



Letter

Search for new Higgs bosons via same-sign top quark pair production in association with a jet in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration *

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: M. Doser

Dataset link: [CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy](#)

Keywords:

CMS

Top quarks

Extra Higgs boson

Yukawa couplings

ABSTRACT

A search is presented for new Higgs bosons in proton-proton (pp) collision events in which a same-sign top quark pair is produced in association with a jet, via the $pp \rightarrow tH/A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and $pp \rightarrow tH/A \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{u}$ processes. Here, H and A represent the extra scalar and pseudoscalar boson, respectively, of the second Higgs doublet in the generalized two-Higgs-doublet model (g2HDM). The search is based on pp collision data collected at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV with the CMS detector at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . Final states with a same-sign lepton pair in association with jets and missing transverse momentum are considered. New Higgs bosons in the 200–1000 GeV mass range and new Yukawa couplings between 0.1 and 1.0 are targeted in the search, for scenarios in which either H or A appear alone, or in which they coexist and interfere. No significant excess above the standard model prediction is observed. Exclusion limits are derived in the context of the g2HDM.

1. Introduction

Using proton-proton (pp) collision data recorded during the CERN LHC Run 1 (2011–2012) data-taking period, the ATLAS and CMS experiments discovered a scalar boson, h_{125} , with a mass of 125 GeV, the properties of which are so far consistent with those of the Higgs boson of the standard model (SM) [1–3]. The analysis of the additional Run 2 (2015–2018) data enabled more precise measurements of the Higgs boson properties and further constrained the possible contributions from new physics beyond the SM [4,5]. The ATLAS and CMS experiments have measured the Yukawa couplings of the h_{125} boson to the t and b quarks, and the τ and μ leptons [6,7]. In all cases, the couplings are found to be consistent with the SM expectations within uncertainties.

Having established the existence of one scalar SU(2) doublet, natural questions emerge about the possibility of additional doublets. A second doublet is predicted in two-Higgs-doublet models (2HDM), resulting in five physical scalar bosons: CP-even neutral scalar bosons h and H with $m_H > m_h$, a CP-odd pseudoscalar boson A, and two charged Higgs bosons $H^\pm$ [8]. These additional Higgs bosons at mass scales $\lesssim 1$ TeV may still exist within the reach of the LHC [9], but their signatures may be suppressed by fermion mass-mixing hierarchy [10] or alignment mechanisms, where the mixing angle γ between the two CP-even scalar bosons h and H has a value for which $\cos \gamma \approx 0$ [11]. In the alignment limit [12–14], the lightest scalar boson h becomes the SM

h_{125} boson, and the extra spin-0 bosons do not interact with vector boson pairs (i.e., HVV and AVV interactions do not occur, where V is a W or Z boson). Alignment suppresses flavor changing neutral current interactions of the h boson but allows them for the H and A bosons. Therefore, the lack of a hint of the processes such as $t \rightarrow ch_{125}/uh_{125}$ or $h_{125} \rightarrow \mu\tau/e\tau$ at the LHC may be because their amplitudes are suppressed by alignment. As shown in Ref. [11], the alignment scenario may occur if all extra Higgs quartic couplings are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry requirement is imposed, allowing flavor changing neutral Higgs couplings involving H and A bosons. This scenario without $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry can be studied in the framework of the generalized 2HDM (g2HDM) [8,10,15], with new Yukawa couplings such as $\rho_{\tau\mu}$, $\rho_{\tau u}$, $\rho_{\tau c}$, and ρ_{tt} that may assume significantly large values [8,10,15]. These new Yukawa couplings combined with Higgs quartic couplings of ≈ 1 may also explain the electroweak baryogenesis [16,17] and therefore the disappearance of antimatter soon after the Big Bang. The new top Yukawa coupling ρ_{tc} with a similar strength to $\rho_{\tau\mu}$ may be compatible with the observed data depending on the $H^\pm$ mass, which may also explain a possible muon $g - 2$ anomaly [18]. These arguments strongly motivate to verify with direct experimental searches whether new Yukawa couplings exist. The processes discussed above have been recently explored by the ATLAS experiment [19].

In this Letter, we present a search for the existence of the real part of these couplings, ρ_{tu} and ρ_{tc} , through the $pp \rightarrow tH/A \rightarrow t\bar{t}q$ ($q = u, c$)

* E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.




Fig. 1. Representative Feynman diagram for $t\bar{t}q$ ($q = u, c$) production through a new scalar (H) or pseudoscalar (A) Higgs boson. In this analysis, events with $q = q'$ are considered.

and the charge conjugate processes, considering one coupling at a time and keeping the other at zero using the Run 2 data set recorded by the CMS experiment. A representative Feynman diagram for the $t\bar{t}q$ process is displayed in Fig. 1. The final-state signature for $t\bar{t}q$ explored in this Letter consists of two same-sign (SS) leptons with at least three jets, two of which are identified as b jets and one compatible with originating from a u or c quark, and missing transverse momentum. The main background consists of events with nonprompt leptons originating from leptonic decays of heavy quarks, hadrons misidentified as leptons, electrons from photon conversions, or jets misidentified as leptons.

The analysis is performed using pp collision data at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The data were collected with the CMS detector at the LHC between 2016 and 2018, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . Tabulated results are accessible from the HEPData record for this analysis [20].

The Letter is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the CMS detector, along with object reconstruction. In Section 3, a description of the simulation samples used in the analysis is given. The event selection and reconstruction procedure of the physics objects are given in Section 4. Following, in Section 5, the signal extraction procedure is explained. Section 6 discusses the sources of systematic uncertainties. The results of the analysis are presented in Section 7. Finally, a summary of the analysis is given in Section 8.

2. The CMS detector and object reconstruction

The CMS apparatus [21] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [22,23] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and (charged and neutral) hadrons [24–26]. A global “particle-flow” (PF) algorithm [27] aims to reconstruct all individual particles in an event, combining information provided by the all-silicon inner tracker and by the crystal electromagnetic (ECAL) and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters (HCAL), operating inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, with data from the gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the flux-return yoke outside the solenoid.

The primary vertex is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone, as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [28]. The energy of photons is obtained from the ECAL measurement. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energies.

Jets are built from PF particles using the anti- k_T algorithm [29,30] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Jet momentum is determined as the

vectorial sum of all particle momenta in the jet, and is found from simulation to be, on average, within 5 to 10% of the true momentum over the whole p_T spectrum and detector acceptance. Additional pp interactions within the same or nearby bunch crossings can contribute additional tracks and calorimetric energy depositions, increasing the apparent jet momentum. To mitigate this effect, tracks identified to be originating from the multiple pp interactions occurring in the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup) are discarded and an offset correction is applied to correct for remaining contributions [31]. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation studies so that the average measured energy of jets becomes identical to that of particle level jets. In situ measurements of the momentum balance in dijet, photon + jet, Z + jets, and multijet events are used to determine any residual differences between the jet energy scale in data and in simulation, and appropriate corrections are made [32]. Additional selection criteria are applied to each jet to remove jets potentially dominated by instrumental effects or reconstruction failures [31]. The missing transverse momentum vector $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all the PF candidates in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [33]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is modified to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event.

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level (L1), composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of about $4 \mu\text{s}$ [22]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [23].

3. Simulated event samples

The signal and background processes are simulated using several Monte Carlo (MC) programs. The $t\bar{t}q$ signal samples are simulated at leading order (LO) in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) with up to two additional noncollinear high- p_T partons using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.6.5 [34], and the hard-process simulation is interfaced with parton shower jets using the MLM [35] matching prescription. Different signal samples are simulated for the case where a mass between 200 and 1000 GeV is assumed for A (H), and a mass too high to be of reach for our search is assumed for H (A). For these signal samples, there is no interference between A and H. Signal samples are also generated for the case where both A and H coexist and interfere assuming a mass difference $m_A - m_H = 50 \text{ GeV}$, following Ref. [36]. The amplitudes for the processes $qg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q$ and $qg \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ cancel when A and H are mass degenerate. The signal cross section is not significantly modified with respect to the noninterference case when the mass differences are larger than $\approx 100 \text{ GeV}$. Therefore, the investigated mass difference is chosen to retain some interference effects along with reasonably high cross sections. Depending on the coupling value and the interference assumption we consider in this Letter, the cross sections for the $t\bar{t}q$ process range from $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ to $\approx 7 \times 10^{-1} \text{ pb}$ and for $t\bar{t}H$ range from $\approx 5 \times 10^{-6}$ to $\approx 7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ pb}$, as calculated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO at LO precision in QCD. The inclusive cross section for the $t\bar{t}q$ process does not change, within uncertainties, when the intermediary particle is H or A, when there is no A-H interference.

Background events generated by the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO generator are $t\bar{t}V$, V +jets, $t\bar{t}V\bar{V}$, $t\bar{t}VH$, tZ , $t\bar{t}j$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}H$, WZ, vector boson scattering (VBS, i.e., $W\ell\ell qq$ or $V^\pm V^\pm JJ$), VVV, and single top quark s -channel production. Among these processes, FxFx matching [37] is used for $t\bar{t}W$, Z+jets, and the QCD part of WZ production, while MLM matching [35] is used for W+jets. The POWHEG 2.0 generator [38–40] is used to simulate events at next-to-LO in perturbative QCD for $t\bar{t}$ [41], ZZ and $W^\pm W^\mp$ [42], single top quark tW [43] and t -channel [44], and $t\bar{t}H$ production [45].

Both the signal and background samples are interfaced with PYTHIA v8.240 [46] for parton showering, fragmentation, and hadronization. The underlying event is also modeled with PYTHIA, using the CP5 tune [47]. The parton distribution functions (PDFs) are taken from the NNPDF3.1 next-to-next-to-LO set [48]. Finally, for both signal and background MC event samples, the detector response is modeled with the GEANT4 package [49]. The simulated events are weighted to match the observables sensitive to pileup to those observed in the data [31].

The simulated events are corrected for the differences between data and simulation of the trigger efficiencies, lepton identification, and lepton isolation. The corrections are determined using the “tag-and-probe” method applied on Z boson candidate events [50] and are parametrized as functions of the lepton p_T and pseudorapidity (η).

4. Event reconstruction and selection

Data are selected online with a combination of double lepton triggers and single lepton triggers to enhance signal efficiency. Charged leptons (electrons or muons) are required to originate from the primary vertex to reject contributions from pileup. Identification of electrons makes use of a multivariate discriminant [51,52] combining observables sensitive to the matching of charged-particle tracks in the tracker to the energy deposits in the ECAL and the amount of bremsstrahlung photons emitted along their trajectory [24]. The number of electrons originating from asymmetric photon conversions is reduced by requiring that their associated track has no missing hits in the innermost layers of the silicon tracker. To ensure the correct assignment of the electric charge of the electrons, further selection criteria were applied [53]. The separation of prompt leptons from nonprompt leptons reconstructed within jets is achieved by using an isolation variable, relative to the lepton p_T . The isolation variable is constructed from the scalar p_T sum of charged hadrons, neutral hadrons, and photons reconstructed within a cone of variable size that depends on the lepton p_T [54]. “Loose” leptons are those that pass the kinematic selection criteria of $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.4) for electrons (muons). Electrons that fall in the region between the ECAL barrel and endcaps ($1.442 < |\eta| < 1.556$) are vetoed. “Tight” leptons are in addition required to have $p_T > 20$ GeV. A multivariate discriminant is furthermore used to separate prompt leptons and nonprompt leptons originating from the decays of hadrons inside jets, hadrons misidentified as leptons, and electrons from photon conversions. The jets must have $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ to be considered. In addition, they are required to be separated from identified leptons by $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} > 0.4$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle measured in radians. At least three jets, and $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV are required in each event. Jets from the hadronization of b quarks (b jets), c quarks (c jets), and light (i.e., light-quark or gluon) jets are distinguished through the ratios of the corresponding jet flavor probabilities, defined as CvsL (c vs light quark) and CvsB (c vs b quark) [55,56], respectively. These probabilities are obtained from the DEEPJET [57,58] algorithm: a neural network utilizing global variables, charged, neutral particle and secondary vertex features in a jet to perform flavor tagging. The differences of the shapes of the CvsL and CvsB discriminant distributions between data and simulation are corrected by flavor-dependent scale factors.

The search is performed in three SS dilepton categories: $e^\pm e^\pm$, $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $e^\pm \mu^\pm$. The event selection ensures complete orthogonality of these three categories to avoid any possible double counting of events selected in the analysis. Events are required to have two tight leptons with the same electric charge for which the leading lepton has $p_T > 30$ GeV and subleading lepton $p_T > 20$ GeV. Events containing a third lepton are vetoed if the additional lepton passes the loose selection. The two leptons are required to be separated by $\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0.3$ and required to have an invariant mass $m(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 20$ GeV. Events with $60 < m(\ell_1, \ell_2) < 120$ GeV in the $e^\pm e^\pm$ channel are vetoed to suppress the Drell-Yan (DY) background contribution, the main source of charge misidentified leptons. This veto reduces the selected number of DY events in simulation




Fig. 2. The pre-fit CvsL (upper) and CvsB (lower) distributions for the selected highest p_T jet. The predictions for $m_A = 350$ GeV with A-H interference assuming $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV for $\rho_{tu} = 1.0$ (solid red line) and $\rho_{tc} = 1.0$ (dashed red line) are also displayed. The numbers in square brackets represent the yields for each sample. The uncertainty bars on the points and the hatched bands represent the statistical uncertainties in the data and in the background predictions, respectively. Beneath each plot the ratio of data to predictions is shown. The uncertainty bars in the ratio plots include statistical uncertainties in the data and in the background predictions.

by about 94% after the aforementioned requirements. This veto is not applied to the $e^\pm \mu^\pm$ and $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$ channels, as the charge misidentification rate for muons is negligible. The CvsL and CvsB distributions for the leading jet obtained from simulated events and data are displayed in Fig. 2.

The nonprompt-lepton background is estimated from control regions in the data using the fake-factor method [59]. A dijet enriched sample is selected from data, from which the probability f_p for a loose nonprompt lepton (originating from a jet) to also pass the tight lepton selection criteria is estimated in bins of p_T and $|\eta|$. The nonprompt-lepton background contribution is then estimated by applying f_p to events in a data control sample in which at least one lepton fails the tight lepton selection criteria. A data-driven approach is followed to evaluate the lepton charge misidentification rate in the $e^\pm e^\pm$ channel using DY events with same- and opposite-sign electric charges. It is estimated in bins of lepton p_T and η , and is used to calibrate simulated events. The rate, which increases with p_T and η , varies from $\approx 10^{-5}$ to $\approx 10^{-2}$.

Table 1Input variables of the BDT. Jets and leptons are ordered by p_T .

Input variables of the BDT		
$\text{CvsL}(j_a)$	$a = 1, 2, 3$	Charm- vs light-quark jet identification variable
$\text{CvsB}(j_a)$	$a = 1, 2, 3$	Charm- vs bottom-quark jet identification variable
$\Delta R(j_a, j_b)$	$1 \leq a < b \leq 3$	Angular separation between jets
$m(j_a, j_b)$	$1 \leq a < b \leq 3$	Invariant mass of jet pairs
$\Delta R(j_a, l_b)$	$a = 1, 2, 3; b = 1, 2$	Angular separation between jet and lepton
$m(j_a, l_b)$	$a = 1, 2, 3; b = 1, 2$	Invariant mass of jet-lepton pairs
$p_T(\ell_a)$	$a = 1, 2$	Transverse momentum of leptons
$m(\ell_1, \ell_2, j_a)$	$a = 1, 2, 3$	Invariant mass of the two leptons plus the highest p_T jet
$m(\ell_1, \ell_2)$		Invariant mass of the two leptons
H_T		Scalar p_T sum of the jets
p_T^{miss}		Missing transverse momentum

5. Signal extraction

A boosted decision tree (BDT) discriminant [60] is used to separate signal and background events. We train the BDT model in the signal region, where process yields of both backgrounds and signal are small. For the training, we combine the inputs from different channels. By comparing the BDT output distributions for the training and test samples, we found no evidence of overtraining. We use an optimized set of input observables, listed in Table 1, for our signal topology. Flavor tagging variables are used to differentiate b quarks originating from the top quark decay, and to differentiate c quark and light-quark jets. Pairwise kinematic variables related to jets are incorporated that are sensitive to the resonance structures, specifically to top quarks and A/H. Other kinematic features, such as H_T and p_T^{miss} , are also sensitive to our signal and are included. To mitigate the impact of resonances such as the DY process, the dilepton (+jets) invariant mass is also used as an input to the BDT.

We use half of the available simulated events for the BDT training. The main backgrounds in the signal region are $t\bar{t}$ (fully leptonic decay of the top quark-antiquark pair where one lepton has a misidentified charge), nonprompt leptons (dominated by semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ decay), $t\bar{t}W$, and $t\bar{t}H$. In the signal extraction, we use events from data to estimate the nonprompt-lepton background contribution, but we do not use these events for training. Instead, we use simulated samples of semileptonically decaying $t\bar{t}$ events for the BDT training, to mimic the misidentified lepton in the nonprompt-lepton background. We do not include events from W +jets or single top quark simulation, due to a limited number of simulated events. The various backgrounds are added corresponding to their cross sections. The MC event samples used for the BDT training are $t\bar{t}\bar{c}$ or $t\bar{t}u$ signal, semileptonic $t\bar{t}$, dileptonic $t\bar{t}$ ($t\bar{t}$), $t\bar{t}V$, VV , VVH , $t\bar{t}H$, $t\bar{t}VH$, $t\bar{t}VV$, $t\bar{t}tj$, $t\bar{t}tW$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, and VBS. Three values for the couplings ρ_{tW} and ρ_{tH} , namely, 0.1, 0.4, and 1.0 are considered. For each coupling, ten m_A points are considered: 200, 300, 350, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, and 1000 GeV. Using the same coupling assumptions, we also consider the more realistic case with the A-H interference assuming a fixed mass difference $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV, with the following nine m_A - m_H combinations; 250-200, 300-250, 350-300, 400-350, 550-500, 700-650, 800-750, 900-850, and 1000-950 GeV. The signal extraction is performed using the shape of the BDT discriminant distributions. Because of the kinematic differences and different background compositions, the BDTs are trained independently for four data-taking periods, two in 2016, and one for each of the years 2017 and 2018; for each mass assumption, namely for each m_A either with no H present or with A-H interference; and for $\rho_{tW} = 0.4$ and $\rho_{tH} = 0.4$. Therefore, a total of 152 BDTs are trained. The values of the BDT hyperparameters, as defined in the TMVA package [61], are chosen after scanning a range of values, avoiding overtraining, and requiring a high background rejection rate while maintaining a high signal efficiency. We use these same model parameters for all three years of data taking. The BDTs offer high background rejection, while simultaneously maintaining a high

signal efficiency. For instance, when considering $\rho_{tH} = 0.4$, and a signal efficiency of 96%, the background rejection rates for $m_A = 200$ GeV and 1000 GeV are 50% and 76%, respectively.

The signal strength parameter, μ , is obtained using a simultaneous maximum likelihood fit [62], in analyses performed for three decay modes and for four different eras (i.e. 12 categories) for each signal mass-coupling assumption independently. It is defined as $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{\text{theory}}$, where σ_{theory} is the predicted $t\bar{t}\bar{q}$ production cross section in g2HDM calculated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO + PYTHIA, and σ is the upper limit on the observed cross section. To ensure numerical stability, the bin width is chosen to have a high enough yield in each bin of the BDT distributions. The BDT distribution ranges from -1 to 1 , but to reduce the impact on the fit of background-dominated regions, events are selected with a BDT score > -0.6 . This requirement on the BDT score also helps to improve the stability of the fit and the corresponding fit uncertainties. Except for the dominant nonprompt-lepton background, the predicted yields and the shapes of the BDT distributions are taken directly from the simulation. When performing the maximum likelihood fit, individual templates are used for the VBS, VV , $t\bar{t}$ (fully leptonic decays), $t\bar{t}H$, $t\bar{t}W$, and nonprompt-lepton background processes, while a combined template labeled *others* uses a combination of background processes, namely: DY, $t\bar{t}VV$, $t\bar{t}VH$, $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}tj$, $t\bar{t}tW$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, VVV , tZq , tW , and $t\bar{t}W$.

6. Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties, arising from various sources, such as detector effects, theoretical uncertainties, and mismodeling, are evaluated and categorized in two main groups: experimental uncertainties and modeling uncertainties. Several effects modify the event yields or shapes of the measured distributions. The systematic uncertainties are modeled as nuisance parameters in the maximum likelihood estimation procedure used for determining the best fit signal strength $\hat{\mu}$. The nuisance parameters are allowed to modify the shape and normalization of the backgrounds and signal in the fit using the method in Ref. [62]. The chosen probability density function (pdf) used for the interpolation between nominal and systematic templates is dependent on whether the associated nuisance parameter can also affect the shape of the nominal template or not. Log-normal pdfs are used for nuisance parameters that only affect the distributions normalization, whereas Gaussian distributions are used for those that can affect the shape as well. Experimental uncertainties, where appropriate, and all modeling uncertainties are taken as correlated across years and channels. The effect of the limited number of simulated events is estimated using the Barlow–Beeston-lite method [63].

The experimental uncertainties are those related to the integrated luminosity [64–66], pileup, L1 trigger inefficiency, nonprompt-lepton background estimation, jet energy scale and resolution, unclustered energy scale, lepton identification and trigger efficiencies, charge misidentification, muon momentum scale, and heavy-quark, light-quark and

Table 2

Summary of systematic uncertainties for $\rho_{tc} = 0.4$ and $m_A = 350$ GeV with A-H interference assuming $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV. The first column indicates the source of uncertainty. The second column specifies whether the shape of the fit discriminant is affected by the nuisance parameter (✓) or not (dash). The impact in percent of these nuisance parameters on the pre-fit expected event yields is displayed in the third column. This column is subdivided into three event categories representing the analysis channels. The percentage impacts are given as a range of values representing the minimum and maximum differences obtained in the different bins of the BDT distribution through the four data-taking periods. The numbers for the normalization component of the nonprompt lepton background represent the uncertainties used for each data-taking period. Whether or not a nuisance parameter is taken correlated across years and categories is specified in the last two columns. The luminosity and jet flavor identification nuisances are only partially correlated across years.

Uncertainty source	Shape	Category			Correlated across	
		$e^\pm e^\pm$	$\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$	$e^\pm \mu^\pm$	Years	Categories
Experimental						
Luminosity	—	1.2–2.5	1.2–2.5	1.2–2.5	✓	✓
Pileup	✓	<0.1–2.8	<0.1–1.8	<0.1–2.3	✓	✓
Trigger efficiency	✓	0.4–2.6	0.2–1.1	0.3–1.2	—	—
L1 trigger inefficiency	✓	0.1–0.8	0.1–0.3	0.1–0.4	✓	✓
Lepton identification	✓	0.1–1.7	<0.1–0.4	<0.1–0.6	—	✓
Lepton energy scale	✓	—	<0.1–0.2	<0.1–0.2	—	✓
Charge misid.	✓	1.2–13.1	—	—	—	—
Jet energy scale	✓	<0.1–4.5	<0.1–1.7	<0.1–1.5	✓	✓
Jet energy resolution	✓	<0.1–2.6	<0.1–1.8	<0.1–1.6	—	✓
Unclustered energy	✓	<0.1–2.6	<0.1–0.5	<0.1–0.8	—	✓
Jet flavor identification	✓	<0.1–12.1	<0.1–8.8	<0.1–11.6	✓	✓
Nonprompt lepton BG						
statistical component	✓	<0.1–27.2	1.9–16.2	3.0–13.2	—	✓
Nonprompt lepton BG	—	27,15,11,10	27,15,11,10	27,15,11,10	—	✓
Theoretical						
Signal QCD scales	✓	10.3–10.5	10.0–10.2	9.9–10.0	✓	✓
Signal PDF	✓	0.7	0.6–0.7	0.5–0.6	✓	✓
Signal parton shower	✓	3.6–4.3	4.0–4.3	6.3–7.3	✓	✓
$t\bar{t}$	—	6.1	6.1	6.1	✓	✓
VV	—	4.5	4.5	4.5	✓	✓
VBS	—	10.4	10.4	10.4	✓	✓
$t\bar{t}H$	—	7.8	7.8	7.8	✓	✓
$t\bar{t}W$	—	10.7	10.7	10.7	✓	✓
Other backgrounds	—	5.4	5.4	5.4	✓	✓

gluon jet identification. The dominant experimental uncertainty sources are related to the flavor tagger discriminant shape calibration, and nonprompt-lepton background estimation. The modeling uncertainty sources are matrix-element renormalization and factorization scales, parton shower scales that control the initial- and final-state radiation, and PDFs. For the signal, these are included as separate nuisance parameters that affect the shape. For the backgrounds estimated using MC event samples, nuisance parameters are assumed to only affect the yields, and the corresponding uncertainties in the theoretical cross section calculations are used. The most significant modeling uncertainty in the analysis is attributed to the normalization of the $t\bar{t}W$ background, regardless of the A-H interference. The contributions of all uncertainty sources on the pre-fit expected event yields are displayed in Table 2, for $\rho_{tc} = 0.4$ and $m_A = 350$ GeV with A-H interference assuming $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV. The uncertainties in other scenarios are similar.

7. Results

Fig. 3 displays the post-fit BDT discriminant distributions used for the signal extraction combining the categories $e^\pm e^\pm$, $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $e^\pm \mu^\pm$, and for $\rho_{tu} = 1.0$ and $\rho_{tc} = 1.0$ with A-H interference, assuming $m_A = 350$ GeV.

No significant excess over the expected SM background is observed. The results of the search are interpreted in terms of the upper limits on the signal strength parameter μ in the g2HDM. The upper limits on μ are calculated at 95% confidence level (CL) using a modified frequentist method [67–69] computed with an asymptotic approximation [70]. The expected 95% CL on the production cross section and the regions con-

taining 68 and 95% of the distribution of the limits expected under the background-only hypothesis are calculated with pseudo-experiments using background-only samples. It is observed that the shapes of the BDT distributions are consistent with each other for different coupling values at the same A or H mass value. Therefore, for other coupling assumptions than $\rho_{tu/tc} = 0.4$, the expected signal yields are scaled separately for ρ_{tu} and ρ_{tc} for each mass and coupling hypothesis making use of the corresponding signal cross sections. It is also observed that A or H can be used interchangeably as their corresponding BDT distribution shapes as well as their cross sections are the same. Therefore, we only show the results for A in this Letter. The observed and expected upper limits are displayed as functions of m_A from 200 to 1000 GeV for $\rho_{tu} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$ and $\rho_{tc} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$, for the Run-2 data and for the combination of all three decay modes, without (with) A-H interference in Fig. 4 (5). The observed limits at 95% CL on the signal strength as functions of m_A and the new Yukawa couplings $\rho_{tc/tu}$ are shown in Fig. 6 without A-H interference, and in Fig. 7 with A-H interference. Interpreted in g2HDM, exclusion limits at 95% CL on the mass of the additional Higgs bosons A and H are shown in Table 3 for different ρ_{tu} and ρ_{tc} assumptions.

8. Summary

A search for new Yukawa couplings of the top quark in models with additional Higgs bosons in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV has been presented. The process considered is the production of same-sign top quark pairs associated with an up or a charm quark, and resulting in a final state containing two same-sign leptons and jets. No significant excess above the background prediction




Fig. 3. Post-fit distributions of the BDT discriminants combining the categories e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $e^\pm\mu^\pm$, and all data-taking periods, for $m_A = 350$ GeV with $\rho_{tu} = 1.0$ (upper), and $\rho_{tc} = 1.0$ (lower) with the A-H interference. The numbers in square brackets represent the yields for each sample. The uncertainty bars on the points represent the statistical uncertainties in the data. Beneath each plot the ratio of data to predictions is shown. The uncertainty bars in the ratio plots include statistical uncertainties in the data and the total uncertainty in the background predictions, and the hatched bands represent the total uncertainty in the background predictions.

Table 3

Observed (expected) lower limits on m_A at 95% CL. For the scenario without interference, the limits on m_H and m_A are the same.

	Observed (expected) mass limit [GeV]		
	without interference	with interference	with interference
	m_A or m_H	m_A	m_H
ρ_{tu}			
0.4	920 (920)	1000 (1000)	950 (950)
1.0	1000 (1000)	1000 (1000)	950 (950)
ρ_{tc}			
0.4	no limit	340 (370)	290 (320)
1.0	770 (680)	810 (670)	760 (620)




Fig. 4. Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the signal strength as functions of m_A for g2HDM using different coupling assumptions: $\rho_{tu} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$ (upper) and $\rho_{tc} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$ (lower) without interference, for the combination of the e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $e^\pm\mu^\pm$ categories and all data-taking periods. The inner (green) band and the outer (yellow) band indicate the regions containing 68 and 95%, respectively, of the distribution of limits expected under the background-only hypothesis.

is observed. When no interference between the pseudoscalar (A) and scalar (H) Higgs bosons is assumed, A or H bosons with masses below 920 GeV and 1000 GeV are excluded at the 95% confidence level (CL) for coupling values $\rho_{tu} = 0.4$ and 1.0, respectively, while all other extra Yukawa couplings are assumed to be zero. Similarly, without interference between H and A, and assuming a coupling value of $\rho_{tc} = 1.0$, A or H bosons with masses below approximately 770 GeV are excluded at the 95% CL. Under the assumption that A and H interfere in the scenario with a mass difference of $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV, the pseudoscalar Higgs boson is excluded for m_A values below 1000 GeV when considering coupling values $\rho_{tu} > 0.4$. Furthermore, assuming $\rho_{tc} = 0.4$, the exclusion limit for A is $m_A = 340$ GeV, whereas assuming $\rho_{tc} = 1.0$, the limit extends to $m_A = 810$ GeV at 95% CL. These results represent the first search based on the generalized two-Higgs-doublet model considering A-H interference.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.




Fig. 5. Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the signal strength as functions of m_A for g2HDM using different coupling assumptions: $\rho_{tt} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$ (upper) and $\rho_{tc} = 0.1, 0.4, 1.0$ (lower) with A-H interference assuming $m_A - m_H = 50$ GeV, for the combination of the $e^\pm e^\pm$, $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $e^\pm \mu^\pm$ categories and all data-taking periods. The inner (green) band and the outer (yellow) band indicate the regions containing 68 and 95%, respectively, of the distribution of limits expected under the background-only hypothesis.

Data availability

Release and preservation of data used by the CMS Collaboration as the basis for publications is guided by the CMS policy as stated in “[CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy](#)”.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); Minciencias (Colombia); MSER and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Fin-




Fig. 6. Observed 95% CL upper limit on the signal strength as a function of m_A and ρ_{tt} (upper) and ρ_{tc} (lower) for g2HDM without the A-H interference, for the combination of the $e^\pm e^\pm$, $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $e^\pm \mu^\pm$ categories. The color axis represents the observed upper limit on the signal strength. Expected (dashed lines) and observed (solid lines) exclusion contours are also shown.

land); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MoSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHEI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science – EOS” – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota




Fig. 7. Observed 95% CL upper limit on the signal strength as a function of m_A and ρ_{tu} (upper) and ρ_{tc} (lower) for g2HDM signal model with the A-H interference, for the combination of the e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $e^+\mu^\pm$ categories. The color axis represents the observed upper limit on the signal strength. Expected (dashed lines) and observed (solid lines) exclusion contours are also shown.

Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 124845, K 124850, K 128713, K 128786, K 129058, K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by the EU NexGeneration program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF “a way of making Europe”, and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B05F650021

(Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the Super-Micro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* 716 (2012) 1, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020>, arXiv:1207.7214.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* 716 (2012) 30, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021>, arXiv:1207.7235.
- [3] ATLAS CMS Collaborations, Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and constraints on its couplings from a combined ATLAS and CMS analysis of the LHC pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2016) 045, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2016\)045](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)045), arXiv:1606.02266.
- [4] E. da Silva Almeida, A. Alves, N. Rosa Agostinho, O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Electroweak sector under scrutiny: a combined analysis of LHC and electroweak precision data, *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (2019) 033001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.033001>, arXiv:1812.01009.
- [5] E. d. S. Almeida, A. Alves, O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Electroweak legacy of the LHC run II, *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (2022) 013006, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.013006>, arXiv:2108.04828.
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, A detailed map of Higgs boson interactions by the ATLAS experiment ten years after the discovery, *Nature* 607 (2022) 52, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04893-w>, arXiv:2207.00092, Erratum: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05581-5>.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery, *Nature* 607 (2022) 60, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04892-x>, arXiv:2207.00043.
- [8] G.C. Branco, P.M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M.N. Rebelo, M. Sher, J.P. Silva, Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, *Phys. Rep.* 516 (2012) 1, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002>, arXiv:1106.0034.
- [9] M. Kohda, T. Modak, W.-S. Hou, Searching for new scalar bosons via triple-top signature in $cg \rightarrow t\bar{t}h \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, *Phys. Lett. B* 776 (2018) 379, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.11.056>, arXiv:1710.07260.
- [10] W.-S. Hou, Tree level $t \rightarrow ch^0$ or $h^0 \rightarrow t\bar{c}$ decays, *Phys. Lett. B* 296 (1992) 179, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(92\)90823-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)90823-M).
- [11] W.-S. Hou, M. Kikuchi, Approximate alignment in two Higgs doublet model with extra Yukawa couplings, *Eur. Phys. J. Lett.* 123 (2018) 11001, <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/123/11001>, arXiv:1706.07694.
- [12] J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, The CP conserving two Higgs doublet model: the approach to the decoupling limit, *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 075019, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.075019>, arXiv:hep-ph/0207010.
- [13] M. Carena, I. Low, N.R. Shah, C.E.M. Wagner, Impersonating the standard model Higgs boson: alignment without decoupling, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2014) 015, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2014\)015](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)015), arXiv:1310.2248.
- [14] P.S. Bhupal Dev, A. Pilaftsis, Maximally symmetric two Higgs doublet model with natural standard model alignment, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2014) 024, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2014\)024](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)024), arXiv:1408.3405, Erratum: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2015\)147](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)147).
- [15] T.D. Lee, A theory of spontaneous T violation, *Phys. Rev. D* 8 (1973) 1226, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.8.1226>.
- [16] K. Fuyuto, W.-S. Hou, E. Senaha, Electroweak baryogenesis driven by extra top Yukawa couplings, *Phys. Lett. B* 776 (2018) 402, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.11.073>, arXiv:1705.05034.
- [17] K. Fuyuto, W.-S. Hou, E. Senaha, Cancellation mechanism for the electron electric dipole moment connected with the baryon asymmetry of the universe, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 011901, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.011901>, arXiv:1910.12404.
- [18] D.P. Aguillard, et al., (Muon g-2), measurement of the positive muon anomalous magnetic moment to 0.20 ppm, arXiv:2308.06230, 2023.
- [19] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for heavy Higgs bosons with flavour-violating couplings in multi-lepton plus b -jets final states in pp collisions at 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2023) 081, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2023\)081](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2023)081), arXiv:2307.14759, 2023.
- [20] HEPData record for this analysis, <https://doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.140528>, 2023.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P10017, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017>, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P01020, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020>, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 16 (2021) P05014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014>, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P06015, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015>, arXiv:1804.04528.

- [26] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* 9 (2014) P10009, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009>, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid, CMS Technical proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2020886>.
- [29] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [30] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, Fastjet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P09018, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/P09018>, arXiv:2003.00503.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P02014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014>, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 14 (2019) P07004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004>, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [34] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2014) 079, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079), arXiv:1405.0301.
- [35] J. Alwall, S. Höche, F. Krauss, N. Lavesson, L. Lönnblad, F. Maltoni, M.L. Mangano, M. Moretti, C.G. Papadopoulos, F. Piccinini, S. Schumann, M. Treccani, J. Winter, M. Worek, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 53 (2008) 473, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0490-5>, arXiv:0706.2569.
- [36] W.-S. Hou, T. Modak, Probing top changing neutral Higgs couplings at colliders, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 36 (2021) 2130006, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732321300068>, arXiv:2012.05735.
- [37] R. Frederix, S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2012) 061, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061), arXiv:1209.6215.
- [38] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2004) 040, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [39] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2007) 070, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [40] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG box, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2010) 043, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:1002.2581.
- [41] S. Frixione, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, A positive-weight next-to-leading-order Monte Carlo for heavy flavour hadroproduction, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2007) 126, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/126>, arXiv:0707.3088.
- [42] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG box, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2011) 078, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2011\)078](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2011)078), arXiv:1107.5051.
- [43] E. Re, Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1547, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z>, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [44] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2009) 111, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111>, arXiv:0907.4076, Erratum: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)011](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011).
- [45] H.B. Hartanto, B. Jager, L. Reina, D. Wackerroth, Higgs boson production in association with top quarks in the POWHEG BOX, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 094003, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094003>, arXiv:1501.04498.
- [46] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 191 (2015) 159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 (2020) 4, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4>, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [48] R.D. Ball, et al., NNPDF, Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 663, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5>, arXiv:1706.00428.
- [49] S. Agostinelli, et al., Geant4, Geant4 — a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [50] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2011) 132, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2011\)132](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132), arXiv:1107.4789.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the Higgs boson production rate in association with top quarks in final states with electrons, muons, and hadronically decaying tau leptons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81 (2021) 378, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09014-x>, arXiv:2011.03652.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the cross section of top quark-antiquark pair production in association with a W boson in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2023) 219, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2023\)219](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2023)219), arXiv:2208.06485.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 10 (2015) P06005, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005>, arXiv:1502.02701.
- [54] K. Rehermann, B. Tweedie, Efficient identification of boosted semileptonic top quarks at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2011) 059, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2011\)059](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)059), arXiv:1007.2221.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, A new calibration method for charm jet identification validated with proton-proton collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 17 (2022) P03014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/17/03/P03014>, arXiv:2111.03027.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Performance summary of AK4 jet charm tagging with the CMS Run2 legacy dataset, CMS Detector Performance Note CMS-DP-2023-006, 2023, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2854610>.
- [57] E. Bols, J. Kieseler, M. Verzetti, M. Stoye, A. Stakia, Jet flavour classification using DeepJet, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P12012, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/12/P12012>, arXiv:2008.10519.
- [58] CMS Collaboration, Performance summary of AK4 jet b tagging with data from proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV with the CMS detector, CMS Detector Performance Note CMS-DP-2023-005, 2023, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2854609>.
- [59] CMS Collaboration, Evidence for associated production of a Higgs boson with a top quark pair in final states with electrons, muons, and hadronically decaying τ leptons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2018) 066, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2018\)066](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)066), arXiv:1803.05485.
- [60] J.H. Friedman, Greedy function approximation: a gradient boosting machine, *Ann. Stat.* 29 (2001) 1189, <https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1013203451>.
- [61] A. Hoecker, et al., TMVA — toolkit for multivariate data analysis, arXiv:physics/0703039, 2007.
- [62] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, the LHC Higgs Combination Group, CMS Collaborations the LHC Higgs Combination Group, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-NOTE-2011-005, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1379837>.
- [63] R.J. Barlow, C. Beeston, Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 77 (1993) 219, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(93\)90005-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W).
- [64] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81 (2021) 800, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2>, arXiv:2104.01927.
- [65] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2621960/>.
- [66] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2676164>.
- [67] S. Heinemeyer, et al., Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 3. Higgs properties, CERN Report CERN-2013-004, 2013, <https://doi.org/10.5170/CERN-2013-004>, arXiv:1307.1347.
- [68] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 434 (1999) 435, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [69] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* 28 (2002) 2693, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3889/28/10/313>.
- [70] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1554, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0>, arXiv:1007.1727, Erratum: <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z>.

The CMS Collaboration

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan ¹

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam^{ID}, J.W. Andrejkovic^{ID}, T. Bergauer^{ID}, S. Chatterjee^{ID}, K. Damanakis^{ID}, M. Dragicevic^{ID},
 P.S. Hussain^{ID}, M. Jeitler^{ID,2}, N. Krammer^{ID}, A. Li^{ID}, D. Liko^{ID}, I. Mikulec^{ID}, J. Schieck^{ID,2}, R. Schöfbeck^{ID},
 D. Schwarz^{ID}, M. Sonawane^{ID}, S. Templ^{ID}, W. Waltenberger^{ID}, C.-E. Wulz^{ID,2}

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

M.R. Darwish^{ID,3}, T. Janssen^{ID}, P. Van Mechelen^{ID}

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

E.S. Bols^{ID}, J. D'Hondt^{ID}, S. Dansana^{ID}, A. De Moor^{ID}, M. Delcourt^{ID}, H. El Faham^{ID}, S. Lowette^{ID},
 I. Makarenko^{ID}, D. Müller^{ID}, A.R. Sahasransu^{ID}, S. Tavernier^{ID}, M. Tytgat^{ID,4}, S. Van Putte^{ID},
 D. Vannerom^{ID}

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

B. Clerbaux^{ID}, G. De Lentdecker^{ID}, L. Favart^{ID}, D. Hohov^{ID}, J. Jaramillo^{ID}, A. Khalilzadeh^{ID}, K. Lee^{ID},
 M. Mahdavihorrani^{ID}, A. Malara^{ID}, S. Paredes^{ID}, L. Pétré^{ID}, N. Postiau^{ID}, L. Thomas^{ID},
 M. Vanden Bemden^{ID}, C. Vander Velde^{ID}, P. Vanlaer^{ID}

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

M. De Coen^{ID}, D. Dobur^{ID}, Y. Hong^{ID}, J. Knolle^{ID}, L. Lambrecht^{ID}, G. Mestdach^{ID}, C. Rendón^{ID}, A. Samalan^{ID},
 K. Skovpen^{ID}, N. Van Den Bossche^{ID}, J. van der Linden^{ID}, L. Wezenbeek^{ID}

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

A. Benecke^{ID}, G. Bruno^{ID}, C. Caputo^{ID}, C. Delaere^{ID}, I.S. Donertas^{ID}, A. Giammanco^{ID}, K. Jaffel^{ID},
 Sa. Jain^{ID}, V. Lemaître, J. Lidrych^{ID}, P. Mastrapasqua^{ID}, K. Mondal^{ID}, T.T. Tran^{ID}, S. Wertz^{ID}

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G.A. Alves^{ID}, E. Coelho^{ID}, C. Hensel^{ID}, T. Menezes De Oliveira^{ID}, A. Moraes^{ID}, P. Rebello Teles^{ID}, M. Soeiro

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior^{ID}, M. Alves Gallo Pereira^{ID}, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho^{ID}, H. Brandao Malbouisson^{ID},
 W. Carvalho^{ID}, J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa^{ID}, G.G. Da Silveira^{ID,6}, D. De Jesus Damiao^{ID},
 S. Fonseca De Souza^{ID}, J. Martins^{ID,7}, C. Mora Herrera^{ID}, K. Mota Amarilo^{ID}, L. Mundim^{ID}, H. Nogima^{ID},
 A. Santoro^{ID}, A. Sznajder^{ID}, M. Thiel^{ID}, A. Vilela Pereira^{ID}

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^{ID,6}, L. Calligaris^{ID}, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^{ID}, E.M. Gregores^{ID}, P.G. Mercadante^{ID},
 S.F. Novaes^{ID}, B. Orzari^{ID}, Sandra S. Padula^{ID}

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

A. Aleksandrov^{ID}, G. Antchev^{ID}, R. Hadjiiska^{ID}, P. Iaydjiev^{ID}, M. Misheva^{ID}, M. Shopova^{ID}, G. Sultanov^{ID}

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov^{ID}, L. Litov^{ID}, B. Pavlov^{ID}, P. Petkov^{ID}, A. Petrov^{ID}, E. Shumka^{ID}

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

S. Keshri^{ID}, S. Thakur^{ID}

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

T. Cheng^{ID}, Q. Guo^{ID}, T. Javaid^{ID}, L. Yuan^{ID}

Beihang University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu^{ID}, J. Liu^{ID}, K. Yi^{ID,8,9}

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen^{,10}, H.S. Chen^{,10}, M. Chen^{,10}, F. Iemmi^{}, C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor^{,11}, H. Liao^{}, Z.-A. Liu^{,12},
R. Sharma^{,13}, J.N. Song^{}, J. Tao^{}, C. Wang^{}, J. Wang^{}, Z. Wang^{}, H. Zhang^{}

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos^{}, Y. Ban^{}, A. Levin^{}, C. Li^{}, Q. Li^{}, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian^{}, X. Sun^{}, D. Wang^{}, H. Yang,
L. Zhang^{}, C. Zhou^{}

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

Z. You^{}

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

N. Lu^{}

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

G. Bauer^{}

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

X. Gao^{,15}, D. Leggat, H. Okawa^{}, Y. Zhang^{}

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Z. Lin^{}, C. Lu^{}, M. Xiao^{}

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

C. Avila^{}, D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera^{}, C. Florez^{}, J. Fraga^{}, J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao^{}, F. Ramirez^{}, M. Rodriguez^{}, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez^{}

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

D. Giljanovic^{}, N. Godinovic^{}, D. Lelas^{}, A. Sculac^{}

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac^{}, T. Sculac^{,16}

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

P. Bargassa^{}, V. Brigljevic^{}, B.K. Chitroda^{}, D. Ferencek^{}, S. Mishra^{}, A. Starodumov^{,17}, T. Susa^{}

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis^{}, K. Christoforou^{}, S. Konstantinou^{}, J. Mousa^{}, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos^{}, P.A. Razis^{},
H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka^{}, A. Stepennov^{}

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger^{}, M. Finger Jr.^{}, A. Kveton^{}

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

E. Ayala^{}

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin^{}

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

H. Abdalla^{,18}, Y. Assran^{},19,20

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M.A. Mahmoud^{}, Y. Mohammed^{}

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

R.K. Dewanjee^{ID,21}, K. Ehataht^{ID}, M. Kadastik, T. Lange^{ID}, S. Nandan^{ID}, C. Nielsen^{ID}, J. Pata^{ID}, M. Raidal^{ID}, L. Tani^{ID}, C. Veelken^{ID}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

H. Kirschenmann^{ID}, K. Osterberg^{ID}, M. Voutilainen^{ID}

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar^{ID}, E. Brücken^{ID}, F. Garcia^{ID}, J. Havukainen^{ID}, K.T.S. Kallonen^{ID}, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén^{ID}, K. Lassila-Perini^{ID}, S. Lehti^{ID}, T. Lindén^{ID}, M. Lotti, L. Martikainen^{ID}, M. Myllymäki^{ID}, M.m. Rantanen^{ID}, H. Siikonen^{ID}, E. Tuominen^{ID}, J. Tuominiemi^{ID}

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

P. Luukka^{ID}, H. Petrow^{ID}, T. Tuuva[†]

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon^{ID}, F. Couderc^{ID}, M. Dejardin^{ID}, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri^{ID}, S. Ganjour^{ID}, P. Gras^{ID}, G. Hamel de Monchenault^{ID}, V. Lohezic^{ID}, J. Malcles^{ID}, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky^{ID}, M.Ö. Sahin^{ID}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{ID,22}, P. Simkina^{ID}, M. Titov^{ID}, M. Tornago^{ID}

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

C. Baldenegro Barrera^{ID}, F. Beaudette^{ID}, A. Buchot Perraguin^{ID}, P. Busson^{ID}, A. Cappati^{ID}, C. Charlot^{ID}, F. Damas^{ID}, O. Davignon^{ID}, A. De Wit^{ID}, G. Falmagne^{ID}, B.A. Fontana Santos Alves^{ID}, S. Ghosh^{ID}, A. Gilbert^{ID}, R. Granier de Cassagnac^{ID}, A. Hakimi^{ID}, B. Harikrishnan^{ID}, L. Kalipoliti^{ID}, G. Liu^{ID}, J. Motta^{ID}, M. Nguyen^{ID}, C. Ochando^{ID}, L. Portales^{ID}, R. Salerno^{ID}, J.B. Sauvan^{ID}, Y. Sirois^{ID}, A. Tarabini^{ID}, E. Vernazza^{ID}, A. Zabi^{ID}, A. Zghiche^{ID}

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram^{ID,23}, J. Andrea^{ID}, D. Apparú^{ID}, D. Bloch^{ID}, J.-M. Brom^{ID}, E.C. Chabert^{ID}, C. Collard^{ID}, S. Falke^{ID}, U. Goerlach^{ID}, C. Grimault, R. Haeberle^{ID}, A.-C. Le Bihan^{ID}, M. Meena^{ID}, G. Saha^{ID}, M.A. Sessini^{ID}, P. Van Hove^{ID}

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

S. Beauceron^{ID}, B. Blancon^{ID}, G. Boudoul^{ID}, N. Chanon^{ID}, J. Choi^{ID}, D. Contardo^{ID}, P. Depasse^{ID}, C. Dozen^{ID,24}, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay^{ID}, S. Gascon^{ID}, M. Gouzevitch^{ID}, C. Greenberg, G. Grenier^{ID}, B. Ille^{ID}, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier^{ID}, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit^{ID}, M. Vander Donckt^{ID}, P. Verdier^{ID}, J. Xiao^{ID}

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

A. Khvedelidze^{ID,17}, I. Lomidze^{ID}, Z. Tsamalaidze^{ID,17}

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

V. Botta^{ID}, L. Feld^{ID}, K. Klein^{ID}, M. Lipinski^{ID}, D. Meuser^{ID}, A. Pauls^{ID}, N. Röwert^{ID}, M. Teroerde^{ID}

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann^{ID}, A. Dodonova^{ID}, N. Eich^{ID}, D. Eliseev^{ID}, F. Engelke^{ID}, M. Erdmann^{ID}, P. Fackeldey^{ID}, B. Fischer^{ID}, T. Hebbeker^{ID}, K. Hoepfner^{ID}, F. Ivone^{ID}, A. Jung^{ID}, M.y. Lee^{ID}, L. Mastrolorenzo, F. Mausolf^{ID}, M. Merschmeyer^{ID}, A. Meyer^{ID}, S. Mukherjee^{ID}, D. Noll^{ID}, A. Novak^{ID}, F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov^{ID}, Y. Rath, W. Redjeb^{ID}, F. Rehm, H. Reithler^{ID}, U. Sarkar^{ID}, V. Sarkisovi^{ID}, A. Schmidt^{ID}, A. Sharma^{ID}, J.L. Spah^{ID}, A. Stein^{ID}, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{ID,25}, L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck^{ID}, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok^{id}, G. Flügge^{id}, W. Haj Ahmad^{id,26}, T. Kress^{id}, A. Nowack^{id}, O. Pooth^{id}, A. Stahl^{id},
T. Ziemons^{id}, A. Zotz^{id}

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen^{id}, M. Aldaya Martin^{id}, J. Alimena^{id}, S. Amoroso^{id}, Y. An^{id}, S. Baxter^{id}, M. Bayatmakou^{id},
H. Becerril Gonzalez^{id}, O. Behnke^{id}, A. Belvedere^{id}, S. Bhattacharya^{id}, F. Blekman^{id,27}, K. Borras^{id,28},
D. Brunner^{id}, A. Campbell^{id}, A. Cardini^{id}, C. Cheng, F. Colombina^{id}, S. Consuegra Rodríguez^{id},
G. Correia Silva^{id}, M. De Silva^{id}, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein^{id}, L.I. Estevez Banos^{id}, O. Filatov^{id}, E. Gallo^{id,27},
A. Geiser^{id}, A. Giraldi^{id}, G. Greau, V. Guglielmi^{id}, M. Guthoff^{id}, A. Hinzmann^{id}, A. Jafari^{id,29}, L. Jeppe^{id},
N.Z. Jomhari^{id}, B. Kaech^{id}, M. Kasemann^{id}, H. Kaveh^{id}, C. Kleinwort^{id}, R. Kogler^{id}, M. Komm^{id},
D. Krücker^{id}, W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia^{id}, K. Lipka^{id,30}, W. Lohmann^{id,31}, R. Mankel^{id},
I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann^{id}, M. Mendizabal Morentin^{id}, J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer^{id}, G. Milella^{id}, A. Mussgiller^{id},
L.P. Nair^{id}, A. Nürnberg^{id}, Y. Otari, J. Park^{id}, D. Pérez Adán^{id}, E. Ranken^{id}, A. Raspereza^{id},
B. Ribeiro Lopes^{id}, J. Rübenach, A. Saggio^{id}, M. Scham^{id,32,28}, S. Schnake^{id,28}, P. Schütze^{id},
C. Schwanenberger^{id,27}, D. Selivanova^{id}, M. Shchedrolosiev^{id}, R.E. Sosa Ricardo^{id}, D. Stafford,
F. Vazzoler^{id}, A. Ventura Barroso^{id}, R. Walsh^{id}, Q. Wang^{id}, Y. Wen^{id}, K. Wichmann, L. Wiens^{id,28},
C. Wissing^{id}, Y. Yang^{id}, A. Zimmermann Castro Santos^{id}

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht^{id}, S. Albrecht^{id}, M. Antonello^{id}, S. Bein^{id}, L. Benato^{id}, M. Bonanomi^{id}, P. Connor^{id}, M. Eich,
K. El Morabit^{id}, Y. Fischer^{id}, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers^{id}, E. Garutti^{id}, A. Grohsjean^{id}, M. Hajheidari,
J. Haller^{id}, H.R. Jabusch^{id}, G. Kasieczka^{id}, P. Keicher, R. Klanner^{id}, W. Korcar^{id}, T. Kramer^{id},
V. Kutzner^{id}, F. Labe^{id}, J. Lange^{id}, A. Lobanov^{id}, C. Matthies^{id}, A. Mehta^{id}, L. Moureaux^{id}, M. Mrowietz,
A. Nigamova^{id}, Y. Nissan, A. Paasch^{id}, K.J. Pena Rodriguez^{id}, T. Quadfasel^{id}, B. Raciti^{id}, M. Rieger^{id},
D. Savoia^{id}, J. Schindler^{id}, P. Schleper^{id}, M. Schröder^{id}, J. Schwandt^{id}, M. Sommerhalder^{id}, H. Stadie^{id},
G. Steinbrück^{id}, A. Tews, M. Wolf^{id}

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

S. Brommer^{id}, M. Burkart, E. Butz^{id}, T. Chwalek^{id}, A. Dierlamm^{id}, A. Droll, N. Faltermann^{id}, M. Giffels^{id},
A. Gottmann^{id}, F. Hartmann^{id,33}, R. Hofsaess^{id}, M. Horzela^{id}, U. Husemann^{id}, J. Kieseler^{id}, M. Klute^{id},
R. Koppenhöfer^{id}, J.M. Lawhorn^{id}, M. Link, A. Lintuluoto^{id}, S. Maier^{id}, S. Mitra^{id}, M. Mormile^{id},
Th. Müller^{id}, M. Neukum, M. Oh^{id}, M. Presilla^{id}, G. Quast^{id}, K. Rabbertz^{id}, B. Regnery^{id}, N. Shadskiy^{id},
I. Shvetsov^{id}, H.J. Simonis^{id}, M. Toms^{id,34}, N. Trevisani^{id}, R. Ulrich^{id}, R.F. Von Cube^{id}, M. Wassmer^{id},
S. Wieland^{id}, F. Wittig, R. Wolf^{id}, S. Wunsch, X. Zuo^{id}

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis^{id}, A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos³³, A. Stakia^{id}

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

P. Kontaxakis^{id}, G. Melachroinos, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou^{id}, I. Paraskevas^{id}, N. Saoulidou^{id},
K. Theofilatos^{id}, E. Tziaferi^{id}, K. Vellidis^{id}, I. Zisopoulos^{id}

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas^{id}, T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli^{id}, K. Kousouris^{id}, I. Papakrivopoulos^{id}, E. Siamarkou,
G. Tsiopolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

K. Adamidis^{ID}, I. Bestintzanos^{ID}, I. Evangelou^{ID}, C. Foudas^{ID}, P. Gianneios^{ID}, C. Kamtsikis^{ID}, P. Katsoulis^{ID}, P. Kokkas^{ID}, P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou^{ID}, N. Manthos^{ID}, I. Papadopoulos^{ID}, J. Strologas^{ID}

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

M. Bartók^{ID,35}, C. Hajdu^{ID}, D. Horvath^{ID,36,37}, F. Sikler^{ID}, V. Veszpremi^{ID}

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád^{ID}, K. Farkas^{ID}, M.M.A. Gadallah^{ID,38}, Á. Kadlecik^{ID}, P. Major^{ID}, K. Mandal^{ID}, G. Pásztor^{ID}, A.J. Rádl^{ID,39}, G.I. Veres^{ID}

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

P. Raics^{ID}, B. Ujvari^{ID}, G. Zilizi^{ID}

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze^{ID}, S. Czellar^{ID}, J. Karancsi^{ID,35}, J. Molnar^{ID}, Z. Szillasi^{ID}

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

T. Csorgo^{ID,39}, F. Nemes^{ID,39}, T. Novak^{ID}

Károly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

J. Babbar^{ID}, S. Bansal^{ID}, S.B. Beri^{ID}, V. Bhatnagar^{ID}, G. Chaudhary^{ID}, S. Chauhan^{ID}, N. Dhingra^{ID,40}, A. Kaur^{ID}, A. Kaur^{ID}, H. Kaur^{ID}, M. Kaur^{ID}, S. Kumar^{ID}, K. Sandeep^{ID}, T. Sheokand^{ID}, J.B. Singh^{ID}, A. Singla^{ID}

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

A. Ahmed^{ID}, A. Bhardwaj^{ID}, A. Chhetri^{ID}, B.C. Choudhary^{ID}, A. Kumar^{ID}, A. Kumar^{ID}, M. Naimuddin^{ID}, K. Ranjan^{ID}, S. Saumya^{ID}

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Baradia^{ID}, S. Barman^{ID,41}, S. Bhattacharya^{ID}, S. Dutta^{ID}, S. Dutta^{ID}, P. Palit^{ID}, S. Sarkar^{ID}

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

M.M. Ameen^{ID}, P.K. Behera^{ID}, S.C. Behera^{ID}, S. Chatterjee^{ID}, P. Jana^{ID}, P. Kalbhor^{ID}, J.R. Komaragiri^{ID,42}, D. Kumar^{ID,42}, L. Panwar^{ID,42}, P.R. Pujahari^{ID}, N.R. Saha^{ID}, A. Sharma^{ID}, A.K. Sikdar^{ID}, S. Verma^{ID}

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

S. Dugad^{ID}, M. Kumar^{ID}, G.B. Mohanty^{ID}, P. Suryadevara^{ID}

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

A. Bala^{ID}, S. Banerjee^{ID}, R.M. Chatterjee^{ID}, M. Guchait^{ID}, Sh. Jain^{ID}, S. Karmakar^{ID}, S. Kumar^{ID}, G. Majumder^{ID}, K. Mazumdar^{ID}, S. Parolia^{ID}, A. Thachayath^{ID}

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Bahinipati^{ID,43}, A.K. Das^{ID}, C. Kar^{ID}, D. Maity^{ID,44}, P. Mal^{ID}, T. Mishra^{ID}, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{ID,44}, K. Naskar^{ID,44}, A. Nayak^{ID,44}, P. Sadangi^{ID}, P. Saha^{ID}, S.K. Swain^{ID}, S. Varghese^{ID,44}, D. Vats^{ID,44}

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Acharya^{ID,45}, A. Alpana^{ID}, S. Dube^{ID}, B. Gomber^{ID,45}, B. Kansal^{ID}, A. Laha^{ID}, B. Sahu^{ID,45}, S. Sharma^{ID}

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakhshiansohi^{ID,46}, E. Khazaie^{ID,47}, M. Zeinali^{ID,48}

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

S. Chenarani ^{a,49}, S.M. Etesami ^{id}, M. Khakzad ^{id}, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi ^{id}

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Grunewald ^{id}

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia ^{a,b, id}, R. Aly ^{a,c, id, 50}, A. Colaleo ^{a,b, id}, D. Creanza ^{a,c, id}, B. D'Anzi ^{a,b, id}, N. De Filippis ^{a,c, id},
M. De Palma ^{a,b, id}, A. Di Florio ^{a,c, id}, W. Elmetenawee ^{a,b, id, 50}, L. Fiore ^{a, id}, G. Iaselli ^{a,c, id}, M. Louka ^{a,b},
G. Maggi ^{a,c, id}, M. Maggi ^{a, id}, I. Margjeka ^{a,b, id}, V. Mastrapasqua ^{a,b, id}, S. My ^{a,b, id}, S. Nuzzo ^{a,b, id},
A. Pellecchia ^{a,b, id}, A. Pompili ^{a,b, id}, G. Pugliese ^{a,c, id}, R. Radogna ^{a, id}, G. Ramirez-Sanchez ^{a,c, id}, D. Ramos ^{a, id},
A. Ranieri ^{a, id}, L. Silvestris ^{a, id}, F.M. Simone ^{a,b, id}, Ü. Sözbilir ^{a, id}, A. Stamerra ^{a, id}, R. Venditti ^{a, id},
P. Verwilligen ^{a, id}, A. Zaza ^{a,b, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi ^{a, id}, C. Battilana ^{a,b, id}, D. Bonacorsi ^{a,b, id}, L. Borgonovi ^{a, id}, R. Campanini ^{a,b, id}, P. Capiluppi ^{a,b, id},
A. Castro ^{a,b, id}, F.R. Cavallo ^{a, id}, M. Cuffiani ^{a,b, id}, G.M. Dallavalle ^{a, id}, T. Diotallevi ^{a,b, id}, F. Fabbri ^{a, id},
A. Fanfani ^{a,b, id}, D. Fasanella ^{a,b, id}, P. Giacomelli ^{a, id}, L. Giommi ^{a,b, id}, C. Grandi ^{a, id}, L. Guiducci ^{a,b, id},
S. Lo Meo ^{a, id, 51}, L. Lunerti ^{a,b, id}, S. Marcellini ^{a, id}, G. Masetti ^{a, id}, F.L. Navarra ^{a,b, id}, A. Perrotta ^{a, id},
F. Primavera ^{a,b, id}, A.M. Rossi ^{a,b, id}, G.P. Siroli ^{a,b, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Costa ^{a,b, id, 52}, A. Di Mattia ^{a, id}, R. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Tricomi ^{a,b, id, 52}, C. Tuve ^{a,b, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

P. Assiouras ^{a, id}, G. Barbagli ^{a, id}, G. Bardelli ^{a,b, id}, B. Camaiani ^{a,b, id}, A. Cassese ^{a, id}, R. Ceccarelli ^{a, id},
V. Ciulli ^{a,b, id}, C. Civinini ^{a, id}, R. D'Alessandro ^{a,b, id}, E. Focardi ^{a,b, id}, T. Kello ^a, G. Latino ^{a,b, id}, P. Lenzi ^{a,b, id},
M. Lizzo ^{a, id}, M. Meschini ^{a, id}, S. Paoletti ^{a, id}, A. Papanastassiou ^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni ^{a, id}, L. Viliani ^{a, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi ^{id}, S. Bianco ^{id}, S. Meola ^{id, 53}, D. Piccolo ^{id}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

P. Chatagnon ^{a, id}, F. Ferro ^{a, id}, E. Robutti ^{a, id}, S. Tosi ^{a,b, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia ^{a, id}, G. Boldrini ^{a,b, id}, F. Brivio ^{a, id}, F. Cetorelli ^{a, id}, F. De Guio ^{a,b, id}, M.E. Dinardo ^{a,b, id}, P. Dini ^{a, id},
S. Gennai ^{a, id}, R. Gerosa ^{a,b, id}, A. Ghezzi ^{a,b, id}, P. Govoni ^{a,b, id}, L. Guzzi ^{a, id}, M.T. Lucchini ^{a,b, id}, M. Malberti ^{a, id},
S. Malvezzi ^{a, id}, A. Massironi ^{a, id}, D. Menasce ^{a, id}, L. Moroni ^{a, id}, M. Paganoni ^{a,b, id}, D. Pedrini ^{a, id},
B.S. Pinolini ^a, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b, id}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b, id}, D. Zuolo ^{a, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^{a, id}, A. Cagnotta ^{a,b, id}, F. Carnevali ^{a,b}, N. Cavallo ^{a,c, id}, A. De Iorio ^{a,b, id}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c, id},
A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b, id}, L. Lista ^{a,b, id, 54}, P. Paolucci ^{a, id, 33}, B. Rossi ^{a, id}, C. Sciacca ^{a,b, id}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

R. Ardino ^{a, }, P. Azzi ^{a, }, N. Bacchetta ^{a, },⁵⁵, D. Bisello ^{a, }, P. Bortignon ^{a, }, A. Bragagnolo ^{a, },
R. Carlin ^{a, }, P. Checchia ^{a, }, T. Dorigo ^{a, }, F. Fanzago ^{a, }, U. Gasparini ^{a, }, F. Gonella ^{a, }, E. Lusiani ^{a, },
M. Margoni ^{a, }, M. Migliorini ^{a, }, J. Pazzini ^{a, }, P. Ronchese ^{a, }, R. Rossin ^{a, }, F. Simonetto ^{a, },
G. Strong ^{a, }, M. Tosi ^{a, }, A. Triossi ^{a, }, S. Ventura ^{a, }, H. Yarar ^{a, }, M. Zanetti ^{a, }, P. Zotto ^{a, },
A. Zucchetta ^{a, }, G. Zumerle ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

S. Abu Zeid ^{a, },⁵⁶, C. Aimè ^{a, }, A. Braghieri ^{a, }, S. Calzaferri ^{a, }, D. Fiorina ^{a, }, P. Montagna ^{a, }, V. Re ^{a, },
C. Riccardi ^{a, }, P. Salvini ^{a, }, I. Vai ^{a, }, P. Vitulo ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

S. Ajmal ^{a, }, P. Asenov ^{a, },⁵⁷, G.M. Bilei ^{a, }, D. Ciangottini ^{a, }, L. Fanò ^{a, }, M. Magherini ^{a, },
G. Mantovani ^{a, }, V. Mariani ^{a, }, M. Menichelli ^{a, }, F. Moscatelli ^{a, },⁵⁷, A. Rossi ^{a, }, A. Santocchia ^{a, },
D. Spiga ^{a, }, T. Tedeschi ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

P. Azzurri ^{a, }, G. Bagliesi ^{a, }, R. Bhattacharya ^{a, }, L. Bianchini ^{a, }, T. Boccali ^{a, }, E. Bossini ^{a, },
D. Bruschini ^{a, }, R. Castaldi ^{a, }, M.A. Ciocci ^{a, }, M. Cipriani ^{a, }, V. D'Amante ^{a, }, R. Dell'Orso ^{a, },
S. Donato ^{a, }, A. Giassi ^{a, }, F. Ligabue ^{a, }, D. Matos Figueiredo ^{a, }, A. Messineo ^{a, }, M. Musich ^{a, },
F. Palla ^{a, }, A. Rizzi ^{a, }, G. Rolandi ^{a, }, S. Roy Chowdhury ^{a, }, T. Sarkar ^{a, }, A. Scribano ^{a, },
P. Spagnolo ^{a, }, R. Tenchini ^{a, }, G. Tonelli ^{a, }, N. Turini ^{a, }, A. Venturi ^{a, }, P.G. Verdini ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^d Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

P. Barria ^{a, }, M. Campana ^{a, }, F. Cavallari ^{a, }, L. Cunqueiro Mendez ^{a, }, D. Del Re ^{a, }, E. Di Marco ^{a, },
M. Diemoz ^{a, }, F. Errico ^{a, }, E. Longo ^{a, }, P. Meridiani ^{a, }, J. Mijuskovic ^{a, }, G. Organtini ^{a, },
F. Pandolfi ^{a, }, R. Paramatti ^{a, }, C. Quaranta ^{a, }, S. Rahatlou ^{a, }, C. Rovelli ^{a, }, F. Santanastasio ^{a, },
L. Soffi ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a, }, R. Arcidiacono ^{a, }, S. Argiro ^{a, }, M. Arneodo ^{a, }, N. Bartosik ^{a, }, R. Bellan ^{a, },
A. Bellora ^{a, }, C. Biino ^{a, }, N. Cartiglia ^{a, }, M. Costa ^{a, }, R. Covarelli ^{a, }, N. Demaria ^{a, }, L. Finco ^{a, },
M. Grippo ^{a, }, B. Kiani ^{a, }, F. Legger ^{a, }, F. Luongo ^{a, }, C. Mariotti ^{a, }, S. Maselli ^{a, }, A. Mecca ^{a, },
E. Migliore ^{a, }, M. Monteno ^{a, }, R. Mulargia ^{a, }, M.M. Obertino ^{a, }, G. Ortona ^{a, }, L. Pacher ^{a, },
N. Pastrone ^{a, }, M. Pelliccioni ^{a, }, M. Ruspa ^{a, }, F. Siviero ^{a, }, V. Sola ^{a, }, A. Solano ^{a, }, A. Staiano ^{a, },
C. Tarricone ^{a, }, D. Trocino ^{a, }, G. Umoret ^{a, }, E. Vlasov ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Belforte ^{a, }, V. Candelise ^{a, }, M. Casarsa ^{a, }, F. Cossutti ^{a, }, K. De Leo ^{a, }, G. Della Ricca ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Dogra ^{}, J. Hong ^{}, C. Huh ^{}, B. Kim ^{}, D.H. Kim ^{}, J. Kim ^{}, H. Lee ^{}, S.W. Lee ^{}, C.S. Moon ^{}, Y.D. Oh ^{},
M.S. Ryu ^{}, S. Sekmen ^{}, Y.C. Yang ^{}

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

M.S. Kim 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNU, Gangneung, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

E. Asilar , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han, B. Hong , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo 

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , S. Yang 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , W. Jun , J. Kim , J.S. Kim, S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee , J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh, I.J. Watson 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Maghrbi 

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , V. Veckalns ,⁵⁸

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

M. Ambrozas , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , I. Yusuff ,⁵⁹ Z. Zolkapli

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-De La Cruz ,⁶⁰ R. Lopez-Fernandez , C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , M. Ramírez García 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

I. Bautista^{}, I. Pedraza^{}, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen^{}, C. Uribe Estrada^{}

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bujanja, N. Raicevic^{}

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

P.H. Butler^{}

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A. Ahmad^{}, M.I. Asghar, A. Awais^{}, M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani^{}, W.A. Khan^{}

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

V. Avati, L. Grzanka^{}, M. Malawski^{}

AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

H. Bialkowska^{}, M. Bluj^{}, B. Boimska^{}, M. Górski^{}, M. Kazana^{}, M. Szleper^{}, P. Zalewski^{}

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

K. Bunkowski^{}, K. Doroba^{}, A. Kalinowski^{}, M. Konecki^{}, J. Krolikowski^{}, A. Muhammad^{}

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Pozniak^{}, W. Zabolotny^{}

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

M. Araujo^{}, D. Bastos^{}, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva^{}, A. Boletti^{}, M. Bozzo^{}, T. Camporesi^{},
G. Da Molin^{}, P. Faccioli^{}, M. Gallinaro^{}, J. Hollar^{}, N. Leonardo^{}, T. Niknejad^{}, A. Petrilli^{},
M. Pisano^{}, J. Seixas^{}, J. Varela^{}, J.W. Wulff

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Adzic^{}, P. Milenovic^{}

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Dordevic^{}, J. Milosevic^{}, V. Rekovic

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre^{}, Cristina F. Bedoya^{}, M. Cepeda^{}, M. Cerrada^{}, N. Colino^{},
B. De La Cruz^{}, A. Delgado Peris^{}, A. Escalante Del Valle^{}, D. Fernández Del Val^{},
J.P. Fernández Ramos^{}, J. Flix^{}, M.C. Fouz^{}, O. Gonzalez Lopez^{}, S. Goy Lopez^{}, J.M. Hernandez^{},
M.I. Josa^{}, D. Moran^{}, C.M. Morcillo Perez^{}, Á. Navarro Tobar^{}, C. Perez Dengra^{},
A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo^{}, J. Puerta Pelayo^{}, I. Redondo^{}, D.D. Redondo Ferrero^{}, L. Romero,
S. Sánchez Navas^{}, L. Urda Gómez^{}, J. Vazquez Escobar^{}, C. Willmott

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz^{}

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez^{}, J. Cuevas^{}, J. Fernandez Menendez^{}, S. Folgueras^{}, I. Gonzalez Caballero^{},
J.R. González Fernández^{}, E. Palencia Cortezon^{}, C. Ramón Álvarez^{}, V. Rodríguez Bouza^{},
A. Soto Rodríguez^{}, A. Trapote^{}, C. Vico Villalba^{}, P. Vischia^{}

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

S. Bhowmik^{ID}, S. Blanco Fernández^{ID}, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes^{ID}, I.J. Cabrillo^{ID}, A. Calderon^{ID},
J. Duarte Campderros^{ID}, M. Fernandez^{ID}, G. Gomez^{ID}, C. Lasaosa García^{ID}, C. Martinez Rivero^{ID},
P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol^{ID}, F. Matorras^{ID}, P. Matorras Cuevas^{ID}, E. Navarrete Ramos^{ID}, J. Piedra Gomez^{ID},
L. Scodellaro^{ID}, I. Vila^{ID}, J.M. Vizan Garcia^{ID}

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

M.K. Jayananda^{ID}, B. Kailasapathy^{ID,61}, D.U.J. Sonnadara^{ID}, D.D.C. Wickramarathna^{ID}

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna^{ID,62}, K. Liyanage^{ID}, N. Perera^{ID}, N. Wickramage^{ID}

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

D. Abbaneo^{ID}, C. Amendola^{ID}, E. Auffray^{ID}, G. Auzinger^{ID}, J. Baechler, D. Barney^{ID},
A. Bermúdez Martínez^{ID}, M. Bianco^{ID}, B. Bilin^{ID}, A.A. Bin Anuar^{ID}, A. Bocci^{ID}, E. Brondolin^{ID}, C. Caillol^{ID},
G. Cerminara^{ID}, N. Chernyavskaya^{ID}, D. d'Enterria^{ID}, A. Dabrowski^{ID}, A. David^{ID}, A. De Roeck^{ID},
M.M. Defranchis^{ID}, M. Deile^{ID}, M. Dobson^{ID}, F. Fallavollita⁶³, L. Forthomme^{ID}, G. Franzoni^{ID}, W. Funk^{ID},
S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill^{ID}, F. Glege^{ID}, L. Gouskos^{ID}, M. Haranko^{ID}, J. Hegeman^{ID}, B. Huber, V. Innocente^{ID},
T. James^{ID}, P. Janot^{ID}, S. Laurila^{ID}, P. Lecoq^{ID}, E. Leutgeb^{ID}, C. Lourenço^{ID}, B. Maier^{ID}, L. Malgeri^{ID},
M. Mannelli^{ID}, A.C. Marini^{ID}, M. Matthewman, F. Meijers^{ID}, S. Mersi^{ID}, E. Meschi^{ID}, V. Milosevic^{ID},
F. Monti^{ID}, F. Moortgat^{ID}, M. Mulders^{ID}, I. Neutelings^{ID}, S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo^{ID}, G. Petrucciani^{ID},
A. Pfeiffer^{ID}, M. Pierini^{ID}, D. Piparo^{ID}, H. Qu^{ID}, D. Rabadý^{ID}, G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rovere^{ID},
H. Sakulin^{ID}, S. Scarfi^{ID}, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi^{ID}, A. Sharma^{ID}, K. Shchelina^{ID}, P. Silva^{ID}, P. Sphicas^{ID,64},
A.G. Stahl Leiton^{ID}, A. Steen^{ID}, S. Summers^{ID}, D. Treille^{ID}, P. Tropea^{ID}, A. Tsiros, D. Walter^{ID},
J. Wanczyk^{ID,65}, J. Wang, S. Wuchterl^{ID}, P. Zehetner^{ID}, P. Zejdl^{ID}, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua^{ID,66}, L. Caminada^{ID,66}, A. Ebrahimi^{ID}, W. Erdmann^{ID}, R. Horisberger^{ID}, Q. Ingram^{ID},
H.C. Kaestli^{ID}, D. Kotlinski^{ID}, C. Lange^{ID}, M. Missiroli^{ID,66}, L. Noethe^{ID,66}, T. Rohe^{ID}

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad^{ID}, K. Androsov^{ID,65}, M. Backhaus^{ID}, A. Calandri^{ID}, C. Cazzaniga^{ID}, K. Datta^{ID}, A. De Cosa^{ID},
G. Dissertori^{ID}, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà^{ID}, F. Eble^{ID}, M. Galli^{ID}, K. Gedia^{ID}, F. Glessgen^{ID}, C. Grab^{ID},
D. Hits^{ID}, W. Lustermann^{ID}, A.-M. Lyon^{ID}, R.A. Manzoni^{ID}, M. Marchegiani^{ID}, L. Marchese^{ID},
C. Martin Perez^{ID}, A. Mascellani^{ID,65}, F. Nessi-Tedaldi^{ID}, F. Pauss^{ID}, V. Perovic^{ID}, S. Pigazzini^{ID},
M. Reichmann^{ID}, C. Reissel^{ID}, T. Reitenspiess^{ID}, B. Ristic^{ID}, F. Riti^{ID}, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra^{ID},
R. Seidita^{ID}, J. Steggemann^{ID,65}, D. Valsecchi^{ID}, R. Wallny^{ID}

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler^{ID,67}, P. Bäertschi^{ID}, C. Botta^{ID}, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli^{ID}, K. Cormier^{ID}, R. Del Burgo,
J.K. Heikkilä^{ID}, M. Huwiler^{ID}, W. Jin^{ID}, A. Jofrehei^{ID}, B. Kilminster^{ID}, S. Leontsinis^{ID}, S.P. Liechti^{ID},
A. Macchiolo^{ID}, P. Meiring^{ID}, V.M. Mikuni^{ID}, U. Molinatti^{ID}, A. Reimers^{ID}, P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz^{ID},
K. Schweiger^{ID}, M. Senger^{ID}, Y. Takahashi^{ID}, R. Tramontano^{ID}

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Adloff⁶⁸, D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout^{ID}, P.C. Tiwari^{ID,42}, S.S. Yu^{ID}

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

L. Ceard, Y. Chao^{ID}, K.F. Chen^{ID}, P.s. Chen, Z.g. Chen, W.-S. Hou^{ID}, T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, R. Khurana,
G. Kole^{ID}, Y.y. Li^{ID}, R.-S. Lu^{ID}, E. Paganis^{ID}, X.f. Su, J. Thomas-Wilsker^{ID}, L.s. Tsai, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan^{ID}

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

C. Asawatangtrakuldee^{ID}, N. Srimanobhas^{ID}, V. Wachirapusanand^{ID}

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

D. Agyel^{ID}, F. Boran^{ID}, Z.S. Demiroglu^{ID}, F. Dolek^{ID}, I. Dumanoglu^{ID,69}, E. Eskut^{ID}, Y. Guler^{ID,70},
E. Gurpınar Guler^{ID,70}, C. Isik^{ID}, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu^{ID}, U. Kiminsu^{ID}, G. Onengut^{ID}, K. Ozdemir^{ID,71},
A. Polatoz^{ID}, B. Tali^{ID,72}, U.G. Tok^{ID}, S. Turkcapar^{ID}, E. Uslan^{ID}, I.S. Zorbakir^{ID}

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

M. Yalvac^{ID,73}

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Akgun^{ID}, I.O. Atakisi^{ID}, E. Gülmez^{ID}, M. Kaya^{ID,74}, O. Kaya^{ID,75}, S. Tekten^{ID,76}

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir^{ID}, K. Cankocak^{ID,69,77}, Y. Komurcu^{ID}, S. Sen^{ID,78}

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek^{ID}, S. Cerci^{ID,72}, V. Epshteyn^{ID}, B. Hacisahinoglu^{ID}, I. Hos^{ID,79}, B. Kaynak^{ID}, S. Ozkorucuklu^{ID},
O. Potok^{ID}, H. Sert^{ID}, C. Simsek^{ID}, D. Sunar Cerci^{ID,72}, C. Zorbilmez^{ID}

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Isildak^{ID,80}

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Boyaryntsev^{ID}, B. Grynyov^{ID}

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk^{ID}

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

D. Anthony^{ID}, J.J. Brooke^{ID}, A. Bundock^{ID}, F. Bury^{ID}, E. Clement^{ID}, D. Cussans^{ID}, H. Flacher^{ID},
M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein^{ID}, H.F. Heath^{ID}, L. Kreczko^{ID}, S. Paramesvaran^{ID}, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey,
V.J. Smith^{ID}, N. Stylianou^{ID,81}, K. Walkingshaw Pass, R. White^{ID}

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell^{ID}, A. Belyaev^{ID,82}, C. Brew^{ID}, R.M. Brown^{ID}, D.J.A. Cockerill^{ID}, C. Cooke^{ID}, K.V. Ellis,
K. Harder^{ID}, S. Harper^{ID}, M.-L. Holmberg^{ID,83}, J. Linacre^{ID}, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold^{ID}, E. Olaiya,
D. Petyt^{ID}, T. Reis^{ID}, G. Salvi^{ID}, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous^{ID}, I.R. Tomalin^{ID}, T. Williams^{ID}

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge^{ID}, P. Bloch^{ID}, C.E. Brown^{ID}, O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya^{ID},
G.S. Chahal^{ID,84}, D. Colling^{ID}, J.S. Dancu, I. Das^{ID}, P. Dauncey^{ID}, G. Davies^{ID}, J. Davies, M. Della Negra^{ID},
S. Fayer, G. Fedi^{ID}, G. Hall^{ID}, M.H. Hassanshahi^{ID}, A. Howard, G. Iles^{ID}, M. Knight^{ID}, J. Langford^{ID},
J. León Holgado^{ID}, L. Lyons^{ID}, A.-M. Magnan^{ID}, S. Malik, A. Martelli^{ID}, M. Mieskolainen^{ID}, J. Nash^{ID,85},
M. Pesaresi, B.C. Radburn-Smith^{ID}, A. Richards, A. Rose^{ID}, C. Seez^{ID}, R. Shukla^{ID}, A. Tapper^{ID}, K. Uchida^{ID},
G.P. Uttley^{ID}, L.H. Vage, T. Virdee^{ID,33}, M. Vojinovic^{ID}, N. Wardle^{ID}, D. Winterbottom^{ID}

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole^{ID}, A. Khan, P. Kyberd^{ID}, I.D. Reid^{ID}

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

S. Abdullin^{ID}, A. Brinkerhoff^{ID}, B. Caraway^{ID}, J. Dittmann^{ID}, K. Hatakeyama^{ID}, J. Hiltbrand^{ID},
B. McMaster^{ID}, M. Saunders^{ID}, S. Sawant^{ID}, C. Sutantawibul^{ID}, J. Wilson^{ID}

Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

R. Bartek^{ID}, A. Dominguez^{ID}, C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek^{ID}, R. Uniyal^{ID}, A.M. Vargas Hernandez^{ID}

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

B. Bam^{ID}, R. Chudasama^{ID}, S.I. Cooper^{ID}, S.V. Gleyzer^{ID}, C.U. Perez^{ID}, P. Rumerio^{ID},⁸⁶ E. Usai^{ID}, R. Yi^{ID}

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

A. Akpinar^{ID}, A. Albert^{ID}, D. Arcaro^{ID}, C. Cosby^{ID}, Z. Demiragli^{ID}, C. Erice^{ID}, C. Fangmeier^{ID},
C. Fernandez Madrazo^{ID}, E. Fontanesi^{ID}, D. Gastler^{ID}, F. Golf^{ID}, S. Jeon^{ID}, I. Reed^{ID}, J. Rohlf^{ID}, K. Salyer^{ID},
D. Sperka^{ID}, D. Spitzbart^{ID}, I. Suarez^{ID}, A. Tsatsos^{ID}, S. Yuan^{ID}, A.G. Zecchinelli^{ID}

Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

G. Benelli^{ID}, X. Coubez²⁸, D. Cutts^{ID}, M. Hadley^{ID}, U. Heintz^{ID}, J.M. Hogan^{ID},⁸⁷ T. Kwon^{ID}, G. Landsberg^{ID},
K.T. Lau^{ID}, D. Li^{ID}, J. Luo^{ID}, S. Mondal^{ID}, M. Narain^{ID},[†] N. Pervan^{ID}, S. Sagir^{ID},⁸⁸ F. Simpson^{ID},
M. Stamenkovic^{ID}, W.Y. Wong, X. Yan^{ID}, W. Zhang,

Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

S. Abbott^{ID}, J. Bonilla^{ID}, C. Brainerd^{ID}, R. Breedon^{ID}, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez^{ID}, M. Chertok^{ID},
M. Citron^{ID}, J. Conway^{ID}, P.T. Cox^{ID}, R. Erbacher^{ID}, F. Jensen^{ID}, O. Kukral^{ID}, G. Mocellin^{ID},
M. Mulhearn^{ID}, D. Pellett^{ID}, W. Wei^{ID}, Y. Yao^{ID}, F. Zhang^{ID}

University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

M. Bachtis^{ID}, R. Cousins^{ID}, A. Datta^{ID}, G. Flores Avila, J. Hauser^{ID}, M. Ignatenko^{ID}, M.A. Iqbal^{ID}, T. Lam^{ID},
E. Manca^{ID}, A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg^{ID}, V. Valuev^{ID}

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

R. Clare^{ID}, J.W. Gary^{ID}, M. Gordon, G. Hanson^{ID}, W. Si^{ID}, S. Wimpenny^{ID},[†]

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

J.G. Branson^{ID}, S. Cittolin^{ID}, S. Cooperstein^{ID}, D. Diaz^{ID}, J. Duarte^{ID}, L. Giannini^{ID}, J. Guiang^{ID},
R. Kansal^{ID}, V. Krutelyov^{ID}, R. Lee^{ID}, J. Letts^{ID}, M. Masciovecchio^{ID}, F. Mokhtar^{ID}, S. Mukherjee^{ID},
M. Pieri^{ID}, M. Quinnan^{ID}, B.V. Sathia Narayanan^{ID}, V. Sharma^{ID}, M. Tadel^{ID}, E. Vourliotis^{ID},
F. Würthwein^{ID}, Y. Xiang^{ID}, A. Yagil^{ID}

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

A. Barzdukas^{ID}, L. Brennan^{ID}, C. Campagnari^{ID}, A. Dorsett^{ID}, J. Incandela^{ID}, J. Kim^{ID}, A.J. Li^{ID},
P. Masterson^{ID}, H. Mei^{ID}, J. Richman^{ID}, U. Sarica^{ID}, R. Schmitz^{ID}, F. Setti^{ID}, J. Shephlock^{ID}, D. Stuart^{ID},
T.Á. Vámi^{ID}, S. Wang^{ID}

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

A. Bornheim^{ID}, O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J. Mao^{ID}, H.B. Newman^{ID}, M. Spiropulu^{ID}, J.R. Vlimant^{ID}, C. Wang^{ID},
S. Xie^{ID}, R.Y. Zhu^{ID}

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

J. Alison^{ID}, S. An^{ID}, M.B. Andrews^{ID}, P. Bryant^{ID}, M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta^{ID}, T. Ferguson^{ID}, A. Harilal^{ID},
C. Liu^{ID}, T. Mudholkar^{ID}, S. Murthy^{ID}, M. Paulini^{ID}, A. Roberts^{ID}, A. Sanchez^{ID}, W. Terrill^{ID}

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

J.P. Cumalat^{id}, W.T. Ford^{id}, A. Hassani^{id}, G. Karathanasis^{id}, E. MacDonald, N. Manganelli^{id}, F. Marini^{id},
A. Perloff^{id}, C. Savard^{id}, N. Schonbeck^{id}, K. Stenson^{id}, K.A. Ulmer^{id}, S.R. Wagner^{id}, N. Zipper^{id}

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

J. Alexander^{id}, S. Bright-Thonney^{id}, X. Chen^{id}, D.J. Cranshaw^{id}, J. Fan^{id}, X. Fan^{id}, D. Gadkari^{id},
S. Hogan^{id}, P. Kotamnives, J. Monroy^{id}, M. Oshiro^{id}, J.R. Patterson^{id}, J. Reichert^{id}, M. Reid^{id}, A. Ryd^{id},
J. Thom^{id}, P. Wittich^{id}, R. Zou^{id}

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

M. Albrow^{id}, M. Alyari^{id}, O. Amram^{id}, G. Apollinari^{id}, A. Apresyan^{id}, L.A.T. Bauerdick^{id}, D. Berry^{id},
J. Berryhill^{id}, P.C. Bhat^{id}, K. Burkett^{id}, J.N. Butler^{id}, A. Canepa^{id}, G.B. Cerati^{id}, H.W.K. Cheung^{id},
F. Chlebana^{id}, G. Cummings^{id}, J. Dickinson^{id}, I. Dutta^{id}, V.D. Elvira^{id}, Y. Feng^{id}, J. Freeman^{id},
A. Gandrakota^{id}, Z. Gece^{id}, L. Gray^{id}, D. Green, A. Grummer^{id}, S. Grünendahl^{id}, D. Guerrero^{id},
O. Gutsche^{id}, R.M. Harris^{id}, R. Heller^{id}, T.C. Herwig^{id}, J. Hirschauer^{id}, L. Horyn^{id}, B. Jayatilaka^{id},
S. Jindariani^{id}, M. Johnson^{id}, U. Joshi^{id}, T. Klijnsma^{id}, B. Klima^{id}, K.H.M. Kwok^{id}, S. Lammel^{id},
D. Lincoln^{id}, R. Lipton^{id}, T. Liu^{id}, C. Madrid^{id}, K. Maeshima^{id}, C. Mantilla^{id}, D. Mason^{id}, P. McBride^{id},
P. Merkel^{id}, S. Mrenna^{id}, S. Nahn^{id}, J. Ngadiuba^{id}, D. Noonan^{id}, V. Papadimitriou^{id}, N. Pastika^{id},
K. Pedro^{id}, C. Pena^{id},⁸⁹ F. Ravera^{id}, A. Reinsvold Hall^{id},⁹⁰ L. Ristori^{id}, E. Sexton-Kennedy^{id}, N. Smith^{id},
A. Soha^{id}, L. Spiegel^{id}, S. Stoynev^{id}, J. Strait^{id}, L. Taylor^{id}, S. Tkaczyk^{id}, N.V. Tran^{id}, L. Uplegger^{id},
E.W. Vaandering^{id}, I. Zoi^{id}

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA

C. Aruta^{id}, P. Avery^{id}, D. Bourilkov^{id}, L. Cadamuro^{id}, P. Chang^{id}, V. Cherepanov^{id}, R.D. Field,
E. Koenig^{id}, M. Kolosova^{id}, J. Konigsberg^{id}, A. Korytov^{id}, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev^{id}, N. Menendez^{id},
G. Mitselmakher^{id}, K. Mohrman^{id}, A. Muthirakalayil Madhu^{id}, N. Rawal^{id}, D. Rosenzweig^{id},
S. Rosenzweig^{id}, K. Shi^{id}, J. Wang^{id}

University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

T. Adams^{id}, A. Al Kadhim^{id}, A. Askew^{id}, N. Bower^{id}, R. Habibullah^{id}, V. Hagopian^{id}, R. Hashmi^{id},
R.S. Kim^{id}, S. Kim^{id}, T. Kolberg^{id}, G. Martinez, H. Prosper^{id}, P.R. Prova, O. Viazlo^{id}, M. Wulansatiti^{id},
R. Yohay^{id}, J. Zhang,

Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand^{id}, S. Butalla^{id}, T. Elkafrawy^{id},⁵⁶ M. Hohlmann^{id}, R. Kumar Verma^{id},
M. Rahmani, E. Yanes,

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, USA

M.R. Adams^{id}, A. Baty^{id}, C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh^{id}, S. Dittmer^{id}, R. Escobar Franco^{id}, O. Evdokimov^{id},
C.E. Gerber^{id}, D.J. Hofman^{id}, J.h. Lee^{id}, D.S. Lemos^{id}, A.H. Merit^{id}, C. Mills^{id}, S. Nanda^{id}, G. Oh^{id},
B. Ozek^{id}, D. Pilipovic^{id}, R. Pradhan^{id}, T. Roy^{id}, S. Rudrabhatla^{id}, M.B. Tonjes^{id}, N. Varelas^{id}, X. Wang^{id},
Z. Ye^{id}, J. Yoo^{id}

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA

M. Alhusseini^{id}, D. Blend, K. Dilsiz^{id},⁹¹ L. Emediato^{id}, G. Karaman^{id}, O.K. Köseyan^{id}, J.-P. Merlo,
A. Mestvirishvili^{id},⁹² J. Nachtman^{id}, O. Neogi, H. Ogul^{id},⁹³ Y. Onel^{id}, A. Penzo^{id}, C. Snyder, E. Tiras^{id},⁹⁴

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

B. Blumenfeld^{id}, L. Corcodilos^{id}, J. Davis^{id}, A.V. Gritsan^{id}, L. Kang^{id}, S. Kyriacou^{id}, P. Maksimovic^{id},
M. Roguljic^{id}, J. Roskes^{id}, S. Sekhar^{id}, M. Swartz^{id}

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

A. Abreu^{ID}, L.F. Alcerro Alcerro^{ID}, J. Anguiano^{ID}, P. Baringer^{ID}, A. Bean^{ID}, Z. Flowers^{ID}, D. Grove^{ID}, J. King^{ID}, G. Krintiras^{ID}, M. Lazarovits^{ID}, C. Le Mahieu^{ID}, C. Lindsey, J. Marquez^{ID}, N. Minafra^{ID}, M. Murray^{ID}, M. Nickel^{ID}, M. Pitt^{ID}, S. Popescu^{ID,95}, C. Rogan^{ID}, C. Royon^{ID}, R. Salvatico^{ID}, S. Sanders^{ID}, C. Smith^{ID}, Q. Wang^{ID}, G. Wilson^{ID}

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

B. Allmond^{ID}, A. Ivanov^{ID}, K. Kaadze^{ID}, A. Kalogeropoulos^{ID}, D. Kim, Y. Maravin^{ID}, K. Nam, J. Natoli^{ID}, D. Roy^{ID}, G. Sorrentino^{ID}

Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

F. Rebassoo^{ID}, D. Wright^{ID}

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA

A. Baden^{ID}, A. Belloni^{ID}, A. Bethani^{ID}, Y.M. Chen^{ID}, S.C. Eno^{ID}, N.J. Hadley^{ID}, S. Jabeen^{ID}, R.G. Kellogg^{ID}, T. Koeth^{ID}, Y. Lai^{ID}, S. Lascio^{ID}, A.C. Mignerey^{ID}, S. Nabili^{ID}, C. Palmer^{ID}, C. Papageorgakis^{ID}, M.M. Paranjpe, L. Wang^{ID}

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

J. Bendavid^{ID}, W. Busza^{ID}, I.A. Cali^{ID}, Y. Chen^{ID}, M. D'Alfonso^{ID}, J. Eysermans^{ID}, C. Freer^{ID}, G. Gomez-Ceballos^{ID}, M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi^{ID}, J. Krupa^{ID}, L. Lavezzo^{ID}, Y.-J. Lee^{ID}, K. Long^{ID}, C. Mironov^{ID}, C. Paus^{ID}, D. Rankin^{ID}, C. Roland^{ID}, G. Roland^{ID}, S. Rothman^{ID}, Z. Shi^{ID}, G.S.F. Stephans^{ID}, Z. Wang^{ID}, B. Wyslouch^{ID}, T.J. Yang^{ID}

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

B. Crossman^{ID}, B.M. Joshi^{ID}, C. Kapsiak^{ID}, M. Krohn^{ID}, D. Mahon^{ID}, J. Mans^{ID}, B. Marzocchi^{ID}, S. Pandey^{ID}, M. Revering^{ID}, R. Rusack^{ID}, R. Saradhy^{ID}, N. Schroeder^{ID}, N. Strobbe^{ID}, M.A. Wadud^{ID}

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

L.M. Cremaldi^{ID}

University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA

K. Bloom^{ID}, M. Bryson, D.R. Claes^{ID}, G. Haza^{ID}, J. Hossain^{ID}, C. Joo^{ID}, I. Kravchenko^{ID}, J.E. Siado^{ID}, W. Tabb^{ID}, A. Vagnerini^{ID}, A. Wightman^{ID}, F. Yan^{ID}, D. Yu^{ID}

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay^{ID}, L. Hay^{ID}, I. Iashvili^{ID}, A. Kharchilava^{ID}, M. Morris^{ID}, D. Nguyen^{ID}, S. Rappoccio^{ID}, H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams^{ID}

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

G. Alverson^{ID}, E. Barberis^{ID}, J. Dervan, Y. Haddad^{ID}, Y. Han^{ID}, A. Krishna^{ID}, J. Li^{ID}, M. Lu^{ID,96}, G. Madigan^{ID}, R. McCarthy^{ID}, D.M. Morse^{ID}, V. Nguyen^{ID}, T. Orimoto^{ID}, A. Parker^{ID}, L. Skinnari^{ID}, A. Tishelman-Charny^{ID}, B. Wang^{ID}, D. Wood^{ID}

Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

S. Bhattacharya^{ID}, J. Bueghly, Z. Chen^{ID}, K.A. Hahn^{ID}, Y. Liu^{ID}, Y. Miao^{ID}, D.G. Monk^{ID}, M.H. Schmitt^{ID}, A. Taliencio^{ID}, M. Velasco,

Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

G. Agarwal^{ID}, R. Band^{ID}, R. Bucci, S. Castells^{ID}, A. Das^{ID}, R. Goldouzian^{ID}, M. Hildreth^{ID}, K.W. Ho^{ID}, K. Hurtado Anampa^{ID}, T. Ivanov^{ID}, C. Jessop^{ID}, K. Lannon^{ID}, J. Lawrence^{ID}, N. Loukas^{ID}, L. Lutton^{ID},

J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley^{ID}, C. Mcgrady^{ID}, C. Moore^{ID}, Y. Musienko^{ID,17},
H. Nelson^{ID}, M. Osherson^{ID}, A. Piccinelli^{ID}, R. Ruchti^{ID}, A. Townsend^{ID}, Y. Wan, M. Wayne^{ID}, H. Yockey,
M. Zarucki^{ID}, L. Zygala^{ID}

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

A. Basnet^{ID}, B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan^{ID}, L.S. Durkin^{ID}, C. Hill^{ID}, M. Joyce^{ID}, M. Nunez Ornelas^{ID}, K. Wei,
B.L. Winer^{ID}, B.R. Yates^{ID}

The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

F.M. Addesa^{ID}, H. Bouchamaoui^{ID}, P. Das^{ID}, G. Dezoort^{ID}, P. Elmer^{ID}, A. Frankenthal^{ID}, B. Greenberg^{ID},
N. Haubrich^{ID}, G. Kopp^{ID}, S. Kwan^{ID}, D. Lange^{ID}, A. Loeliger^{ID}, D. Marlow^{ID}, I. Ojalvo^{ID}, J. Olsen^{ID},
A. Shevelev^{ID}, D. Stickland^{ID}, C. Tully^{ID}

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

S. Malik^{ID}

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, USA

A.S. Bakshi^{ID}, V.E. Barnes^{ID}, S. Chandra^{ID}, R. Chawla^{ID}, S. Das^{ID}, A. Gu^{ID}, L. Gutay, M. Jones^{ID},
A.W. Jung^{ID}, D. Kondratyev^{ID}, A.M. Koshy, M. Liu^{ID}, G. Negro^{ID}, N. Neumeister^{ID}, G. Paspalaki^{ID},
S. Piperov^{ID}, V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte^{ID}, M. Stojanovic^{ID}, J. Thieman^{ID}, A.K. Viridi^{ID}, F. Wang^{ID}, W. Xie^{ID}

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

J. Dolen^{ID}, N. Parashar^{ID}, A. Pathak^{ID}

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, IN, USA

D. Acosta^{ID}, T. Carnahan^{ID}, K.M. Ecklund^{ID}, P.J. Fernández Manteca^{ID}, S. Freed, P. Gardner,
F.J.M. Geurts^{ID}, W. Li^{ID}, O. Miguel Colin^{ID}, B.P. Padley^{ID}, R. Redjimi, J. Rotter^{ID}, E. Yigitbasi^{ID}, Y. Zhang^{ID}

Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

A. Bodek^{ID}, P. de Barbaro^{ID}, R. Demina^{ID}, J.L. Dulemba^{ID}, C. Fallon, A. Garcia-Bellido^{ID}, O. Hindrichs^{ID},
A. Khukhunaishvili^{ID}, N. Parmar, P. Parygin^{ID,34}, E. Popova^{ID,34}, R. Taus^{ID}, G.P. Van Onsem^{ID}

University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

K. Goulios^{ID}

The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou^{ID}, Y. Gershtein^{ID}, E. Halkiadakis^{ID}, A. Hart^{ID}, M. Heindl^{ID}, D. Jaroslowski^{ID},
O. Karacheban^{ID,31}, I. Laflotte^{ID}, A. Lath^{ID}, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, H. Routray^{ID}, S. Salur^{ID}, S. Schnetzer,
S. Somalwar^{ID}, R. Stone^{ID}, S.A. Thayil^{ID}, S. Thomas, J. Vora^{ID}, H. Wang^{ID}

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, USA

H. Acharya, D. Ally^{ID}, A.G. Delannoy^{ID}, S. Fiorendi^{ID}, S. Higginbotham^{ID}, T. Holmes^{ID}, A.R. Kanuganti^{ID},
N. Karunaratna^{ID}, L. Lee^{ID}, E. Nibigira^{ID}, S. Spanier^{ID}

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

D. Aebi^{ID}, M. Ahmad^{ID}, O. Bouhali^{ID,97}, M. Dalchenko^{ID}, R. Eusebi^{ID}, J. Gilmore^{ID}, T. Huang^{ID},
T. Kamon^{ID,98}, H. Kim^{ID}, S. Luo^{ID}, S. Malhotra, R. Mueller^{ID}, D. Overton^{ID}, D. Rathjens^{ID}, A. Safonov^{ID}

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

N. Akchurin^{ID}, J. Damgov^{ID}, V. Hegde^{ID}, A. Hussain^{ID}, Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane^{ID}, S.W. Lee^{ID},
A. Mankel^{ID}, T. Peltola^{ID}, I. Volobouev^{ID}, A. Whitbeck^{ID}

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA

E. Appelt^{1b}, S. Greene, A. Gurrola^{1b}, W. Johns^{1b}, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli^{1b}, A. Melo^{1b}, F. Romeo^{1b},
P. Sheldon^{1b}, S. Tuo^{1b}, J. Velkovska^{1b}, J. Viinikainen^{1b}

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

B. Cardwell^{1b}, B. Cox^{1b}, J. Hakala^{1b}, R. Hirosky^{1b}, A. Ledovsky^{1b}, C. Neu^{1b}, C.E. Perez Lara^{1b}

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

P.E. Karchin^{1b}

Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee^{1b}, K. Black^{1b}, T. Bose^{1b}, S. Dasu^{1b}, I. De Bruyn^{1b}, P. Everaerts^{1b}, C. Galloni,
H. He^{1b}, M. Herndon^{1b}, A. Herve^{1b}, C.K. Koraka^{1b}, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless^{1b}, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala^{1b},
A. Mallampalli^{1b}, A. Mohammadi^{1b}, S. Mondal, G. Parida^{1b}, D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang^{1b}, V. Sharma^{1b},
W.H. Smith^{1b}, D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi^{1b}, W. Vetens^{1b}, A. Warden^{1b}

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

S. Afanasiev^{1b}, V. Andreev^{1b}, Yu. Andreev^{1b}, T. Aushev^{1b}, M. Azarkin^{1b}, A. Babaev^{1b}, A. Belyaev^{1b},
V. Blinov⁹⁹, E. Boos^{1b}, V. Borshch^{1b}, D. Budkouski^{1b}, V. Bunichev^{1b}, V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov^{1b,99},
M. Danilov^{1b,99}, A. Dermenev^{1b}, T. Dimova^{1b,99}, D. Druzhkin^{1b,100}, M. Dubinin^{1b,89}, L. Dudko^{1b}, A. Ershov^{1b},
G. Gavrilo^{1b}, V. Gavrilov^{1b}, S. Gninenko^{1b}, V. Golovtsov^{1b}, N. Golubev^{1b}, I. Golutvin^{1b}, I. Gorbunov^{1b},
A. Gribushin^{1b}, Y. Ivanov^{1b}, V. Kachanov^{1b}, V. Karjavine^{1b}, A. Karneyeu^{1b}, V. Kim^{1b,99}, M. Kirakosyan,
D. Kirpichnikov^{1b}, M. Kirsanov^{1b}, V. Klyukhin^{1b}, V. Korenkov^{1b}, A. Kozyrev^{1b,99}, N. Krasnikov^{1b},
A. Lanev^{1b}, P. Levchenko^{1b,101}, N. Lychkovskaya^{1b}, V. Makarenko^{1b}, A. Malakhov^{1b}, V. Matveev^{1b,99},
V. Murzin^{1b}, A. Nikitenko^{1b,102,103}, S. Obraztsov^{1b}, V. Oreshkin^{1b}, V. Palichik^{1b}, V. Perelygin^{1b}, M. Perfilov,
S. Polikarpov^{1b,99}, V. Popov, O. Radchenko^{1b,99}, M. Savina^{1b}, V. Savrin^{1b}, V. Shalaev^{1b}, S. Shmatov^{1b},
S. Shulha^{1b}, Y. Skovpen^{1b,99}, S. Slabospitskii^{1b}, V. Smirnov^{1b}, D. Sosnov^{1b}, V. Sulimov^{1b}, E. Tcherniaev^{1b},
A. Terkulov^{1b}, O. Teryaev^{1b}, I. Tlisova^{1b}, A. Toropin^{1b}, L. Uvarov^{1b}, A. Uzunian^{1b}, P. Volkov^{1b},
A. Vorobyev[†], G. Vorotnikov^{1b}, N. Voytishin^{1b}, B.S. Yuldashev¹⁰⁴, A. Zarubin^{1b}, I. Zhizhin^{1b}, A. Zhokin^{1b}

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.

² Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.

³ Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.

⁴ Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

⁵ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁶ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁷ Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.

⁸ Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China.

⁹ Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.

¹⁰ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹¹ Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China.

¹² Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹³ Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China.

¹⁴ Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China.

¹⁵ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

¹⁶ Also at University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia.

¹⁷ Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

¹⁸ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁹ Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

²⁰ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

²¹ Also at Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Mesra, India.

²² Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

²³ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

²⁴ Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

²⁵ Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

²⁶ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.

²⁷ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

²⁸ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

²⁹ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

- 30 Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany.
- 31 Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- 32 Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany.
- 33 Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 34 Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- 35 Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- 36 Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- 37 Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.
- 38 Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- 39 Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- 40 Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
- 41 Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- 42 Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India.
- 43 Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- 44 Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- 45 Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- 46 Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- 47 Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- 48 Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- 49 Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
- 50 Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.
- 51 Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
- 52 Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- 53 Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy.
- 54 Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.
- 55 Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA.
- 56 Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- 57 Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.
- 58 Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- 59 Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.
- 60 Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 61 Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- 62 Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.
- 63 Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- 64 Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- 65 Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 66 Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- 67 Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- 68 Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- 69 Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
- 70 Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- 71 Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
- 72 Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- 73 Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- 74 Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 75 Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 76 Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- 77 Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 78 Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- 79 Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 80 Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 81 Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- 82 Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- 83 Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom.
- 84 Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- 85 Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- 86 Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- 87 Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- 88 Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- 89 Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- 90 Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
- 91 Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- 92 Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- 93 Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- 94 Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.
- 95 Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania.
- 96 Also at Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China.
- 97 Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- 98 Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.
- 99 Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- 100 Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium.
- 101 Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- 102 Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- 103 Now at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.
- 104 Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.