EUROPEAN ORGANISATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)





Simultaneous energy and mass calibration of large-radius jets with the ATLAS detector using a deep neural network

The ATLAS Collaboration

The energy and mass measurements of jets are crucial tasks for the Large Hadron Collider experiments. This paper presents a new calibration method to simultaneously calibrate these quantities for large-radius jets measured with the ATLAS detector using a deep neural network (DNN). To address the specificities of the calibration problem, special loss functions and training procedures are employed, and a complex network architecture, which includes feature annotation and residual connection layers, is used. The DNN-based calibration is compared to the standard numerical approach in an extensive series of tests. The DNN approach is found to perform significantly better in almost all of the tests and over most of the relevant kinematic phase space. In particular, it consistently improves the energy and mass resolutions, with a 30% better energy resolution obtained for transverse momenta $p_T > 500 \text{ GeV}$.

Contents

1	Introduction	3			
2	The ATLAS detector	3			
3	Jets and their calibration with the ATLAS detector	4			
4	4 Samples and selections				
	4.1 Event simulation	6			
	4.2 Jet reconstruction and selection	7			
5	Methodology of the deep neural network calibration	8			
	5.1 Loss function	9			
	5.2 Input features	10			
	5.3 Architecture	12			
	5.4 Training procedure	13			
6	Performance and validation of the calibration	14			
	6.1 Technical performance	15			
	6.2 Calibration performance	16			
	6.3 Validation of the DNN calibration	19			
7	Limitations and possible solutions	26			
	7.1 Training convergence and ending criterion	26			
	7.2 Calibration of heavy boosted jets	26			
	7.3 Importance of the features	27			
8	Conclusion	27			

1 Introduction

Jets – the collimated sprays of hadrons resulting from a high momentum quark or gluon emission – are abundantly produced during the operation of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). They are relevant to almost all physics analyses of the collisions recorded by the LHC experiments, either because they are part of the final state of the studied signal or because they generate background for the process of interest. To achieve high-quality physics results, it is crucial to precisely measure the angular position and energy of the jets. This task is made difficult by multiple effects including fluctuations in the parton showers or in the interactions between the particles forming the jets and the detectors [1]. Another important task is to measure the collimated hadronic decay of high-momentum heavy particles such as top quarks, W, Z or Higgs bosons. These are reconstructed as jets with large angular opening (large-R jets) and the measurement of their mass as well as their energy is therefore necessary.

To measure the jet energy and mass from raw detector signals, the ATLAS and CMS collaborations use calibration procedures comprising multiple steps [2, 3]. In these procedures, the main energy and mass corrections are evaluated numerically from simulated collision events. The corrections are evaluated and applied in two distinct successive steps, in bins of a limited (usually two or three) number of characteristic variables. However, calibrating both highly correlated energy and mass while exploiting all shower variables and their correlations in a single correction step is possible through approaches based on deep neural networks (DNN). This paper presents a full implementation developed by the ATLAS Collaboration using 13 TeV simulated collision events, overcoming several difficulties that are specific to the calibration problem. This new calibration method aims at replacing the current simulation-based corrections of the energy and mass of large-R jets. After a brief introduction to the ATLAS detector (Section 2), the paper explains why jet energy and mass calibrations are necessary, reviews the current procedure in ATLAS and motivates the new DNN approach (Section 3). The samples used and the selections applied to them are described in Section 4. Section 5 details the specific methodology needed to construct and properly train the network, while Section 6 discusses the validation and performance of the resulting DNN calibration, comparing it with the current numerical method. Finally Section 7 identifies and discusses limitations in this approach as well as some possible solutions.

2 The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [4] covers nearly the entire solid angle around the collision point.¹ It consists of an inner tracking detector surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid, electromagnetic and hadron calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer incorporating three large superconducting air-core toroidal magnets.

The inner-detector system (ID) is immersed in a 2 T axial magnetic field and provides charged-particle tracking in the range of $|\eta| < 2.5$. The high-granularity silicon pixel detector covers the vertex region and typically provides four measurements per track, the first hit normally being in the insertable B-layer (IBL) [5, 6] installed before Run 2 of the LHC. It is followed by the silicon microstrip tracker (SCT), which usually provides eight measurements per track. These silicon detectors are complemented by the transition

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the *z*-axis along the beam pipe. The *x*-axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the *y*-axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the *z*-axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln\tan(\theta/2)$. Angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$.

radiation tracker (TRT), which enables radially extended track reconstruction up to $|\eta| = 2.0$. The TRT also provides electron identification information based on the fraction of hits (typically 30 in total) above a higher energy-deposit threshold corresponding to transition radiation.

The calorimeter system covers the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 4.9$. In the region $|\eta| < 3.2$, electromagnetic calorimetry is provided by barrel and endcap high-granularity lead/liquid-argon (LAr) calorimeters, with an additional thin LAr presampler covering $|\eta| < 1.8$ to correct for energy loss in material upstream of the calorimeters. Hadron calorimetry is provided by the steel/scintillator-tile calorimeter, segmented into three barrel structures with $|\eta| < 1.7$, and two copper/LAr hadron endcap calorimeters. The solid angle coverage is completed with forward copper/LAr and tungsten/LAr calorimeter modules optimised for electromagnetic and hadronic energy measurements respectively.

The angular and longitudinal segmentation of the calorimeters provides valuable 3-dimensional information about the location of the energy deposits. This allows the calculation of quantities useful for the characterization of the energy shower resulting from various type of particles or groups of particles such as hadronic jets.

An extensive software suite [7] is used in data simulation, in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

3 Jets and their calibration with the ATLAS detector

After the parton emission, the complete hadronisation process results in a set of particles from which the *particle jets* are formed. These are also named *true* jets in the context of simulated events discussed in this paper. In practice the group of particles forming a true jet, its constituents, is obtained by applying a physically motivated procedure called a jet clustering algorithm on the set of kinematic 4-vectors of all the stable interacting particles of the collision (details are given in Section 4.1). The sum of the 4-vectors of a jet's constituents defines its momentum and thus the *true* jet energy and mass. These are the reference quantities for the jet calibration that is applied to experimental jets reconstructed with the ATLAS detector. Jet reconstruction is based on two types of primary signals: charged particle tracks, which are reconstructed from measurements of hits in the inner detector [8, 9] and topological clusters, which are reconstructed from energy deposits in the calorimeters [10]. Tracks and clusters can then be combined to form higher-level objects, each characterised by a kinetic 4-vector [11, 12]. Together, these objects approximate the flow of hadronic particles and are used as the constituents of the reconstructed jets. The final jets are obtained by regrouping these constituents using the same jet algorithm as used for the true jets.

Due to various detector inefficiencies and limitations, the kinematics of the experimentally reconstructed jets differ significantly from the corresponding true jets. Precise and complex corrections are thus applied to the jet energy and mass before these quantities are used in physics analyses [2]. These corrections are separated into two steps. Firstly, a series of corrections is obtained from simulation that allows alignment between the reconstructed-level energies and masses and the generated-level ones; they ensure that the jet energy scale (JES) or the jet mass scale (JMS) of reconstructed jets is correct (a more precise definition is given below). They also compensate for the effect of multiple proton–proton interactions happening in the same bunch crossing and residual effects in the detector from collisions in nearby crossings (these effects are collectively referred to as *pile-up*). Secondly, other corrections obtained from real data, using known physical processes or well-reconstructed objects such as photons, allow corrections for residual differences

(of the order of 2–3%) to be made between real and simulated reconstructed jets. The calibration method presented in this paper is an alternative for the simulation-based correction steps.

Simulation-based corrections are derived from studies of the *individual response* of the quantity of interest E, the jet energy, (but all the following equations stand identically for the mass m), defined by $r_E = \frac{E_{reco}}{E_{true}}$ where E_{true} is calculated from the jets clustered from generated particles and E_{reco} , from the jets reconstructed after detector simulation (true and reco jets are more precisely defined in Section 4.2). Because of the stochastic nature of both the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) shower and its interactions with the detector, true jets that have the same parameters (such as energy, angular position, mass, . . .) can generate distributions of possible r_E . Individual response distributions depend on these parameters and are usually unimodal: their most probable value, their mode $R_E = \text{mode}(\{r_E\})$, is called the *average response*, JES (or JMS in the equivalent case for jet mass), or simply *response* when the context is non-ambiguous. The mode, as opposed to the mean or the median, is chosen as the definition of such a central value to avoid ambiguities or biases that can arise when the distributions are asymmetric. The width of the distribution around the mode is used to define the resolution in E. In this paper, the chosen definition is the inter quantile range (IQR) at $68\%^2$ divided by the mode $\sigma_E = \frac{IQR_{68\%}(\{F_E\})}{R_E}$. Because of experimental limitations, the average response may differ from unity and the objective of the calibration is to find the relevant corrections such that $R_E = 1$ for all populations of true jets (which formalizes the idea that true and reco scales are *on average* identical), with σ_E as small as possible.

The individual response distributions can be parameterised by a set of parameters describing the true jet (here taken to be the energy, pseudorapidity and mass) but also by inter-dependent parameters of the reconstructed jets such as the energy, pseudorapidity, mass, fractions of energy deposited in the various layers of the calorimeter, fraction of the momentum of the associated tracks, and others. Formally, it is then possible to model the distribution as a probability distribution function $f(\vec{x})$ where \vec{x} is the list of input parameters that can be separated into the various true and reconstructed parameters $\vec{x} = (\vec{x}_{\text{true}}, \vec{x}_{\text{reco}})$. The average response for true jets with parameters \vec{x}_{true} is then given by

$$R_E(\vec{x}_{\text{true}}) = \text{mode}(f|_{\vec{x}_{\text{true}}}). \tag{1}$$

The aim of the calibration is then to find a function of the reconstructed parameters $C(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}})$ defining the calibrated quantity $E_{\text{calib}} = C(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}})E_{\text{reco}}$ such that the most probable value becomes $R_{E_{\text{calib}}}(\vec{x}_{\text{true}}) = \mod(g|_{\vec{x}_{\text{true}}}) = 1$, where g is the probability distribution function obtained from f by changing $E_{\text{reco}} \rightarrow E_{\text{calib}}$ and the mode is taken over all \vec{x}_{reco} .

In general this mathematical problem is difficult. It has an exact solution in simple cases where the response depends on only one parameter, the quantity E itself, and when $R_E(E_{\text{true}})E_{\text{true}}$ is an affine function [13]. In this case the solution is to choose the function C such as

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C(xR_E(x)) = \frac{1}{R_E(x)}.$$
 (2)

This solution is called the *numerical inversion* (NI) because R_E (and thus the pair $(xR_E(x), \frac{1}{R_E(x)})$) can be obtained *numerically* from the simulated data and because $E_{\text{true}}R_E(E_{\text{true}})$ represents an *inversion* of the true quantity.³ This method (referred to here as *standard calibration*) is employed by ATLAS to obtain sequentially a jet energy scale calibration and then a mass scale calibration. In practice the function $R_E(E)$

² IQR instead of the standard deviation is chosen here as the shape of the response distribution does not always follow a Gaussian distribution, particularly for the jet mass.

³ For a given E_{true} , the most probable E_{reco} is by definition $E_{\text{true}}R_E(E_{\text{true}})$.

is evaluated as function of $(E_{\rm true}, \eta_{\rm true})$ (and $m_{\rm true}$ for mass calibration) by collecting individual responses in bins of these quantities [2]. This procedure gives satisfactory performance. The variables E, η and m are the principal dependencies of the JES and JMS and the use of true quantities to form the response evaluation bins allows potential biases due to the energy or mass spectrum of the generated sample to be avoided.

As mentioned above, there is much more information available to describe the reconstructed hadronic showers than just the energy, pseudorapidity and mass. Using these additional variables can potentially help obtain a more precise JES and JMS and improve their resolution, including subsamples of jets such as quark-initiated or gluon-initiated jets. However, these variables can be correlated. Optimally exploiting all the correlations in the same way as performed in the standard calibration would require highly multidimensional bins, which is practically impossible. On the other hand the task of numerically modelling a multidimensional function can be successfully performed with modern DNN techniques. Furthermore, a key problem of the calibration evaluation, estimating the mode of distributions, is solvable with these techniques; a solution is discussed in detail in Section 5.1. A proof of concept of using DNN for JES and JMS calibration, including an implementation of the NI procedure, has already been presented in [14] and DNN techniques are also employed to perform a particular step of the energy (but not the mass) calibration for small-R jets [15]. Building on this, a complete and operational solution and its performance is presented here. This new procedure extends several aspects of the DNN calibration but does not implement NI to simplify the method by avoiding the need to train two DNNs sequentially, one to model the function R as a function of x_{true} , the second the function C as in Eq. (2). Instead, it evaluates directly the uncalibrated responses in terms of reconstructed quantities, which means that the network evaluates

$$R_E(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}}) = \text{mode}(f|_{\vec{x}_{\text{reco}}})$$
 (3)

instead of mode $(f|_{\vec{x}_{true}})$ as in Eq. (1). The calibration function is then chosen to be simply $1/R_E(\vec{x}_{reco})$. The price to pay for this simpler procedure is that the response mode $(f|_{\vec{x}_{reco}})$ a priori depends on the distribution of the simulated input samples, which can bias the resulting calibration. However, because \vec{x}_{reco} includes many variables relevant to the description of the hadronic shower, it can be expected that the response distributions at each point of the phase space are narrow enough so that the overall bias on the mode is negligible. Although this hypothesis is not testable in practice because of the high dimensionality, verifications are performed to ensure the input samples do not bias the performance (they are presented in Section 6.3).

4 Samples and selections

4.1 Event simulation

The training and validation of the DNN is based on Monte Carlo simulations of proton–proton (pp) collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. All events were passed through the complete ATLAS detector simulation based on Geant [16, 17]. Various physics processes were simulated as described in Table 1 to train and test the DNN on different jet topologies.

Training is performed on the QCD dijet sample as this type of process is, by orders of magnitude, more probable at the LHC and enters as a background to many analyses. In a validation step, it is verified that the training generalises and produces a good calibration for other important physics processes such as those listed in Table 1. All samples (except the Sherpa one) were produced using Pythia 8.230 [18] with the

Table 1: Simulated samples used for training and validation

Use	Process type	Generator	Number of jets passing the selections (in millions)
Training, validation	QCD dijet	Рутніа 8.230	~ 270
Validation	W/Z	Рутніа 8.230	~ 20
Validation	Top quark	Рутніа 8.230	~ 15
Validation	Higgs Boson	Рутніа 8.230	~ 15
Validation	QCD dijet	SHERPA 2.2.5	~ 30

NNPDF2.3Lo [19] parton distribution function (PDF) set and the A14 set of tuned parameters [20]. The training sample containing jets originating from light quarks or gluons was obtained by generating dijets in slices of the jet transverse momentum, $p_{\rm T}$, to sufficiently populate the kinematic region of interest (from around 200 GeV up to 6000 GeV). For the validation samples, physics processes modelling phenomena beyond the Standard Model are used to populate the high- $p_{\rm T}$ region.

- The W/Z-boson sample was obtained by generating the $W' \to WZ \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ process with $m_{W'}=2$ TeV.
- The top-quark sample was obtained by generating the $Z' \to t\bar{t} \to W^+b\ W^-\bar{b} \to bq\bar{q}\ \bar{b}q\bar{q}$ process with $m_{Z'} = 4$ TeV.
- The sample of Higgs bosons, which displays a broad Higgs boson p_T spectrum, was obtained by generating the production of Randall–Sundrum gravitons G^* in a benchmark model with a warped extra dimension [21] over a range of graviton masses from 300 to 6000 GeV, where the graviton decays according to $G^* \to HH \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$.

The Sherpa dijet sample was generated using the Sherpa 2.2.5 [22] generator. The matrix element calculation was included for the $2 \rightarrow 2$ process at leading order, and the default Sherpa parton shower [23] based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation was used for the showering with $p_{\rm T}$ ordering, using the CT14NNLO PDF set [24]. These samples used the dedicated Sherpa AHADIC model for hadronisation [25], based on cluster fragmentation ideas.

Finally, the effect of multiple interactions in the same and neighbouring bunch crossings (*pile-up*) was modelled by overlaying the simulated hard-scattering event (event of interest) with inelastic *pp* events generated with Pythia 8.186 [26] using the NNPDF2.3Lo set of PDFs) [19] and the A3 set of tuned parameters [27]. The number of overlaid events is representative of the amount of pile-up present in the 2017–2018 ATLAS *pp* data sample.

4.2 Jet reconstruction and selection

In each event, the flow of hadronic particles is represented by a set of 4-vectors built by combining momentum and energy measurements from the inner tracker and calorimeters. In this paper, the combination technique producing *unified flow objects* (UFO) [28] is used. These input constituents are clustered into jets with the anti- k_t jet algorithm with angular radius R = 1.0 [29] using the FastJet software package [30]. The jets then undergo a *grooming* procedure aimed at suppressing soft radiation from pile-up and the underlying event; the *soft-drop* procedure [31] is employed with parameters $\beta = 1$ and $z_{\text{cut}} = 0.1$.

Stable particles, with a lifetime $c\tau > 10$ mm, produced by the generators (with the exception of neutrinos and muons) are also clustered into jets using the same algorithm to obtain *true* jets.

To obtain training samples that are not biased by experimental effects such as pile-up, noise or misidentifications, some requirements are imposed on the jets. Reconstructed jets are selected if they are matched to a true jet and isolated as described in Ref. [2]. A reconstructed and a true jet are matched if they are within an angular distance $\Delta R = 0.3$. Two isolation requirements are then applied; reconstructed jets are rejected if a true jet other than the matched one is found within $\Delta R = 2.5$ or if another reconstructed jet is found within $\Delta R = 1.5$. Finally, both the reconstructed and true jets are required to have $p_T > 50$ GeV and, in an event, only the two highest- p_T jets satisfying these criteria are considered. The selection results in about 270 million jets being available for the DNN training sample.

5 Methodology of the deep neural network calibration

The simultaneous calibration of the jet energy and mass is performed using the calculations of a single DNN taking as inputs reconstructed jet-level and event-level quantities. The DNN is set up to output two numbers corresponding to the energy and mass responses. However, as mentioned in the previous section, it is not possible to directly predict the exact individual E (or m) response for a given reconstructed jet as each true jet corresponds to a distribution of possible responses. The DNN predictions are thus interpreted as the most probable values of the possible responses for the input jets (that is, the modes of their distribution). Following Eq. (3), the DNN is trained so that

$$R_E^{\text{DNN}}(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}}) \simeq R_E(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}}) \equiv \text{mode}(f|_{\vec{x}_{\text{reco}}}).$$

The calibrated energy (or mass) is then defined as

$$E_{\text{calib}} = \frac{E_{\text{reco}}}{R_E^{\text{DNN}}(\vec{x}_{\text{reco}})}.$$

Thus, the DNN output predictions are

$$\vec{y}_{\text{pred}} = (R_E^{\text{DNN}}, R_m^{\text{DNN}}).$$

During the training, the target quantities corresponding to the inputs \vec{x}_{reco} are the individual responses discussed in Section 3:

$$\vec{y}_{\text{target}} = \vec{y}_{\text{true}} = (r_E, r_m) = (\frac{E_{\text{reco}}}{E_{\text{true}}}, \frac{m_{\text{reco}}}{m_{\text{true}}}).$$

These are not directly the desired *average* responses R_E and R_m and the necessity to predict distribution modes (and not means nor medians) requires a careful choice of the loss function. Beside these mathematical concerns, experimental difficulties related to the detector geometry – and especially the geometry of the calorimeters – strongly interfere in the calibration predictions for certain angular regions. This is accounted for by a dedicated processing of the jet angular position.

Finally, the mass calibration alone has specific difficulties. Firstly, the mass response distributions are generally wider and asymmetric, making it harder for the DNN to predict their mode. Secondly, large-*R* jets are mainly used to reconstruct heavy particles whose decays are collimated (top quarks, *W*, *Z*, or

Higgs bosons) and for which the mass measurement is crucial. So the DNN performance needs to be good for these jets, even if their topology differs from standard QCD jets. This has motivated the use of a specific architecture (including a *residual connection*-like structure) and training procedure (with a dedicated mass-only step).

5.1 Loss function

The loss function $\mathcal{L}(\vec{y}_{true}, \vec{y}_{pred})$ is a crucial part of the DNN training as it defines what mathematical quantity the DNN will learn to predict. This is particularly true in the case presented here where the targets (\vec{y}_{true}) are realizations of a stochastic distribution. In this case, it is proven that minimising the usual mean square error loss $(\mathcal{L} = (\vec{y}_{true} - \vec{y}_{pred})^2)$ would have \vec{y}_{pred} converge to the mean of the distribution, while minimising the mean absolute error $(\mathcal{L} = |\vec{y}_{true} - \vec{y}_{pred}|)$ would imply a convergence to the median [32]. Converging to the distribution mode, as is necessary for the jet calibration, would require taking a Dirac delta distribution as the loss $\mathcal{L} = \delta(\vec{y}_{true} - \vec{y}_{pred})$. This is not possible in practice and an approximate function is therefore necessary. One possibility is the Gaussian kernel as suggested in Ref. [32], another solution is inspired by mixture-density-network (MDN) techniques and is described below. Numerical tests show the MDN losses are less biased than the Gaussian kernel losses. They are also found to converge faster and allow more flexibility in the training procedure.

First, as the DNN produces two outputs $\vec{y}_{pred} = (R_E^{DNN}, R_m^{DNN})$, two independent loss functions are considered, the sum of them being the final minimised quantity:

$$\mathcal{L}_{tot} = \mathcal{L}_E + \mathcal{L}_m$$
.

The MDN loss is constructed from the assumption that the response distribution of the DNN targets is a Gaussian distribution with central value μ and width σ .

$$P(r_E,(\mu,\sigma)) \propto \frac{e^{-(r_E-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sigma}.$$

With the parameter of interest being μ (the mode of the distribution), an estimator $\hat{\mu}$ (and $\hat{\sigma}$) can be obtained from the maximum of the likelihood (LH) over a given sample of r_E

$$LH(\mu, \sigma) = \prod_{r_E} P(r_E, (\mu, \sigma)). \tag{4}$$

As a consequence, a DNN outputting two values $\vec{y}_{pred} = (\mu_{pred}, \sigma_{pred})$ and trained with the loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MDN}} = -\log(P(\vec{y}_{\text{true}}, \vec{y}_{\text{pred}})) = \log(\sigma_{\text{pred}}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(r_E - \mu_{\text{pred}})^2}{\sigma_{\text{pred}}^2}$$
 (5)

would minimise the logarithm of Eq. (4) and thus predict the estimators, that is $(\mu_{pred}, \sigma_{pred}) = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma})$. Using this MDN loss, the DNN has to predict the width of this distribution (σ_{pred}) in addition to predicting the mode of the response distribution. For an energy and mass calibration DNN:

$$\vec{y}_{\text{pred}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\text{pred}}^{E} \\ \sigma_{\text{pred}}^{E} \\ \mu_{\text{pred}}^{m} \\ \sigma_{\text{pred}}^{m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where only the predicted modes are eventually used in the final calibration procedures.

In practice, the energy or mass response distributions are not perfect Gaussians distributions especially for jets with low p_T and high mass or with high $|\eta|$. To take this into account, the assumed underlying distribution can be replaced by an asymmetric Gaussian distribution or a truncated Gaussian distribution.

$$P_{\text{asym}}(x) \sim \begin{cases} e^{(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma_1^2} & \text{if } x < \mu \\ e^{(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma_2^2} & \text{if } x \ge \mu \end{cases} \quad P_{\text{trunc}}(x) \sim \begin{cases} e^{(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} & \text{if } |x-\mu| < N\sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(6)

Although not physically motivated these losses help with the numerical convergence of the network. In particular, the asymmetric MDN loss (MDNA) helps to avoid biases from asymmetric shapes in the response distributions, but it requires the DNN to output three values per prediction $(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. The use of a truncated distribution can apply to both the MDN and MDNA cases. In practice, truncation consists of excluding from the loss evaluation jets for which $|x - \mu| > N\sigma$ and adapting Eq. (5) with the relevant distribution normalisation factor. Asymmetric loss helps to converge faster in regions of phase space where the distributions are asymmetric and the truncation is used at the end of the training to improve the accuracy of the calibration. Details of the choice and tuning of the parameters of the loss function are further discussed in Section 5.4.

5.2 Input features

To obtain precise predictions, it is necessary to give the DNN an as complete description of the jet and the event as possible. A list of 21 jet or event variables is chosen as the input to the DNN. These features are chosen for their relevance in calibration or jet identification tasks as demonstrated in previous studies [2, 33]. They can be divided in four categories: the jet kinematics, which are the main dependencies of the energy and mass responses;⁴ the jet substructure variables, which describe the particle-shower topology used to classify jets; the detector variables, which quantify the relative charged-particle contribution and the development of the calorimeter showers; and finally, the event variables that describe the jet environment. Table 2 presents these input variables and their definitions. To have homogeneous inputs for the DNN, each input is normalised so that its distribution falls in the range of [-1, 1].

In addition, the DNN has difficulties learning the sharp variations in the energy and mass responses along η that are due to the complex ATLAS detector geometry and in particular to the poorly instrumented regions between the central and forward calorimeters between $|\eta| = 0.8$ and $|\eta| = 1.5$.


In an effort to help the modelling, an additional input preparation step called η annotation is implemented as the first operation of the DNN. This step computes extra features based on η that are passed along with other input features to the rest of the DNN. These extra features are calculated as $f_i(\eta)$ where f_i are Gaussian functions with different central values and widths that are chosen to cover key angular regions

⁴ Although the rapidity provides a better estimate of the actual angular position of massive objects, the pseudorapidity is used in this paper as used in the current ATLAS calibration; the performance is not expected to be affected by this choice

Table 2: The input features of the DNN.

	Name	Definition
	E	Energy of the jet in GeV, $\log E$ is taken to reduce the spread of its distribution
Jet level	$m = \eta$	Mass of the jet in GeV, $\log m$ is taken to reduce the spread of its distribution Jet pseudorapidity
Substructure level	groomMRatio Width Split12,Split23 C2, D2 τ_{21} , τ_{32} Qw	Mass ratio of groomed to ungroomed jets $\sum_{i} p_{\text{T}i} \Delta R(i, \text{jet}) / (\sum_{i} p_{\text{T}i}) \text{ where } \Delta R \text{ is the angular distance (sum over the jet constituents)}$ Splitting scales at the 1st and 2nd exclusive k_T declusterings [34] Energy correlation ratios [35, 36] N-Subjettiness ratios using WTA axis [37, 38] Smallest invariant mass among the proto-jets pairs of the last 3 steps of a k_T reclustering sequence
Detector level	EMFrac EM3Frac Tile0Frac EffNConsts NeutralFrac ChargedPTFrac ChargedMFrac	Energy fraction deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter Energy fraction deposited in the third layer of the electromagnetic calorimeter Energy fraction deposited in the 1st layer of the hadronic calorimeter $(\sum_i E_i)^2/(\sum_i E_i^2)$ (sum over the jet constituents) Energy fraction from neutral constituents $p_{\rm T}$ fraction from charged constituents Mass fraction from charged constituents
Event level	μ NPV	Mean number of interactions per bunch crossing Number of primary vertices per event

of the detector (such as the central part or edges of the electromagnetic barrel calorimeter and of other calorimeters). Figure 1 shows how the 11 different Gaussians distributions are positioned, providing a continuous encoding of the proximity of the jet to these regions. These processed values are included alongside the unprocessed η for a total of 12 representations.






Figure 1: The principle of the η annotation (left) and the various $f_i(\eta)$ functions (right). The vertical shaded band on the right plot marks the calorimeter transition region.

5.3 Architecture

The DNN architecture is mainly based on two specific parts, the η annotation (described in Section 5.2) and a fork between the energy and mass outputs. Both are designed to address the main difficulties of the jet calibration, the η dependency of the responses and the important differences between the energy and mass response distributions. Building on this base, the architecture is iteratively improved and tested to obtain an optimal structure giving good performance. Consequently, the DNN has a relatively complex structure as represented in Figure 2.

- *Dense* (*N*) blocks represent the matrix, bias and activation function resulting in a hidden layer with N neurons.
- The *Concatenate* block is a static layer (without trainable parameters) that concatenates its two input layers.
- The Multiply block is a static layer that performs an element-wise multiplication of its input layers.
- The final *Output* layers give the predictions for the energy and mass responses. They may consist of two or three neurons depending on the loss function (as discussed in Section 5.1).




Figure 2: The architecture of the DNN.

The DNN contains approximately 764 000 trainable parameters and is structured in different parts as indicated in Figure 2.:

- Input processing: contains the input layer with the 21 input features and the η annotation described above.
- Core: contains several hidden layers (210 neurons each) common to both the energy and mass predictions.
- Early fork: premature splitting between the energy and mass predictions to allow for a strong specialisation of the DNN in each calibration, both forks containing the same hidden layers. Having independent weights also allows to *freeze* some of them during the training procedure.
- Multiplicative residual connection: loosely inspired from Ref. [39] with the substitution of the addition by a multiplication to increase the class of functions that can be modelled by the network. These layers link the input layer directly to the mass output, with the intention of making the DNN learn which inputs are the most important for the mass calibration and thus helping to improve the mass predictions.⁵
- Outputs: the DNN has two output predictions, one for the energy calibration and one for the mass calibration, $\vec{y}_{\text{pred}} = (\mu_{\text{pred}}^E, \mu_{\text{pred}}^m) = (R_E^{DNN}, R_m^{DNN})$, along with subsidiary predictions $(\sigma_{\text{pred}}^E, \sigma_{\text{pred}}^m)$.

The activation function for each hidden layer is chosen to be the MISH function [40] and is given by:

$$f(x) = x \tanh(\ln(1 + e^x)).$$

The only exception is the activation function of the last hidden layer of the residual connection that is the *softmax* function: its outputs are restricted to [0, 1] and are used as multiplicative weights.

The last activation function applied to the output layers is chosen to be:

$$f(x) = \tanh(x) + 1$$

to obtain predictions between 0 and 2, which is the expected range of the energy and mass central responses.

5.4 Training procedure

Establishing the training strategy cannot be left to a simple hyperparameter scan. Firstly, because the energy and mass responses vary significantly across the phase space that is not uniformly populated and, secondly, because converging to a loss minimum does not guarantee that the physics performance goals are satisfied for the full phase space. Furthermore, these performance goals (described in Section 6) are costly to evaluate and cannot be tested during the training procedure. As a consequence the strategy presented here is obtained with a series of attempts and refinements gradually improving the performance. This training strategy is described in Table 3: it totals 107 epochs and is divided into three parts.

⁵ This is only added for the mass calibration prediction as it is harder to predict than the energy calibration due to its response distributions being broader and more asymmetric. The predicted energy calibration already shows excellent performance without an additional residual connection.

In a first initialisation step, the DNN is trained with small batch sizes and an MDNA loss with no or small truncation of the loss. This aims at quickly converging to a rough estimate of the mode of the response distributions thanks to small batch size (i.e., frequent weight updates) and a few epochs while adapting to possible asymmetric distributions (e.g., at high η) with the MDNA loss.

The second step is designed to obtain very precise predictions by taking advantage of large batch sizes and a progressive narrowing of the loss truncation. This narrowing allows a gradual focus on the centre of the response distributions, improving the predictions. The larger batch sizes improve the calculation speed and thus the training time.

The third step is a fine-tuning step with an exclusive mass training. The weights involved in the energy prediction⁶ are frozen to their values at the end of the second step and only those involved in the mass prediction⁷ are free to be tuned. This step is necessary in order to have as good predictions for the mass as for the energy for which the two first steps are enough. For this last step, a MDN loss with a large truncation at 1.0σ is used in order to focus more on the core of the mass response distribution. A symmetric loss at this stage is observed to converge better, possibly because it results in a simpler network, easier to optimize on the central and more symmetric parts of the response distributions.

During the training two different optimizers are used, *Rectified Adam* [41] in the initialisation step and *diffGrad* [42] in the common training and exclusive mass training. The learning rate is decreased in the latter steps of the training following the improvements in the predictions.

Table 3: The specific training procedure of the DNN. MDN(A) stands for mixture density network (asymmetric). The loss truncation is indicated in number of standard deviations, σ . When E and m are not mentioned, it means that the energy and mass losses are identical.

Steps	N°	Number of epochs	Batch size	Learning rate	Loss
Initialisation	1	2	15 000	10^{-3}	MDNA
	2	2	25 000	10^{-3}	MDNA
	3	2	35 000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (4.0σ)
	4	2	15 000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (3.5σ)
	5	6	95000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (3.5σ)
	6	6	95 000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (3.5σ)
Common training	7	6	125 000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (3.2σ)
	8	6	125 000	10^{-3}	MDNA truncated (3.2σ)
	9	10	155 000	5.10^{-4}	MDNA truncated (3.0σ)
	10	15	95 000	10^{-5}	MDNA truncated (E : 3.0σ , m : 2.0σ)
Exclusive mass training	11	50	95 000	10^{-5}	MDN truncated (1.0σ)

6 Performance and validation of the calibration

The evaluation of the DNN performance and the validation of the predicted energy and mass calibrations are presented in this section. Unless mentioned otherwise, these are evaluated on the dijet sample, hence

⁶ These comprise the weights involved in the input processing, the core and the energy-dedicated fork of the network architecture.

⁷ These comprise the weights in the mass-dedicated fork and in the residual connection layers of the network architecture.

for standard quark and gluon jets.

6.1 Technical performance

For this study, the validation data sample is a subset of the dijet data sample that is set aside at the beginning of the training (about ten million jets corresponding to $\sim 4\%$ of the training data sample). Figure 3 shows the evolution of the loss with the number of epochs (i.e., the number of jets processed). The training and validation losses (obtained for the energy, the mass and their total) are shown as solid and dotted lines, respectively. The different losses display very good agreement, showing that the DNN does not overfit the training data sample, as expected given the size of the training data sample; overfitting would take many more epochs. It also shows that the loss does not evolve significantly after two thirds of the training. For a more common DNN set-up, it could be wise to stop the training earlier, as it seems – by that metric alone – that its performance is not improving anymore. However, it is observed that the predicted calibrations continue to improve even if the loss does not, which makes it difficult to find an optimum training procedure.




Figure 3: The loss evolution for the training (plain lines) and validation (dotted lines) data samples. The different spikes and drops correspond to the transitions between training steps (see Table 3) and are due to the variations of the loss function.

The consistency of the training is also verified by training DNNs that differ only by weight initialisation and ensuring that their losses and calibration performance are identical.

6.2 Calibration performance

The performance of the energy and mass calibrations are quantified using the response and the resolution of the calibrated distributions, as defined in Section 3.

More precisely, from the predicted energy and mass response $\vec{y}_{pred} = (R_E^{DNN}, R_m^{DNN})$, the individual energy (or mass) calibrated response is defined as:

$$r_{E_{\text{calib}}} = \frac{E_{\text{calib}}}{E_{\text{true}}} = \frac{1}{R_F^{\text{DNN}}} \frac{E_{\text{reco}}}{E_{\text{true}}} = \frac{r_E}{R_F^{\text{DNN}}}.$$

As discussed in Section 3, $r_{E_{\text{calib}}}$ values are realisations of a distribution g from which the calibrated response can be obtained: $R_{E_{\text{calib}}}(\vec{x}_{\text{true}}) = \text{mode}(g|_{\vec{x}_{\text{true}}})$. In practice, the individual responses $r_{E_{\text{calib}}}$ are collected in histograms for each bin of x_{true} (where typically $x_{\text{true}} = (p_{\text{T}}^{\text{true}}, m^{\text{true}}, \eta^{\text{true}})$). From these histograms, $R_{E_{\text{calib}}}(x_{\text{true}})$ is extracted from a fit to a Gaussian distribution g and the calibrated response resolution $\sigma(R_{E_{\text{calib}}})$ from the calculation of the IQR.

In the following, the performance is presented by plotting the response or resolution as a function of one of the bin-defining variables. Better performance is indicated by a response closer to 1.0 (called response closure) and a resolution closer to 0.0 in all of the phase space. All plots include statistical uncertainties computed from the fits of the response distributions but they are generally too small to be visible.

6.2.1 Performance on QCD dijets

As a closure test, the DNN calibration is applied to the QCD sample used during training. This allows verification that the DNN convergence translates into good physics performance in a sample with large statistics. Overall, the calibration is found to perform very well for $|\eta| < 2$ with the best results at high mass in terms of response closure and resolution. Figure 4 presents the energy and mass calibrated responses as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ and $\eta^{\rm true}$ and the energy and mass resolution as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ for specific bins. It shows excellent response closure for both energy and mass, closer to unity than the standard calibration [2]. The DNN calibration also shows an important improvement in the resolution relative to the standard calibration. For the mass, the uncalibrated resolution appears better than the calibrated ones. However, this is a relative quantity (as defined in Section 3) while the absolute value of the IQR scales with the central value. As a consequence, comparing the uncalibrated resolution corresponding to responses significantly differing from 1.0 with a calibrated resolution is not very meaningful.

Figure 5 presents the excellent consistency of the DNN calibration performance for different $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ bins.

In every bin of $(p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}, m^{\rm true}, \eta^{\rm true})$ with $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true} > 200\,{\rm GeV}, m^{\rm true} > 40\,{\rm GeV}$ and $|\eta^{\rm true}| < 2$, the DNN calibration is equally or more performant than the standard calibration with a better response closure and resolution. Improvements of a few percent up to around 10% are observed in both energy and mass response closure and resolution.

⁸ Firstly, the histograms are smoothed with a Savitzky–Golay filter [43] to improve the fit stability in low-statistics bins. Then, heuristics are employed to limit the fit around the central value by setting the fitting range around the mean of the histogram to be between $\pm N\sigma$, where σ is the standard deviation.




Figure 4: Jet energy (left) and mass (right) responses (a, b, c, d) and resolutions (e, f) for different calibrations (squares: no calibration, triangles: standard calibration, circles: DNN calibration) as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ (a, b, e, f) and $\eta^{\rm true}$ (c, d). The horizontal bands in (a, b, c, d) represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.




Figure 5: The (a) jet energy and (b) mass calibrated response for the DNN calibration as a function of η^{true} and for multiple bins in $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{true}}$. The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.

6.2.2 Effect of η annotation

Section 5.2 describes the necessity to add the η annotation to help the DNN adapt to the complex detector geometry. The assumption is that the extra information represented by the angular encoding together with the related weights allows the training process to specialise the predictions according to the detector region. As a validation step, Figure 6 shows a comparison of the jet energy and mass response closure between two DNN setups with the same training procedure and the same architecture except for the η annotation step. Its inclusion clearly improves the DNN calibrated response closure in the almost complete η range and especially in the calorimeter transition region above $|\eta|=1.2$. The JMS improvement is less important, as expected since the uncalibrated response (squared markers) variations for the mass are less important than for the energy.




Figure 6: The (a) jet energy and (b) mass response before calibration (squares) and after the DNN calibration with (triangles) or without (circles) the η annotation step as a function of η^{true} . The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.

These improvements are present in every p_T and η bin, proving the importance of the η annotation step.

6.2.3 Performance on other topologies

Physics analyses mainly use large-R jets to reconstruct the decays of massive and boosted particles like top quarks, W, Z or Higgs bosons. These decays result in jets with different shower topologies than those originating from the dijet samples with which the DNN is trained; this can have an impact on the mass response as demonstrated in Ref. [15] where significant differences between W-initiated and q/g-initiated jets were observed. It is hence very important to verify that the DNN predictions generalise to other such topologies as the same calibration is applied to all jets in data as their origin will be unknown. For this, simulated samples of processes with heavy hadronically decaying particles, as described in Section 4.1, are considered. For each sample, the same jet selection and DNN calibration as for QCD jets are applied and the resulting scale and resolution are evaluated in mass bins centred on the mass of the corresponding massive particle. The population of these massive, high-momentum jets is then expected to be entirely dominated by the hadronic decays of the heavy particles considered so that the effect of the topology can be assessed.

Figure 7 presents the jet mass response distributions obtained with boosted massive jets (W/Z, Higgs boson and top-quark decay) for different calibrations (uncalibrated, standard calibration and DNN calibration). It shows the benefits of the DNN calibration compared with the standard calibration or the absence of a calibration; the distributions are narrower with less asymmetric tails and are better centered on 1.0.

Figure 8 shows the jet energy and mass average responses as a function of the true p_T for the same samples and calibrations. As expected, large differences between the different topologies are observed before calibration, especially in the mass response. While both the standard and the DNN calibrations reduce these response differences, the DNN calibration outperforms the standard one as it gives better closure for each topology, showing that the DNN predictions generalise well to more complex topologies. A small non-closure in the energy response is however observed for all the boosted topologies at low p_T^{true} for the DNN calibration. For the W/Z and Higgs boson jets, this small under-performance can easily be explained by the internal structure of the boosted massive jets; at high momentum, W/Z and Higgs boson large-R jets are usually composed of two sub-jets with an angular separation of $\Delta R \sim \frac{2m}{p_T}$. At low p_T , their angular separation becomes comparable to the large-R jet radius of 1.0, and the structure becomes neither 1-prong nor 2-prong. Such a structure is possibly rare in the dijet training sample or not captured by the input substructure variables, making it impossible for the DNN to learn to correct for this effect. For top-quark jets, a similar effect could be at play when the 3-prong decay $t \to Wb \to qq'b$ is not fully contained within the jet radius, thus leading to atypical jets. To solve this difficulty, a dedicated additional network structure and training can be envisaged and is discussed in Section 7.

Figure 9 presents the jet energy and mass resolution for the boosted topologies. Here as well, the DNN calibration shows improved performance relative to the standard calibration. The resolution differences between the topologies here can be explained by the increasing mass bins in which the responses are evaluated; the resolution usually gets better at higher masses.

6.3 Validation of the DNN calibration

An extensive series of tests are performed to ensure the good physical behaviour of the DNN calibration. As described above, both the standard and DNN calibrations are tested and compared.




Figure 7: (a) Boosted W/Z, (b) Higgs boson and (c) top-quark mass response distributions (normalised to unity) for different calibrations: uncalibrated (plain line), standard calibration (dotted line) and DNN calibration (dashed line).

Spectrum dependency: The DNN is trained and validated on a specific set of jets produced through a particular Monte Carlo process with a large range of energy. This set of jets leads to specific distributions of the input variables. The DNN calibration performance should be independent of the distribution to which it is applied, so it can be used in different physics analyses with different event selections resulting in different input distributions. Since the calibration is already assessed as a function of true p_T , the impact of the distribution of this variable (or the energy one) is not expected to be strong. However, other input variables are correlated with the p_T and are not binned in the performance tests; their distribution shapes may thus impact the calibration. To verify this, the performance is re-evaluated by changing the response distributions using statistical weights when constructing the response histograms. The weights are chosen to obtain a flat jet energy distribution, entirely different from the original one used in the training as illustrated in Figure 10(a). The performance is then evaluated in large p_T bins similar to those that physics analysis may use and inside which distribution differences may have significant effects. Figure 10 (b, c) shows the jet energy and mass responses obtained with the DNN calibration with (square markers) and without (triangular markers) re-weighting. The differences between the two calibrated response closures are very small, proving that the DNN calibration does not depend significantly on the input jet distribution.

⁹ Distributions are shown after transformation and normalisation, e.g., the histogram shows $f(E) = s \times \log(E) + o$ where s and o are such that f(E) is mostly distributed in [-1, 1]




Figure 8: Boosted W/Z, top-quark and Higgs boson jet energy (left) and mass (right) responses as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ for different calibrations: (a, b) no calibration, (c, d) standard calibration and (e, f) DNN calibration. The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.




Figure 9: Boosted W/Z, top-quark and Higgs boson jet energy (left) and mass (right) resolutions as a function of p_T^{true} for different calibrations: (a, b) standard calibration and (c, d) DNN calibration.

Pile-up dependency: The impact of the pile-up conditions is tested and evaluated by considering the dependency of the response on two quantifying variables: the number of reconstructed primary vertices in an event, NPV, and the average number of collisions per bunch crossing, μ . For this, the energy (or mass) response $R_{E_{\text{calib}}}$ is estimated in bins of $(v_{\text{PU}}, E^{\text{true}}, \eta^{\text{true}})$ where v_{PU} is NPV or μ . A linear fit to $R_{E_{\text{calib}}}$ against v_{PU} is then performed for each $(E^{\text{true}}, \eta^{\text{true}})$ and the corresponding slope, the *gradient* relative to v_{PU} denoted as $\frac{\partial R_E}{\partial v_{\text{PU}}}$, is extracted. Figure 11 presents the gradient relative to NPV of the energy and mass calibrated response modes as a function of η . For both the energy and the mass, the DNN calibration shows smaller gradients than the standard calibration. Similar results are obtained for μ .

This shows that the grooming procedure performed during the jet reconstruction is not enough to suppress pile-up effects, while the DNN is able to do so by including NPV and μ as input variables.

Jet flavour dependence: As discussed above, the DNN performance is assessed with different topologies (QCD, W/Z, top-quark, or Higgs boson jets). It is also important to understand the dependency of the DNN calibrations on the actual parton that initiated the jet, also known as its flavour (light-quark (u, d, s, c), b-quark or gluon jets), as different responses for different flavours will translate into systematic uncertainties through the uncertainty in the flavour composition of the jets.




Figure 10: Normalised distributions of (a) the jet energy before (black) and after (red) re-weighting. And the corresponding (b) jet energy and (c) mass responses obtained with the DNN calibration as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ for these two energy distributions, the unweighted distribution (squares) (as used for training) and the flat-energy weighted distribution (triangles). The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.

Figure 12 presents the energy and mass calibrated responses as a function of the jet energy for different jet flavours, comparing the DNN calibration to the standard calibration. The jet flavour is defined here as the flavour of the parton with the highest energy in the parton shower. These show that the DNN predicts better calibrations for the different partons, with smaller inter-flavour differences relative to the standard calibration, especially at low p_T .

Dependency on the generator: The DNN calibration will be applied to all simulated samples used in ATLAS analyses that are produced with a variety of generators, as well as jets in real data events. Therefore it is desirable to evaluate if the performance of the network is strongly affected by the modelling of jets within Pythia8. Generators have specific ways of evaluating the parton shower and hadronisation resulting in differences in the predicted particle flow and simulated detector showers. Since such differences can be representative of those between simulation and real data, it is important to verify they are not detrimental to the performance of the DNN calibration.




Figure 11: The dependence of (a) the jet energy and (b) the mass response on NPV for different calibrations (squares: no calibration, triangles: standard calibration, circles: DNN predicted calibration) as a function of $|\eta^{true}|$. The horizontal band represent 0.1% up and down divergences from a null gradient.




Figure 12: Jet energy (left) and mass (right) responses versus $E^{\rm true}$ for different jet flavours, calibrated with the standard calibration (a, b) and the DNN calibration (c, d). The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.

A data sample of Sherpa-generated dijets with the same data preparation as described in Section 4.2 is used to compute jet energy and mass responses with the standard calibration and the DNN calibration. Both are then compared with the performance obtained with the Pythia dijet data sample. Figure 13 shows this comparison. The DNN energy calibration shows almost identical performance for the two generators, except at low $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ where a small under-performance is observed with the Sherpa data sample although closure is however still obtained. Moreover, the DNN calibration shows a lesser dependency on the generator than the standard calibration for the energy. For the mass calibration with the Sherpa data sample, the DNN response closes within 5% but outside 1% in all the $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ range. This can be expected as the jet's mass is more dependent on its particle flow structure. This under-performance is observed to be reduced in higher mass bins. The standard mass calibration shows smaller differences between the two generators but overall, the DNN mass calibration is still better than the standard one for both the Pythia and Sherpa generators.




Figure 13: Jet energy and mass responses versus $p_{\rm T}^{\rm true}$ calibrated with (a, b) the standard calibration and (c, d) with the DNN predictions for a dijet data sample generated with PYTHIA (squares) or Sherpa (triangles). The horizontal bands represent 1% (dark) and 5% (light) up and down divergences from closure.

 10 The DNN is trained with the Pythia sample as discussed in the previous sections.

7 Limitations and possible solutions

Despite the satisfying results obtained with the calibration methodology presented above, some limitations and open questions are identified. They are discussed together with possible solutions in this section.

7.1 Training convergence and ending criterion

A weakness in this DNN training procedure is the lack of a clear criterion to mark the final convergence of the network and end the training. It is observed that early interruptions of the training (as soon as the losses start to fluctuate around a minimum) result in worse calibration performance in terms of JES, JMS or resolution in significant parts of the phase space. Instead, training for numerous additional epochs (such as described in Section 5.4) during which the training loss remains essentially constant is found to be necessary. A possible explanation is that the goodness of the performance is based on relatively strict expectations (e.g., closure within 1% for the energy response) to be obtained over all the (E, η, m) phase space while only a part of it is statistically dominant in the training sample. As a consequence, the global loss can be mostly determined by this dominant phase space region in which the optimum is quickly reached, while it requires more training to improve the sparsely populated regions. This behaviour also resembles the 'grokking phenomenon' observed in some DNN problems where sometimes performance suddenly increases after a very long training period [44].

Although the present study shows that training long enough allows coverage of the full phase space, a robust methodology would require a well-understood criterion to stop the training. Evaluating the calibration performance across all the phase space is not a practical option as it is too costly to perform automatically at each epoch. An interesting approach would be to evaluate the loss in predefined, well-chosen bins (in terms of statistical contents and of physics relevance) of the phase space. Monitoring the losses in these bins with training and validation samples would possibly be more indicative than monitoring the virtually flat total loss.

7.2 Calibration of heavy boosted jets

During the validation of the DNN calibration performance for W/Z, Higgs boson and top-quark jets, non-closures of up to a few percent are observed for the energy (see Figures 8). While this under-performance is also visible for the standard calibration, the DNN calibration performance is slightly worse in these cases. Contrary to the DNN calibration presented here, the standard calibration is obtained using different types of jets. At high $p_{\rm T}$ (above ~ 500 GeV) QCD jets are used, while at low $p_{\rm T}$ the calibration is only derived from W/Z jets as this choice leads to better calibration performance for both W/Z jets and QCD jets.

A similar approach with mixed training samples is possible with the DNN. However, simply adding W/Z samples to the training does not improve – or even degrades – the performance for mass regions away from the W and Z masses. This issue could be mitigated with the availability of special pseudo-W/Z samples having a flat mass distribution. Alternatively, an ad hoc solution mimicking the standard calibration is possible by adding a subnetwork to the already QCD-trained DNN. This subnetwork would branch from the input layer with η annotation, produce output values used as multiplicative correction factors to the main network predictions and enforce these factors to be 1.0 for large p_T jets (typically above 500 GeV) to maintain the good performance of the DNN in this phase space. The subnetwork could then be trained on W/Z samples, while keeping the main network frozen to improve the lower p_T regions.

7.3 Importance of the features

Insights into which input features are the most influential on the DNN predictions are important for two reasons. Firstly, they generally help to understand the jet energy and mass responses. Secondly, they can indicate if some features could be removed from the inputs, potentially leading to a simpler DNN or even to better performance if the removed variables are confusing the network. A simple way to quantify the importance of a feature is to measure the average of the relative variation in the prediction when this feature is systematically varied for each input jet. A ranking of the different features obtained by following this method is shown in Figure 14 where each feature is varied by $\pm 1\%$ of the standard deviation of its overall distribution. Both the energy and mass prediction variations are displayed for each feature. As




Figure 14: The variation of the energy (upward triangles) and mass (downward triangles) predictions when input features are varied (see the text for details).

expected, the variables E, η , and m have a large influence on the predictions according to this measure. Some substructure features 11 have a stronger impact on the mass prediction than on the energy prediction. This is also expected since the mass depends on the structure of the particle flow objects in the jet. While by this metric μ and NPV do not seem so important, it was demonstrated in Section 6.3 that these features are important as they allow the DNN calibration to remove the response dependence on these variables. Further investigations are therefore necessary to understand more precisely the importance of the features. Those could consist of considering the magnitude of the DNN gradient with respect to its inputs in bins of $p_{\rm T}$ or mass, or to apply the SHAP methodology. 12

8 Conclusion

A full implementation of a deep neural network based simultaneous calibration of the energy and mass of large-*R* jets with the ATLAS detector is presented. It solves problems that are specific to the calibration task. Firstly, rather than segmenting the calibration in angular slices, a single network covers multiple

¹¹ The Width, groomMRatio, ChargedPTFrac, ChargedMFrac, Split12, and C2.

¹² SHapley Additive exPlanations [45].

detector regions and a dedicated encoding of the jet angular direction is included to help it to adapt to the corresponding strongly varying response. Secondly, the network architecture is designed to efficiently accommodate both the energy and the mass predictions. Finally, loss functions are carefully chosen so that the DNN prediction converges as desired toward the most probable value of the energy or mass response distribution; this also implies non-trivial training procedures involving variants of the loss functions.

The resulting calibration is compared to the standard calibration on QCD jets and on other jet topologies not seen during the DNN training. Over all the phase space and for all processes of interest, the DNN is found to achieve better energy and mass scale closure and similar or better resolution, with a typical 30% improvement in the energy resolution for $p_T > 500 \, \text{GeV}$. The DNN calibration is also shown to be robust against various tests such as pile-up dependency or changes to the sample distribution. Finally, some limitations and possible improvements are discussed. Overall, as this method can directly replace the current simulation-based steps of the ATLAS large-R jet calibration chain, no adaptation of the data-based methodology is required and this DNN procedure can be used for the calibration of large-radius jets used in future ATLAS analyses.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; ANID, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; Minciencias, Colombia; MEYS CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS and CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF and MPG, Germany; GSRI, Greece; RGC and Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MEIN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DSI/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TENMAK, Türkiye; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, CANARIE, Compute Canada and CRC, Canada; PRIMUS 21/SCI/017 and UNCE SCI/013, Czech Republic; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020, ICSC-NextGenerationEU and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d'Avenir Labex, Investissements d'Avenir Idex and ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and MINERVA, Israel; Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, Norway; NCN and NAWA, Poland; La Caixa Banking Foundation, CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya and PROMETEO and GenT Programmes Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; Göran Gustafssons Stiftelse, Sweden; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [46].

References

- [1] F. Gross et al., 50 Years of Quantum Chromodynamics, 2022, arXiv: 2212.11107 [hep-ph].
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy scale and resolution measured in proton–proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2020) 689, arXiv: 2007.02645 [hep-ex].
- [3] CMS Collaboration,

 Jet energy scale and resolution measurement with Run 2 Legacy Data Collected by CMS at 13 TeV,

 CMS-DP-2021-033, 2021, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2792322.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, JINST **3** (2008) S08003.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Insertable B-Layer: Technical Design Report, ATLAS-TDR-19; CERN-LHCC-2010-013, 2010, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/1291633, Addendum: ATLAS-TDR-19-ADD-1; CERN-LHCC-2012-009, 2012, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/1451888.
- [6] B. Abbott et al., *Production and integration of the ATLAS Insertable B-Layer*, JINST **13** (2018) T05008, arXiv: 1803.00844 [physics.ins-det].
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Collaboration Software and Firmware*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, 2021, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Inner Detector commissioning and calibration*, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 787, arXiv: **1004.5293** [hep-ex].
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration,

 Performance of the ATLAS track reconstruction algorithms in dense environments in LHC Run 2,

 Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 673, arXiv: 1704.07983 [hep-ex].
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration,

 Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1,

 Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 490, arXiv: 1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration,

 Jet reconstruction and performance using particle flow with the ATLAS Detector,

 Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 466, arXiv: 1703.10485 [hep-ex].
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, *Improving jet substructure performance in ATLAS using Track-CaloClusters*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-015, 2017, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2275636.
- [13] A. Cukierman and B. Nachman,
 Mathematical Properties of Numerical Inversion for Jet Calibrations,
 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 858 (2017) 1, arXiv: 1609.05195 [physics.data-an].
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration,

 Generalized Numerical Inversion: A Neutral Network Approach to Jet Calibration,

 ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-013, 2018, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2630972.
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, *New techniques for jet calibration with the ATLAS detector*, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) 761, arXiv: 2303.17312 [hep-ex].
- [16] S. Agostinelli et al., *Geant4—a simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **506** (2003) 250, ISSN: 0168-9002.

- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 823, arXiv: 1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [18] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An introduction to PYTHIA* 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191** (2015) 159, arXiv: 1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [19] NNPDF Collaboration, R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions with LHC data*, Nucl. Phys. B **867** (2013) 244, arXiv: 1207.1303 [hep-ph].
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021, 2014, url: https://cds.cern.ch/record/1966419.
- [21] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Large Mass Hierarchy from a Small Extra Dimension*, Physical Review Letters **83** (1999) 3370, arXiv: 9905221 [hep-ph].
- [22] E. Bothmann et al., Event generation with Sherpa 2.2, SciPost Phys. 7 (2019) 034, arXiv: 1905.09127 [hep-ph].
- [23] S. Schumann and F. Krauss,

 A parton shower algorithm based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation, JHEP **03** (2008) 038, arXiv: 0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [24] S. Dulat et al.,

 New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics,

 Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 033006, arXiv: 1506.07443 [hep-ph].
- [25] J.-C. Winter, F. Krauss and G. Soff, *A modified cluster-hadronization model*, Eur. Phys. J. C **36** (2004) 381, arXiv: hep-ph/0311085.
- [26] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852, arXiv: **0710.3820** [hep-ph].
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, *The Pythia 8 A3 tune description of ATLAS minimum bias and inelastic measurements incorporating the Donnachie–Landshoff diffractive model*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017, 2016, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206965.
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, *Optimisation of large-radius jet reconstruction for the ATLAS detector in* 13 TeV proton–proton collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2020) 334, arXiv: 2009.04986 [hep-ex].
- [29] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti-k_t jet clustering algorithm*, JHEP **04** (2008) 063, arXiv: 0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [30] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet User Manual*, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 1896, arXiv: 1111.6097 [hep-ph].
- [31] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani and G. P. Salam, Towards an understanding of jet substructure, JHEP **09** (2013) 029, arXiv: 1307.0007 [hep-ph].
- [32] S. Cheong, A. Cukierman, B. Nachman, M. Safdari and A. Schwartzman, Parametrizing the detector response with neural networks, JINST **15** (2020) P01030, arXiv: 1910.03773 [physics.data-an].
- [33] ATLAS Collaboration,

 Performance of top-quark and W-boson tagging with ATLAS in Run 2 of the LHC,

 Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 375, arXiv: 1808.07858 [hep-ex].
- [34] J. Thaler and L.-T. Wang, Strategies to identify boosted tops, JHEP **07** (2008) 092, arXiv: **0806.0023** [hep-ph].

- [35] A. J. Larkoski, G. P. Salam and J. Thaler, *Energy correlation functions for jet substructure*, JHEP **06** (2013) 108, arXiv: 1305.0007 [hep-ph].
- [36] A. J. Larkoski, I. Moult and D. Neill, *Power counting to better jet observables*, JHEP **12** (2014) 009, arXiv: 1409.6298 [hep-ph].
- [37] J. Thaler and K. Van Tilburg, *Identifying boosted objects with N-subjettiness*, JHEP **03** (2011) 015, arXiv: 1011.2268 [hep-ph].
- [38] A. Larkoski, D. Neill and J. Thaler, *Jet shapes with the broadening axis*, JHEP **04** (2014) 17, arXiv: 1401.2158 [hep-ph].
- [39] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren and J. Sun, *Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition*, CoRR abs/1512.03385 (2015), arXiv: 1512.03385.
- [40] D. Misra, Mish: A Self Regularized Non-Monotonic Neural Activation Function, CoRR (2019), arXiv: 1908.08681.
- [41] L. Liu et al., On the Variance of the Adaptive Learning Rate and Beyond, CoRR abs/1908.03265 (2019), arXiv: 1908.03265.
- [42] S. R. Dubey et al., diffGrad: An Optimization Method for Convolutional Neural Networks, CoRR abs/1909.11015 (2019), arXiv: 1909.11015.
- [43] A. Savitzky and M. J. E. Golay,

 Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified Least Squares Procedures.,

 Analytical Chemistry 36 (1964) 1627, ISSN: 0003-2700,

 URL: https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60214a047.
- [44] N. Nanda, L. Chan, T. Lieberum, J. Smith and J. Steinhardt,

 'Progress measures for grokking via mechanistic interpretability',

 The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, 2023, arXiv: 2301.05217,

 URL: https://openreview.net/forum?id=9XFSbDPmdW.
- [45] S. M. Lundberg and S.-I. Lee, 'A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions', *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 30, 2017, arXiv: 1705.07874.
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2023-001, 2023, URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2869272.

The ATLAS Collaboration

```
G. Aad 10102, E. Aakvaag 1016, B. Abbott 10120, K. Abeling 1055, N.J. Abicht 1049, S.H. Abidi 1029,
A. Aboulhorma <sup>©35e</sup>, H. Abramowicz <sup>©151</sup>, H. Abreu <sup>©150</sup>, Y. Abulaiti <sup>©117</sup>, B.S. Acharya <sup>©69a,69b,m</sup>,
C. Adam Bourdarios <sup>1</sup>0<sup>4</sup>, L. Adamczyk <sup>1</sup>0<sup>86a</sup>, S.V. Addepalli <sup>1</sup>0<sup>26</sup>, M.J. Addison <sup>1</sup>0<sup>101</sup>, J. Adelman <sup>1</sup>1<sup>15</sup>,
A. Adiguzel <sup>1021c</sup>, T. Adye <sup>10134</sup>, A.A. Affolder <sup>10136</sup>, Y. Afik <sup>1039</sup>, M.N. Agaras <sup>1013</sup>, J. Agarwala <sup>1073a,73b</sup>,
A. Aggarwal 100, C. Agheorghiesei 1027c, A. Ahmad 1036, F. Ahmadov 1038, W.S. Ahmed 10104,
S. Ahuja (1995), X. Ai (1962e), G. Aielli (1976a,76b), A. Aikot (1916a), M. Ait Tamlihat (1935e), B. Aitbenchikh (1935a),
I. Aizenberg 169, M. Akbiyik 100, T.P.A. Åkesson 198, A.V. Akimov 137, D. Akiyama 168,
N.N. Akolkar <sup>©24</sup>, S. Aktas <sup>©21a</sup>, K. Al Khoury <sup>©41</sup>, G.L. Alberghi <sup>©23b</sup>, J. Albert <sup>©165</sup>,
P. Albicocco <sup>53</sup>, G.L. Albouy <sup>60</sup>, S. Alderweireldt <sup>52</sup>, Z.L. Alegria <sup>121</sup>, M. Aleksa <sup>36</sup>,
I.N. Aleksandrov (D<sup>38</sup>, C. Alexa (D<sup>27b</sup>, T. Alexopoulos (D<sup>10</sup>, F. Alfonsi (D<sup>23b</sup>, M. Algren (D<sup>56</sup>),
M. Alhroob (141, B. Ali (132, H.M.J. Ali (1991, S. Ali (148, S.W. Alibocus (1992, M. Aliev (133c),
G. Alimonti <sup>1071a</sup>, W. Alkakhi <sup>1055</sup>, C. Allaire <sup>1066</sup>, B.M.M. Allbrooke <sup>10146</sup>, J.F. Allen <sup>1052</sup>,
C.A. Allendes Flores 137f, P.P. Allport 20, A. Aloisio 72a,72b, F. Alonso 90, C. Alpigiani 138,
M. Alvarez Estevez <sup>1099</sup>, A. Alvarez Fernandez <sup>1000</sup>, M. Alves Cardoso <sup>1056</sup>, M.G. Alviggi <sup>1072a,72b</sup>,
M. Aly <sup>101</sup>, Y. Amaral Coutinho <sup>1083b</sup>, A. Ambler <sup>10104</sup>, C. Amelung <sup>36</sup>, M. Amerl <sup>10101</sup>,
C.G. Ames (D109), D. Amidei (D106), S.P. Amor Dos Santos (D130a), K.R. Amos (D163), V. Ananiev (D125),
C. Anastopoulos <sup>139</sup>, T. Andeen <sup>11</sup>, J.K. Anders <sup>36</sup>, S.Y. Andrean <sup>47a,47b</sup>, A. Andreazza <sup>71a,71b</sup>,
S. Angelidakis <sup>69</sup>, A. Angerami <sup>641,ac</sup>, A.V. Anisenkov <sup>637</sup>, A. Annovi <sup>674a</sup>, C. Antel <sup>56</sup>,
M.T. Anthony (D<sup>139</sup>, E. Antipov (D<sup>145</sup>, M. Antonelli (D<sup>53</sup>, F. Anulli (D<sup>75a</sup>, M. Aoki (D<sup>84</sup>, T. Aoki (D<sup>153</sup>),
J.A. Aparisi Pozo 163, M.A. Aparo 146, L. Aperio Bella 48, C. Appelt 18, A. Apyan 26,
S.J. Arbiol Val 687, C. Arcangeletti 653, A.T.H. Arce 651, E. Arena 692, J-F. Arguin 6108,
S. Argyropoulos <sup>654</sup>, J.-H. Arling <sup>648</sup>, O. Arnaez <sup>64</sup>, H. Arnold <sup>6114</sup>, G. Artoni <sup>675a,75b</sup>, H. Asada <sup>6111</sup>,
K. Asai © 118, S. Asai © 153, N.A. Asbah © 36, K. Assamagan © 29, R. Astalos © 28a, S. Atashi © 159,
R.J. Atkin (D<sup>33a</sup>, M. Atkinson<sup>162</sup>, H. Atmani<sup>35f</sup>, P.A. Atmasiddha (D<sup>128</sup>, K. Augsten (D<sup>132</sup>),
S. Auricchio 0^{72a,72b}, A.D. Auriol 0^{20}, V.A. Austrup 0^{101}, G. Avolio 0^{36}, K. Axiotis 0^{56},
G. Azuelos © 108,ag, D. Babal © 28b, H. Bachacou © 135, K. Bachas © 152,q, A. Bachiu © 34,
F. Backman (047a,47b), A. Badea (039), T.M. Baer (0106), P. Bagnaia (075a,75b), M. Bahmani (018),
D. Bahner • 54, K. Bai • 123, A.J. Bailey • 163, V.R. Bailey • 162, J.T. Baines • 134, L. Baines • 94,
O.K. Baker 172, E. Bakos 15, D. Bakshi Gupta 8, V. Balakrishnan 120, R. Balasubramanian 114,
E.M. Baldin 637, P. Balek 686a, E. Ballabene 623b,23a, F. Balli 6135, L.M. Baltes 663a, W.K. Balunas 632,
J. Balz 100, E. Banas 187, M. Bandieramonte 129, A. Bandyopadhyay 124, S. Bansal 124,
L. Barak © 151, M. Barakat © 48, E.L. Barberio © 105, D. Barberis © 57b,57a, M. Barbero © 102,
M.Z. Barel ^{\odot 114}, K.N. Barends ^{\odot 33a}, T. Barillari ^{\odot 110}, M-S. Barisits ^{\odot 36}, T. Barklow ^{\odot 143}, P. Baron ^{\odot 122},
D.A. Baron Moreno (101), A. Baroncelli (1062a, G. Barone (1029), A.J. Barr (10126), J.D. Barr (1096),
F. Barreiro (1999), J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa (1914a), U. Barron (19151), M.G. Barros Teixeira (19130a),
S. Barsov (1037), F. Bartels (1063a), R. Bartoldus (10143), A.E. Barton (1091), P. Bartos (1028a), A. Basan (10100),
M. Baselga <sup>649</sup>, A. Bassalat <sup>666,b</sup>, M.J. Basso <sup>156a</sup>, C.R. Basson <sup>101</sup>, R.L. Bates <sup>59</sup>, S. Batlamous <sup>35e</sup>,
B. Batool 1141, M. Battaglia 1136, D. Battulga 118, M. Bauce 175a,75b, M. Bauer 136, P. Bauer 124,
L.T. Bazzano Hurrell (1030), J.B. Beacham (1051), T. Beau (10127), J.Y. Beaucamp (1090), P.H. Beauchemin (10158),
P. Bechtle (19.7), H.P. Beck (19.7), K. Becker (16.7), A.J. Beddall (18.2), V.A. Bednyakov (19.8), C.P. Bee (19.4),
L.J. Beemster<sup>15</sup>, T.A. Beermann <sup>©36</sup>, M. Begalli <sup>©83d</sup>, M. Begel <sup>©29</sup>, A. Behera <sup>©145</sup>, J.K. Behr <sup>©48</sup>,
J.F. Beirer <sup>©36</sup>, F. Beisiegel <sup>©24</sup>, M. Belfkir <sup>©116b</sup>, G. Bella <sup>©151</sup>, L. Bellagamba <sup>©23b</sup>, A. Bellerive <sup>©34</sup>,
P. Bellos <sup>©20</sup>, K. Beloborodov <sup>©37</sup>, D. Benchekroun <sup>©35a</sup>, F. Bendebba <sup>©35a</sup>, Y. Benhammou <sup>©151</sup>,
K.C. Benkendorfer 61, L. Beresford 48, M. Beretta 53, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann 141, N. Berger 4,
```

```
B. Bergmann 6132, J. Beringer 617a, G. Bernardi 65, C. Bernius 6143, F.U. Bernlochner 624,
F. Bernon (1036,102), A. Berrocal Guardia (1013), T. Berry (1095), P. Berta (10133), A. Berthold (1050), S. Bethke (10110),
A. Betti (D<sup>75</sup>a,75b), A.J. Bevan (D<sup>94</sup>), N.K. Bhalla (D<sup>54</sup>), M. Bhamjee (D<sup>33</sup>c), S. Bhatta (D<sup>145</sup>),
D.S. Bhattacharya <sup>166</sup>, P. Bhattarai <sup>143</sup>, K.D. Bhide <sup>54</sup>, V.S. Bhopatkar <sup>121</sup>, R.M. Bianchi <sup>129</sup>,
G. Bianco (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, O. Biebel (D<sup>109</sup>, R. Bielski (D<sup>123</sup>, M. Biglietti (D<sup>77a</sup>, C.S. Billingsley<sup>44</sup>, M. Bindi (D<sup>55</sup>),
A. Bingul (10, C. Bini (10, 75a, 75b), A. Biondini (10, 92), C.J. Birch-sykes (10, 10, 10, 10), G.A. Bird (10, 32), M. Birman (10, 10), Birch-sykes (10, 10), G.A. Bird (10, 10), G.A. Bir
M. Biros (D133), S. Biryukov (D146), T. Bisanz (D49), E. Bisceglie (D43b,43a), J.P. Biswal (D134), D. Biswas (D141),
K. Bjørke <sup>125</sup>, I. Bloch <sup>48</sup>, A. Blue <sup>59</sup>, U. Blumenschein <sup>94</sup>, J. Blumenthal <sup>100</sup>,
V.S. Bobrovnikov (D<sup>37</sup>, M. Boehler (D<sup>54</sup>, B. Boehm (D<sup>166</sup>, D. Bogavac (D<sup>36</sup>, A.G. Bogdanchikov (D<sup>37</sup>,
C. Bohm (D<sup>47</sup>a, V. Boisvert (D<sup>95</sup>, P. Bokan (D<sup>36</sup>, T. Bold (D<sup>86</sup>a, M. Bomben (D<sup>5</sup>, M. Bona (D<sup>94</sup>,
M. Boonekamp 135, C.D. Booth 95, A.G. Borbély 59, I.S. Bordulev 57, H.M. Borecka-Bielska 108,
G. Borissov (D<sup>91</sup>, D. Bortoletto (D<sup>126</sup>, D. Boscherini (D<sup>23b</sup>, M. Bosman (D<sup>13</sup>, J.D. Bossio Sola (D<sup>36</sup>),
K. Bouaouda 635a, N. Bouchhar 6163, J. Boudreau 6129, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker 691, D. Boumediene 640,
R. Bouquet (1057b,57a), A. Boveia (10119), J. Boyd (1036), D. Boye (1029), I.R. Boyko (1038), J. Bracinik (1020),
N. Brahimi <sup>6</sup>, G. Brandt <sup>6</sup>, O. Brandt <sup>6</sup>, F. Braren <sup>6</sup>, B. Brau <sup>6</sup>, J.E. Brau <sup>6</sup>, J.E. Brau <sup>6</sup>,
R. Brener (169), L. Brenner (114), R. Brenner (161), S. Bressler (169), D. Britton (159), D. Britzger (110),
I. Brock ^{24}, G. Brooijmans ^{41}, E. Brost ^{29}, L.M. Brown ^{165}, L.E. Bruce ^{61}, T.L. Bruckler ^{126},
P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom <sup>687</sup>, B. Brüers <sup>648</sup>, A. Bruni <sup>623b</sup>, G. Bruni <sup>623b</sup>, M. Bruschi <sup>623b</sup>,
N. Bruscino (10,75a,75b), T. Buanes (10,16), Q. Buat (10,138), D. Buchin (10,110), A.G. Buckley (10,59), O. Bulekov (10,37),
B.A. Bullard (D<sup>143</sup>, S. Burdin (D<sup>92</sup>, C.D. Burgard (D<sup>49</sup>, A.M. Burger (D<sup>36</sup>, B. Burghgrave (D<sup>8</sup>),
O. Burlayenko <sup>654</sup>, J.T.P. Burr <sup>632</sup>, C.D. Burton <sup>611</sup>, J.C. Burzynski <sup>6142</sup>, E.L. Busch <sup>641</sup>,
V. Büscher 6100, P.J. Bussey 659, J.M. Butler 625, C.M. Buttar 659, J.M. Butterworth 696,
W. Buttinger 134, C.J. Buxo Vazquez 177, A.R. Buzykaev 37, S. Cabrera Urbán 163,
L. Cadamuro 666, D. Caforio 58, H. Cai 129, Y. Cai 14a,14e, Y. Cai 14c, V.M.M. Cairo 536,
O. Cakir <sup>103a</sup>, N. Calace <sup>1036</sup>, P. Calafiura <sup>1017a</sup>, G. Calderini <sup>10127</sup>, P. Calfayan <sup>1068</sup>, G. Callea <sup>1059</sup>,
L.P. Caloba<sup>83b</sup>, D. Calvet <sup>640</sup>, S. Calvet <sup>640</sup>, M. Calvetti <sup>674a,74b</sup>, R. Camacho Toro <sup>6127</sup>,
S. Camarda 636, D. Camarero Munoz 626, P. Camarri 676a,76b, M.T. Camerlingo 672a,72b,
D. Cameron <sup>165</sup>, C. Camincher <sup>165</sup>, M. Campanelli <sup>166</sup>, A. Camplani <sup>164</sup>, V. Canale <sup>167</sup>, V. Canale <sup>167</sup>, V. Canale <sup>168</sup>, V. Canale <sup>16</sup>
A.C. Canbay (D<sup>3a</sup>, J. Cantero (D<sup>163</sup>, Y. Cao (D<sup>162</sup>, F. Capocasa (D<sup>26</sup>, M. Capua (D<sup>43b,43a</sup>, A. Carbone (D<sup>71a,71b</sup>,
R. Cardarelli 676a, J.C.J. Cardenas 68, F. Cardillo 6163, G. Carducci 643b,43a, T. Carli 636.
G. Carlino (10<sup>72a</sup>, J.I. Carlotto (10<sup>13</sup>, B.T. Carlson (10<sup>129</sup>,r, E.M. Carlson (10<sup>165,156a</sup>, L. Carminati (10<sup>71a,71b</sup>).
A. Carnelli (135), M. Carnesale (175a,75b), S. Caron (113), E. Carquin (137f), S. Carrá (171a,71b),
G. Carratta ©<sup>23b,23a</sup>, A.M. Carroll ©<sup>123</sup>, T.M. Carter ©<sup>52</sup>, M.P. Casado ©<sup>13,i</sup>, M. Caspar ©<sup>48</sup>,
F.L. Castillo 64, L. Castillo Garcia 613, V. Castillo Gimenez 6163, N.F. Castro 6130a,130e,
A. Catinaccio (D<sup>36</sup>, J.R. Catmore (D<sup>125</sup>, T. Cavaliere (D<sup>4</sup>, V. Cavaliere (D<sup>29</sup>, N. Cavalli (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>,
Y.C. Cekmecelioglu <sup>648</sup>, E. Celebi <sup>621a</sup>, F. Celli <sup>6126</sup>, M.S. Centonze <sup>670a,70b</sup>, V. Cepaitis <sup>656</sup>,
K. Cerny (D122), A.S. Cerqueira (D83a), A. Cerri (D146), L. Cerrito (D76a,76b), F. Cerutti (D17a), B. Cervato (D141),
A. Cervelli (D<sup>23b</sup>, G. Cesarini (D<sup>53</sup>, S.A. Cetin (D<sup>82</sup>, D. Chakraborty (D<sup>115</sup>, J. Chan (D<sup>170</sup>, W.Y. Chan (D<sup>153</sup>,
J.D. Chapman (D<sup>32</sup>, E. Chapon (D<sup>135</sup>, B. Chargeishvili (D<sup>149b</sup>, D.G. Charlton (D<sup>20</sup>, M. Chatterjee (D<sup>19</sup>),
C. Chauhan (b)133, Y. Che (b)14c, S. Chekanov (b)6, S.V. Chekulaev (b)156a, G.A. Chelkov (b)38,a,
A. Chen 6106, B. Chen 151, B. Chen 1615, H. Chen 1614c, H. Chen 1629, J. Chen 162c, J. Chen 16142,
M. Chen 6126, S. Chen 153, S.J. Chen 1614c, X. Chen 162c, 135, X. Chen 1614b, af, Y. Chen 162a,
C.L. Cheng © 170, H.C. Cheng © 64a, S. Cheong © 143, A. Cheplakov © 38, E. Cheremushkina © 48,
E. Cherepanova <sup>114</sup>, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli <sup>35e</sup>, E. Cheu <sup>7</sup>, K. Cheung <sup>65</sup>, L. Chevalier <sup>135</sup>,
V. Chiarella 653, G. Chiarelli 674a, N. Chiedde 6102, G. Chiodini 670a, A.S. Chisholm 620,
A. Chitan (D<sup>27b</sup>, M. Chitishvili (D<sup>163</sup>, M.V. Chizhov (D<sup>38</sup>, K. Choi (D<sup>11</sup>, Y. Chou (D<sup>138</sup>, E.Y.S. Chow (D<sup>113</sup>),
K.L. Chu<sup>169</sup>, M.C. Chu <sup>64a</sup>, X. Chu <sup>14a,14e</sup>, J. Chudoba <sup>131</sup>, J.J. Chwastowski <sup>87</sup>, D. Cieri <sup>110</sup>,
```

```
K.M. Ciesla 686a, V. Cindro 693, A. Ciocio 617a, F. Cirotto 672a,72b, Z.H. Citron 6169,k, M. Citterio 671a,
D.A. Ciubotaru<sup>27b</sup>, A. Clark <sup>©56</sup>, P.J. Clark <sup>©52</sup>, C. Clarry <sup>©155</sup>, J.M. Clavijo Columbie <sup>©48</sup>,
S.E. Clawson (D<sup>48</sup>, C. Clement (D<sup>47a,47b</sup>, J. Clercx (D<sup>48</sup>, Y. Coadou (D<sup>102</sup>, M. Cobal (D<sup>69a,69c</sup>,
A. Coccaro <sup>657b</sup>, R.F. Coelho Barrue <sup>6130a</sup>, R. Coelho Lopes De Sa <sup>6103</sup>, S. Coelli <sup>671a</sup>, B. Cole <sup>641</sup>,
J. Collot 60, P. Conde Muiño 130a,130g, M.P. Connell 33c, S.H. Connell 33c, E.I. Conroy 126,
F. Conventi (D<sup>72a,ah</sup>, H.G. Cooke (D<sup>20</sup>, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar (D<sup>126</sup>, A. Cordeiro Oudot Choi (D<sup>127</sup>,
L.D. Corpe (D40), M. Corradi (D75a,75b), F. Corriveau (D104,x), A. Cortes-Gonzalez (D18), M.J. Costa (D163),
F. Costanza (D4, D. Costanzo (D139), B.M. Cote (D119), G. Cowan (D95), K. Cranmer (D170),
D. Cremonini (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, S. Crépé-Renaudin (D<sup>60</sup>, F. Crescioli (D<sup>127</sup>, M. Cristinziani (D<sup>141</sup>,
M. Cristoforetti <sup>10</sup>78a,78b, V. Croft <sup>114</sup>, J.E. Crosby <sup>121</sup>, G. Crosetti <sup>14</sup>8b,43a, A. Cueto <sup>199</sup>,
T. Cuhadar Donszelmann <sup>159</sup>, H. Cui <sup>14a,14e</sup>, Z. Cui <sup>7</sup>, W.R. Cunningham <sup>59</sup>, F. Curcio <sup>43b,43a</sup>,
P. Czodrowski 636, M.M. Czurylo 63b, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa 57b,57a,
J.V. Da Fonseca Pinto <sup>®83b</sup>, C. Da Via <sup>®101</sup>, W. Dabrowski <sup>®86a</sup>, T. Dado <sup>®49</sup>, S. Dahbi <sup>®148</sup>,
T. Dai 6106, D. Dal Santo 619, C. Dallapiccola 6103, M. Dam 642, G. D'amen 629, V. D'Amico 6109,
J. Damp 100, J.R. Dandoy 134, M. Danninger 142, V. Dao 136, G. Darbo 1576, S. Darmora 16,
S.J. Das (D<sup>29</sup>,ai, S. D'Auria (D<sup>71a,71b</sup>, A. D'avanzo (D<sup>130a</sup>, C. David (D<sup>33a</sup>, T. Davidek (D<sup>133</sup>,
B. Davis-Purcell 634, I. Dawson 694, H.A. Day-hall 6132, K. De 68, R. De Asmundis 672a,
N. De Biase (0<sup>48</sup>, S. De Castro (0<sup>23b,23a</sup>, N. De Groot (0<sup>113</sup>, P. de Jong (0<sup>114</sup>, H. De la Torre (0<sup>115</sup>),
A. De Maria 1014c, A. De Salvo 1075a, U. De Sanctis 1076a,76b, F. De Santis 1070a,70b, A. De Santo 10146,
J.B. De Vivie De Regie 60, D.V. Dedovich38, J. Degens 114, A.M. Deiana 44, F. Del Corso 23b,23a,
J. Del Peso <sup>199</sup>, F. Del Rio <sup>63a</sup>, L. Delagrange <sup>127</sup>, F. Deliot <sup>135</sup>, C.M. Delitzsch <sup>49</sup>,
M. Della Pietra (D<sup>72a,72b</sup>, D. Della Volpe (D<sup>56</sup>, A. Dell'Acqua (D<sup>36</sup>, L. Dell'Asta (D<sup>71a,71b</sup>, M. Delmastro (D<sup>4</sup>,
P.A. Delsart 60, S. Demers 172, M. Demichev 1838, S.P. Denisov 1837, L. D'Eramo 1840,
D. Derendarz <sup>1087</sup>, F. Derue <sup>10127</sup>, P. Dervan <sup>1092</sup>, K. Desch <sup>1024</sup>, C. Deutsch <sup>1024</sup>, F.A. Di Bello <sup>1057b,57a</sup>,
A. Di Ciaccio (10,76a,76b), L. Di Ciaccio (10,4), A. Di Domenico (10,75a,75b), C. Di Donato (10,72a,72b),
A. Di Girolamo (D<sup>36</sup>, G. Di Gregorio (D<sup>36</sup>, A. Di Luca (D<sup>78a,78b</sup>, B. Di Micco (D<sup>77a,77b</sup>, R. Di Nardo (D<sup>77a,77b</sup>).
M. Diamantopoulou <sup>134</sup>, F.A. Dias <sup>114</sup>, T. Dias Do Vale <sup>142</sup>, M.A. Diaz <sup>137a,137b</sup>,
F.G. Diaz Capriles (D<sup>24</sup>, M. Didenko (D<sup>163</sup>, E.B. Diehl (D<sup>106</sup>, S. Díez Cornell (D<sup>48</sup>, C. Diez Pardos (D<sup>141</sup>,
C. Dimitriadi (161,24), A. Dimitrievska (17a), J. Dingfelder (17a), I-M. Dinu (17a), S.J. Dittmeier (163b),
F. Dittus <sup>1036</sup>, F. Djama <sup>10102</sup>, T. Djobava <sup>1149b</sup>, C. Doglioni <sup>10101,98</sup>, A. Dohnalova <sup>1028a</sup>, J. Dolejsi <sup>10133</sup>,
Z. Dolezal ©133, K.M. Dona ©39, M. Donadelli ©83c, B. Dong ©107, J. Donini ©40, A. D'Onofrio ©72a,72b,
M. D'Onofrio (192), J. Dopke (1913), A. Doria (1972), N. Dos Santos Fernandes (1913), P. Dougan (1914),
M.T. Dova 690, A.T. Doyle 659, M.A. Draguet 6126, E. Dreyer 6169, I. Drivas-koulouris 610,
M. Drnevich 117, M. Drozdova 56, D. Du 62a, T.A. du Pree 114, F. Dubinin 37, M. Dubovsky 28a,
E. Duchovni (169), G. Duckeck (109), O.A. Ducu (1276), D. Duda (152), A. Dudarev (136), E.R. Duden (126),
M. D'uffizi 10101, L. Duflot 1066, M. Dührssen 1036, A.E. Dumitriu 1027b, M. Dunford 1063a, S. Dungs 1049,
K. Dunne <sup>647a,47b</sup>, A. Duperrin <sup>6102</sup>, H. Duran Yildiz <sup>63a</sup>, M. Düren <sup>658</sup>, A. Durglishvili <sup>6149b</sup>,
B.L. Dwyer 115, G.I. Dyckes 17a, M. Dyndal 86a, B.S. Dziedzic 87, Z.O. Earnshaw 146,
G.H. Eberwein ©126, B. Eckerova ©28a, S. Eggebrecht ©55, E. Egidio Purcino De Souza ©127,
L.F. Ehrke <sup>656</sup>, G. Eigen <sup>616</sup>, K. Einsweiler <sup>617a</sup>, T. Ekelof <sup>6161</sup>, P.A. Ekman <sup>698</sup>, S. El Farkh <sup>635b</sup>,
Y. El Ghazali <sup>©35b</sup>, H. El Jarrari <sup>©36</sup>, A. El Moussaouy <sup>©108</sup>, V. Ellajosyula <sup>©161</sup>, M. Ellert <sup>©161</sup>,
F. Ellinghaus 171, N. Ellis 36, J. Elmsheuser 29, M. Elsing 36, D. Emeliyanov 134, Y. Enari 153,
I. Ene ^{\odot 17a}, S. Epari ^{\odot 13}, P.A. Erland ^{\odot 87}, M. Errenst ^{\odot 171}, M. Escalier ^{\odot 66}, C. Escobar ^{\odot 163},
E. Etzion 151, G. Evans 130a, H. Evans 168, L.S. Evans 195, A. Ezhilov 1537, S. Ezzarqtouni 1535a,
F. Fabbri (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, L. Fabbri (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, G. Facini (D<sup>96</sup>, V. Fadeyev (D<sup>136</sup>, R.M. Fakhrutdinov (D<sup>37</sup>,
D. Fakoudis <sup>100</sup>, S. Falciano <sup>75a</sup>, L.F. Falda Ulhoa Coelho <sup>36</sup>, P.J. Falke <sup>24</sup>, J. Faltova <sup>133</sup>,
C. Fan 6162, Y. Fan 614a, Y. Fang 614a, 14e, M. Fanti 671a, 71b, M. Faraj 669a, 69b, Z. Farazpay 697,
```

```
A. Farbin 68, A. Farilla 677a, T. Farooque 6107, S.M. Farrington 652, F. Fassi 635e, D. Fassouliotis 69,
M. Faucci Giannelli ©<sup>76a,76b</sup>, W.J. Fawcett ©<sup>32</sup>, L. Fayard ©<sup>66</sup>, P. Federic ©<sup>133</sup>, P. Federicova ©<sup>131</sup>,
O.L. Fedin 637,a, M. Feickert 170, L. Feligioni 102, D.E. Fellers 123, C. Feng 162b, M. Feng 14b,
Z. Feng 114, M.J. Fenton 159, L. Ferencz 48, R.A.M. Ferguson 191, S.I. Fernandez Luengo 137f,
P. Fernandez Martinez 13, M.J.V. Fernoux 10, J. Ferrando 19, A. Ferrari 10, P. Ferrari 114,113,
R. Ferrari 673a, D. Ferrere 656, C. Ferretti 6106, F. Fiedler 6100, P. Fiedler 6132, A. Filipčič 693,
E.K. Filmer <sup>1</sup>, F. Filthaut <sup>113</sup>, M.C.N. Fiolhais <sup>130a,130c,c</sup>, L. Fiorini <sup>163</sup>, W.C. Fisher <sup>107</sup>,
T. Fitschen <sup>101</sup>, P.M. Fitzhugh <sup>135</sup>, I. Fleck <sup>141</sup>, P. Fleischmann <sup>106</sup>, T. Flick <sup>171</sup>, M. Flores <sup>33d,ad</sup>,
L.R. Flores Castillo 64a, L. Flores Sanz De Acedo 636, F.M. Follega 78a,78b, N. Fomin 616,
J.H. Foo 6155, A. Formica 6135, A.C. Forti 6101, E. Fortin 6136, A.W. Fortman 617a, M.G. Foti 617a,
L. Fountas (D<sup>9</sup>, D. Fournier (D<sup>66</sup>, H. Fox (D<sup>91</sup>, P. Francavilla (D<sup>74a,74b</sup>, S. Francescato (D<sup>61</sup>,
S. Franchellucci 656, M. Franchini 623b,23a, S. Franchino 63a, D. Francis 6, L. Franco 6113,
V. Franco Lima 636, L. Franconi 648, M. Franklin 661, G. Frattari 626, W.S. Freund 6836, Y.Y. Frid 6151,
J. Friend <sup>659</sup>, N. Fritzsche <sup>50</sup>, A. Froch <sup>54</sup>, D. Froidevaux <sup>636</sup>, J.A. Frost <sup>6126</sup>, Y. Fu <sup>62a</sup>,
S. Fuenzalida Garrido (D137f), M. Fujimoto (D102), K.Y. Fung (D64a), E. Furtado De Simas Filho (D83b),
M. Furukawa <sup>153</sup>, J. Fuster <sup>163</sup>, A. Gabrielli <sup>23b,23a</sup>, A. Gabrielli <sup>155</sup>, P. Gadow <sup>36</sup>,
G. Gagliardi ©57b,57a, L.G. Gagnon ©17a, S. Galantzan ©151, E.J. Gallas ©126, B.J. Gallop ©134,
K.K. Gan (1917), S. Ganguly (1918), Y. Gao (1952), F.M. Garay Walls (1918), B. Garcia (1918), C. García (1918),
A. Garcia Alonso 114, A.G. Garcia Caffaro 172, J.E. García Navarro 163, M. Garcia-Sciveres 17a,
G.L. Gardner (D128), R.W. Gardner (D39), N. Garelli (D158), D. Garg (D80), R.B. Garg (D143,n), J.M. Gargan (52),
C.A. Garner<sup>155</sup>, C.M. Garvey <sup>©33a</sup>, P. Gaspar <sup>©83b</sup>, V.K. Gassmann<sup>158</sup>, G. Gaudio <sup>©73a</sup>, V. Gautam<sup>13</sup>,
P. Gauzzi ©<sup>75a,75b</sup>, I.L. Gavrilenko ©<sup>37</sup>, A. Gavrilyuk ©<sup>37</sup>, C. Gay ©<sup>164</sup>, G. Gaycken ©<sup>48</sup>, E.N. Gazis ©<sup>10</sup>,
A.A. Geanta © 27b, C.M. Gee © 136, A. Gekow 119, C. Gemme © 57b, M.H. Genest © 60, A.D. Gentry © 112,
S. George <sup>195</sup>, W.F. George <sup>120</sup>, T. Geralis <sup>146</sup>, P. Gessinger-Befurt <sup>156</sup>, M.E. Geyik <sup>171</sup>,
M. Ghani <sup>167</sup>, M. Ghneimat <sup>141</sup>, K. Ghorbanian <sup>194</sup>, A. Ghosal <sup>141</sup>, A. Ghosh <sup>159</sup>, A. Ghosh <sup>159</sup>,
B. Giacobbe 623b, S. Giagu 675a,75b, T. Giani 6114, P. Giannetti 674a, A. Giannini 62a, S.M. Gibson 695,
M. Gignac (D136), D.T. Gil (D86b), A.K. Gilbert (D86a), B.J. Gilbert (D41), D. Gillberg (D34), G. Gilles (D114),
L. Ginabat 127, D.M. Gingrich 2, ag, M.P. Giordani 69a, 69c, P.F. Giraud 135, G. Giugliarelli 69a, 69c,
D. Giugni (10<sup>71a</sup>, F. Giuli (10<sup>36</sup>, I. Gkialas (10<sup>9</sup>), L.K. Gladilin (10<sup>37</sup>, C. Glasman (10<sup>99</sup>), G.R. Gledhill (10<sup>123</sup>),
G. Glemža 648, M. Glisic 123, I. Gnesi 643b, Y. Go 629, M. Goblirsch-Kolb 636, B. Gocke 649,
D. Godin<sup>108</sup>, B. Gokturk <sup>©21a</sup>, S. Goldfarb <sup>©105</sup>, T. Golling <sup>©56</sup>, M.G.D. Gololo<sup>33g</sup>, D. Golubkov <sup>©37</sup>,
J.P. Gombas ©107, A. Gomes ©130a,130b, G. Gomes Da Silva ©141, A.J. Gomez Delegido ©163,
R. Gonçalo (130a, 130c), L. Gonella (120c), A. Gongadze (149c), F. Gonnella (120c), J.L. Gonski (143c),
R.Y. González Andana <sup>652</sup>, S. González de la Hoz <sup>6163</sup>, R. Gonzalez Lopez <sup>692</sup>,
C. Gonzalez Renteria (1017a), M.V. Gonzalez Rodrigues (1048), R. Gonzalez Suarez (10161),
S. Gonzalez-Sevilla <sup>56</sup>, G.R. Gonzalvo Rodriguez <sup>163</sup>, L. Goossens <sup>36</sup>, B. Gorini <sup>36</sup>,
E. Gorini (10,70a,70b), A. Gorišek (10,93), T.C. Gosart (10,128), A.T. Goshaw (10,51), M.I. Gostkin (10,38),
S. Goswami <sup>121</sup>, C.A. Gottardo <sup>36</sup>, S.A. Gotz <sup>109</sup>, M. Gouighri <sup>35b</sup>, V. Goumarre <sup>48</sup>,
A.G. Goussiou 138, N. Govender 33c, I. Grabowska-Bold 86a, K. Graham 34, E. Gramstad 125,
S. Grancagnolo (10,70a,70b), C.M. Grant (1,135), P.M. Gravila (10,27f), F.G. Gravili (10,70a,70b), H.M. Gray (10,17a),
M. Greco (D<sup>70a,70b</sup>, C. Grefe (D<sup>24</sup>, I.M. Gregor (D<sup>48</sup>, P. Grenier (D<sup>143</sup>, S.G. Grewe<sup>110</sup>, A.A. Grillo (D<sup>136</sup>,
K. Grimm <sup>131</sup>, S. Grinstein <sup>13,t</sup>, J.-F. Grivaz <sup>66</sup>, E. Gross <sup>169</sup>, J. Grosse-Knetter <sup>55</sup>,
J.C. Grundy 126, L. Guan 1616, C. Gubbels 164, J.G.R. Guerrero Rojas 163, G. Guerrieri 169a,69c,
F. Guescini (10) 110, R. Gugel (10) 100, J.A.M. Guhit (10) 106, A. Guida (11) 18, E. Guilloton (11) 167, S. Guindon (10) 168,
F. Guo 14a,14e, J. Guo 62c, L. Guo 48, Y. Guo 106, R. Gupta 14a, R. Gupta 129, S. Gurbuz 124,
S.S. Gurdasani <sup>654</sup>, G. Gustavino <sup>636</sup>, M. Guth <sup>656</sup>, P. Gutierrez <sup>6120</sup>, L.F. Gutierrez Zagazeta <sup>6128</sup>,
M. Gutsche \bigcirc^{50}, C. Gutschow \bigcirc^{96}, C. Gwenlan \bigcirc^{126}, C.B. Gwilliam \bigcirc^{92}, E.S. Haaland \bigcirc^{125},
```

```
A. Haas 117, M. Habedank 48, C. Haber 17a, H.K. Hadavand 8, A. Hadef 50, S. Hadzic 110,
A.I. Hagan<sup>91</sup>, J.J. Hahn <sup>1</sup><sup>141</sup>, E.H. Haines <sup>1</sup><sup>96</sup>, M. Haleem <sup>1</sup><sup>166</sup>, J. Haley <sup>1</sup><sup>21</sup>, J.J. Hall <sup>1</sup><sup>39</sup>,
G.D. Hallewell (102), L. Halser (1019), K. Hamano (1016), M. Hamer (1024), G.N. Hamity (1052),
E.J. Hampshire <sup>195</sup>, J. Han <sup>1962b</sup>, K. Han <sup>1962a</sup>, L. Han <sup>1914c</sup>, L. Han <sup>1962a</sup>, S. Han <sup>1917a</sup>, Y.F. Han <sup>19155</sup>,
K. Hanagaki <sup>684</sup>, M. Hance <sup>6136</sup>, D.A. Hangal <sup>641</sup>, H. Hanif <sup>6142</sup>, M.D. Hank <sup>6128</sup>, J.B. Hansen <sup>642</sup>,
P.H. Hansen (10<sup>42</sup>, K. Hara (10<sup>157</sup>, D. Harada (10<sup>56</sup>, T. Harenberg (10<sup>171</sup>, S. Harkusha (10<sup>37</sup>, M.L. Harris (10<sup>103</sup>),
Y.T. Harris © 126, J. Harrison © 13, N.M. Harrison © 119, P.F. Harrison 167, N.M. Hartman © 110,
N.M. Hartmann (109), Y. Hasegawa (140), R. Hauser (107), C.M. Hawkes (120), R.J. Hawkings (136),
Y. Hayashi ^{153}, S. Hayashida ^{111}, D. Hayden ^{107}, C. Hayes ^{106}, R.L. Hayes ^{114}, C.P. Hays ^{126}, J.M. Hays ^{1094}, H.S. Hayward ^{1092}, F. He ^{1062a}, M. He ^{14a,14e}, Y. He ^{154}, Y. He ^{154}, Y. He ^{1696},
N.B. Heatley <sup>1094</sup>, V. Hedberg <sup>1098</sup>, A.L. Heggelund <sup>125</sup>, N.D. Hehir <sup>1094</sup>, C. Heidegger <sup>1054</sup>,
K.K. Heidegger <sup>654</sup>, W.D. Heidorn <sup>681</sup>, J. Heilman <sup>634</sup>, S. Heim <sup>648</sup>, T. Heim <sup>617a</sup>, J.G. Heinlein <sup>6128</sup>,
J.J. Heinrich (123), L. Heinrich (110,ae), J. Hejbal (131), A. Held (170), S. Hellesund (16), C.M. Helling (164),
S. Hellman <sup>©</sup><sup>47a,47b</sup>, R.C.W. Henderson<sup>91</sup>, L. Henkelmann <sup>©</sup><sup>32</sup>, A.M. Henriques Correia<sup>36</sup>, H. Herde <sup>©</sup><sup>98</sup>,
Y. Hernández Jiménez 6145, L.M. Herrmann 624, T. Herrmann 650, G. Herten 654, R. Hertenberger 6109,
L. Hervas 636, M.E. Hesping 100, N.P. Hessey 156a, E. Hill 155, S.J. Hillier 20, J.R. Hinds 107,
F. Hinterkeuser <sup>©24</sup>, M. Hirose <sup>©124</sup>, S. Hirose <sup>©157</sup>, D. Hirschbuehl <sup>©171</sup>, T.G. Hitchings <sup>©101</sup>,
B. Hiti 693, J. Hobbs 6145, R. Hobincu 627e, N. Hod 6169, M.C. Hodgkinson 6139,
B.H. Hodkinson (D126), A. Hoecker (D36), D.D. Hofer (D106), J. Hofer (D48), T. Holm (D24), M. Holzbock (D110),
L.B.A.H. Hommels (52, B.P. Honan (5101), J. Hong (52c, T.M. Hong (5129), B.H. Hooberman (5162),
W.H. Hopkins 6, Y. Horii 111, S. Hou 148, A.S. Howard 93, J. Howarth 5, J. Hoya 6,
M. Hrabovsky (122), A. Hrynevich (148), T. Hryn'ova (14), P.J. Hsu (165), S.-C. Hsu (138), Q. Hu (162a),
S. Huang 64b, X. Huang 14c, X. Huang 14a, 14e, Y. Huang 139, Y. Huang 14a, Z. Huang 101,
Z. Hubacek 132, M. Huebner 124, F. Huegging 124, T.B. Huffman 126, C.A. Hugli 148,
M. Huhtinen (^{10})<sup>36</sup>, S.K. Huiberts (^{10})<sup>6</sup>, R. Hulsken (^{104}), N. Huseynov (^{12}), J. Huston (^{107}), J. Huth (^{10})<sup>6</sup>,
R. Hyneman 10143, G. Iacobucci 1056, G. Iakovidis 1029, I. Ibragimov 10141, L. Iconomidou-Fayard 1066,
J.P. Iddon 636, P. Iengo 672a,72b, R. Iguchi 6153, T. Iizawa 6126, Y. Ikegami 684, N. Ilic 6155,
H. Imam (D<sup>35a</sup>, M. Ince Lezki (D<sup>56</sup>, T. Ingebretsen Carlson (D<sup>47a,47b</sup>, G. Introzzi (D<sup>73a,73b</sup>, M. Iodice (D<sup>77a</sup>,
V. Ippolito (575a,75b), R.K. Irwin (592), M. Ishino (5153), W. Islam (5170), C. Issever (518,48), S. Istin (521a,ak),
H. Ito 168, R. Iuppa 178a,78b, A. Ivina 169, J.M. Izen 1645, V. Izzo 172a, P. Jacka 131,132, P. Jackson 114,
B.P. Jaeger 6142, C.S. Jagfeld 6109, G. Jain 6156a, P. Jain 654, K. Jakobs 654, T. Jakoubek 6169,
J. Jamieson ^{\odot 59}, K.W. Janas ^{\odot 86a}, M. Javurkova ^{\odot 103}, L. Jeanty ^{\odot 123}, J. Jejelava ^{\odot 149a,aa}, P. Jenni ^{\odot 54,g},
C.E. Jessiman (D<sup>34</sup>, C. Jia<sup>62b</sup>, J. Jia (D<sup>145</sup>, X. Jia (D<sup>61</sup>, X. Jia (D<sup>14a,14e</sup>, Z. Jia (D<sup>14c</sup>, S. Jiggins (D<sup>48</sup>),
J. Jimenez Pena <sup>13</sup>, S. Jin <sup>14c</sup>, A. Jinaru <sup>27b</sup>, O. Jinnouchi <sup>154</sup>, P. Johansson <sup>139</sup>, K.A. Johns <sup>7</sup>,
J.W. Johnson 6136, D.M. Jones 632, E. Jones 648, P. Jones 32, R.W.L. Jones 691, T.J. Jones 692,
H.L. Joos 655,36, R. Joshi 6119, J. Jovicevic 615, X. Ju 617a, J.J. Junggeburth 6103, T. Junkermann 663a,
A. Juste Rozas <sup>13,t</sup>, M.K. Juzek <sup>87</sup>, S. Kabana <sup>137e</sup>, A. Kaczmarska <sup>87</sup>, M. Kado <sup>110</sup>,
H. Kagan <sup>119</sup>, M. Kagan <sup>143</sup>, A. Kahn <sup>1</sup>, A. Kahn <sup>128</sup>, C. Kahra <sup>100</sup>, T. Kaji <sup>153</sup>,
E. Kajomovitz 6150, N. Kakati 6169, I. Kalaitzidou 654, C.W. Kalderon 629, N.J. Kang 6136,
D. Kar (D<sup>33g</sup>, K. Karava (D<sup>126</sup>, M.J. Kareem (D<sup>156b</sup>, E. Karentzos (D<sup>54</sup>, I. Karkanias (D<sup>152</sup>, O. Karkout (D<sup>114</sup>,
S.N. Karpov (D<sup>38</sup>, Z.M. Karpova (D<sup>38</sup>, V. Kartvelishvili (D<sup>91</sup>, A.N. Karyukhin (D<sup>37</sup>, E. Kasimi (D<sup>152</sup>),
J. Katzy (548, S. Kaur (534, K. Kawade (5140, M.P. Kawale (5120, C. Kawamoto (588, T. Kawamoto (562a,
E.F. Kay 636, F.I. Kaya 6158, S. Kazakos 6107, V.F. Kazanin 637, Y. Ke 6145, J.M. Keaveney 633a,
R. Keeler <sup>165</sup>, G.V. Kehris <sup>61</sup>, J.S. Keller <sup>34</sup>, A.S. Kelly<sup>96</sup>, J.J. Kempster <sup>146</sup>, P.D. Kennedy <sup>100</sup>,
O. Kepka (131), B.P. Kerridge (134), S. Kersten (171), B.P. Kerševan (193), S. Keshri (166),
L. Keszeghova <sup>©28a</sup>, S. Ketabchi Haghighat <sup>©155</sup>, R.A. Khan<sup>129</sup>, A. Khanov <sup>©121</sup>, A.G. Kharlamov <sup>©37</sup>,
T. Kharlamova 637, E.E. Khoda 6138, M. Kholodenko 637, T.J. Khoo 618, G. Khoriauli 6166,
```

```
J. Khubua (149b), Y.A.R. Khwaira (166b), B. Kibirige (133g), A. Kilgallon (123), D.W. Kim (147a, 47b),
Y.K. Kim <sup>©39</sup>, N. Kimura <sup>©96</sup>, M.K. Kingston <sup>©55</sup>, A. Kirchhoff <sup>©55</sup>, C. Kirfel <sup>©24</sup>, F. Kirfel <sup>©24</sup>,
J. Kirk 134, A.E. Kiryunin 110, C. Kitsaki 1010, O. Kivernyk 154, M. Klassen 163a, C. Klein 154,
L. Klein 6166, M.H. Klein 644, S.B. Klein 656, U. Klein 692, P. Klimek 636, A. Klimentov 629,
T. Klioutchnikova 636, P. Kluit 6114, S. Kluth 6110, E. Kneringer 679, T.M. Knight 6155, A. Knue 649,
R. Kobayashi <sup>688</sup>, D. Kobylianskii <sup>6169</sup>, S.F. Koch <sup>6126</sup>, M. Kocian <sup>6143</sup>, P. Kodyš <sup>6133</sup>,
D.M. Koeck 6123, P.T. Koenig 624, T. Koffas 634, O. Kolay 650, I. Koletsou 64, T. Komarek 6122,
K. Köneke <sup>©54</sup>, A.X.Y. Kong <sup>©1</sup>, T. Kono <sup>©118</sup>, N. Konstantinidis <sup>©96</sup>, P. Kontaxakis <sup>©56</sup>, B. Konya <sup>©98</sup>,
R. Kopeliansky 68, S. Koperny 686a, K. Korcyl 87, K. Kordas 6152,e, A. Korn 96, S. Korn 55,
I. Korolkov 13, N. Korotkova 137, B. Kortman 114, O. Kortner 110, S. Kortner 110,
W.H. Kostecka 115, V.V. Kostyukhin 141, A. Kotsokechagia 135, A. Kotwal 51, A. Koulouris 36,
A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi <sup>1073a,73b</sup>, C. Kourkoumelis <sup>9</sup>, E. Kourlitis <sup>110,ae</sup>, O. Kovanda <sup>123</sup>,
R. Kowalewski 16165, W. Kozanecki 16135, A.S. Kozhin 1637, V.A. Kramarenko 1637, G. Kramberger 1693,
P. Kramer 100, M.W. Krasny 127, A. Krasznahorkay 136, J.W. Kraus 171, J.A. Kremer 148,
T. Kresse 650, J. Kretzschmar 692, K. Kreul 618, P. Krieger 6155, S. Krishnamurthy 6103,
M. Krivos (D<sup>133</sup>, K. Krizka (D<sup>20</sup>, K. Kroeninger (D<sup>49</sup>, H. Kroha (D<sup>110</sup>, J. Kroll (D<sup>131</sup>, J. Kroll (D<sup>128</sup>),
K.S. Krowpman \bigcirc^{107}, U. Kruchonak \bigcirc^{38}, H. Krüger \bigcirc^{24}, N. Krumnack M.C. Kruse \bigcirc^{51},
O. Kuchinskaia (D<sup>37</sup>, S. Kuday (D<sup>3a</sup>, S. Kuehn (D<sup>36</sup>, R. Kuesters (D<sup>54</sup>, T. Kuhl (D<sup>48</sup>, V. Kukhtin (D<sup>38</sup>,
Y. Kulchitsky <sup>©37,a</sup>, S. Kuleshov <sup>©137d,137b</sup>, M. Kumar <sup>©33g</sup>, N. Kumari <sup>©48</sup>, P. Kumari <sup>156b</sup>,
A. Kupco (D<sup>131</sup>, T. Kupfer<sup>49</sup>, A. Kupich (D<sup>37</sup>, O. Kuprash (D<sup>54</sup>, H. Kurashige (D<sup>85</sup>, L.L. Kurchaninov (D<sup>156a</sup>,
O. Kurdysh 66, Y.A. Kurochkin 37, A. Kurova 37, M. Kuze 154, A.K. Kvam 1013, J. Kvita 122,
T. Kwan 10<sup>104</sup>, N.G. Kyriacou 10<sup>106</sup>, L.A.O. Laatu 10<sup>102</sup>, C. Lacasta 10<sup>163</sup>, F. Lacava 10<sup>75a,75b</sup>,
H. Lacker 18, D. Lacour 127, N.N. Lad 196, E. Ladygin 18, B. Laforge 127, T. Lagouri 18, T. Lagouri 18, Lacker 1976, T. Lagouri 18, Lacker 1976, T. Lagouri 18, Lacker 1976, T. Lagouri 1976, E. Ladygin 1976, E. Ladygin 1976, T. Lagouri 1976, T. Lagouri 1976, T. Lagouri 1977, T. 
F.Z. Lahbabi (D35a), S. Lai (D55), I.K. Lakomiec (D86a), N. Lalloue (D60), J.E. Lambert (D165), S. Lammers (D68),
W. Lampl <sup>107</sup>, C. Lampoudis <sup>152</sup>, G. Lamprinoudis <sup>100</sup>, A.N. Lancaster <sup>115</sup>, E. Lançon <sup>129</sup>,
U. Landgraf <sup>654</sup>, M.P.J. Landon <sup>694</sup>, V.S. Lang <sup>654</sup>, O.K.B. Langrekken <sup>6125</sup>, A.J. Lankford <sup>6159</sup>,
F. Lanni 636, K. Lantzsch 624, A. Lanza 673a, A. Lapertosa 657b,57a, J.F. Laporte 6135, T. Lari 671a,
F. Lasagni Manghi (D<sup>23b</sup>, M. Lassnig (D<sup>36</sup>, V. Latonova (D<sup>131</sup>, A. Laudrain (D<sup>100</sup>, A. Laurier (D<sup>150</sup>),
S.D. Lawlor <sup>139</sup>, Z. Lawrence <sup>101</sup>, R. Lazaridou <sup>167</sup>, M. Lazzaroni <sup>071a,71b</sup>, B. Le<sup>101</sup>,
E.M. Le Boulicaut <sup>51</sup>, B. Leban <sup>93</sup>, A. Lebedev <sup>81</sup>, M. LeBlanc <sup>101</sup>, F. Ledroit-Guillon <sup>60</sup>,
A.C.A. Lee<sup>96</sup>, S.C. Lee 148, S. Lee 147a,47b, T.F. Lee 192, L.L. Leeuw 133c, H.P. Lefebvre 195,
M. Lefebvre <sup>165</sup>, C. Leggett <sup>17a</sup>, G. Lehmann Miotto <sup>36</sup>, M. Leigh <sup>56</sup>, W.A. Leight <sup>103</sup>,
W. Leinonen ©113, A. Leisos ©152,8, M.A.L. Leite ©83c, C.E. Leitgeb ©18, R. Leitner ©133,
K.J.C. Leney 644, T. Lenz 624, S. Leone 674a, C. Leonidopoulos 552, A. Leopold 6144, C. Leroy 6108,
R. Les 10<sup>107</sup>, C.G. Lester 10<sup>32</sup>, M. Levchenko 10<sup>37</sup>, J. Levêque 10<sup>4</sup>, L.J. Levinson 10<sup>169</sup>, G. Levrini 23b,23a,
M.P. Lewicki <sup>1087</sup>, D.J. Lewis <sup>104</sup>, A. Li <sup>105</sup>, B. Li <sup>1062b</sup>, C. Li <sup>162a</sup>, C-Q. Li <sup>10110</sup>, H. Li <sup>1062a</sup>, H. Li <sup>1062b</sup>,
H. Li <sup>14c</sup>, H. Li <sup>14b</sup>, H. Li <sup>62b</sup>, J. Li <sup>62c</sup>, K. Li <sup>138</sup>, L. Li <sup>62c</sup>, M. Li <sup>14a,14e</sup>, Q.Y. Li <sup>62a</sup>,
S. Li 614a,14e, S. Li 62d,62c,d, T. Li 55, X. Li 6104, Z. Li 6104,
Z. Liang 614a, M. Liberatore 6135, B. Liberti 676a, K. Lie 64c, J. Lieber Marin 683b, H. Lien 668,
K. Lin 10107, R.E. Lindley 107, J.H. Lindon 102, E. Lipeles 10128, A. Lipniacka 1016, A. Lister 10164,
J.D. Little <sup>6</sup>, B. Liu <sup>6</sup> <sup>14a</sup>, B.X. Liu <sup>6</sup> <sup>142</sup>, D. Liu <sup>6</sup> <sup>62d</sup>, J.B. Liu <sup>62a</sup>, J.K.K. Liu <sup>632</sup>, K. Liu <sup>62d</sup>, 62c,
M. Liu 62a, M.Y. Liu 62a, P. Liu 14a, Q. Liu 62d,138,62c, X. Liu 62a, X. Liu 66b, Y. Liu 614d,14e,
Y.L. Liu 62b, Y.W. Liu 62a, J. Llorente Merino 142, S.L. Lloyd 94, E.M. Lobodzinska 48,
P. Loch <sup>1</sup>0, T. Lohse <sup>18</sup>, K. Lohwasser <sup>139</sup>, E. Loiacono <sup>48</sup>, M. Lokajicek <sup>131,*</sup>, J.D. Lomas <sup>20</sup>,
J.D. Long (162), I. Longarini (159), L. Longo (170a,70b), R. Longo (162), I. Lopez Paz (167),
A. Lopez Solis <sup>648</sup>, N. Lorenzo Martinez <sup>64</sup>, A.M. Lory <sup>6109</sup>, G. Löschcke Centeno <sup>6146</sup>,
O. Loseva 637, X. Lou 647a,47b, X. Lou 614a,14e, A. Lounis 666, P.A. Love 691, G. Lu 614a,14e,
```

```
M. Lu (1080, S. Lu (10128, Y.J. Lu (1065, H.J. Lubatti (10138, C. Luci (1075a,75b), F.L. Lucio Alves (1014c),
F. Luehring 68, I. Luise 6145, O. Lukianchuk 666, O. Lundberg 6144, B. Lund-Jensen 6144,
N.A. Luongo 6, M.S. Lutz 6, A.B. Lux 625, D. Lynn 629, R. Lysak 6131, E. Lytken 698,
V. Lyubushkin <sup>1038</sup>, T. Lyubushkina <sup>1038</sup>, M.M. Lyukova <sup>10145</sup>, H. Ma <sup>1029</sup>, K. Ma<sup>62a</sup>, L.L. Ma <sup>1062b</sup>,
W. Ma 6<sup>62a</sup>, Y. Ma 6<sup>121</sup>, D.M. Mac Donell 6<sup>165</sup>, G. Maccarrone 6<sup>53</sup>, J.C. MacDonald 6<sup>100</sup>,
P.C. Machado De Abreu Farias <sup>©83b</sup>, R. Madar <sup>©40</sup>, W.F. Mader <sup>©50</sup>, T. Madula <sup>©96</sup>, J. Maeda <sup>©85</sup>,
T. Maeno (D<sup>29</sup>, H. Maguire (D<sup>139</sup>, V. Maiboroda (D<sup>135</sup>, A. Maio (D<sup>130a,130b,130d</sup>, K. Maj (D<sup>86a</sup>),
O. Majersky (D<sup>48</sup>, S. Majewski (D<sup>123</sup>, N. Makovec (D<sup>66</sup>, V. Maksimovic (D<sup>15</sup>, B. Malaescu (D<sup>127</sup>,
Pa. Malecki (10,87), V.P. Maleev (10,37), F. Malek (10,60,0), M. Mali (10,93), D. Malito (10,95), U. Mallik (10,80),
S. Maltezos<sup>10</sup>, S. Malyukov<sup>38</sup>, J. Mamuzic <sup>13</sup>, G. Mancini <sup>53</sup>, M.N. Mancini <sup>26</sup>, G. Manco <sup>73a,73b</sup>,
J.P. Mandalia 694, I. Mandić 693, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho 683a, I.M. Maniatis 6169,
J. Manjarres Ramos (D102,ab), D.C. Mankad (D169), A. Mann (D109), S. Manzoni (D36), L. Mao (D62c),
X. Mapekula (D<sup>33c</sup>, A. Marantis (D<sup>152,s</sup>, G. Marchiori (D<sup>5</sup>, M. Marcisovsky (D<sup>131</sup>, C. Marcon (D<sup>71a,71b</sup>,
M. Marinescu (D<sup>20</sup>, S. Marium (D<sup>48</sup>, M. Marjanovic (D<sup>120</sup>, E.J. Marshall (D<sup>91</sup>, Z. Marshall (D<sup>17a</sup>,
S. Marti-Garcia 6163, T.A. Martin 6167, V.J. Martin 652, B. Martin dit Latour 616, L. Martinelli 675a,75b,
M. Martinez (D13,t), P. Martinez Agullo (D163), V.I. Martinez Outschoorn (D103), P. Martinez Suarez (D13),
S. Martin-Haugh 134, V.S. Martoiu 27b, A.C. Martyniuk 96, A. Marzin 36, D. Mascione 78a,78b,
L. Masetti 100, T. Mashimo 153, J. Masik 101, A.L. Maslennikov 157, P. Massarotti 172a,72b,
P. Mastrandrea (D<sup>74a,74b</sup>), A. Mastroberardino (D<sup>43b,43a</sup>), T. Masubuchi (D<sup>153</sup>), T. Mathisen (D<sup>161</sup>),
J. Matousek 133, N. Matsuzawa 153, J. Maurer 27b, B. Maček 93, D.A. Maximov 37, R. Mazini 148,
I. Maznas (115), M. Mazza (1107), S.M. Mazza (1136), E. Mazzeo (1137), C. Mc Ginn (129),
J.P. Mc Gowan 10<sup>104</sup>, S.P. Mc Kee 10<sup>106</sup>, C.C. McCracken 10<sup>164</sup>, E.F. McDonald 10<sup>105</sup>,
A.E. McDougall (D114, J.A. Mcfayden (D146, R.P. McGovern (D128, G. Mchedlidze (D149b,
R.P. Mckenzie <sup>©33g</sup>, T.C. Mclachlan <sup>©48</sup>, D.J. Mclaughlin <sup>©96</sup>, S.J. McMahon <sup>©134</sup>,
C.M. Mcpartland (5)2, R.A. McPherson (5)165,x, S. Mehlhase (5)109, A. Mehta (5)2, D. Melini (5)163,
B.R. Mellado Garcia (1033g), A.H. Melo (1055), F. Meloni (1048), A.M. Mendes Jacques Da Costa (1011),
H.Y. Meng (155), L. Meng (191), S. Menke (110), M. Mentink (136), E. Meoni (143b,43a), G. Mercado (115),
C. Merlassino 69a,69c, L. Merola 72a,72b, C. Meroni 71a,71b, J. Metcalfe 6, A.S. Mete 6,
C. Meyer 68, J-P. Meyer 135, R.P. Middleton 134, L. Mijović 52, G. Mikenberg 169,
M. Mikestikova <sup>131</sup>, M. Mikuž <sup>93</sup>, H. Mildner <sup>100</sup>, A. Milic <sup>34</sup>, D.W. Miller <sup>39</sup>, L.S. Miller <sup>34</sup>,
A. Milov (169), D.A. Milstead (47a,47b), T. Min (14c), A.A. Minaenko (157), I.A. Minashvili (159), L. Mince (159),
A.I. Mincer (D117, B. Mindur (D86a, M. Mineev (D38, Y. Mino (D88, L.M. Mir (D13, M. Miralles Lopez (D59),
M. Mironova (17a), A. Mishima (153), M.C. Missio (113), A. Mitra (167), V.A. Mitsou (163), Y. Mitsumori (111), O. Miu (155), P.S. Miyagawa (1694), T. Mkrtchyan (163a), M. Mlinarevic (1696),
T. Mlinarevic <sup>696</sup>, M. Mlynarikova <sup>636</sup>, S. Mobius <sup>619</sup>, P. Mogg <sup>6109</sup>, M.H. Mohamed Farook <sup>6112</sup>,
A.F. Mohammed (14a,14e), S. Mohapatra (141), G. Mokgatitswane (1533g), L. Moleri (169), B. Mondal (141),
S. Mondal ©132, K. Mönig ©48, E. Monnier ©102, L. Monsonis Romero163, J. Montejo Berlingen ©13,
M. Montella 119, F. Montereali 77a,77b, F. Monticelli 99, S. Monzani 69a,69c, N. Morange 66,
A.L. Moreira De Carvalho (130a), M. Moreno Llácer (163), C. Moreno Martinez (156), P. Morettini (157b),
S. Morgenstern (b<sup>36</sup>, M. Morii (b<sup>61</sup>, M. Morinaga (b<sup>153</sup>, F. Morodei (b<sup>75a,75b</sup>, L. Morvaj (b<sup>36</sup>,
P. Moschovakos 636, B. Moser 636, M. Mosidze 149b, T. Moskalets 54, P. Moskvitina 6113, J. Moss 631,1,
A. Moussa 635d, E.J.W. Moyse 6103, O. Mtintsilana 33g, S. Muanza 6102, J. Mueller 6129,
D. Muenstermann (D91), R. Müller (D19), G.A. Mullier (D161), A.J. Mullin<sup>32</sup>, J.J. Mullin<sup>128</sup>, D.P. Mungo (D155),
D. Munoz Perez (163), F.J. Munoz Sanchez (101), M. Murin (101), W.J. Murray (167,134), M. Muškinja (1093),
C. Mwewa (D<sup>29</sup>, A.G. Myagkov (D<sup>37,a</sup>, A.J. Myers (D<sup>8</sup>, G. Myers (D<sup>106</sup>, M. Myska (D<sup>132</sup>,
B.P. Nachman \bigcirc^{17a}, O. Nackenhorst \bigcirc^{49}, K. Nagai \bigcirc^{126}, K. Nagano \bigcirc^{84}, J.L. Nagle \bigcirc^{29,ai}, E. Nagy \bigcirc^{102},
A.M. Nairz 636, Y. Nakahama 684, K. Nakamura 684, K. Nakkalil 5, H. Nanjo 6124, R. Narayan 644,
```

```
E.A. Narayanan 112, I. Naryshkin 37, M. Naseri 34, S. Nasri 1166, C. Nass 24, G. Navarro 22a,
J. Navarro-Gonzalez <sup>163</sup>, R. Nayak <sup>151</sup>, A. Nayaz <sup>18</sup>, P.Y. Nechaeva <sup>37</sup>, F. Nechansky <sup>48</sup>,
L. Nedic (126), T.J. Neep (120), A. Negri (173a,73b), M. Negrini (123b), C. Nellist (1114), C. Nelson (120),
K. Nelson (10)106, S. Nemecek (13)1, M. Nessi (13)6,h, M.S. Neubauer (10)162, F. Neubaus (10)100,
J. Neundorf (548, R. Newhouse (5164, P.R. Newman (520, C.W. Ng (5129, Y.W.Y. Ng (548, B. Ngair (5116a,
H.D.N. Nguyen <sup>108</sup>, R.B. Nickerson <sup>126</sup>, R. Nicolaidou <sup>135</sup>, J. Nielsen <sup>136</sup>, M. Niemeyer <sup>55</sup>,
J. Niermann <sup>655</sup>, N. Nikiforou <sup>636</sup>, V. Nikolaenko <sup>637,a</sup>, I. Nikolic-Audit <sup>6127</sup>, K. Nikolopoulos <sup>620</sup>,
P. Nilsson (D<sup>29</sup>, I. Ninca (D<sup>48</sup>, H.R. Nindhito (D<sup>56</sup>, G. Ninio (D<sup>151</sup>, A. Nisati (D<sup>75a</sup>, N. Nishu (D<sup>2</sup>),
R. Nisius (10, J-E. Nitschke (10, 50), E.K. Nkadimeng (10, 33), T. Nobe (10, 15), D.L. Noel (10, 32),
T. Nommensen 147, M.B. Norfolk 139, R.R.B. Norisam 696, B.J. Norman 34, M. Noury 135a,
J. Novak <sup>1093</sup>, T. Novak <sup>1048</sup>, L. Novotny <sup>132</sup>, R. Novotny <sup>112</sup>, L. Nozka <sup>1012</sup>, K. Ntekas <sup>159</sup>,
N.M.J. Nunes De Moura Junior (1083b), J. Ocariz (10127), A. Ochi (1085), I. Ochoa (10130a), S. Oerdek (1048),
J.T. Offermann (D<sup>39</sup>), A. Ogrodnik (D<sup>133</sup>), A. Oh (D<sup>101</sup>), C.C. Ohm (D<sup>144</sup>), H. Oide (D<sup>84</sup>), R. Oishi (D<sup>153</sup>),
M.L. Ojeda (D48, Y. Okumura (D153), L.F. Oleiro Seabra (D130a), S.A. Olivares Pino (D137d),
D. Oliveira Damazio <sup>©29</sup>, D. Oliveira Goncalves <sup>©83a</sup>, J.L. Oliver <sup>©159</sup>, Ö.O. Öncel <sup>©54</sup>,
A.P. O'Neill 19, A. Onofre 130a,130e, P.U.E. Onyisi 11, M.J. Oreglia 139, G.E. Orellana 190,
D. Orestano (10,77a,77b), N. Orlando (11, R.S. Orr (10,155), V. O'Shea (15,9), L.M. Osojnak (10,128),
R. Ospanov 662a, G. Otero y Garzon 630, H. Otono 689, P.S. Ott 663a, G.J. Ottino 617a, M. Ouchrif 635d,
F. Ould-Saada <sup>125</sup>, M. Owen <sup>59</sup>, R.E. Owen <sup>134</sup>, K.Y. Oyulmaz <sup>21a</sup>, V.E. Ozcan <sup>21a</sup>,
F. Ozturk <sup>©87</sup>, N. Ozturk <sup>©8</sup>, S. Ozturk <sup>©82</sup>, H.A. Pacey <sup>©126</sup>, A. Pacheco Pages <sup>©13</sup>,
C. Padilla Aranda 13, G. Padovano 75a,75b, S. Pagan Griso 17a, G. Palacino 68, A. Palazzo 70a,70b,
J. Pampel 624, J. Pan 6172, T. Pan 64a, D.K. Panchal 611, C.E. Pandini 6114, J.G. Panduro Vazquez 695,
H.D. Pandya 1, H. Pang 14b, P. Pani 48, G. Panizzo 69a,69c, L. Panwar 127, L. Paolozzi 56,
S. Parajuli 162, A. Paramonov 66, C. Paraskevopoulos 153, D. Paredes Hernandez 164b, K.R. Park 1541,
T.H. Park 155, M.A. Parker 152, F. Parodi 1576,57a, E.W. Parrish 1515, V.A. Parrish 152,
J.A. Parsons <sup>1041</sup>, U. Parzefall <sup>1054</sup>, B. Pascual Dias <sup>10108</sup>, L. Pascual Dominguez <sup>10151</sup>,
E. Pasqualucci 675a, S. Passaggio 57b, F. Pastore 695, P. Patel 87, U.M. Patel 51, J.R. Pater 6101,
T. Pauly (1036), C.I. Pazos (10158), J. Pearkes (10143), M. Pedersen (10125), R. Pedro (10130a), S.V. Peleganchuk (1037),
O. Penc (536), E.A. Pender (552), G.D. Penn (5172), K.E. Penski (5109), M. Penzin (537), B.S. Peralva (583d),
A.P. Pereira Peixoto 60, L. Pereira Sanchez 143, D.V. Perepelitsa 29,ai, E. Perez Codina 156a,
M. Perganti 1010, H. Pernegger 1036, O. Perrin 1040, K. Peters 1048, R.F.Y. Peters 10101, B.A. Petersen 1036,
T.C. Petersen <sup>642</sup>, E. Petit <sup>6102</sup>, V. Petousis <sup>6132</sup>, C. Petridou <sup>6152</sup>, T. Petru<sup>133</sup>, A. Petrukhin <sup>6141</sup>,
M. Pettee 17a, N.E. Pettersson 36, A. Petukhov 37, K. Petukhova 133, R. Pezoa 137f,
L. Pezzotti 636, G. Pezzullo 6172, T.M. Pham 6170, T. Pham 6105, P.W. Phillips 6134, G. Piacquadio 6145,
E. Pianori 17a, F. Piazza 123, R. Piegaia 130, D. Pietreanu 127b, A.D. Pilkington 1011,
M. Pinamonti 669a,69c, J.L. Pinfold 62, B.C. Pinheiro Pereira 6130a, A.E. Pinto Pinoargote 6100,135,
L. Pintucci (1069a,69c), K.M. Piper (10146), A. Pirttikoski (1056), D.A. Pizzi (1034), L. Pizzimento (1064b),
A. Pizzini 114, M.-A. Pleier 29, V. Plesanovs 4, V. Pleskot 133, E. Plotnikova 8, G. Poddar 4,
R. Poettgen (1988), L. Poggioli (19127), I. Pokharel (1955), S. Polacek (19133), G. Polesello (1973a), A. Poley (19142,156a),
A. Polini ©23b, C.S. Pollard ©167, Z.B. Pollock ©119, E. Pompa Pacchi ©75a,75b, D. Ponomarenko ©113,
L. Pontecorvo 636, S. Popa 627a, G.A. Popeneciu 627d, A. Poreba 636, D.M. Portillo Quintero 6156a,
S. Pospisil 132, M.A. Postill 139, P. Postolache 127c, K. Potamianos 167, P.A. Potepa 186a,
I.N. Potrap 638, C.J. Potter 632, H. Potti 61, T. Poulsen 648, J. Poveda 6163, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga 636,
A. Prades Ibanez 6163, J. Pretel 54, D. Price 6101, M. Primavera 770a, M.A. Principe Martin 999,
R. Privara 6122, T. Procter 59, M.L. Proffitt 138, N. Proklova 128, K. Prokofiev 64c, G. Proto 110,
J. Proudfoot 6, M. Przybycien 86a, W.W. Przygoda 86b, A. Psallidas 64, J.E. Puddefoot 139,
D. Pudzha 637, D. Pyatiizbyantseva 637, J. Qian 6106, D. Qichen 6101, Y. Qin 6101, T. Qiu 652,
```

```
A. Quadt <sup>655</sup>, M. Queitsch-Maitland <sup>6101</sup>, G. Quetant <sup>656</sup>, R.P. Quinn <sup>6164</sup>, G. Rabanal Bolanos <sup>661</sup>,
D. Rafanoharana <sup>654</sup>, F. Ragusa <sup>671a,71b</sup>, J.L. Rainbolt <sup>639</sup>, J.A. Raine <sup>656</sup>, S. Rajagopalan <sup>629</sup>,
E. Ramakoti 1037, I.A. Ramirez-Berend 1034, K. Ran 1048,14e, N.P. Rapheeha 1033g, H. Rasheed 1027b,
V. Raskina 127, D.F. Rassloff 63a, A. Rastogi 17a, S. Rave 1010, B. Ravina 55, I. Ravinovich 169,
M. Raymond 636, A.L. Read 6125, N.P. Readioff 6139, D.M. Rebuzzi 673a,73b, G. Redlinger 629,
A.S. Reed ©<sup>110</sup>, K. Reeves ©<sup>26</sup>, J.A. Reidelsturz ©<sup>171</sup>, D. Reikher ©<sup>151</sup>, A. Rej ©<sup>49</sup>, C. Rembser ©<sup>36</sup>,
M. Renda © 27b, M.B. Rendel 110, F. Renner © 48, A.G. Rennie © 159, A.L. Rescia © 48, S. Resconi © 71a,
M. Ressegotti 657b,57a, S. Rettie 636, J.G. Reyes Rivera 6107, E. Reynolds 617a, O.L. Rezanova 637,
P. Reznicek • 133, H. Riani • 35d, N. Ribaric • 1 E. Ricci • 78a,78b, R. Richter • 110, S. Richter • 47a,47b, E. Richter • 80, M. Ridel • 127, S. Ridouani • 15d, P. Rieck • 117, P. Riedler • 36, E.M. Riefel • 47a,47b,
J.O. Rieger 114, M. Rijssenbeek 145, M. Rimoldi 36, L. Rinaldi 23b,23a, T.T. Rinn 29,
M.P. Rinnagel <sup>109</sup>, G. Ripellino <sup>161</sup>, I. Riu <sup>13</sup>, J.C. Rivera Vergara <sup>165</sup>, F. Rizatdinova <sup>121</sup>,
E. Rizvi 1994, B.R. Roberts 1017a, S.H. Robertson 10104,x, D. Robinson 1032, C.M. Robles Gajardo 137f,
M. Robles Manzano (D100), A. Robson (D59), A. Rocchi (D76a,76b), C. Roda (D74a,74b), S. Rodriguez Bosca (D36),
Y. Rodriguez Garcia 622a, A. Rodriguez Rodriguez 654, A.M. Rodríguez Vera 6156b, S. Roe<sup>36</sup>,
J.T. Roemer (159), A.R. Roepe-Gier (136), J. Roggel (171), O. Røhne (125), R.A. Rojas (101),
C.P.A. Roland <sup>127</sup>, J. Roloff <sup>29</sup>, A. Romaniouk <sup>37</sup>, E. Romano <sup>73a,73b</sup>, M. Romano <sup>23b</sup>,
A.C. Romero Hernandez (10162), N. Rompotis (1092), L. Roos (1017), S. Rosati (1075a), B.J. Rosser (1039),
E. Rossi (126), E. Rossi (172a,72b), L.P. Rossi (161), L. Rossini (154), R. Rosten (119), M. Rotaru (127b),
B. Rottler <sup>654</sup>, C. Rougier <sup>6102</sup>, D. Rousseau <sup>666</sup>, D. Rousso <sup>632</sup>, A. Roy <sup>6162</sup>, S. Roy-Garand <sup>6155</sup>,
A. Rozanov 1010, Z.M.A. Rozario 1059, Y. Rozen 10150, A. Rubio Jimenez 10163, A.J. Ruby 1092,
V.H. Ruelas Rivera <sup>18</sup>, T.A. Ruggeri <sup>1</sup>, A. Ruggiero <sup>126</sup>, A. Ruiz-Martinez <sup>163</sup>, A. Rummler <sup>36</sup>,
Z. Rurikova 654, N.A. Rusakovich 638, H.L. Russell 6165, G. Russo 675a,75b, J.P. Rutherfoord 67,
S. Rutherford Colmenares <sup>©32</sup>, K. Rybacki<sup>91</sup>, M. Rybar <sup>©133</sup>, E.B. Rye <sup>©125</sup>, A. Ryzhov <sup>©44</sup>,
J.A. Sabater Iglesias <sup>656</sup>, P. Sabatini <sup>6163</sup>, H.F-W. Sadrozinski <sup>6136</sup>, F. Safai Tehrani <sup>675a</sup>,
B. Safarzadeh Samani (D<sup>134</sup>, M. Safdari (D<sup>143</sup>, S. Saha (D<sup>165</sup>, M. Sahinsoy (D<sup>110</sup>, A. Saibel (D<sup>163</sup>,
M. Saimpert (135), M. Saito (153), T. Saito (153), D. Salamani (136), A. Salnikov (1543), J. Salt (1543),
A. Salvador Salas <sup>151</sup>, D. Salvatore <sup>43b,43a</sup>, F. Salvatore <sup>146</sup>, A. Salzburger <sup>36</sup>, D. Sammel <sup>54</sup>,
D. Sampsonidis <sup>152,e</sup>, D. Sampsonidou <sup>123</sup>, J. Sánchez <sup>163</sup>, V. Sanchez Sebastian <sup>163</sup>,
H. Sandaker <sup>125</sup>, C.O. Sander <sup>48</sup>, J.A. Sandesara <sup>103</sup>, M. Sandhoff <sup>171</sup>, C. Sandoval <sup>22b</sup>,
D.P.C. Sankey 134, T. Sano 88, A. Sansoni 53, L. Santi 75a,75b, C. Santoni 40, H. Santos 130a,130b,
A. Santra (169, K.A. Saoucha (160, J.G. Saraiva (130a, 130d), J. Sardain (170, O. Sasaki (184, K. Sato (157),
C. Sauer<sup>63b</sup>, F. Sauerburger <sup>54</sup>, E. Sauvan <sup>4</sup>, P. Savard <sup>155,ag</sup>, R. Sawada <sup>153</sup>, C. Sawyer <sup>134</sup>,
L. Sawyer 1997, I. Sayago Galvan 163, C. Sbarra 1923b, A. Sbrizzi 1923b, 23a, T. Scanlon 1996,
J. Schaarschmidt <sup>138</sup>, U. Schäfer <sup>100</sup>, A.C. Schaffer <sup>66,44</sup>, D. Schaile <sup>109</sup>, R.D. Schamberger <sup>145</sup>,
C. Scharf <sup>18</sup>, M.M. Schefer <sup>19</sup>, V.A. Schegelsky <sup>37</sup>, D. Scheirich <sup>133</sup>, F. Schenck <sup>18</sup>,
M. Schernau (D159), C. Scheulen (D55), C. Schiavi (D57b,57a), M. Schioppa (D43b,43a), B. Schlag (D143,n),
K.E. Schleicher <sup>654</sup>, S. Schlenker <sup>636</sup>, J. Schmeing <sup>6171</sup>, M.A. Schmidt <sup>6171</sup>, K. Schmieden <sup>6100</sup>,
C. Schmitt 100, N. Schmitt 100, S. Schmitt 1048, L. Schoeffel 10135, A. Schoening 1063b,
P.G. Scholer <sup>134</sup>, E. Schopf <sup>126</sup>, M. Schott <sup>100</sup>, J. Schovancova <sup>136</sup>, S. Schramm <sup>156</sup>, T. Schroer <sup>156</sup>,
H-C. Schultz-Coulon 63a, M. Schumacher 54, B.A. Schumm 136, Ph. Schune 135, A.J. Schuy 138,
H.R. Schwartz <sup>136</sup>, A. Schwartzman <sup>143</sup>, T.A. Schwarz <sup>106</sup>, Ph. Schwemling <sup>135</sup>,
R. Schwienhorst 10107, A. Sciandra 10136, G. Sciolla 1026, F. Scuri 1074a, C.D. Sebastiani 1092,
K. Sedlaczek 115, P. Seema 18, S.C. Seidel 112, A. Seiden 136, B.D. Seidlitz 141, C. Seitz 148,
J.M. Seixas <sup>683b</sup>, G. Sekhniaidze <sup>72a</sup>, L. Selem <sup>60</sup>, N. Semprini-Cesari <sup>23b,23a</sup>, D. Sengupta <sup>56</sup>,
V. Senthilkumar (D<sup>163</sup>, L. Serin (D<sup>66</sup>, L. Serkin (D<sup>69</sup>a,69b), M. Sessa (D<sup>76a,76b</sup>, H. Severini (D<sup>120</sup>),
F. Sforza (D<sup>57</sup>b,57a), A. Sfyrla (D<sup>56</sup>, Q. Sha (D<sup>14a</sup>, E. Shabalina (D<sup>55</sup>), R. Shaheen (D<sup>144</sup>, J.D. Shahinian (D<sup>128</sup>),
```

```
D. Shaked Renous <sup>169</sup>, L.Y. Shan <sup>14a</sup>, M. Shapiro <sup>17a</sup>, A. Sharma <sup>36</sup>, A.S. Sharma <sup>164</sup>,
P. Sharma <sup>680</sup>, P.B. Shatalov <sup>37</sup>, K. Shaw <sup>146</sup>, S.M. Shaw <sup>101</sup>, A. Shcherbakova <sup>37</sup>, Q. Shen <sup>62c,5</sup>,
D.J. Sheppard<sup>142</sup>, P. Sherwood <sup>696</sup>, L. Shi <sup>696</sup>, X. Shi <sup>614a</sup>, C.O. Shimmin <sup>6172</sup>, J.D. Shinner <sup>695</sup>,
I.P.J. Shipsey <sup>126</sup>, S. Shirabe <sup>89</sup>, M. Shiyakova <sup>38,v</sup>, J. Shlomi <sup>169</sup>, M.J. Shochet <sup>39</sup>,
P. Sicho 131, A.M. Sickles 162, E. Sideras Haddad 33g, A. Sidoti 23b, F. Siegert 50, Dj. Sijacki 15,
F. Sili 690, J.M. Silva 652, M.V. Silva Oliveira 629, S.B. Silverstein 647a, S. Simion 66, R. Simoniello 636,
E.L. Simpson <sup>659</sup>, H. Simpson <sup>6146</sup>, L.R. Simpson <sup>6106</sup>, N.D. Simpson <sup>98</sup>, S. Simsek <sup>682</sup>, S. Sindhu <sup>655</sup>,
P. Sinervo 155, S. Singh 155, S. Sinha 1648, S. Sinha 16101, M. Sioli 1623b,23a, I. Siral 1636,
E. Sitnikova <sup>648</sup>, J. Sjölin <sup>647a,47b</sup>, A. Skaf <sup>655</sup>, E. Skorda <sup>620</sup>, P. Skubic <sup>6120</sup>, M. Slawinska <sup>687</sup>,
V. Smakhtin<sup>169</sup>, B.H. Smart <sup>134</sup>, S.Yu. Smirnov <sup>37</sup>, Y. Smirnov <sup>37</sup>, L.N. Smirnova <sup>37</sup>, a,
O. Smirnova (1988), A.C. Smith (1941), E.A. Smith (1939), H.A. Smith (19126), J.L. Smith (1992), R. Smith (1943),
M. Smizanska <sup>19</sup> , K. Smolek <sup>132</sup>, A.A. Snesarev <sup>37</sup>, S.R. Snider <sup>155</sup>, H.L. Snoek <sup>114</sup>,
S. Snyder <sup>©29</sup>, R. Sobie <sup>©165,x</sup>, A. Soffer <sup>©151</sup>, C.A. Solans Sanchez <sup>©36</sup>, E.Yu. Soldatov <sup>©37</sup>,
U. Soldevila 6163, A.A. Solodkov 637, S. Solomon 626, A. Soloshenko 638, K. Solovieva 654,
O.V. Solovyanov <sup>640</sup>, V. Solovyev <sup>637</sup>, P. Sommer <sup>636</sup>, A. Sonay <sup>613</sup>, W.Y. Song <sup>6156b</sup>,
A. Sopczak 132, A.L. Sopio 96, F. Sopkova 28b, J.D. Sorenson 112, I.R. Sotarriva Alvarez 154,
V. Sothilingam<sup>63a</sup>, O.J. Soto Sandoval (D<sup>137c,137b</sup>, S. Sottocornola (D<sup>68</sup>, R. Soualah (D<sup>160</sup>, Z. Soumaimi (D<sup>35e</sup>,
D. South <sup>1048</sup>, N. Soybelman <sup>10169</sup>, S. Spagnolo <sup>1070a,70b</sup>, M. Spalla <sup>10110</sup>, D. Sperlich <sup>1054</sup>, G. Spigo <sup>1036</sup>,
S. Spinali <sup>109</sup>, D.P. Spiteri <sup>105</sup>, M. Spousta <sup>133</sup>, E.J. Staats <sup>134</sup>, R. Stamen <sup>1063</sup>, A. Stampekis <sup>1020</sup>,
M. Standke 624, E. Stanecka 87, M.V. Stange 650, B. Stanislaus 617a, M.M. Stanitzki 648, B. Stapf 648,
E.A. Starchenko 637, G.H. Stark 6136, J. Stark 6102,ab, P. Staroba 6131, P. Starovoitov 63a, S. Stärz 6104,
R. Staszewski 687, G. Stavropoulos 646, J. Steentoft 6161, P. Steinberg 629, B. Stelzer 6142,156a,
H.J. Stelzer (D<sup>129</sup>, O. Stelzer-Chilton (D<sup>156a</sup>, H. Stenzel (D<sup>58</sup>, T.J. Stevenson (D<sup>146</sup>, G.A. Stewart (D<sup>36</sup>),
J.R. Stewart <sup>121</sup>, M.C. Stockton <sup>36</sup>, G. Stoicea <sup>27b</sup>, M. Stolarski <sup>130a</sup>, S. Stonjek <sup>110</sup>,
A. Straessner <sup>650</sup>, J. Strandberg <sup>6144</sup>, S. Strandberg <sup>647a,47b</sup>, M. Stratmann <sup>6171</sup>, M. Strauss <sup>6120</sup>,
T. Strebler 102, P. Strizenec 28b, R. Ströhmer 166, D.M. Strom 123, R. Stroynowski 44,
A. Strubig (047a,47b), S.A. Stucci (029), B. Stugu (016), J. Stupak (0120), N.A. Styles (048), D. Su (0143),
S. Su (62a), W. Su (62d), X. Su (62a), D. Suchy (8a), K. Sugizaki (615a), V.V. Sulin (637), M.J. Sullivan (692),
D.M.S. Sultan © 126, L. Sultanaliyeva © 37, S. Sultansoy © 3b, T. Sumida © 88, S. Sun © 106, S. Sun © 170,
O. Sunneborn Gudnadottir (D<sup>161</sup>, N. Sur (D<sup>102</sup>, M.R. Sutton (D<sup>146</sup>, H. Suzuki (D<sup>157</sup>, M. Svatos (D<sup>131</sup>),
M. Swiatlowski (156a, T. Swirski (166, I. Sykora (128a, M. Sykora (133), T. Sykora (133), D. Ta (140),
K. Tackmann <sup>648,u</sup>, A. Taffard <sup>6159</sup>, R. Tafirout <sup>6156a</sup>, J.S. Tafoya Vargas <sup>666</sup>, Y. Takubo <sup>684</sup>,
M. Talby (102), A.A. Talyshev (1037), K.C. Tam (1064b), N.M. Tamir (151), A. Tanaka (10153), J. Tanaka (10153),
R. Tanaka 666, M. Tanasini 657b,57a, Z. Tao 6164, S. Tapia Araya 6137f, S. Tapprogge 6100,
A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed © 107, S. Tarem © 150, K. Tariq © 14a, G. Tarna © 102,27b, G.F. Tartarelli © 71a,
P. Tas (D133), M. Tasevsky (D131), E. Tassi (D43b,43a), A.C. Tate (D162), G. Tateno (D153), Y. Tayalati (D35e,w),
G.N. Taylor 105, W. Taylor 156b, A.S. Tee 170, R. Teixeira De Lima 143, P. Teixeira-Dias 195,
J.J. Teoh (D155), K. Terashi (D153), J. Terron (D99), S. Terzo (D13), M. Testa (D53), R.J. Teuscher (D155,x),
A. Thaler ^{179}, O. Theiner ^{156}, N. Themistokleous ^{171}, Theveneaux-Pelzer ^{102}, O. Thielmann ^{171},
D.W. Thomas <sup>95</sup>, J.P. Thomas <sup>620</sup>, E.A. Thompson <sup>617a</sup>, P.D. Thompson <sup>620</sup>, E. Thomson <sup>6128</sup>,
Y. Tian <sup>1055</sup>, V. Tikhomirov <sup>1037</sup>, Yu.A. Tikhonov <sup>1037</sup>, S. Timoshenko<sup>37</sup>, D. Timoshyn <sup>10133</sup>,
E.X.L. Ting 1, P. Tipton 172, S.H. Tlou 33g, A. Tnourji 40, K. Todome 154, S. Todorova-Nova 133,
S. Todt<sup>50</sup>, M. Togawa <sup>®84</sup>, J. Tojo <sup>®89</sup>, S. Tokár <sup>®28a</sup>, K. Tokushuku <sup>®84</sup>, O. Toldaiev <sup>®68</sup>, R. Tombs <sup>®32</sup>,
M. Tomoto (1084,111), L. Tompkins (10143,n), K.W. Topolnicki (1086b), E. Torrence (10123), H. Torres (10102,ab),
E. Torró Pastor 163, M. Toscani 130, C. Tosciri 139, M. Tost 111, D.R. Tovey 139, A. Traeet 16,
I.S. Trandafir 627b, T. Trefzger 6166, A. Tricoli 629, I.M. Trigger 6156a, S. Trincaz-Duvoid 6127,
```

```
D.A. Trischuk 626, B. Trocmé 660, L. Truong 633c, M. Trzebinski 687, A. Trzupek 687, F. Tsai 6145,
M. Tsai © 106, A. Tsiamis © 152,e, P.V. Tsiareshka 37, S. Tsigaridas © 156a, A. Tsirigotis © 152,s,
V. Tsiskaridze <sup>155</sup>, E.G. Tskhadadze <sup>149a</sup>, M. Tsopoulou <sup>152</sup>, Y. Tsujikawa <sup>88</sup>, I.I. Tsukerman <sup>37</sup>,
V. Tsulaia ^{\odot 17a}, S. Tsuno ^{\odot 84}, K. Tsuri ^{\odot 118}, D. Tsybychev ^{\odot 145}, Y. Tu ^{\odot 64b}, A. Tudorache ^{\odot 27b},
V. Tudorache 627b, A.N. Tuna 661, S. Turchikhin 657b,57a, I. Turk Cakir 63a, R. Turra 671a,
T. Turtuvshin \bigcirc^{38,y}, P.M. Tuts \bigcirc^{41}, S. Tzamarias \bigcirc^{152,e}, P. Tzanis \bigcirc^{10}, E. Tzovara \bigcirc^{100}, F. Ukegawa \bigcirc^{157},
P.A. Ulloa Poblete (137c, 137b), E.N. Umaka (29, G. Unal (36, M. Unal (31), A. Undrus (32), G. Unel (315),
J. Urban <sup>©28b</sup>, P. Urquijo <sup>©105</sup>, P. Urrejola <sup>©137a</sup>, G. Usai <sup>©8</sup>, R. Ushioda <sup>©154</sup>, M. Usman <sup>©108</sup>,
Z. Uysal <sup>682</sup>, V. Vacek <sup>6132</sup>, B. Vachon <sup>6104</sup>, K.O.H. Vadla <sup>6125</sup>, T. Vafeiadis <sup>636</sup>, A. Vaitkus <sup>696</sup>,
C. Valderanis (D<sup>109</sup>, E. Valdes Santurio (D<sup>47a,47b</sup>, M. Valente (D<sup>156a</sup>, S. Valentinetti (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, A. Valero (D<sup>163</sup>,
E. Valiente Moreno (163), A. Vallier (102,ab), J.A. Valls Ferrer (163), D.R. Van Arneman (114),
T.R. Van Daalen 138, A. Van Der Graaf 49, P. Van Gemmeren 6, M. Van Rijnbach 125,
S. Van Stroud 696, I. Van Vulpen 614, M. Vanadia 76a,76b, W. Vandelli 636, E.R. Vandewall 6121,
D. Vannicola 6151, L. Vannoli 57b,57a, R. Vari 675a, E.W. Varnes 7, C. Varni 617b, T. Varol 6148,
D. Varouchas 666, L. Varriale 6163, K.E. Varvell 6147, M.E. Vasile 627b, L. Vaslin<sup>84</sup>, G.A. Vasquez 6165,
A. Vasyukov \bigcirc^{38}, R. Vavricka<sup>100</sup>, F. Vazeille \bigcirc^{40}, T. Vazquez Schroeder \bigcirc^{36}, J. Veatch \bigcirc^{31},
V. Vecchio 1010, M.J. Veen 10103, I. Veliscek 10126, L.M. Veloce 10155, F. Veloso 10130a,130c,
S. Veneziano (D<sup>75a</sup>, A. Ventura (D<sup>70a,70b</sup>, S. Ventura Gonzalez (D<sup>135</sup>, A. Verbytskyi (D<sup>110</sup>),
M. Verducci (D<sup>74a,74b</sup>, C. Vergis (D<sup>24</sup>, M. Verissimo De Araujo (D<sup>83b</sup>, W. Verkerke (D<sup>114</sup>,
J.C. Vermeulen <sup>114</sup>, C. Vernieri <sup>143</sup>, M. Vessella <sup>103</sup>, M.C. Vetterli <sup>142</sup>, ag, A. Vgenopoulos <sup>152</sup>, e,
N. Viaux Maira 137f, T. Vickey 139, O.E. Vickey Boeriu 139, G.H.A. Viehhauser 126, L. Vigani 163b,
M. Villa (D<sup>23b,23a</sup>, M. Villaplana Perez (D<sup>163</sup>, E.M. Villhauer<sup>52</sup>, E. Vilucchi (D<sup>53</sup>, M.G. Vincter (D<sup>34</sup>,
G.S. Virdee ©20, A. Vishwakarma ©52, A. Visibile<sup>114</sup>, C. Vittori ©36, I. Vivarelli ©23b,23a,
E. Voevodina <sup>110</sup>, F. Vogel <sup>109</sup>, J.C. Voigt <sup>50</sup>, P. Vokac <sup>132</sup>, Yu. Volkotrub <sup>86a</sup>, J. Von Ahnen <sup>48</sup>,
E. Von Toerne (D<sup>24</sup>, B. Vormwald (D<sup>36</sup>, V. Vorobel (D<sup>133</sup>, K. Vorobev (D<sup>37</sup>, M. Vos (D<sup>163</sup>, K. Voss (D<sup>141</sup>,
M. Vozak <sup>114</sup>, L. Vozdecky <sup>120</sup>, N. Vranjes <sup>15</sup>, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic <sup>15</sup>, M. Vreeswijk <sup>114</sup>,
N.K. Vu 6062d,62c, R. Vuillermet 6036, O. Vujinovic 60100, I. Vukotic 6039, S. Wada 60157, C. Wagner 103,
J.M. Wagner 171, W. Wagner 171, S. Wahdan 171, H. Wahlberg 190, M. Wakida 111, J. Walder 1134,
R. Walker 10109, W. Walkowiak 10141, A. Wall 10128, E.J. Wallin 1098, T. Wamorkar 106, A.Z. Wang 10136,
C. Wang 6100, C. Wang 611, H. Wang 617a, J. Wang 64c, R.-J. Wang 6100, R. Wang 661, R. Wang 66,
S.M. Wang 6148, S. Wang 662b, T. Wang 662a, W.T. Wang 680, W. Wang 614a, X. Wang 614c,
X. Wang 6162, X. Wang 662c, Y. Wang 662d, Y. Wang 614c, Z. Wang 6106, Z. Wang 662d,51,62c,
Z. Wang 6106, A. Warburton 6104, R.J. Ward 620, N. Warrack 659, S. Waterhouse 695, A.T. Watson 620,
H. Watson 659, M.F. Watson 620, E. Watton 559,134, G. Watts 6138, B.M. Waugh 696, C. Weber 629,
H.A. Weber 18, M.S. Weber 19, S.M. Weber 63a, C. Wei 62a, Y. Wei 126, A.R. Weidberg 126,
E.J. Weik 117, J. Weingarten 49, M. Weirich 100, C. Weiser 54, C.J. Wells 54, T. Wenaus 29,
B. Wendland <sup>649</sup>, T. Wengler <sup>636</sup>, N.S. Wenke <sup>110</sup>, N. Wermes <sup>624</sup>, M. Wessels <sup>63a</sup>, A.M. Wharton <sup>691</sup>,
A.S. White <sup>61</sup>, A. White <sup>8</sup>, M.J. White <sup>1</sup>, D. Whiteson <sup>159</sup>, L. Wickremasinghe <sup>124</sup>,
W. Wiedenmann <sup>170</sup>, M. Wielers <sup>134</sup>, C. Wiglesworth <sup>42</sup>, D.J. Wilbern H.G. Wilkens <sup>36</sup>,
D.M. Williams <sup>128</sup>, H.H. Williams <sup>128</sup>, S. Williams <sup>132</sup>, S. Willocq <sup>103</sup>, B.J. Wilson <sup>101</sup>,
P.J. Windischhofer <sup>©39</sup>, F.I. Winkel <sup>©30</sup>, F. Winklmeier <sup>©123</sup>, B.T. Winter <sup>©54</sup>, J.K. Winter <sup>©101</sup>,
M. Wittgen<sup>143</sup>, M. Wobisch <sup>1097</sup>, Z. Wolffs <sup>114</sup>, J. Wollrath <sup>159</sup>, M.W. Wolter <sup>187</sup>, H. Wolters <sup>130a,130c</sup>,
E.L. Woodward <sup>1041</sup>, S.D. Worm <sup>1048</sup>, B.K. Wosiek <sup>1087</sup>, K.W. Woźniak <sup>1087</sup>, S. Wozniewski <sup>1055</sup>,
K. Wraight 659, C. Wu 620, M. Wu 614d, M. Wu 6113, S.L. Wu 6170, X. Wu 656, Y. Wu 62a,
Z. Wu 6135, J. Wuerzinger 6110,ae, T.R. Wyatt 6101, B.M. Wynne 652, S. Xella 642, L. Xia 614c,
M. Xia 1014b, J. Xiang 1064c, M. Xie 1062a, X. Xie 1062a, S. Xin 1014a,14e, A. Xiong 10123, J. Xiong 1017a,
D. Xu 614a, H. Xu 662a, L. Xu 662a, R. Xu 6128, T. Xu 6106, Y. Xu 614b, Z. Xu 652, Z. Xu 14c,
```

```
B. Yabsley 147, S. Yacoob 33a, Y. Yamaguchi 154, E. Yamashita 153, H. Yamauchi 157,
T. Yamazaki (10)17a, Y. Yamazaki (10)85, J. Yan (10)59, Z. Yan (10)103, H.J. Yang (10)62c,62d,
H.T. Yang 62a, S. Yang 62a, T. Yang 64c, X. Yang 636, X. Yang 14a, Y. Yang 64a, Y. Yang 62a,
Z. Yang 62a, W-M. Yao 17a, H. Ye 14c, H. Ye 55, J. Ye 14a, S. Ye 29, X. Ye 62a, Y. Yeh 96,
I. Yeletskikh (D<sup>38</sup>, B.K. Yeo (D<sup>17b</sup>, M.R. Yexley (D<sup>96</sup>, P. Yin (D<sup>41</sup>, K. Yorita (D<sup>168</sup>, S. Younas (D<sup>27b</sup>),
C.J.S. Young 636, C. Young 6143, C. Yu 614a, 14e, Y. Yu 662a, M. Yuan 6106, R. Yuan 662b, L. Yue 696,
M. Zaazoua 662a, B. Zabinski 87, E. Zaid52, Z.K. Zak 87, T. Zakareishvili 163, N. Zakharchuk 34,
S. Zambito 656, J.A. Zamora Saa 6137d,137b, J. Zang 6153, D. Zanzi 654, O. Zaplatilek 6132,
C. Zeitnitz 111, H. Zeng 114a, J.C. Zeng 1162, D.T. Zenger Jr 126, O. Zenin 137, T. Ženiš 128a,
S. Zenz (D<sup>94</sup>, S. Zerradi (D<sup>35a</sup>, D. Zerwas (D<sup>66</sup>, M. Zhai (D<sup>14a,14e</sup>, D.F. Zhang (D<sup>139</sup>, J. Zhang (D<sup>62b</sup>),
J. Zhang 66, K. Zhang 14a,14e, L. Zhang 14c, P. Zhang 14a,14e, R. Zhang 170, S. Zhang 16c,
S. Zhang (D<sup>44</sup>, T. Zhang (D<sup>153</sup>, X. Zhang (D<sup>62c</sup>, X. Zhang (D<sup>62c</sup>, Y. Zhang (D<sup>62c</sup>, Y. Zhang (D<sup>96</sup>, Y. Zhang (D<sup>96</sup>), Y. Zhang (D<sup>96</sup>),
Y. Zhang 14c, Z. Zhang 15a, Z. Zhang 15a, H. Zhao 15a, T. Zhao 15a, Y. Zhao 15a, Z. Zhao 15a, Z. Zhao 15a,
A. Zhemchugov (538, J. Zheng (514c, K. Zheng (5162, X. Zheng (562a, Z. Zheng (5143, D. Zhong (5162,
B. Zhou<sup>106</sup>, H. Zhou <sup>107</sup>, N. Zhou <sup>1062c</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>14c</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>7</sup>, C.G. Zhu <sup>1062b</sup>, J. Zhu <sup>1060b</sup>, Y. Zhu <sup>1062c</sup>,
Y. Zhu \bigcirc^{62a}, X. Zhuang \bigcirc^{14a}, K. Zhukov \bigcirc^{37}, N.I. Zimine \bigcirc^{38}, J. Zinsser \bigcirc^{63b}, M. Ziolkowski \bigcirc^{141},
L. Živković 15, A. Zoccoli 23b,23a, K. Zoch 61, T.G. Zorbas 139, O. Zormpa 46, W. Zou 41,
L. Zwalinski <sup>©36</sup>.
```

¹Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide; Australia.

²Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB; Canada.

^{3(a)}Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b)Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara; Türkiye.

⁴LAPP, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy; France.

⁵APC, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.

⁶High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL; United States of America.

⁷Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ; United States of America.

⁸Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX; United States of America.

⁹Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens; Greece.

¹⁰Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou; Greece.

¹¹Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX; United States of America.

¹²Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.

¹³Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona; Spain.

^{14(a)}Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b)Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing; ^(c)Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing; ^(d)School of Science, Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University; ^(e)University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing; China.

¹⁵Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade; Serbia.

¹⁶Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen; Norway.

^{17(a)}Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA; ^(b)University of California, Berkeley CA; United States of America.

¹⁸Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin; Germany.

¹⁹Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern; Switzerland.

²⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham; United Kingdom.

^{21(a)}Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; ^(b)Department of Physics Engineering,

Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (c) Department of Physics, Istanbul University, Istanbul; Türkiye.

^{22(a)}Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Investigaciónes, Universidad Antonio Nariño,

Bogotá; (b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá; Colombia.

- ^{23(a)}Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia A. Righi, Università di Bologna, Bologna; ^(b)INFN Sezione di Bologna; Italy.
- ²⁴Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn; Germany.
- ²⁵Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA; United States of America.
- ²⁶Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA; United States of America.
- ^{27(a)}Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; ^(b)Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; ^(c)Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi,
- Iasi; $^{(d)}$ National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; $^{(e)}$ University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; $^{(f)}$ West University in Timisoara, Timisoara; $^{(g)}$ Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest; Romania.
- ^{28(a)} Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice; Slovak Republic.
- ²⁹Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY; United States of America.
- ³⁰Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Física, y CONICET, Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires (IFIBA), Buenos Aires; Argentina.
- ³¹California State University, CA; United States of America.
- ³²Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge; United Kingdom.
- $^{33(a)}$ Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; $^{(b)}$ iThemba Labs, Western

Cape; (c) Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg,

Johannesburg; (d) National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman

(Philippines); (e) University of South Africa, Department of Physics, Pretoria; (f) University of Zululand,

KwaDlangezwa; (g) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; South Africa.

- ³⁴Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON; Canada.
- ^{35(a)} Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn-Tofail, Kénitra; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; ^(d) LPMR, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed Premier, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat; ^(f) Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.
- ³⁶CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- ³⁷Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁸Affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁹Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL; United States of America.
- ⁴⁰LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand; France.
- ⁴¹Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY; United States of America.
- ⁴²Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen; Denmark.
- ⁴³(a) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende; (b) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; Italy.
- ⁴⁴Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX; United States of America.
- ⁴⁵Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX; United States of America.
- ⁴⁶National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos", Agia Paraskevi; Greece.
- ^{47(a)}Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b)Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm; Sweden.
- ⁴⁸Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen; Germany.
- ⁴⁹Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund; Germany.

- ⁵⁰Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; Germany.
- ⁵¹Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC; United States of America.
- ⁵²SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; United Kingdom.
- ⁵³INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati; Italy.
- ⁵⁴Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany.
- ⁵⁵II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany.
- ⁵⁶Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ^{57(a)}Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova; ^(b)INFN Sezione di Genova; Italy.
- ⁵⁸II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen; Germany.
- ⁵⁹SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow; United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁰LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble; France.
- ⁶¹Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA; United States of America.
- ^{62(a)} Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei; ^(b) Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao; ^(c) School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE), SKLPPC, Shanghai; ^(d) Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai; ^(e) School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University; China.
- ^{63(a)}Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Germany.
- ^{64(a)}Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b)Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c)Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong; China. ⁶⁵Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu; Taiwan.
- ⁶⁶IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405, Orsay; France.
- ⁶⁷Centro Nacional de Microelectrónica (IMB-CNM-CSIC), Barcelona; Spain.
- ⁶⁸Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN; United States of America.
- ^{69(a)}INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b)ICTP, Trieste; ^(c)Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine; Italy.
- $^{70(a)}$ INFN Sezione di Lecce; $^{(b)}$ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce; Italy.
- ^{71(a)}INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano; Italy.
- ^{72(a)}INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli; Italy.
- ^{73(a)}INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia; Italy.
- ^{74(a)}INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy.
- $^{75(a)}$ INFN Sezione di Roma; $^{(b)}$ Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁶(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma; Italy.
- $^{77(a)}$ INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; $^{(b)}$ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁸(a) INFN-TIFPA; (b) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento; Italy.
- ⁷⁹Universität Innsbruck, Department of Astro and Particle Physics, Innsbruck; Austria.
- ⁸⁰University of Iowa, Iowa City IA; United States of America.
- ⁸¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA; United States of America.
- ⁸²Istinye University, Sariyer, Istanbul; Türkiye.
- ^{83(a)}Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(b)Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; ^(c)Instituto de Física,

- Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; (d) Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro; Brazil.
- ⁸⁴KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ⁸⁵Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe; Japan.
- ^{86(a)} AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁷Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁸Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto; Japan.
- ⁸⁹Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka; Japan.
- ⁹⁰Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata; Argentina.
- ⁹¹Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster; United Kingdom.
- ⁹²Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool; United Kingdom.
- ⁹³Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana; Slovenia.
- ⁹⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁵Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁷Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America.
- ⁹⁸Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund; Sweden.
- ⁹⁹Departamento de Física Teorica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid; Spain.
- ¹⁰⁰Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz; Germany.
- ¹⁰¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁰²CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France.
- ¹⁰³Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁴Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁵School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria; Australia.
- ¹⁰⁶Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁸Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁹Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München; Germany.
- ¹¹⁰Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München; Germany.
- ¹¹¹Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya; Japan.
- ¹¹²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM; United States of America.
- ¹¹³Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands.
- ¹¹⁴Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam; Netherlands.
- ¹¹⁵Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; United States of America.
- ^{116(a)}New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi; ^(b)United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain; United Arab Emirates.
- ¹¹⁷Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY; United States of America.
- ¹¹⁸Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹¹⁹Ohio State University, Columbus OH; United States of America.
- ¹²⁰Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK; United States of America.

- ¹²¹Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK; United States of America.
- ¹²²Palacký University, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc; Czech Republic.
- ¹²³Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR; United States of America.
- ¹²⁴Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan.
- ¹²⁵Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo; Norway.
- ¹²⁶Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford; United Kingdom.
- ¹²⁷LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.
- ¹²⁸Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; United States of America.
- ¹²⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA; United States of America.
- ¹³⁰(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas LIP, Lisboa; (b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; (d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; (f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); (g) Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior
- ¹³¹Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague; Czech Republic.
- ¹³²Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague; Czech Republic.

Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; Portugal.

- ¹³³Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague; Czech Republic.
- ¹³⁴Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot; United Kingdom.
- ¹³⁵IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette; France.
- ¹³⁶Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA; United States of America.
- 137(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (b) Millennium Institute for Subatomic physics at high energy frontier (SAPHIR), Santiago; (c) Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinario en Ciencia y Tecnología, y Departamento de Física, Universidad de La Serena; (d) Universidad Andres Bello, Department of Physics, Santiago; (e) Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica; (f) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso; Chile.
- ¹³⁸Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; United States of America.
- ¹³⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁴⁰Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano; Japan.
- ¹⁴¹Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen; Germany.
- ¹⁴²Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC; Canada.
- ¹⁴³SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁴⁴Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm; Sweden.
- ¹⁴⁵Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY; United States of America.
- ¹⁴⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁴⁷School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney; Australia.
- ¹⁴⁸Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan.
- $^{149}(a)$ E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; $^{(b)}$ High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; $^{(c)}$ University of Georgia, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ¹⁵⁰Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa; Israel.
- ¹⁵¹Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; Israel.
- ¹⁵²Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki; Greece.
- ¹⁵³International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,

- Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁴Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁵Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁶(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; (b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁷Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁵⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁶⁰University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates.
- ¹⁶¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala; Sweden.
- ¹⁶²Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL; United States of America.
- ¹⁶³Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia CSIC, Valencia; Spain.
- ¹⁶⁴Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁶Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Germany.
- ¹⁶⁷Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁶⁸Waseda University, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁶⁹Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; Israel.
- ¹⁷⁰Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI; United States of America.
- ¹⁷¹Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal; Germany.
- ¹⁷²Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT; United States of America.
- ^a Also Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ^b Also at An-Najah National University, Nablus; Palestine.
- ^c Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^d Also at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University; China.
- ^e Also at Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Innovation (CIRI-AUTH), Thessaloniki; Greece.
- f Also at Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi: Italy.
- ^g Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- h Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ⁱ Also at Departament de Fisica de la Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^j Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.
- ^k Also at Department of Physics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva; Israel.
- ¹ Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento; United States of America.
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA; United States of America.
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, Stellenbosch University; South Africa.
- ^p Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.
- ^q Also at Department of Physics, University of Thessaly; Greece.
- ^r Also at Department of Physics, Westmont College, Santa Barbara; United States of America.
- ^s Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.
- ^t Also at Institucio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^u Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany.

- $^{\nu}$ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia; Bulgaria.
- ^w Also at Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.
- ^x Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.
- ^y Also at Institute of Physics and Technology, Ulaanbaatar; Mongolia.
- ^z Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.
- aa Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ab Also at L2IT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS/IN2P3, UPS, Toulouse; France.
- ac Also at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore; United States of America.
- ad Also at National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman (Philippines); Philippines.
- ae Also at Technical University of Munich, Munich; Germany.
- af Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.
- ag Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ah Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.
- ai Also at University of Colorado Boulder, Department of Physics, Colorado; United States of America.
- aj Also at Washington College, Chestertown, MD; United States of America.
- ak Also at Yeditepe University, Physics Department, Istanbul; Türkiye.
- * Deceased