzhkin⁹⁷ , M. Dubinin⁸⁵ , L. Dudko , G. Gavrilov , V. Gavrilov , S. Gninenko , V. Golovtcov , N. Golubev , I. Golutvin[†] , I. Gorbunov , A. Gribushin , Y. Ivanov , V. Kachanov , V. Karjavine , A. Karneyeu , V. Kim⁹⁶ , M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , V. Klyukhin , O. Kodolova⁹⁸ , D. Konstantinov , V. Korenkov , A. Kozyrev⁹⁶ , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , P. Levchenko⁹⁹ , O. Lukina , N. Lychkovskaya , V. Makarenko , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁶ , V. Murzin , A. Nikitenko^{100,98} , S. Obraztsov , V. Oreshkin , V. Palichik , V. Perelygin , M. Perfilov, S. Polikarpov⁹⁶ , V. Popov , O. Radchenko⁹⁶ , M. Savina , V. Savrin , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , Y. Skovpen⁹⁶ , S. Slabospitskii , V. Smirnov , A. Snigirev , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , A. Terkulov , O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , L. Uvarov , A. Uzunian , A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev¹⁰¹, A. Zarubin 

I. Zhizhin , A. Zhokin 

†: Deceased

¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁴Also at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁶Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁷Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

⁸Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

⁹Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

¹⁰Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹¹Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China

¹²Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹³Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China

¹⁴Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China

¹⁵Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

¹⁶Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

¹⁷Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt

¹⁸Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁹Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

²⁰Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²¹Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey

²²Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

²³Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

²⁴Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

²⁵Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

²⁶Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany

²⁷Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

²⁸Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany

²⁹Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

³⁰Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

³¹Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

³²Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

³³Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

³⁴Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

³⁵Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

³⁶Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

³⁷Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

³⁸Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India

³⁹Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴⁰Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

⁴¹Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

⁴²Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

⁴³Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

⁴⁴Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran

- ⁴⁵Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴⁶Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, ARAK, Iran
- ⁴⁷Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁴⁸Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁴⁹Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵⁰Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁵¹Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵²Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵³Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁴Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁵Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁵⁶Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁷Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁸Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁵⁹Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶⁰Also at University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶¹Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶²Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶³Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁴Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁵Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁶⁶Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁶⁷Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁶⁸Also at Bozok Universitetsi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁶⁹Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁰Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷¹Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷²Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷³Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁴Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- ⁷⁵Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁶Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- ⁷⁸Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁹Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁰Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸¹Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt
- ⁸²Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸³Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
- ⁸⁴Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸⁵Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- ⁸⁶Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
- ⁸⁷Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

⁸⁸Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey

⁸⁹Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁹⁰Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey

⁹¹Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

⁹²Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania

⁹³Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁴Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

⁹⁵Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

⁹⁶Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁷Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁹⁸Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

⁹⁹Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁰⁰Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰¹Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan