



Submitted to: Physics Letters B



CERN-EP-2023-029
20th March 2023

Comparison of inclusive and photon-tagged jet suppression in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with ATLAS

The ATLAS Collaboration

Parton energy loss in the quark–gluon plasma (QGP) is studied with a measurement of photon-tagged jet production in 1.7 nb^{-1} of Pb+Pb data and 260 pb^{-1} of pp data, both at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, with the ATLAS detector. The process $pp \rightarrow \gamma + \text{jet} + X$ and its analogue in Pb+Pb collisions is measured in events containing an isolated photon with transverse momentum (p_{T}) above 50 GeV and reported as a function of jet p_{T} . This selection results in a sample of jets with a steeply falling p_{T} distribution that are mostly initiated by the showering of quarks. The pp and Pb+Pb measurements are used to report the nuclear modification factor, R_{AA} , and the fractional energy loss, S_{loss} , for photon-tagged jets. In addition, the results are compared with the analogous ones for inclusive jets, which have a significantly smaller quark-initiated fraction. The R_{AA} and S_{loss} values are found to be significantly different between those for photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets, demonstrating that energy loss in the QGP is sensitive to the colour-charge of the initiating parton. The results are also compared with a variety of theoretical models of colour-charge-dependent energy loss.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	ATLAS detector	4
3	Event reconstruction	5
4	Simulation	6
5	Analysis	7
6	Systematic uncertainties	9
7	Results	10
8	Discussion	14
8.1	Fractional energy loss analysis	14
8.2	Theoretical comparisons	17
9	Conclusion	18

1 Introduction

Ultra-relativistic collisions of heavy nuclei at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) produce a hot, deconfined nuclear medium known as the quark–gluon plasma (QGP). The QGP exhibits interesting emergent phenomena, such as a collective evolution that suggests it is a strongly coupled fluid well described by hydrodynamics [1–3]. The dense colour field arising from the deconfined colour charges that makes up the QGP is opaque to high-energy quarks and gluons attempting to pass through it. This results in hard-scattered partons suffering energy loss and a modification of their showering processes as they traverse the QGP. This phenomenon is known as *jet quenching*, and results in a wide variety of experimental signatures – see Ref. [4] for a recent review.

A straightforward and broadly used signature of jet quenching is the suppression of jet production at fixed transverse momentum¹ (p_T) in Pb+Pb collisions compared to pp collisions. This is quantified by the nuclear modification factor, R_{AA} , which is defined as the ratio of the observed yield in Pb+Pb collisions to the expectation from an equivalent number of nucleon–nucleon (NN) collisions, i.e., without jet quenching effects from the formation of a QGP. This expectation is calculated as the cross-section in pp collisions, scaled by the mean value of the nuclear thickness function in the corresponding Pb+Pb collisions, $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ [5]. The R_{AA} is therefore defined as

$$R_{AA} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{evt}}} \frac{d^2 N^{\text{Pb+Pb}}}{dp_T d\eta} \bigg/ \langle T_{AA} \rangle \frac{d^2 \sigma^{pp}}{dp_T d\eta}, \quad (1)$$

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$.

where $d^2N^{\text{Pb+Pb}}/dp_T d\eta$ is the differential jet yield in N_{evt} Pb+Pb events in a given centrality range, $d^2\sigma^{pp}/dp_T d\eta$ is the jet cross-section in pp collisions, and $\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ can be considered as a luminosity of nucleons per Pb+Pb collision. Therefore, the term in the denominator is the expected yield in Pb+Pb collisions in the absence of any nuclear effects.

In central Pb+Pb collisions, the nuclei collide head on and create a large and long-lived volume of QGP. The developing showers of high- p_T partons undergo substantial interactions with the QGP, such that part of their momentum is transferred to large angles relative to the initial parton direction [6, 7]. Therefore, the total momentum in a fixed-size jet cone is decreased compared to the process with analogous initial kinematics occurring in pp collisions, and the jets can be thought of as migrating to lower p_T values in Pb+Pb events. Since the jet spectrum is steeply falling with p_T , this results in an R_{AA} below unity with a magnitude that depends on the amount of transported energy and the local shape of the spectrum. In central Pb+Pb events at the LHC, the R_{AA} for inclusive jets is suppressed by approximately a factor of two at $p_T \approx 100$ GeV [8–10]. While the R_{AA} is expected to be impacted by other effects, such as the modification of parton densities in the nucleus (nPDFs), these are understood to be modest for inclusive jets and thus most of the signal is due to jet energy loss [11–13].

A key aspect to the theoretical description of jet quenching is its sensitivity to the colour charge of the initiating parton, i.e., whether that parton is a quark or a gluon [14–25]. If the jet-medium interaction is predominantly described as proceeding by radiative emission (medium-induced gluon radiation by strong colour charges), quarks and gluons are generally expected to lose energy in proportion to their QCD colour factors for gluon emission of $4/3$ and 3 , respectively. Thus, gluon-initiated jets are expected to lose significantly more energy than quark-initiated ones. While the developing parton shower eventually contains both quarks and gluons, theoretical models indicate that the charge of the initiating parton should have a significant impact. At LHC energies, inclusive jet production in the region $p_T < 200$ GeV is dominated by gluon-initiated jets.

Several previous measurements have attempted to explore the colour charge dependence of jet suppression, but with additional effects that may complicate its extraction. For example, Ref. [8] measured jet suppression as a function of jet rapidity, which changes the quark/gluon-initiated jet fraction, but may also sample different regions of the QGP medium [23]. Refs. [26, 27] report the suppression of b -jets, which have a significantly larger quark-initiated fraction than inclusive jets, but have additional effects from the large mass of b -quarks.

An alternative strategy, including the one employed in this Letter, is to measure jets produced in association with an isolated photon or other electroweak (EW) boson, for example through Compton scattering ($gq \rightarrow q\gamma$). These jets are substantially more likely to be initiated by a quark than inclusive jets at the same p_T . Importantly, the kinematics of the colourless photon or EW boson are not significantly modified by the QGP [28–31]. Therefore ATLAS has used an isolated photon or Z boson as a way to select partons with a known distribution of initial kinematics before jet quenching [32] and to study how the resulting jet [33] or hadron [34, 35] distributions are modified in particular selections of boson p_T , compared to those in pp collisions.

This Letter presents a measurement of the process pp (or NN) $\rightarrow \gamma + \text{jet} + X$, as a function of jet p_T . Unlike previous measurements mentioned above (Ref. [33–35]), the results in this paper are not normalized per-photon, but measure the full photon-associated jet production cross-section. The measurement is performed using 260 pb^{-1} and 1.7 nb^{-1} of pp and Pb+Pb collisions, respectively, at an NN centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ recorded with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Events are required to have an isolated photon with $p_T^\gamma > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$ (excluding the region $1.37 < |\eta^\gamma| < 1.52$). At

leading order (LO), the photon isolation requirement predominantly selects direct photons, which are those produced directly in the hard scattering, but also a contribution from fragmentation photons that are radiated in a parton shower after the scattering. All jets with $|\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 2.8$ and $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 50$ GeV in an opposing azimuthal direction to the photon ($\Delta\phi_{\gamma,\text{jet}} > 7\pi/8$) are included in the measurement. This requirement selects a set of jets with a steeply falling p_T distribution, with a large quark-initiated fraction.

The resulting jet production rates in Pb+Pb and pp collisions are used to report R_{AA} and the fractional energy loss quantity, S_{loss} , originally developed by the PHENIX Collaboration at RHIC [36–38] that is conceptually similar to the ‘pseudo-quantile’ described in Ref. [39]. For a given amount of energy loss, the particular magnitudes of the R_{AA} values are known to depend strongly on the steepness of the pp spectrum. The S_{loss} formulation is designed as an alternative way to characterize the energy loss while removing this dependence. Schematically, S_{loss} is the fractional decrease in p_T^{jet} at which the $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ -scaled jet yield in Pb+Pb events reaches the same magnitude as the cross-section in pp events at the original p_T^{jet} . Quantitatively, for each value of the p_T^{jet} in pp collisions, p_T^{pp} , the shift function, $\Delta p_T(p_T^{pp})$, is defined as

$$\Delta p_T = p_T^{pp} - p_T^{\text{Pb+Pb}} \quad (2)$$

where $p_T^{\text{Pb+Pb}}$ is the value for which

$$\frac{1}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle} \frac{1}{N_{\text{evt}}} \frac{d^2 N^{\text{Pb+Pb}}(p_T^{\text{Pb+Pb}} = p_T^{pp} - \Delta p_T)}{dp_T^{\text{Pb+Pb}} d\eta} = \frac{d^2 \sigma^{pp}(p_T^{pp})}{dp_T^{pp} d\eta} \times \left[1 + \frac{d\Delta p_T}{dp_T^{pp}} \right] \quad (3)$$

where the expression in square brackets is the Jacobian term necessary to, e.g., preserve the total number of jets. The fractional energy loss is given by $S_{\text{loss}}(p_T^{pp}) = \Delta p_T / p_T^{pp}$. It is related to, but not identical to, the average energy lost by jets originating at a given p_T in pp collisions, and is a useful way to characterize the magnitude of energy loss in a way that does not depend on the local shape of the spectrum.

The R_{AA} and S_{loss} results for photon-tagged jets are then compared with the analogous ones for inclusive jets [8], whose production in this kinematic range has a significantly smaller quark-initiated fraction. Since the main difference between the jet populations is in their quark and gluon composition, this comparison allows a controlled examination of the impact of the initiating parton’s QCD colour charge on jet energy loss.

2 ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [40] at the LHC is a multipurpose particle detector with a forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and a near 4π coverage in solid angle. Its inner tracking detector is surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field, and electromagnetic (EM) and hadron calorimeters. The inner tracking detector covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of silicon pixel, silicon microstrip, and transition radiation tracking detectors. Lead/liquid-argon (LAr) sampling calorimeters provide EM energy measurements with high granularity. A steel/scintillator-tile hadron calorimeter covers the central pseudorapidity range ($|\eta| < 1.7$). The endcap and forward regions are instrumented with LAr calorimeters for both the EM and hadronic energy measurements up to $|\eta| = 4.9$. A zero-degree calorimeter (ZDC) was situated at $|\eta| > 8.3$ during Pb+Pb data-taking. It is composed of alternating layers of quartz rods and tungsten plates and is mostly sensitive to spectator neutrons from fragmenting nuclei in Pb+Pb collisions.

A two-level trigger system is used to select events [41]. The first-level trigger is implemented in hardware and uses a subset of the detector information to accept events at a rate below 100 kHz. This is followed by a software-based trigger that reduces the accepted event rate to 1 kHz on average depending on the data-taking conditions. An extensive software suite [42] is used in data simulation, in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

3 Event reconstruction

Events were selected using triggers that required a reconstructed photon with p_T above 35 GeV (20 GeV) in pp (Pb+Pb) collisions [41, 43]. The trigger sampled the full luminosity corresponding to 260 pb^{-1} of pp data in 2017 and 1.7 nb^{-1} of Pb+Pb data in 2018, and was fully efficient for the photon selection described below. Events are required to satisfy detector and data-quality requirements and, in Pb+Pb collisions, to have a reconstructed vertex.

The Pb+Pb event centrality is characterized by the sum of the transverse energy, ΣE_T^{FCal} in the forward calorimeters, $3.2 < |\eta| < 4.9$. Events in different ranges of ΣE_T^{FCal} are associated with an underlying Pb+Pb collision geometry according to a Monte Carlo (MC) Glauber simulation [5, 44]. This analysis uses three centrality intervals corresponding to the following fractions of the ΣE_T^{FCal} distribution in minimum-bias events: 0–10% (‘central’ events, with a large nuclear overlap and large ΣE_T^{FCal} values), 10–30%, and 30–80% (‘peripheral’ events).

Photons are reconstructed following the method used previously in Pb+Pb collisions [28, 33, 35], which applies the procedure used in pp collisions [45] after an event-by-event estimation and subtraction of the underlying event (UE) contribution to the energy deposited in each calorimeter cell [46] (described further below). Photon candidates are required to satisfy ‘tight’ shower shape requirements designed to reject photons arising from neutral meson decays and from the start of hadronic showers in the EM calorimeter [47]. In pp collisions, photons are further required to be isolated by requiring that the sum of the transverse energy in calorimeter cells within $\Delta R = 0.3$ (not including the contribution from the photon itself) is less than 3 GeV. In Pb+Pb collisions, the UE fluctuations within the isolation cone result in a substantial broadening of the isolation E_T distribution. Thus, in Pb+Pb collisions, the isolation energy requirement is centrality dependent and is chosen so that its efficiency for prompt photons is 90%, as determined from simulated events. This upper limit on the isolation energy is approximately 10 GeV in 0–10% Pb+Pb events, but quickly decreases in more peripheral events and converges to the pp value.

Jets are reconstructed following the procedure used in Pb+Pb collisions [8, 46], which is summarized here. Calorimeter cells in all layers are evaluated at the EM energy scale and regrouped into $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.1 \times \pi/32$ logical towers, and the anti- k_t algorithm [48, 49] with parameter $R = 0.4$ is applied to the towers. After the initial jet-finding, the contribution to the energy deposited in towers by the UE is estimated on an event-by-event basis, allowing for the variation of the UE as a function of η and ϕ (the latter arising from the global collective flow in Pb+Pb collisions). Information from towers within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of jet candidates is excluded to avoid biasing the UE estimate. The kinematics of the tower energies are updated to subtract the estimated UE contribution, and the UE procedure is iterated using a better-defined set of jets to define the exclusion regions. The resulting set of jet kinematics is corrected using p_T - and η -dependent factors, determined from simulation, to account for the response of the calorimeter to jets [50]. An additional correction for the absolute response in data is based on *in situ* studies of jets recoiling against photons, Z bosons, and jets in other regions of the calorimeter in pp collisions [51]. This calibration is followed by

a ‘cross-calibration’ that relates the jet energy scale (JES) in high-luminosity 13 TeV pp collisions [52] to the jets reconstructed by the procedure outlined above in the 5.02 TeV data to account for additional differences between the data and simulations.

4 Simulation

Samples of MC-simulated events are used to evaluate the performance of the photon and jet reconstruction and to correct the measured distributions for detector effects. The main MC sample corresponding to photon+jet production in pp data consists of PYTHIA 8 [53] events, produced with the A14 [54] set of tuned parameters (tune) and the NNPDF 2.3 LO [55] parton distribution function (PDF) set, including direct and fragmentation contributions. As alternatives, photon+jet events were also produced using two additional generators. The SHERPA 2.2.4 [56, 57] generator was run at next-to-leading order (NLO) with the NNPDF 3.0 NNLO [58] PDF set to produce a sample of events containing a photon plus up to three other partons. The HERWIG 7.2 [59] generator was run at leading order with the MMHT2014lo [60] PDF set, with separate samples produced for direct and fragmentation photons. The three sets of events were simulated [42] using a GEANT4 [61] description of the ATLAS detector and were digitized and reconstructed in a manner identical to that of the data. The generator-level final state photons in the MC samples are required to be isolated by requiring that the sum of the transverse energy of all the final state particles, excluding the photon itself, within a $\Delta R = 0.4$ cone is less than 5 GeV.

The fraction of quark-initiated jets, as defined in simulation in Ref. [62], is estimated by using three different MC generators (PYTHIA 8, HERWIG and SHERPA) for the photon-tagged jets, and is compared with that for inclusive jets [8] in Figure 1. The generators predict that 75–80% of all photon-tagged jets at $p_T^{\text{jet}} = 50$ GeV are initiated by quarks, while this is true for only 30–40% of inclusive jets at the same p_T^{jet} . At higher p_T^{jet} , the quark-initiated fractions for photon-tagged and inclusive jets slowly fall and rise, respectively, reaching 50–60% for both samples at 300 GeV. Thus, according to the MC generators, these two samples contain significantly different quark-initiated jet fractions with $p_T^{\text{jet}} \lesssim 200$ GeV.

To simulate photon+jet events in Pb+Pb data, the events described above were overlaid at the detector-hit level with a sample of Pb+Pb data events recorded with minimum-bias and central-event triggers. The combination of the simulated and data event was then reconstructed as a single event. These ‘Pb+Pb data overlay’ events are re-weighted to match the observed ΣE_T^{FCal} distribution for photon+jet events in Pb+Pb data. In this way, the features of the Pb+Pb UE in the simulated samples are identical to those in real Pb+Pb data.

Finally, to evaluate the possible impact of nuclear effects, such as the modification of PDFs on the measurement, samples of generator-level PYTHIA 8 events were produced for photon+jet and inclusive jet events, again including both direct and fragmentation photons and the generator-level isolation requirement. For both of these processes, separate samples were generated for pp , proton–neutron (pn), and neutron–neutron (nn) events, and the cross-section in simulated Pb+Pb events was constructed via a weighted sum $(Z^2\sigma^{pp} + 2Z(A-Z)\sigma^{pn} + (A-Z)^2\sigma^{nn})/A^2$, where A and Z are the mass and atomic number of Pb, respectively. In a separate procedure, the cross-section in the pp samples was evaluated after being weighted on an event-by-event basis with the central values of the EPPS16 nPDF set [63], at NLO and configured for the lead nucleus with the grid file EPPS16NLOR_208.




Figure 1: Fraction of photon-tagged jets (filled markers) and inclusive jets (open markers) initiated by a quark, as a function of generator-level p_T^{jet} , in the PYTHIA 8 (circles), HERWIG (squares), and SHERPA (crosses) event generators. The vertical bars associated with symbols indicate the statistical uncertainties.

5 Analysis

The signal definition for this measurement is $R = 0.4$ jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 50$ GeV that are $\Delta\phi_{\gamma, \text{jet}} > 7\pi/8$ from a $p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV isolated photon, with all candidate jets in a given event included in the measurement. The two-dimensional yield ($p_T^\gamma, p_T^{\text{jet}}$) is constructed for photons and their associated jets, but using thresholds of 40 GeV on the photon and jet p_T , to allow for the correction of bin migration effects (discussed below).

Figure 2 shows the signal $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma$ distributions in pp data at the reconstructed-level (i.e., without any of the corrections for photon purity, efficiency, and unfolding described below), compared with the same in simulated PYTHIA 8 events. The contributions from direct and fragmentation photons in PYTHIA 8 are shown separately as shaded histograms, with the former contribution peaking near unity due to the back-to-back kinematics, and the latter distribution extending to large $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma$ values. At the lowest p_T^{jet} bin of $50 < p_T^{\text{jet}} < 60$ GeV, the $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma$ distribution in data has no entries above 1.2 because of the kinematic selection on the photons ($p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV), and thus the comparison with simulation suggests that direct photons are dominant. However, at high p_T^{jet} values (e.g., in the right most panel), there is a growing contribution from fragmentation photons, which may contribute to the decreasing quark-initiated jet fraction in Figure 1.

Notably, PYTHIA 8 does not precisely match the $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma$ distribution in data, in particular over-estimating the relative magnitude of the fragmentation photon contribution. A similar conclusion was reached in the study of photon+jet events in pp collisions at 7 TeV [64], where PYTHIA 8 better describes the data after an increased (decreased) weighting of the direct (fragmentation) contributions in that generator. While this exercise is not repeated in this measurement, the dashed line in Figure 2 indicates how de-weighting the fragmentation photon contribution in PYTHIA 8 by, e.g., a factor of two would modify the jet p_T distribution in that generator. Therefore this study highlights the need for the pp baseline in theoretical calculations of jet quenching to properly model the relative direct and fragmentation photon contributions in photon+jet processes.




Figure 2: Reconstructed-level $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma$ distributions for different p_T^{jet} bins: (left panel) $50 < p_T^{\text{jet}} < 60$ GeV (middle panel) $100 < p_T^{\text{jet}} < 120$ GeV and (right panel) $200 < p_T^{\text{jet}} < 250$ GeV for $p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV. The data (filled circles) is compared with reconstructed-level PYTHIA 8 (solid line), which is normalized to the data. The shaded histograms show the breakdown of PYTHIA 8 contributions from photon-production processes: direct (checkered hatching) and fragmentation (dashed hatching) photons. The dashed-line histogram represents PYTHIA 8 events, including all direct photon events with the fragmentation photon events scaled down by a factor of two.

The initial $(p_T^\gamma, p_T^{\text{jet}})$ yield in Pb+Pb collisions contains jets that do not arise from the same hard scattering as the photon, but rather from an unrelated NN scattering, or from jets that are reconstructed from the localized fluctuations of the UE. This combinatoric contribution is estimated through a ‘mixing’ technique in which high- p_T photons in data are correlated with jets in minimum-bias Pb+Pb events that match the overall properties of the original, photon-containing event. These matched properties include the ΣE_T^{Cal} and flow plane angle. The resulting combinatoric jet contribution is observed to be flat in $\Delta\phi$, as expected for unrelated pairs. For the lowest p_T^{jet} values in the most central events, the background contribution is approximately half of the total yield, but this fraction falls very rapidly with increasing p_T^{jet} or in less central events. The contribution is statistically subtracted from the initial yields.

Even after the photon identification and isolation conditions above are applied to data, the selected photons still include a considerable contribution from backgrounds, dominantly from neutral hadron decays (e.g., $\pi^0, \eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$). These decay photons may be reconstructed as a single cluster that satisfies the ‘tight’ identification and the isolation conditions. Thus, the photon-associated jet yields contain a contribution from, e.g., π^0 -associated jet yields. To correct for this, the purity of prompt, isolated photons in the selected data sample is determined by using a data-driven, double-sideband method widely used in ATLAS photon measurements [65–68], separately for each selection in event centrality and p_T^γ . The purity has a minimum of $\approx 75\%$ in central Pb+Pb events at the lowest p_T^γ values, but then increases rapidly with p_T^γ and in more peripheral Pb+Pb or pp events to a plateau of $\approx 95\%$. The shape of the p_T^{jet} contribution from this background is determined by performing the same analysis but using an inverted signal selection on the photon. This selection requires the photon to still be isolated, but fail to satisfy several shower shape requirements in a way that is designed to greatly enhance the neutral hadron background. Finally, the background level is scaled according to the purity in each p_T^γ and centrality selection, and statistically subtracted from the yields.

To correct for the bin-to-bin migration in the p_T^γ and p_T^{jet} distributions arising from the finite detector resolution and residual defects in the JES, a two-dimensional unfolding procedure on the background-subtracted $(p_T^\gamma, p_T^{\text{jet}})$ yields is used. The PYTHIA 8 simulation samples are used to generate independent

response matrices for pp events and for each centrality range in Pb+Pb events, after reweighting the p_T^{jet} distributions in simulation to match those measured in data. The iterative Bayesian method [69] is used with the RooUNFOLD software package [70]. The number of iterations used in the unfolding is determined by minimizing the sum in quadrature of the total statistical uncertainty and the differences in the unfolded distribution between consecutive iterations. This number is two or three depending on the event centrality. The unfolding procedure also accounts for the finite reconstruction and selection efficiency for photons, which is $\approx 70\%$ at low- p_T^γ in central Pb+Pb events, but rises rapidly with p_T^γ and in more peripheral events to a plateau of $\approx 85\%$, and for a small inefficiency for jets at low p_T^{jet} . When tested in simulation, this unfolding procedure leads to a recovery of the original generator-level distribution within the statistical uncertainties of the test sample.

6 Systematic uncertainties

The main sources of systematic uncertainty in this measurement are those associated with the photon, jet, and unfolding components. For most of the sources described below, the entire analysis is repeated with a given variation, and the change in the results is taken as the corresponding uncertainty. These individual uncertainties are treated as independent and added in quadrature to quantify the full uncertainties.

The photon measurement includes several uncertainty components. First, the reconstructed energy of photons in simulation is varied according to the uncertainties in the photon energy scale and resolution [71]. Second, the reconstructed shower shape variables used to identify photons are varied in simulation [47]. Third, the isolation and identification sideband boundaries used in purity determination are varied in a manner similar to that in Refs. [33, 35]. Fourth, the difference between using the nominal purity values and the results of a smooth fit to those values is considered. Finally, the reconstruction-level isolation energy requirement is varied such that isolation efficiency for signal photons is 85% and 95%, instead of the nominal 90%. These variations result in different estimates of the photon purity, and thus test the stability of the extracted yield to any potentially imperfect description of photon isolation energy distributions in simulations. The uncertainty in the yields from all these sources is typically 3–6% in pp collisions (4–15% in central Pb+Pb collisions), rising with jet p_T .

For the jet-related uncertainties, the reconstructed jet energy in simulation is varied according to the uncertainties in the JES and jet energy resolution (JER). As in other Run 2 heavy-ion jet measurements [8, 33, 35, 72], the JES uncertainties have four main components. First, a centrality-independent baseline component determined from *in situ* studies of the calorimeter response to jets reconstructed following the procedure used in 13 TeV pp collisions [51, 73]. Second, a centrality-independent component accounting for the relative energy scale difference between the heavy-ion jet reconstruction in this analysis and that used for 13 TeV pp collisions [52]. Third, a component that accounts for potential inaccuracies in the relative abundances of jets initiated by quarks and gluons, and of their different calorimetric response, in simulation. This uncertainty was evaluated by using the flavour fractions and flavour-dependent response in the HERWIG, instead of PYTHIA 8, simulation samples. Finally, a centrality-dependent component accounting for a different structure and possibly a different detector response of jets in Pb+Pb collisions that is not modelled in simulation. This uncertainty is determined by the method used for 2015 and 2011 data [52] that compares the calorimeter p_T^{jet} with the p_T sum of the charged particles in the jets in data and simulation. For the JER uncertainty, the reconstructed p_T^{jet} in simulation is smeared by a factor evaluated using an *in situ* technique in 13 TeV pp data [74, 75], and by an additional contribution to account for the differences between the heavy-ion jet reconstruction and that in the 13 TeV pp data. The JES and

JER uncertainties in the jet yields are typically 3–7% in pp collisions, rising slowly with jet p_T , and are modestly higher in Pb+Pb collisions due to the final uncertainty source described above.

Two uncertainties associated with the unfolding procedure are evaluated. First, the impact of a different prior in the response matrices was determined by not applying the reweighting factors to account for the difference in the distributions between data and simulation. These were at most 5% at low p_T^{jet} , decreasing to 1% at high p_T^{jet} . Second, a resampling study is used to determine the impact on the results from the limited size of the simulated samples. These are included as part of the statistical uncertainties, but they are typically much smaller than the statistical uncertainties in data.

The mixed event technique was tested in the simulation samples, where the combinatoric contribution is exactly known. Any “non-closure” in the procedure (i.e. failure to fully subtract the combinatoric contribution) is considered as a source of uncertainty. Finally, there are uncertainties in the overall normalization of the measurements. For the pp cross-section, these arise from the luminosity of the pp data and are estimated to be 1.6% using the beam separation scan analysis methods similar to that in Ref. [76]. For the $1/\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ -scaled yields in Pb+Pb collisions, the uncertainties are determined by adjusting the parameters in the Glauber analysis [5, 44], and vary from 0.5% to 2.8% in central to peripheral collisions, respectively.

Uncertainty sources that are correlated between Pb+Pb and pp collisions, which include most of the jet- and photon-related uncertainties, typically cancel out to a large degree in R_{AA} . The most significant uncorrelated uncertainties are the centrality-dependent JES and unfolding ones.

For both the cross-section and R_{AA} measurements, the unfolding (photon purity) uncertainties are dominant at $p_T^{\text{jet}} < 80$ GeV for the 0–10% and 10–30% centrality intervals (30–80% centrality interval and in pp collisions). At $80 < p_T^{\text{jet}} < 200$ GeV, the JES, JER and photon purity uncertainties are dominant in all centrality bins and in pp collisions. The photon isolation uncertainties are dominant at $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 200$ GeV in all centrality bins and in pp collisions. In comparisons of the value of R_{AA} reported in this paper to that measured for inclusive jets [8], the uncertainties in the two measurements are treated as uncorrelated. For the S_{loss} analysis, these uncertainties are propagated as part of the S_{loss} determination procedure, described below in Section 8.1.

7 Results

Figure 3 shows the measured cross-section for photon-tagged jet production in pp collisions, compared with the same quantity in the PYTHIA 8, HERWIG, and SHERPA event generators. The distributions of the generators are normalized to have the same total cross-sections as the data. The data is best described by HERWIG, which has a shape compatible with the data within its uncertainties over the entire measured p_T^{jet} range. PYTHIA 8 and SHERPA are compatible with the data in the low p_T^{jet} region ($p_T^{\text{jet}} < 100$ GeV) but have a higher relative cross-section than the data at higher p_T^{jet} . The level of agreement between the MC generators and the data has a similar magnitude and p_T dependence as that observed in previous measurements in pp collisions at 7 TeV [64].

Figure 4 shows the $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ -scaled photon-tagged jet yields for different centrality bins in Pb+Pb collisions and the cross-section in pp collisions. The ratio of cross-sections for photon-tagged jets to that for inclusive jets in pp collisions is shown in the bottom panel. Both the pp inclusive jet and photon-tagged jet cross-sections are steeply falling as a function of p_T^{jet} , but the photon-tagged jet cross-section has a




Figure 3: Top panel: The differential cross-section of photon-tagged jets as a function of p_T^{jet} in pp data, compared with that in PYTHIA 8 (solid line), SHERPA 2.2.4 (dotted line) and HERWIG 7.2 (dash-dotted line) MC samples. The statistical uncertainties in the data are small and hidden by the symbols, and are drawn as vertical bars for the MC samples. The total systematic uncertainties in the data are shown as boxes in each p_T^{jet} bin. The MC distributions are normalized using the factors shown in parentheses to have the same total cross-sections as the data. Bottom panel: The ratio of cross-sections from different MC generators to the data.

less steep spectrum, i.e., it decreases more slowly with p_T^{jet} . As described above, the R_{AA} depends on the convolution of the energy loss due to jet quenching with the slope of the p_T^{jet} spectrum and this must be taken into account when comparing results between inclusive and photon-tagged jets.

The R_{AA} values of photon-tagged jets are computed according to Eq. (1) above, and are shown in Figure 5 as a function of p_T^{jet} in different centrality intervals. In 0–10% Pb+Pb collisions, the R_{AA} for photon-tagged jets is suppressed below unity, as expected from jet energy loss, at the level of 0.25–0.40. Below 70 GeV, as the jet p_T decreases, the R_{AA} values systematically increase. As the R_{AA} depends not only on the energy loss but on the local shape of the initial spectrum, this increase may be related to the flattening of the spectrum near $p_T^{\text{jet}} = 50$ GeV, which is caused by the kinematic selection $p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV. In the region $p_T^{\text{jet}} < 200$ GeV, the R_{AA} is found to be larger in the 30–80% Pb+Pb collisions than in the 0–10% Pb+Pb collisions, indicating more suppression in central Pb+Pb collisions as expected due to a larger jet quenching effect in collisions with a larger volume and higher temperature QGP.




Figure 4: Top panel: The yields of photon-tagged jets as a function of p_T^{jet} in Pb+Pb events for 0–10% (squares), 10–30% (diamonds) and 30–80% (crosses) centrality bins and the differential cross-section in pp events (circles). The spectra are scaled by the factors shown in the legend for clarity. The inclusive jet cross-section in pp collisions [8] (stars) is shown for comparison. The statistical uncertainties are small and hidden by the symbols. The total systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes in each p_T^{jet} bin. The photon-tagged jet pp data is also re-binned to match the binning of inclusive jet data (shown as dotted line). Bottom panel: The ratio of cross-sections between photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets in pp collisions. The boxes associated with the data points represent the sum in quadrature of the systematic uncertainties for photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets.




Figure 5: The R_{AA} of photon-tagged jets as a function of p_T^{jet} for 0–10%, 10–30%, and 30–80% centrality intervals. The vertical bars associated with symbols indicate the statistical uncertainties. The total systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes in each p_T^{jet} bin. The shaded bars on the left of the axis at $R_{AA} = 1$ indicate the p_T -independent uncertainties associated with the luminosity in pp collisions and $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for 0–10%, 10–30%, and 30–80% Pb+Pb collisions, respectively. The highest p_T^{jet} data point in the 30–80% centrality interval is 1.42 ± 0.43 (stat.) ± 0.25 (syst.) and extends off the vertical scale.

8 Discussion

Figure 6 compares the photon-tagged jet R_{AA} results to the previously published ATLAS inclusive jet results [8]. The R_{AA} of photon-tagged jets is significantly higher than the corresponding values for inclusive jets for $p_T^{\text{jet}} < 200$ GeV. For $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 200$ GeV, the statistical and systematic uncertainties in the photon-tagged jet results are larger and the two sets of R_{AA} values become compatible.




Figure 6: The R_{AA} of photon-tagged jets (filled squares) as a function of p_T^{jet} for 0–10% Pb+Pb events are overlaid with that of inclusive jets [8] (open circles) in the same centrality range for comparison. The vertical bars associated with symbols indicate the statistical uncertainties. The total systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes in each p_T^{jet} bin. The shaded bars on the left of the axis at $R_{AA} = 1$ indicate the p_T -independent uncertainties associated with the luminosity in pp collisions and $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for 0–10% Pb+Pb collisions, respectively.

A primary goal of this measurement is to isolate the effect of colour charge on jet quenching. Indeed, in the range of p_T^{jet} where the quark-initiated fraction is significantly higher in photon-tagged jets (see Figure 1), the R_{AA} is significantly higher than that for inclusive jets. However, the R_{AA} is known to depend on the shape of the initial production spectrum with, e.g., a steeper spectrum resulting in a lower R_{AA} for the same magnitude of energy loss. Indeed, Figure 4 shows that although the jet p_T spectra for photon-tagged and inclusive jets are both steeply falling, the latter is systematically steeper than the former. Thus, it is important for theoretical calculations attempting to describe the R_{AA} results to first correctly describe the photon-tagged and inclusive jet cross-sections in pp collisions, i.e., before applying any jet quenching.

8.1 Fractional energy loss analysis

An alternative way to characterize the energy loss with a greatly reduced sensitivity to the spectral shape is through the fractional energy loss quantity, S_{loss} , introduced in Section 1.

To determine S_{loss} for the photon-tagged jet case, the distributions in pp and Pb+Pb collisions are fit using the ‘extended power law’ function introduced in Ref. [14], $f(p_T) = A(p_{T,0}/p_T)^{n+\beta \log(p_T/p_{T,0})}$, in the region $p_T > 100$ GeV. An initial estimate of Δp_T in Eq. (2) is performed by first assuming that the Jacobian term in Eq. 3, $(1 + d\Delta p_T/dp_T^{pp})$, is unity, i.e. $d\Delta p_T/dp_T^{pp} = 0$, and determining $\Delta p_T(p_T^{pp})$ from the fitted




Figure 7: Top panel: The energy loss Δp_T as a function of p_T^{jet} for photon-tagged jets (lower bands) and inclusive jets (upper bands) for the 0–10% centrality interval. The bands around the solid lines indicate the systematic uncertainties. The dashed lines show the updated estimate of Δp_T when the data are corrected for isospin and nPDF effects (see text). Bottom panel: The fractional energy loss S_{loss} .

functions. This estimate is then iteratively improved by applying the Jacobian factor to the pp spectrum and repeating the procedure to obtain an updated estimate of Δp_T . To determine the systematic uncertainty in Δp_T , and thus S_{loss} , the procedure is performed separately under each of the systematic variations detailed in Section 6, with the variations from sources that are correlated between pp and Pb+Pb applied to both distributions simultaneously. An additional uncertainty is assigned to account for the sensitivity of the extracted S_{loss} values to the choice of fit range.

To determine S_{loss} for the inclusive jet case, this procedure is repeated with two modifications. First, to provide a better description of the data, the fit function for the inclusive jet distributions includes an additional term in the exponent that is linear in p_T . Second, an alternative procedure is used to account for the correlated uncertainties between the pp and Pb+Pb distributions. The $\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ -scaled Pb+Pb yields are re-calculated by taking the R_{AA} values (including their uncertainties, which account for the correlation between pp and Pb+Pb) and multiplying them by the central values of the pp cross-section. Then, the uncertainties in Δp_T , and thus in S_{loss} , are calculated by propagating the uncertainty in the determined value of $p_T^{\text{Pb+Pb}}$ using Eq. (2).

The extracted Δp_T and S_{loss} values are shown in Figure 7 for photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets for the 0–10% centrality interval. For photon-tagged jets, Δp_T ranges from 10–30 GeV, and S_{loss} from 0.07–0.10. For both samples, Δp_T increases with jet p_T . In the inclusive jet case, this increase is slower than the jet p_T , resulting in S_{loss} values that instead decrease systematically with increasing p_T . For the photon-tagged jet case, the S_{loss} values are approximately constant within uncertainties over this p_T^{jet} range. In the region $100 < p_T^{\text{jet}} \lesssim 200$ GeV, the S_{loss} values for photon-tagged jets are significantly smaller than those for




Figure 8: PYTHIA 8-based evaluation of the impact of the isospin and nPDF effects for the two jet samples, shown as the ratio of the modified cross-section to the nominal one in pp collisions. The isospin (nPDF) effect for inclusive jets is shown as a solid (dotted) line, and for photon-tagged jets as dot-dashed (dashed) line.

inclusive jets, again suggesting a significant colour-charge dependence to jet energy loss. At higher p_T^{jet} , the two S_{loss} curves are compatible within uncertainties, potentially due to the quark fractions of the two samples becoming more similar in this p_T region (Figure 1).

Importantly, $S_{\text{loss}}(p_T)$ should not be interpreted as the fraction of the energy lost in the QGP for jets that emerge with the given p_T in Pb+Pb collisions. As detailed in Refs. [37, 38], this extracted value is smaller than the true average energy loss. This is due to the steeply falling p_T spectrum and jet-to-jet fluctuations in the energy loss, which result in the fact that jets observed in Pb+Pb at a given p_T are more likely to be those with smaller than average energy loss. Nevertheless, the procedure above is clearly defined and is a useful way to quantify the difference in the magnitude of energy loss between different scenarios.

Even though the determination of S_{loss} is not strongly sensitive to the initial p_T^{jet} shape in pp collisions, there are other effects that modify the jet spectra in Pb+Pb collisions compared to those in pp collisions, which do not arise from energy loss but may impact the extracted S_{loss} values. These include effects originating from isospin (i.e., the different up- and down-quark composition of the nucleus compared to the proton, which decreases the rate of processes such as photon+jet production, as previously observed in p +Pb collisions [77]) and the modification of the PDFs in nuclei compared to those in free nucleons.

The possible quantitative impact of these effects can be explored using the generator-level simulation samples described at the end of Section 4. To determine the impact of the isospin and nPDF effects, the simulated cross-section in Pb+Pb events or in nPDF-weighted pp events, respectively, was compared with that in the original sample of pp events. The ratios of these modified cross-sections to the cross-section in pp collisions are shown in Figure 8 separately for photon-tagged and inclusive jets. While the isospin effect for inclusive jets is negligible, it causes the photon-tagged jet spectrum (and thus R_{AA}) in Pb+Pb collisions to decrease by 10–20% in the p_T^{jet} range of 100–300 GeV. The isospin effect is stronger at larger p_T^{jet} as the parton in the nucleus involved in the parton–parton scattering is more likely to come from a valence (up/down) quark at large Bjorken- x range. The nPDF effects on the photon-tagged and inclusive

jet R_{AA} are similar, leading to approximately a 5% enhancement at 100 GeV (an increase in the nuclear parton densities in the ‘anti-shadowing’ region) that then decreases with increasing p_T . Given the similar nPDF effects, it can be seen that the isospin effect for photon-tagged jets has the dominant impact in the comparisons. It decreases the photon-tagged jet yield in Pb+Pb events, thus causing an overestimate of the energy loss effects under the naive interpretation of S_{loss} and R_{AA} .

To test the potential impact of these effects on the S_{loss} results, the energy loss study is repeated after dividing the measured R_{AA} values by the simulation-derived values in Figure 8 to approximately correct for these effects. The updated S_{loss} values are shown as dashed lines in Figure 7. It can be seen that the differences in energy loss between photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets becomes even larger after accounting for the isospin and nPDF effects, further strengthening the evidence that quark-initiated jets lose less energy than gluon-initiated ones.

8.2 Theoretical comparisons

The R_{AA} results are compared with theoretical calculations of jet energy loss in the QGP that model the colour-charge dependence of the parton-QGP interaction in various ways. As discussed above, it is important for such calculations to properly model details such as the photon production processes (i.e., including fragmentation photons), the spectral shape, and the impact of the isospin and nPDF for a consistent comparison with the data. The five calculations described below typically meet most but not necessarily all these criteria.

The calculation from Takacs *et al.* [15, 16] includes a resummation of energy loss effects from hard, vacuum-like emissions occurring in the medium and the modelling of soft energy flow and recovery at the jet cone. The Takacs *et al.* calculations are presented with a range of the jet-medium coupling parameter $g_{\text{med}} = 2.2\text{--}2.3$. The predictions in Refs. [17, 18] are based on a linearised Boltzmann equation with diffusion model (LIDO). The LIDO calculations are presented with a range of values for the parameter $\mu = 1.3\pi T\text{--}1.8\pi T$, where T is the medium temperature and μ controls the strength of the parton coupling to the medium. The predictions labelled SCET_G [20–22] are based on a Langevin transport model including both collisional and radiative energy losses. The SCET_G calculations are presented with a range of the jet-medium coupling $g = 1.8\text{--}2.2$. The CoLBT predictions [23] are a linear Boltzmann transport (LBT) model, which includes elastic and inelastic processes based on perturbative QCD for both jet shower and recoil medium partons as they propagate through a QGP. JEWEL is a MC event generator that simulates QCD jet evolution in heavy-ion collisions, including radiative and elastic energy loss processes, and is configured to include medium recoils [78].

The left and middle panels of Figure 9 show the R_{AA} of photon-tagged jets and inclusive jets, respectively, in 0–10% central Pb+Pb collisions compared with the theoretical predictions. The ratio $R_{AA}^{\gamma\text{-jet}}/R_{AA}^{\text{inclusive jet}}$ is shown in the right panel, which in the theoretical predictions leads to the cancellation of some uncertainties common to both R_{AA} calculations. The inclusive jet R_{AA} , a commonly used benchmark to fix free parameters in theoretical models, is well described by all of the calculations. All the calculations except JEWEL qualitatively predict that the photon-tagged jet R_{AA} should be closer to unity than the inclusive jet R_{AA} , but the specific magnitude as a function of p_T^{jet} varies. The photon-tagged jet R_{AA} data points are generally larger than the central values of many of the calculations, but they are compatible with the CoLBT model and with the calculations by Takacs *et al.* and SCET_G within the range of their respective model parameters. Notably, several of the models predict the increase of the photon-tagged jet R_{AA} with decreasing p_T^{jet} observed in data at $p_T^{\text{jet}} \lesssim 80$ GeV. The models further predict that the $R_{AA}^{\gamma\text{-jet}}/R_{AA}^{\text{inclusive jet}}$




Figure 9: Comparison of R_{AA} between data and various theoretical predictions for (left panel) photon-tagged jets and (middle panel) inclusive jets. The right panel shows $R_{AA}^{\gamma\text{-jet}}/R_{AA}^{\text{inclusive jet}}$ compared between data and theory predictions. The vertical bars associated with symbols of the photon-tagged jet data indicate the statistical uncertainties and the total systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes in each p_T^{jet} bin. For inclusive jets, the boxes around the points indicate combined statistical and systematic uncertainties, although they are dominated by the latter. The bands of the theoretical calculations represent ranges of model parameters (see text). The vertical bars associated with the CoLBT calculation indicate the statistical uncertainties. The shaded bars on the left of the axis at $R_{AA} = 1$ indicate the p_T -independent uncertainties associated with the luminosity in pp collisions and $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for 0–10% Pb+Pb collisions, respectively.

ratio systematically decreases with increasing p_T^{jet} , as the quark-initiated fraction in the two samples become more similar, which is also qualitatively present in the data. However, the agreement with the models is worse, with only the CoLBT model describing the measured double ratio. Since these models otherwise described the inclusive jet R_{AA} well, this additional comparison highlights the need to test them against multiple observables simultaneously to evaluate the description of the colour-charge dependence of energy loss.

9 Conclusion

This Letter presents a measurement of photon-tagged jet production in 1.7 nb^{-1} of Pb+Pb and 260 pb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector. The cross-section of jets produced opposite in azimuth ($\Delta\phi > 7\pi/8$) to a $p_T^\gamma > 50 \text{ GeV}$ isolated photon is reported as a function of p_T^{jet} . This selection results in a sample of jets with a steeply falling p_T distribution and a large fraction of quark-initiated jets. The nuclear modification factor, R_{AA} , for photon-tagged jets is found to be suppressed below unity in a way that varies with centrality but only weakly with p_T^{jet} in the measured range. The fractional energy loss, S_{loss} , is determined to be approximately 0.10 with no strong p_T dependence within uncertainties in the 0–10% centrality interval. The photon-tagged jet R_{AA} (S_{loss}) is significantly higher (lower) than that for inclusive jets at the same p_T^{jet} and centrality, which instead have a large gluon-initiated jet fraction. The results are compared with a variety of theoretical calculations, which qualitatively describe aspects of the ordering between photon-tagged and inclusive jets, but tend to over-predict the amount of energy loss for the former. The data provide the strongest confirmation to date of larger jet quenching for gluon jets compared with quark jets.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; ANID, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; Minciencias, Colombia; MEYS CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS and CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF and MPG, Germany; GSRI, Greece; RGC and Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benozziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MEiN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DSI/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TENMAK, Türkiye; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, CANARIE, Compute Canada and CRC, Canada; PRIMUS 21/SCI/017 and UNCE SCI/013, Czech Republic; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex, Investissements d’Avenir Idex and ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and MINERVA, Israel; Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, Norway; NCN and NAWA, Poland; La Caixa Banking Foundation, CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya and PROMETEO and GenT Programmes Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; Göran Gustafssons Stiftelse, Sweden; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [79].

References

- [1] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, *Relativistic Fluid Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2019, ISBN: 978-1-108-48368-1, 978-1-108-75002-8, arXiv: [1712.05815 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [2] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, *Collective flow and viscosity in relativistic heavy-ion collisions*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63** (2013) 123, arXiv: [1301.2826 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [3] H. Elfner and B. Müller, *The exploration of hot and dense nuclear matter: Introduction to relativistic heavy-ion physics*, (2022), arXiv: [2210.12056 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [4] L. Cunqueiro and A. M. Sickles, *Studying the QGP with Jets at the LHC and RHIC*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **124** (2022) 103940, arXiv: [2110.14490 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [5] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders and P. Steinberg, *Glauber modeling in high energy nuclear collisions*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **57** (2007) 205, arXiv: [nucl-ex/0701025](#).

- [6] CMS Collaboration, *Measurement of transverse momentum relative to dijet systems in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, **JHEP** **01** (2016) 006, arXiv: [1509.09029 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of angular and momentum distributions of charged particles within and around jets in Pb+Pb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Phys. Rev. C** **100** (2019) 064901, arXiv: [1908.05264 \[hep-ex\]](#),
Erratum: **Phys. Rev. C** **101** (2019) 059903.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the nuclear modification factor for inclusive jets in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Phys. Lett. B** **790** (2019) 108, arXiv: [1805.05635 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [9] CMS Collaboration, *First measurement of large area jet transverse momentum spectra in heavy-ion collisions*, **JHEP** **05** (2021) 284, arXiv: [2102.13080 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [10] ALICE Collaboration, *Measurements of inclusive jet spectra in pp and central Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, **Phys. Rev. C** **101** (2020) 034911, arXiv: [1909.09718 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [11] K. Kovařík et al., *nCTEQ15 - Global analysis of nuclear parton distributions with uncertainties in the CTEQ framework*, **Phys. Rev. D** **93** (2016) 085037, arXiv: [1509.00792 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] K. J. Eskola, P. Paakkinen, H. Paukkunen and C. A. Salgado, *EPPS21: a global QCD analysis of nuclear PDFs*, **Eur. Phys. J. C** **82** (2022) 413, arXiv: [2112.12462 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] R. Abdul Khalek et al., *nNNPDF3.0: evidence for a modified partonic structure in heavy nuclei*, **Eur. Phys. J. C** **82** (2022) 507, arXiv: [2201.12363 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] M. Spousta and B. Cole, *Interpreting single jet measurements in Pb + Pb collisions at the LHC*, **Eur. Phys. J. C** **76** (2016) 50, arXiv: [1504.05169 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] Y. Mehtar-Tani, D. Pablos and K. Tywoniuk, *Cone-Size Dependence of Jet Suppression in Heavy-Ion Collisions*, **Phys. Rev. Lett.** **127** (2021) 252301, arXiv: [2101.01742 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] A. Takacs and K. Tywoniuk, *Quenching effects in the cumulative jet spectrum*, **JHEP** **10** (2021) 038, arXiv: [2103.14676 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] W. Ke, Y. Xu and S. A. Bass, *Modified Boltzmann approach for modeling the splitting vertices induced by the hot QCD medium in the deep Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal region*, **Phys. Rev. C** **100** (2019) 064911, arXiv: [1810.08177 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [18] W. Ke, Y. Xu and S. A. Bass, *Linearized Boltzmann-Langevin model for heavy quark transport in hot and dense QCD matter*, **Phys. Rev. C** **98** (2018) 064901, arXiv: [1806.08848 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [19] W. Ke and X.-N. Wang, *QGP modification to single inclusive jets in a calibrated transport model*, **JHEP** **05** (2021) 041, arXiv: [2010.13680 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] Z.-B. Kang, I. Vitev and H. Xing, *Vector-boson-tagged jet production in heavy ion collisions at energies available at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, **Phys. Rev. C** **96** (2017) 014912, arXiv: [1702.07276 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] H. T. Li and I. Vitev, *Inclusive heavy flavor jet production with semi-inclusive jet functions: from proton to heavy-ion collisions*, **JHEP** **07** (2019) 148, arXiv: [1811.07905 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [22] H. T. Li and I. Vitev, *Jet charge modification in dense QCD matter*, [*Phys. Rev. D* **101** \(2020\) 076020](#), arXiv: [1908.06979 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] Y. He et al., *Interplaying mechanisms behind single inclusive jet suppression in heavy-ion collisions*, [*Phys. Rev. C* **99** \(2019\) 054911](#), arXiv: [1809.02525 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [24] J. Brewer, J. Thaler and A. P. Turner, *Data-driven quark and gluon jet modification in heavy-ion collisions*, [*Phys. Rev. C* **103** \(2021\) L021901](#), arXiv: [2008.08596 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] J. Brewer, Q. Brodsky and K. Rajagopal, *Disentangling jet modification in jet simulations and in Z+jet data*, [*JHEP* **02** \(2022\) 175](#), arXiv: [2110.13159 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the nuclear modification factor of b-jets in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector*, (2022), arXiv: [2204.13530 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [27] CMS Collaboration, *Evidence of b-Jet Quenching in PbPb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** \(2014\) 132301](#), arXiv: [1312.4198 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, *Centrality, rapidity and transverse momentum dependence of isolated prompt photon production in lead–lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV measured with the ATLAS detector*, [*Phys. Rev. C* **93** \(2016\) 034914](#), arXiv: [1506.08552 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [29] CMS Collaboration, *The production of isolated photons in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, [*JHEP* **07** \(2020\) 116](#), arXiv: [2003.12797 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [30] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of $W^\pm$ boson production in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** \(2019\) 935](#), arXiv: [1907.10414 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [31] CMS Collaboration, *Using Z boson events to study parton–medium interactions in PbPb collisions*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** \(2021\) 122301](#), arXiv: [2103.04377 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [32] X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang and I. Sarcevic, *Jet quenching in the opposite direction of a tagged photon in high-energy heavy ion collisions*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** \(1996\) 231](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/9605213](#).
- [33] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of photon-jet transverse momentum correlations in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb and pp collisions with ATLAS*, [*Phys. Lett. B* **789** \(2019\) 167](#), arXiv: [1809.07280 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, *Medium-Induced Modification of Z-Tagged Charged Particle Yields in Pb+Pb Collisions at 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS Detector*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** \(2021\) 072301](#), arXiv: [2008.09811 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, *Comparison of Fragmentation Functions for Jets Dominated by Light Quarks and Gluons from pp and Pb+Pb Collisions in ATLAS*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** \(2019\) 042001](#), arXiv: [1902.10007 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [36] PHENIX Collaboration, *Formation of dense partonic matter in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC: Experimental evaluation by the PHENIX collaboration*, [*Nucl. Phys. A* **757** \(2005\) 184](#), arXiv: [nucl-ex/0410003](#).

- [37] PHENIX Collaboration, *Detailed study of high- p_T neutral pion suppression and azimuthal anisotropy in Au+Au Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV*, *Phys. Rev. C* **76** (2007) 034904, arXiv: [nucl-ex/0611007](#).
- [38] PHENIX Collaboration, *Scaling properties of fractional momentum loss of high- p_T hadrons in nucleus-nucleus collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ from 62.4 GeV to 2.76 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. C* **93** (2016) 024911, arXiv: [1509.06735 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [39] J. Brewer, J. G. Milhano and J. Thaler, *Sorting out quenched jets*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 222301, arXiv: [1812.05111 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08003.
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 317, arXiv: [1611.09661 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [42] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Collaboration Software and Firmware*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187>.
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of electron and photon triggers in ATLAS during LHC Run 2*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 47, arXiv: [1909.00761 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, *Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ suppression at high transverse momentum in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS experiment*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 762, arXiv: [1805.04077 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, *Electron and photon performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015–2017 LHC proton–proton collision data*, *JINST* **14** (2019) P12006, arXiv: [1908.00005 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the jet radius and transverse momentum dependence of inclusive jet suppression in lead–lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **719** (2013) 220, arXiv: [1208.1967 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [47] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the photon identification efficiencies with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run 2 data collected in 2015 and 2016*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 205, arXiv: [1810.05087 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [48] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, arXiv: [0802.1189 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [49] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet User Manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, arXiv: [1111.6097 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [50] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy measurement and its systematic uncertainty in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 17, arXiv: [1406.0076 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [51] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 072002, arXiv: [1703.09665 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [52] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy scale and its uncertainty for jets reconstructed using the ATLAS heavy ion jet algorithm*, ATLAS-CONF-2015-016, 2015, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2008677>.

- [53] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852, arXiv: [0710.3820 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [54] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021, 2014, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1966419>.
- [55] R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions with LHC data*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **867** (2013) 244, arXiv: [1207.1303 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [56] E. Bothmann et al., *Event generation with Sherpa 2.2*, *SciPost Phys.* **7** (2019) 034, arXiv: [1905.09127 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [57] F. Siegert, *A practical guide to event generation for prompt photon production with Sherpa*, *J. Phys. G* **44** (2017) 044007, arXiv: [1611.07226 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [58] R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions for the LHC Run II*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040, arXiv: [1410.8849 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] J. Bellm et al., *Herwig 7.0/Herwig++ 3.0 release note*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 196, arXiv: [1512.01178 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [60] L. Harland-Lang, A. Martin, P. Motylinski and R. Thorne, *Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 204, arXiv: [1412.3989 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4—a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250.
- [62] ATLAS Collaboration, *Light-quark and gluon jet discrimination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3023, arXiv: [1405.6583 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [63] K. J. Eskola, P. Paakkinen, H. Paukkunen and C. A. Salgado, *EPPS16: Nuclear parton distributions with LHC data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 163, arXiv: [1612.05741 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [64] ATLAS Collaboration, *Dynamics of isolated-photon plus jet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **875** (2013) 483, arXiv: [1307.6795 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 005, arXiv: [1605.03495 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [66] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the cross section for inclusive isolated-photon production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **770** (2017) 473, arXiv: [1701.06882 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [67] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photons cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector using 4.6fb^{-1}* , *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 052004, arXiv: [1311.1440 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [68] ATLAS Collaboration, *High- E_T isolated-photon plus jets production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **918** (2017) 257, arXiv: [1611.06586 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [69] G. D’Agostini, *A Multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes’ theorem*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **362** (1995) 487.

- [70] T. Adye, *Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold*, Proceedings, PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland 17-20 January 2011 (2011) p. 313, arXiv: [1105.1160 \[physics.data-an\]](#).
- [71] ATLAS Collaboration, *Electron and photon energy calibration with the ATLAS detector using 2015–2016 LHC proton–proton collision data*, [JINST **14** \(2019\) P03017](#), arXiv: [1812.03848 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements of azimuthal anisotropies of jet production in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [Phys. Rev. C **105** \(2021\) 064903](#), arXiv: [2111.06606 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [73] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy measurement with the ATLAS detector in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **73** \(2013\) 2304](#), arXiv: [1112.6426 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [74] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy resolution in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **73** \(2013\) 2306](#), arXiv: [1210.6210 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [75] ATLAS Collaboration, *Determination of jet calibration and energy resolution in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **80** \(2020\) 1104](#), arXiv: [1910.04482 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [76] ATLAS Collaboration, *Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, (2022), arXiv: [2212.09379 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [77] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of prompt photon production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV p+Pb collisions with ATLAS*, [Phys. Lett. B **796** \(2019\) 230](#), arXiv: [1903.02209 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [78] R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli and K. C. Zapp, *Simulating V+jet processes in heavy ion collisions with JEWEL*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **76** \(2016\) 695](#), arXiv: [1608.03099 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-003, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2776662>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad ¹⁰², B. Abbott ¹²⁰, K. Abeling ⁵⁵, N.J. Abicht ⁴⁹, S.H. Abidi ²⁹, A. Aboulhorma ^{35e},
H. Abramowicz ¹⁵¹, H. Abreu ¹⁵⁰, Y. Abulaiti ¹¹⁷, A.C. Abusleme Hoffman ^{137a},
B.S. Acharya ^{69a,69b,n}, C. Adam Bourdarios ⁴, L. Adamczyk ^{85a}, L. Adamek ¹⁵⁵,
S.V. Addepalli ²⁶, J. Adelman ¹¹⁵, A. Adiguzel ^{21c}, T. Adye ¹³⁴, A.A. Affolder ¹³⁶, Y. Afik ³⁶,
M.N. Agaras ¹³, J. Agarwala ^{73a,73b}, A. Aggarwal ¹⁰⁰, C. Agheorghiesei ^{27c}, A. Ahmad ³⁶,
F. Ahmadov ^{38,y}, W.S. Ahmed ¹⁰⁴, S. Ahuja ⁹⁵, X. Ai ^{62a}, G. Aielli ^{76a,76b}, M. Ait Tamlihat ^{35e},
B. Aitbenkikh ^{35a}, I. Aizenberg ¹⁶⁸, M. Akbiyik ¹⁰⁰, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁹⁸, A.V. Akimov ³⁷,
D. Akiyama ¹⁶⁷, N.N. Akolkar ²⁴, K. Al Khoury ⁴¹, G.L. Alberghi ^{23b}, J. Albert ¹⁶⁴,
P. Albicocco ⁵³, G.L. Albouy ⁶⁰, S. Alderweireldt ⁵², M. Aleksa ³⁶, I.N. Aleksandrov ³⁸,
C. Alexa ^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, A. Alfonsi ¹¹⁴, F. Alfonsi ^{23b}, M. Algren ⁵⁶, M. Alhroob ¹²⁰,
B. Ali ¹³², H.M.J. Ali ⁹¹, S. Ali ¹⁴⁸, S.W. Alibocus ⁹², M. Aliev ³⁷, G. Alimonti ^{71a},
W. Alkakh ⁵⁵, C. Allaire ⁶⁶, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁴⁶, J.F. Allen ⁵², C.A. Allendes Flores ^{137f},
P.P. Allport ²⁰, A. Aloisio ^{72a,72b}, F. Alonso ⁹⁰, C. Alpigiani ¹³⁸, M. Alvarez Estevez ⁹⁹,
A. Alvarez Fernandez ¹⁰⁰, M.G. Alviggi ^{72a,72b}, M. Aly ¹⁰¹, Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{82b},
A. Ambler ¹⁰⁴, C. Amelung ³⁶, M. Amerl ¹⁰¹, C.G. Ames ¹⁰⁹, D. Amidei ¹⁰⁶,
S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{130a}, K.R. Amos ¹⁶², V. Ananiev ¹²⁵, C. Anastopoulos ¹³⁹, T. Andeen ¹¹,
J.K. Anders ³⁶, S.Y. Andrean ^{47a,47b}, A. Andreazza ^{71a,71b}, S. Angelidakis ⁹, A. Angerami ^{41,ab},
A.V. Anisenkov ³⁷, A. Annovi ^{74a}, C. Antel ⁵⁶, M.T. Anthony ¹³⁹, E. Antipov ¹⁴⁵,
M. Antonelli ⁵³, D.J.A. Antrim ^{17a}, F. Anulli ^{75a}, M. Aoki ⁸³, T. Aoki ¹⁵³, J.A. Aparisi Pozo ¹⁶²,
M.A. Aparo ¹⁴⁶, L. Aperio Bella ⁴⁸, C. Appelt ¹⁸, N. Aranzabal ³⁶, C. Arcangeletti ⁵³,
A.T.H. Arce ⁵¹, E. Arena ⁹², J-F. Arguin ¹⁰⁸, S. Argyropoulos ⁵⁴, J.-H. Arling ⁴⁸,
A.J. Armbruster ³⁶, O. Arnaez ⁴, H. Arnold ¹¹⁴, Z.P. Arrubarrena Tame ¹⁰⁹, G. Artoni ^{75a,75b},
H. Asada ¹¹¹, K. Asai ¹¹⁸, S. Asai ¹⁵³, N.A. Asbah ⁶¹, J. Assahsah ^{35d}, K. Assamagan ²⁹,
R. Astalos ^{28a}, S. Atashi ¹⁵⁹, R.J. Atkin ^{33a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁶¹, N.B. Atlay ¹⁸, H. Atmani ^{62b},
P.A. Atmasiddha ¹⁰⁶, K. Augsten ¹³², S. Auricchio ^{72a,72b}, A.D. Auriol ²⁰, V.A. Austrup ¹⁰¹,
G. Avolio ³⁶, K. Axiotis ⁵⁶, G. Azuelos ^{108,ad}, D. Babal ^{28b}, H. Bachacou ¹³⁵, K. Bachas ^{152,q},
A. Bachiu ³⁴, F. Backman ^{47a,47b}, A. Badea ⁶¹, P. Bagnaia ^{75a,75b}, M. Bahmani ¹⁸,
A.J. Bailey ¹⁶², V.R. Bailey ¹⁶¹, J.T. Baines ¹³⁴, C. Bakalis ¹⁰, O.K. Baker ¹⁷¹, E. Bakos ¹⁵,
D. Bakshi Gupta ⁸, R. Balasubramanian ¹¹⁴, E.M. Baldin ³⁷, P. Balek ^{85a}, E. Ballabene ^{23b,23a},
F. Balli ¹³⁵, L.M. Baltes ^{63a}, W.K. Balunas ³², J. Balz ¹⁰⁰, E. Banas ⁸⁶, M. Bandieramonte ¹²⁹,
A. Bandyopadhyay ²⁴, S. Bansal ²⁴, L. Barak ¹⁵¹, M. Barakat ⁴⁸, E.L. Barberio ¹⁰⁵,
D. Barberis ^{57b,57a}, M. Barbero ¹⁰², G. Barbour ⁹⁶, K.N. Barends ^{33a}, T. Barillari ¹¹⁰,
M-S. Barisits ³⁶, T. Barklow ¹⁴³, P. Baron ¹²², D.A. Baron Moreno ¹⁰¹, A. Baroncelli ^{62a},
G. Barone ²⁹, A.J. Barr ¹²⁶, J.D. Barr ⁹⁶, L. Barranco Navarro ^{47a,47b}, F. Barreiro ⁹⁹,
J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ^{14a}, U. Barron ¹⁵¹, M.G. Barros Teixeira ^{130a}, S. Barsov ³⁷,
F. Bartels ^{63a}, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴³, A.E. Barton ⁹¹, P. Bartos ^{28a}, A. Basan ¹⁰⁰, M. Baselga ⁴⁹,
A. Bassalat ⁶⁶, M.J. Basso ¹⁵⁵, C.R. Basson ¹⁰¹, R.L. Bates ⁵⁹, S. Batlamous ^{35e}, J.R. Batley ³²,
B. Batool ¹⁴¹, M. Battaglia ¹³⁶, D. Battulga ¹⁸, M. Baue ^{75a,75b}, M. Bauer ³⁶, P. Bauer ²⁴,
L.T. Bazzano Hurrell ³⁰, J.B. Beacham ⁵¹, T. Beau ¹²⁷, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁵⁸, F. Becherer ⁵⁴,
P. Bechtle ²⁴, H.P. Beck ^{19,p}, K. Becker ¹⁶⁶, A.J. Beddall ^{21d}, V.A. Bednyakov ³⁸, C.P. Bee ¹⁴⁵,
L.J. Beemster ¹⁵, T.A. Beermann ³⁶, M. Begalli ^{82d,82d}, M. Begel ²⁹, A. Behera ¹⁴⁵, J.K. Behr ⁴⁸,
J.F. Beirer ⁵⁵, F. Beisiegel ²⁴, M. Belfkir ^{116b}, G. Bella ¹⁵¹, L. Bellagamba ^{23b}, A. Bellerive ³⁴,
P. Bellos ²⁰, K. Beloborodov ³⁷, N.L. Belyaev ³⁷, D. Benchebroun ^{35a}, F. Bendebba ^{35a},
Y. Benhammou ¹⁵¹, M. Benoit ²⁹, J.R. Bensinger ²⁶, S. Bentvelsen ¹¹⁴, L. Beresford ⁴⁸,

M. Beretta ⁵³, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁰, N. Berger ⁴, B. Bergmann ¹³², J. Beringer ^{17a},
G. Bernardi ⁵, C. Bernius ¹⁴³, F.U. Bernlochner ²⁴, F. Bernon ^{36,102}, T. Berry ⁹⁵, P. Berta ¹³³,
A. Berthold ⁵⁰, I.A. Bertram ⁹¹, S. Bethke ¹¹⁰, A. Betti ^{75a,75b}, A.J. Bevan ⁹⁴, M. Bhamjee ^{33c},
S. Bhatta ¹⁴⁵, D.S. Bhattacharya ¹⁶⁵, P. Bhattacharya ²⁶, V.S. Bhopatkar ¹²¹, R. Bi ^{29,af},
R.M. Bianchi ¹²⁹, G. Bianco ^{23b,23a}, O. Biebel ¹⁰⁹, R. Bielski ¹²³, M. Biglietti ^{77a},
T.R.V. Billoud ¹³², M. Bindi ⁵⁵, A. Bingul ^{21b}, C. Bini ^{75a,75b}, A. Biondini ⁹²,
C.J. Birch-sykes ¹⁰¹, G.A. Bird ^{20,134}, M. Birman ¹⁶⁸, M. Biros ¹³³, T. Bisanz ⁴⁹,
E. Bisceglie ^{43b,43a}, D. Biswas ¹⁴¹, A. Bitadze ¹⁰¹, K. Bjørke ¹²⁵, I. Bloch ⁴⁸, C. Blocker ²⁶,
A. Blue ⁵⁹, U. Blumenschein ⁹⁴, J. Blumenthal ¹⁰⁰, G.J. Bobbink ¹¹⁴, V.S. Bobrovnikov ³⁷,
M. Boehler ⁵⁴, B. Boehm ¹⁶⁵, D. Bogavac ³⁶, A.G. Bogdanchikov ³⁷, C. Bohm ^{47a},
V. Boisvert ⁹⁵, P. Bokan ⁴⁸, T. Bold ^{85a}, M. Bomben ⁵, M. Bona ⁹⁴, M. Boonekamp ¹³⁵,
C.D. Booth ⁹⁵, A.G. Borbély ⁵⁹, I.S. Bordulev ³⁷, H.M. Borecka-Bielska ¹⁰⁸, L.S. Borgna ⁹⁶,
G. Borissov ⁹¹, D. Bortoletto ¹²⁶, D. Boscherini ^{23b}, M. Bosman ¹³, J.D. Bossio Sola ³⁶,
K. Bouaouda ^{35a}, N. Bouchhar ¹⁶², J. Boudreau ¹²⁹, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁹¹, D. Boumediene ⁴⁰,
R. Bouquet ⁵, A. Boveia ¹¹⁹, J. Boyd ³⁶, D. Boye ²⁹, I.R. Boyko ³⁸, J. Bracinik ²⁰,
N. Brahimi ^{62d}, G. Brandt ¹⁷⁰, O. Brandt ³², F. Braren ⁴⁸, B. Brau ¹⁰³, J.E. Brau ¹²³,
R. Brenner ¹⁶⁸, L. Brenner ¹¹⁴, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁰, S. Bressler ¹⁶⁸, D. Britton ⁵⁹, D. Britzger ¹¹⁰,
I. Brock ²⁴, G. Brooijmans ⁴¹, W.K. Brooks ^{137f}, E. Brost ²⁹, L.M. Brown ^{164,1}, L.E. Bruce ⁶¹,
T.L. Bruckler ¹²⁶, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom ⁸⁶, B. Brüers ⁴⁸, D. Bruncko ^{28b,*}, A. Bruni ^{23b},
G. Bruni ^{23b}, M. Bruschi ^{23b}, N. Bruscino ^{75a,75b}, T. Buanes ¹⁶, Q. Buat ¹³⁸, D. Buchin ¹¹⁰,
A.G. Buckley ⁵⁹, M.K. Bugge ¹²⁵, O. Bulekov ³⁷, B.A. Bullard ¹⁴³, S. Burdin ⁹²,
C.D. Burgard ⁴⁹, A.M. Burger ⁴⁰, B. Burghgrave ⁸, O. Burlayenko ⁵⁴, J.T.P. Burr ³²,
C.D. Burton ¹¹, J.C. Burzynski ¹⁴², E.L. Busch ⁴¹, V. Büscher ¹⁰⁰, P.J. Bussey ⁵⁹,
J.M. Butler ²⁵, C.M. Buttar ⁵⁹, J.M. Butterworth ⁹⁶, W. Buttinger ¹³⁴, C.J. Buxo Vazquez ¹⁰⁷,
A.R. Buzykaev ³⁷, G. Cabras ^{23b}, S. Cabrera Urbán ¹⁶², D. Caforio ⁵⁸, H. Cai ¹²⁹, Y. Cai ^{14a,14e},
V.M.M. Cairo ³⁶, O. Cakir ^{3a}, N. Calace ³⁶, P. Calafiura ^{17a}, G. Calderini ¹²⁷, P. Calfayan ⁶⁸,
G. Callea ⁵⁹, L.P. Caloba ^{82b}, D. Calvet ⁴⁰, S. Calvet ⁴⁰, T.P. Calvet ¹⁰², M. Calvetti ^{74a,74b},
R. Camacho Toro ¹²⁷, S. Camarda ³⁶, D. Camarero Munoz ²⁶, P. Camarri ^{76a,76b},
M.T. Camerlingo ^{72a,72b}, D. Cameron ¹²⁵, C. Camincher ¹⁶⁴, M. Campanelli ⁹⁶, A. Camplani ⁴²,
V. Canale ^{72a,72b}, A. Canesse ¹⁰⁴, M. Cano Bret ⁸⁰, J. Cantero ¹⁶², Y. Cao ¹⁶¹, F. Capocasa ²⁶,
M. Capua ^{43b,43a}, A. Carbone ^{71a,71b}, R. Cardarelli ^{76a}, J.C.J. Cardenas ⁸, F. Cardillo ¹⁶²,
T. Carli ³⁶, G. Carlino ^{72a}, J.I. Carlotto ¹³, B.T. Carlson ^{129,r}, E.M. Carlson ^{164,156a},
L. Carminati ^{71a,71b}, A. Carnelli ¹³⁵, M. Carnesale ^{75a,75b}, S. Caron ¹¹³, E. Carquin ^{137f},
S. Carrá ^{71a,71b}, G. Carratta ^{23b,23a}, F. Carrio Argos ^{33g}, J.W.S. Carter ¹⁵⁵, T.M. Carter ⁵²,
M.P. Casado ^{13,i}, M. Caspar ⁴⁸, E.G. Castiglia ¹⁷¹, F.L. Castillo ⁴, L. Castillo Garcia ¹³,
V. Castillo Gimenez ¹⁶², N.F. Castro ^{130a,130e}, A. Catinaccio ³⁶, J.R. Catmore ¹²⁵, V. Cavaliere ²⁹,
N. Cavalli ^{23b,23a}, V. Cavasinni ^{74a,74b}, Y.C. Cekmecelioglu ⁴⁸, E. Celebi ^{21a}, F. Celli ¹²⁶,
M.S. Centonze ^{70a,70b}, K. Cerny ¹²², A.S. Cerqueira ^{82a}, A. Cerri ¹⁴⁶, L. Cerrito ^{76a,76b},
F. Cerutti ^{17a}, B. Cervato ¹⁴¹, A. Cervelli ^{23b}, G. Cesarini ⁵³, S.A. Cetin ^{21d}, Z. Chadi ^{35a},
D. Chakraborty ¹¹⁵, M. Chala ^{130f}, J. Chan ¹⁶⁹, W.Y. Chan ¹⁵³, J.D. Chapman ³²,
E. Chapon ¹³⁵, B. Chargeishvili ^{149b}, D.G. Charlton ²⁰, T.P. Charman ⁹⁴, M. Chatterjee ¹⁹,
C. Chauhan ¹³³, S. Chekanov ⁶, S.V. Chekulaev ^{156a}, G.A. Chelkov ^{38,a}, A. Chen ¹⁰⁶,
B. Chen ¹⁵¹, B. Chen ¹⁶⁴, H. Chen ^{14c}, H. Chen ²⁹, J. Chen ^{62c}, J. Chen ¹⁴², M. Chen ¹²⁶,
S. Chen ¹⁵³, S.J. Chen ^{14c}, X. Chen ^{62c}, X. Chen ^{14b,ac}, Y. Chen ^{62a}, C.L. Cheng ¹⁶⁹,
H.C. Cheng ^{64a}, S. Cheong ¹⁴³, A. Cheplakov ³⁸, E. Cheremushkina ⁴⁸, E. Cherepanova ¹¹⁴,
R. Cherkaoui El Moursli ^{35e}, E. Cheu ⁷, K. Cheung ⁶⁵, L. Chevalier ¹³⁵, V. Chiarella ⁵³,
G. Chiarelli ^{74a}, N. Chiedde ¹⁰², G. Chiodini ^{70a}, A.S. Chisholm ²⁰, A. Chitan ^{27b},

M. Chitishvili ¹⁶², M.V. Chizhov ³⁸, K. Choi ¹¹, A.R. Chomont ^{75a,75b}, Y. Chou ¹⁰³,
E.Y.S. Chow ¹¹⁴, T. Chowdhury ^{33g}, K.L. Chu ¹⁶⁸, M.C. Chu ^{64a}, X. Chu ^{14a,14e}, J. Chudoba ¹³¹,
J.J. Chwastowski ⁸⁶, D. Cieri ¹¹⁰, K.M. Ciesla ^{85a}, V. Cindro ⁹³, A. Ciocio ^{17a}, F. Cirotto ^{72a,72b},
Z.H. Citron ¹⁶⁸, M. Citterio ^{71a}, D.A. Ciubotaru ^{27b}, B.M. Ciungu ¹⁵⁵, A. Clark ⁵⁶, P.J. Clark ⁵²,
J.M. Clavijo Columbie ⁴⁸, S.E. Clawson ⁴⁸, C. Clement ^{47a,47b}, J. Clercx ⁴⁸, L. Clissa ^{23b,23a},
Y. Coadou ¹⁰², M. Cobal ^{69a,69c}, A. Cocco ^{57b}, R.F. Coelho Barrue ^{130a},
R. Coelho Lopes De Sa ¹⁰³, S. Coelli ^{71a}, H. Cohen ¹⁵¹, A.E.C. Coimbra ^{71a,71b}, B. Cole ⁴¹,
J. Collot ⁶⁰, P. Conde Muño ^{130a,130g}, M.P. Connell ^{33c}, S.H. Connell ^{33c}, I.A. Connelly ⁵⁹,
E.I. Conroy ¹²⁶, F. Conventi ^{72a,ae}, H.G. Cooke ²⁰, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar ¹²⁶,
A. Cordeiro Oudot Choi ¹²⁷, F. Cormier ¹⁶³, L.D. Corpe ⁴⁰, M. Corradi ^{75a,75b}, F. Corriveau ^{104,w},
A. Cortes-Gonzalez ¹⁸, M.J. Costa ¹⁶², F. Costanza ⁴, D. Costanzo ¹³⁹, B.M. Cote ¹¹⁹,
G. Cowan ⁹⁵, K. Cranmer ¹⁶⁹, D. Cremonini ^{23b,23a}, S. Crépé-Renaudin ⁶⁰, F. Crescioli ¹²⁷,
M. Cristinziani ¹⁴¹, M. Cristoforetti ^{78a,78b}, V. Croft ¹¹⁴, J.E. Crosby ¹²¹, G. Crosetti ^{43b,43a},
A. Cueto ⁹⁹, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹⁵⁹, H. Cui ^{14a,14e}, Z. Cui ⁷, W.R. Cunningham ⁵⁹,
F. Curcio ^{43b,43a}, P. Czodrowski ³⁶, M.M. Czurylo ^{63b}, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{62a},
J.V. Da Fonseca Pinto ^{82b}, C. Da Via ¹⁰¹, W. Dabrowski ^{85a}, T. Dado ⁴⁹, S. Dahbi ^{33g},
T. Dai ¹⁰⁶, C. Dallapiccola ¹⁰³, M. Dam ⁴², G. D'amen ²⁹, V. D'Amico ¹⁰⁹, J. Damp ¹⁰⁰,
J.R. Dandoy ¹²⁸, M.F. Daneri ³⁰, M. Danninger ¹⁴², V. Dao ³⁶, G. Darbo ^{57b}, S. Darmora ⁶,
S.J. Das ²⁹, S. D'Auria ^{71a,71b}, C. David ^{156b}, T. Davidek ¹³³, B. Davis-Purcell ³⁴, I. Dawson ⁹⁴,
H.A. Day-hall ¹³², K. De ⁸, R. De Asmundis ^{72a}, N. De Biase ⁴⁸, S. De Castro ^{23b,23a},
N. De Groot ¹¹³, P. de Jong ¹¹⁴, H. De la Torre ¹⁰⁷, A. De Maria ^{14c}, A. De Salvo ^{75a},
U. De Sanctis ^{76a,76b}, A. De Santo ¹⁴⁶, J.B. De Vivie De Regie ⁶⁰, D.V. Dedovich ³⁸, J. Degens ¹¹⁴,
A.M. Deiana ⁴⁴, F. Del Corso ^{23b,23a}, J. Del Peso ⁹⁹, F. Del Rio ^{63a}, F. Deliot ¹³⁵,
C.M. Delitzsch ⁴⁹, M. Della Pietra ^{72a,72b}, D. Della Volpe ⁵⁶, A. Dell'Acqua ³⁶,
L. Dell'Asta ^{71a,71b}, M. Delmastro ⁴, P.A. Delsart ⁶⁰, S. Demers ¹⁷¹, M. Demichev ³⁸,
S.P. Denisov ³⁷, L. D'Eramo ⁴⁰, D. Derendarz ⁸⁶, F. Derue ¹²⁷, P. Dervan ⁹², K. Desch ²⁴,
C. Deutsch ²⁴, F.A. Di Bello ^{57b,57a}, A. Di Ciaccio ^{76a,76b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁴,
A. Di Domenico ^{75a,75b}, C. Di Donato ^{72a,72b}, A. Di Girolamo ³⁶, G. Di Gregorio ⁵,
A. Di Luca ^{78a,78b}, B. Di Micco ^{77a,77b}, R. Di Nardo ^{77a,77b}, C. Diaconu ¹⁰², F.A. Dias ¹¹⁴,
T. Dias Do Vale ¹⁴², M.A. Diaz ^{137a,137b}, F.G. Diaz Capriles ²⁴, M. Didenko ¹⁶², E.B. Diehl ¹⁰⁶,
L. Diehl ⁵⁴, S. Díez Cornell ⁴⁸, C. Díez Pardos ¹⁴¹, C. Dimitriadi ^{24,160}, A. Dimitrievska ^{17a},
J. Dingfelder ²⁴, I-M. Dinu ^{27b}, S.J. Dittmeier ^{63b}, F. Dittus ³⁶, F. Djama ¹⁰², T. Djobava ^{149b},
J.I. Djuvsland ¹⁶, C. Doglioni ^{101,98}, J. Dolejsi ¹³³, Z. Dolezal ¹³³, M. Donadelli ^{82c},
B. Dong ¹⁰⁷, J. Donini ⁴⁰, A. D'Onofrio ^{77a,77b}, M. D'Onofrio ⁹², J. Dopke ¹³⁴, A. Doria ^{72a},
N. Dos Santos Fernandes ^{130a}, M.T. Dova ⁹⁰, A.T. Doyle ⁵⁹, M.A. Draguet ¹²⁶, E. Dreyer ¹⁶⁸,
I. Drivas-koulouris ¹⁰, A.S. Drobac ¹⁵⁸, M. Drozdova ⁵⁶, D. Du ^{62a}, T.A. du Pree ¹¹⁴,
F. Dubinin ³⁷, M. Dubovsky ^{28a}, E. Duchovni ¹⁶⁸, G. Duckeck ¹⁰⁹, O.A. Ducu ^{27b}, D. Duda ⁵²,
A. Dudarev ³⁶, E.R. Duden ²⁶, M. D'uffizi ¹⁰¹, L. Duflost ⁶⁶, M. Dührssen ³⁶, C. Dülse ¹⁷⁰,
A.E. Dumitriu ^{27b}, M. Dunford ^{63a}, S. Dungs ⁴⁹, K. Dunne ^{47a,47b}, A. Duperrin ¹⁰²,
H. Duran Yildiz ^{3a}, M. Düren ⁵⁸, A. Durglishvili ^{149b}, B.L. Dwyer ¹¹⁵, G.I. Dyckes ^{17a},
M. Dyndal ^{85a}, S. Dysch ¹⁰¹, B.S. Dziedzic ⁸⁶, Z.O. Earnshaw ¹⁴⁶, G.H. Eberwein ¹²⁶,
B. Eckerova ^{28a}, S. Eggebrecht ⁵⁵, M.G. Eggleston ⁵¹, E. Egidio Purcino De Souza ¹²⁷,
L.F. Ehrke ⁵⁶, G. Eigen ¹⁶, K. Einsweiler ^{17a}, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁰, P.A. Ekman ⁹⁸, Y. El Ghazali ^{35b},
H. El Jarrari ^{35e,148}, A. El Moussaouy ^{35a}, V. Ellajosyula ¹⁶⁰, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁰, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁰,
A.A. Elliot ⁹⁴, N. Ellis ³⁶, J. Elmsheuser ²⁹, M. Elsing ³⁶, D. Emelianov ¹³⁴, Y. Enari ¹⁵³,
I. Ene ^{17a}, S. Epari ¹³, J. Erdmann ⁴⁹, P.A. Erland ⁸⁶, M. Errenst ¹⁷⁰, M. Escalier ⁶⁶,
C. Escobar ¹⁶², E. Etzion ¹⁵¹, G. Evans ^{130a}, H. Evans ⁶⁸, L.S. Evans ⁹⁵, M.O. Evans ¹⁴⁶,

A. Ezhilov ³⁷, S. Ezzarqtouni ^{35a}, F. Fabbri ⁵⁹, L. Fabbri ^{23b,23a}, G. Facini ⁹⁶, V. Fadeyev ¹³⁶, R.M. Fakhrtudinov ³⁷, S. Falciano ^{75a}, L.F. Falda Ulhoa Coelho ³⁶, P.J. Falke ²⁴, J. Faltova ¹³³, C. Fan ¹⁶¹, Y. Fan ^{14a}, Y. Fang ^{14a,14e}, M. Fanti ^{71a,71b}, M. Faraj ^{69a,69b}, Z. Farazpay ⁹⁷, A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{77a}, T. Farooque ¹⁰⁷, S.M. Farrington ⁵², F. Fassi ^{35e}, D. Fassouliotis ⁹, M. Faucci Giannelli ^{76a,76b}, W.J. Fawcett ³², L. Fayard ⁶⁶, P. Federic ¹³³, P. Federicova ¹³¹, O.L. Fedin ^{37,a}, G. Fedotov ³⁷, M. Feickert ¹⁶⁹, L. Feligioni ¹⁰², A. Fell ¹³⁹, D.E. Fellers ¹²³, C. Feng ^{62b}, M. Feng ^{14b}, Z. Feng ¹¹⁴, M.J. Fenton ¹⁵⁹, A.B. Fenyuk ³⁷, L. Ferencz ⁴⁸, R.A.M. Ferguson ⁹¹, S.I. Fernandez Luengo ^{137f}, J.A. Fernandez Pretel ⁵⁴, M.J.V. Fernoux ¹⁰², J. Ferrando ⁴⁸, A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁰, P. Ferrari ^{114,113}, R. Ferrari ^{73a}, D. Ferrere ⁵⁶, C. Ferretti ¹⁰⁶, F. Fiedler ¹⁰⁰, A. Filipčič ⁹³, E.K. Filmer ¹, F. Filthaut ¹¹³, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{130a,130c,c}, L. Fiorini ¹⁶², W.C. Fisher ¹⁰⁷, T. Fitschen ¹⁰¹, P.M. Fitzhugh ¹³⁵, I. Fleck ¹⁴¹, P. Fleischmann ¹⁰⁶, T. Flick ¹⁷⁰, L. Flores ¹²⁸, M. Flores ^{33d}, L.R. Flores Castillo ^{64a}, L. Flores Sanz De Acedo ³⁶, F.M. Follega ^{78a,78b}, N. Fomin ¹⁶, J.H. Foo ¹⁵⁵, B.C. Forland ⁶⁸, A. Formica ¹³⁵, A.C. Forti ¹⁰¹, E. Fortin ³⁶, A.W. Fortman ⁶¹, M.G. Foti ^{17a}, L. Fountas ⁹, D. Fournier ⁶⁶, H. Fox ⁹¹, P. Francavilla ^{74a,74b}, S. Francescato ⁶¹, S. Franchellucci ⁵⁶, M. Franchini ^{23b,23a}, S. Franchino ^{63a}, D. Francis ³⁶, L. Franco ¹¹³, L. Franconi ⁴⁸, M. Franklin ⁶¹, G. Frattari ²⁶, A.C. Freegard ⁹⁴, W.S. Freund ^{82b}, Y.Y. Frid ¹⁵¹, N. Fritzsche ⁵⁰, A. Froch ⁵⁴, D. Froidevaux ³⁶, J.A. Frost ¹²⁶, Y. Fu ^{62a}, M. Fujimoto ¹¹⁸, E. Fullana Torregrosa ^{162,*}, K.Y. Fung ^{64a}, E. Furtado De Simas Filho ^{82b}, M. Furukawa ¹⁵³, J. Fuster ¹⁶², A. Gabrielli ^{23b,23a}, A. Gabrielli ¹⁵⁵, P. Gadow ⁴⁸, G. Gagliardi ^{57b,57a}, L.G. Gagnon ^{17a}, E.J. Gallas ¹²⁶, B.J. Gallop ¹³⁴, K.K. Gan ¹¹⁹, S. Ganguly ¹⁵³, J. Gao ^{62a}, Y. Gao ⁵², F.M. Garay Walls ^{137a,137b}, B. Garcia ^{29,af}, C. García ¹⁶², A. Garcia Alonso ¹¹⁴, A.G. Garcia Caffaro ¹⁷¹, J.E. García Navarro ¹⁶², M. Garcia-Sciveres ^{17a}, G.L. Gardner ¹²⁸, R.W. Gardner ³⁹, N. Garelli ¹⁵⁸, D. Garg ⁸⁰, R.B. Garg ¹⁴³, J.M. Gargan ⁵², C.A. Garner ¹⁵⁵, S.J. Gasiorowski ¹³⁸, P. Gaspar ^{82b}, G. Gaudio ^{73a}, V. Gautam ¹³, P. Gauzzi ^{75a,75b}, I.L. Gavrilenko ³⁷, A. Gavrilyuk ³⁷, C. Gay ¹⁶³, G. Gaycken ⁴⁸, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, A.A. Geanta ^{27b}, C.M. Gee ¹³⁶, C. Gemme ^{57b}, M.H. Genest ⁶⁰, S. Gentile ^{75a,75b}, S. George ⁹⁵, W.F. George ²⁰, T. Geralis ⁴⁶, P. Gessinger-Befurt ³⁶, M.E. Geyik ¹⁷⁰, M. Ghneimat ¹⁴¹, K. Ghorbanian ⁹⁴, A. Ghosal ¹⁴¹, A. Ghosh ¹⁵⁹, A. Ghosh ⁷, B. Giacobbe ^{23b}, S. Giagu ^{75a,75b}, P. Giannetti ^{74a}, A. Giannini ^{62a}, S.M. Gibson ⁹⁵, M. Gignac ¹³⁶, D.T. Gil ^{85b}, A.K. Gilbert ^{85a}, B.J. Gilbert ⁴¹, D. Gillberg ³⁴, G. Gilles ¹¹⁴, N.E.K. Gillwald ⁴⁸, L. Ginabat ¹²⁷, D.M. Gingrich ^{2,ad}, M.P. Giordani ^{69a,69c}, P.F. Giraud ¹³⁵, G. Giugliarelli ^{69a,69c}, D. Giugni ^{71a}, F. Giuli ³⁶, I. Gkialas ^{9j}, L.K. Gladilin ³⁷, C. Glasman ⁹⁹, G.R. Gledhill ¹²³, M. Glisic ¹²³, I. Gnesi ^{43b,f}, Y. Go ^{29,af}, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ³⁶, B. Gocke ⁴⁹, D. Godin ¹⁰⁸, B. Gokturk ^{21a}, S. Goldfarb ¹⁰⁵, T. Golling ⁵⁶, M.G.D. Gololo ^{33g}, D. Golubkov ³⁷, J.P. Gombas ¹⁰⁷, A. Gomes ^{130a,130b}, G. Gomes Da Silva ¹⁴¹, A.J. Gomez Delegido ¹⁶², R. Gonçalves ^{130a,130c}, G. Gonella ¹²³, L. Gonella ²⁰, A. Gongadze ³⁸, F. Gonnella ²⁰, J.L. Gonski ⁴¹, S. González de la Hoz ¹⁶², S. Gonzalez Fernandez ¹³, R. Gonzalez Lopez ⁹², C. Gonzalez Renteria ^{17a}, R. Gonzalez Suarez ¹⁶⁰, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁵⁶, G.R. Gonzalvo Rodriguez ¹⁶², R.Y. González Andana ⁵², L. Goossens ³⁶, P.A. Gorbounov ³⁷, B. Gorini ³⁶, E. Gorini ^{70a,70b}, A. Gorišek ⁹³, T.C. Gosart ¹²⁸, A.T. Goshaw ⁵¹, M.I. Gostkin ³⁸, S. Goswami ¹²¹, C.A. Gottardo ³⁶, M. Goughri ^{35b}, V. Goumarre ⁴⁸, A.G. Goussiou ¹³⁸, N. Govender ^{33c}, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{85a}, K. Graham ³⁴, E. Gramstad ¹²⁵, S. Grancagnolo ^{70a,70b}, M. Grandi ¹⁴⁶, V. Gratchev ^{37,*}, P.M. Gravila ^{27f}, F.G. Gravili ^{70a,70b}, H.M. Gray ^{17a}, M. Greco ^{70a,70b}, C. Grefe ²⁴, I.M. Gregor ⁴⁸, P. Grenier ¹⁴³, C. Grieco ¹³, A.A. Grillo ¹³⁶, K. Grimm ³¹, S. Grinstein ^{13,t}, J.-F. Grivaz ⁶⁶, E. Gross ¹⁶⁸, J. Grosse-Knetter ⁵⁵, C. Grud ¹⁰⁶, J.C. Grundy ¹²⁶, L. Guan ¹⁰⁶, W. Guan ¹⁶⁹, C. Gubbels ¹⁶³, J.G.R. Guerrero Rojas ¹⁶², G. Guerrieri ^{69a,69b}, F. Guescini ¹¹⁰, R. Gugel ¹⁰⁰, J.A.M. Guhit ¹⁰⁶, A. Guida ¹⁸,

T. Guillemin ⁴, E. Guilloton ^{166,134}, S. Guindon ³⁶, F. Guo ^{14a,14e}, J. Guo ^{62c}, L. Guo ⁴⁸, Y. Guo ¹⁰⁶, R. Gupta ⁴⁸, S. Gurbuz ²⁴, S.S. Gurdasani ⁵⁴, G. Gustavino ³⁶, M. Guth ⁵⁶, P. Gutierrez ¹²⁰, L.F. Gutierrez Zagazeta ¹²⁸, C. Gutschow ⁹⁶, C. Gwenlan ¹²⁶, C.B. Gwilliam ⁹², E.S. Haaland ¹²⁵, A. Haas ¹¹⁷, M. Habedank ⁴⁸, C. Haber ^{17a}, H.K. Hadavand ⁸, A. Hadeef ¹⁰⁰, S. Hadzic ¹¹⁰, J.J. Hahn ¹⁴¹, E.H. Haines ⁹⁶, M. Haleem ¹⁶⁵, J. Haley ¹²¹, J.J. Hall ¹³⁹, G.D. Halleswell ¹⁰², L. Halser ¹⁹, K. Hamano ¹⁶⁴, H. Hamdaoui ^{35e}, M. Hamer ²⁴, G.N. Hamity ⁵², E.J. Hampshire ⁹⁵, J. Han ^{62b}, K. Han ^{62a}, L. Han ^{14c}, L. Han ^{62a}, S. Han ^{17a}, Y.F. Han ¹⁵⁵, K. Hanagaki ⁸³, M. Hance ¹³⁶, D.A. Hangal ^{41,ab}, H. Hanif ¹⁴², M.D. Hank ¹²⁸, R. Hankache ¹⁰¹, J.B. Hansen ⁴², J.D. Hansen ⁴², P.H. Hansen ⁴², K. Hara ¹⁵⁷, D. Harada ⁵⁶, T. Harenberg ¹⁷⁰, S. Harkusha ³⁷, Y.T. Harris ¹²⁶, J. Harrison ¹³, N.M. Harrison ¹¹⁹, P.F. Harrison ¹⁶⁶, N.M. Hartman ¹⁴³, N.M. Hartmann ¹⁰⁹, Y. Hasegawa ¹⁴⁰, A. Hasib ⁵², S. Haug ¹⁹, R. Hauser ¹⁰⁷, C.M. Hawkes ²⁰, R.J. Hawkings ³⁶, Y. Hayashi ¹⁵³, S. Hayashida ¹¹¹, D. Hayden ¹⁰⁷, C. Hayes ¹⁰⁶, R.L. Hayes ¹¹⁴, C.P. Hays ¹²⁶, J.M. Hays ⁹⁴, H.S. Hayward ⁹², F. He ^{62a}, M. He ^{14a,14e}, Y. He ¹⁵⁴, Y. He ¹²⁷, N.B. Heatley ⁹⁴, V. Hedberg ⁹⁸, A.L. Heggelund ¹²⁵, N.D. Hehir ⁹⁴, C. Heidegger ⁵⁴, K.K. Heidegger ⁵⁴, W.D. Heidorn ⁸¹, J. Heilman ³⁴, S. Heim ⁴⁸, T. Heim ^{17a}, J.G. Heinlein ¹²⁸, J.J. Heinrich ¹²³, L. Heinrich ¹¹⁰, J. Hejbal ¹³¹, L. Helary ⁴⁸, A. Held ¹⁶⁹, S. Hellesund ¹⁶, C.M. Helling ¹⁶³, S. Hellman ^{47a,47b}, C. Helsens ³⁶, R.C.W. Henderson ⁹¹, L. Henkelmann ³², A.M. Henriques Correia ³⁶, H. Herde ⁹⁸, Y. Hernández Jiménez ¹⁴⁵, L.M. Herrmann ²⁴, T. Herrmann ⁵⁰, G. Herten ⁵⁴, R. Hertenberger ¹⁰⁹, L. Hervas ³⁶, M.E. Hespington ¹⁰⁰, N.P. Hessey ^{156a}, H. Hibi ⁸⁴, S.J. Hillier ²⁰, J.R. Hinds ¹⁰⁷, F. Hinterkeuser ²⁴, M. Hirose ¹²⁴, S. Hirose ¹⁵⁷, D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁷⁰, T.G. Hitchings ¹⁰¹, B. Hiti ⁹³, J. Hobbs ¹⁴⁵, R. Hobincu ^{27e}, N. Hod ¹⁶⁸, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹³⁹, B.H. Hodgkinson ³², A. Hoecker ³⁶, J. Hofer ⁴⁸, T. Holm ²⁴, M. Holzbock ¹¹⁰, L.B.A.H. Hommels ³², B.P. Honan ¹⁰¹, J. Hong ^{62c}, T.M. Hong ¹²⁹, B.H. Hooberman ¹⁶¹, W.H. Hopkins ⁶, Y. Horii ¹¹¹, S. Hou ¹⁴⁸, A.S. Howard ⁹³, J. Howarth ⁵⁹, J. Hoya ⁶, M. Hrabovsky ¹²², A. Hrynevich ⁴⁸, T. Hryn'ova ⁴, P.J. Hsu ⁶⁵, S.-C. Hsu ¹³⁸, Q. Hu ⁴¹, Y.F. Hu ^{14a,14e}, S. Huang ^{64b}, X. Huang ^{14c}, Y. Huang ^{62a}, Y. Huang ^{14a}, Z. Huang ¹⁰¹, Z. Hubacek ¹³², M. Huebner ²⁴, F. Huegging ²⁴, T.B. Huffman ¹²⁶, C.A. Hugli ⁴⁸, M. Huhtinen ³⁶, S.K. Huiberts ¹⁶, R. Hulsken ¹⁰⁴, N. Huseynov ^{12,a}, J. Huston ¹⁰⁷, J. Huth ⁶¹, R. Hyneman ¹⁴³, G. Iacobucci ⁵⁶, G. Iakovidis ²⁹, I. Ibragimov ¹⁴¹, L. Iconomidou-Fayard ⁶⁶, P. Iengo ^{72a,72b}, R. Iguchi ¹⁵³, T. Iizawa ^{83,aa}, Y. Ikegami ⁸³, N. Ilic ¹⁵⁵, H. Imam ^{35a}, M. Ince Lezki ⁵⁶, T. Ingebretsen Carlson ^{47a,47b}, G. Introzzi ^{73a,73b}, M. Iodice ^{77a}, V. Ippolito ^{75a,75b}, R.K. Irwin ⁹², M. Ishino ¹⁵³, W. Islam ¹⁶⁹, C. Issever ^{18,48}, S. Istin ^{21a}, H. Ito ¹⁶⁷, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ^{64a}, R. Iuppa ^{78a,78b}, A. Ivina ¹⁶⁸, J.M. Izen ⁴⁵, V. Izzo ^{72a}, P. Jacka ^{131,132}, P. Jackson ¹, R.M. Jacobs ⁴⁸, B.P. Jaeger ¹⁴², C.S. Jagfeld ¹⁰⁹, P. Jain ⁵⁴, G. Jäkel ¹⁷⁰, K. Jakobs ⁵⁴, T. Jakoubek ¹⁶⁸, J. Jamieson ⁵⁹, K.W. Janas ^{85a}, A.E. Jaspan ⁹², M. Javurkova ¹⁰³, F. Jeanneau ¹³⁵, L. Jeanty ¹²³, J. Jejelava ^{149a,z}, P. Jenni ^{54,g}, C.E. Jessiman ³⁴, S. Jézéquel ⁴, C. Jia ^{62b}, J. Jia ¹⁴⁵, X. Jia ⁶¹, X. Jia ^{14a,14e}, Z. Jia ^{14c}, Y. Jiang ^{62a}, S. Jiggins ⁴⁸, J. Jimenez Pena ¹³, S. Jin ^{14c}, A. Jinaru ^{27b}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵⁴, P. Johansson ¹³⁹, K.A. Johns ⁷, J.W. Johnson ¹³⁶, D.M. Jones ³², E. Jones ⁴⁸, P. Jones ³², R.W.L. Jones ⁹¹, T.J. Jones ⁹², R. Joshi ¹¹⁹, J. Jovicevic ¹⁵, X. Ju ^{17a}, J.J. Junggeburth ³⁶, T. Junkermann ^{63a}, A. Juste Rozas ^{13,t}, M.K. Juzek ⁸⁶, S. Kabana ^{137e}, A. Kaczmarzka ⁸⁶, M. Kado ¹¹⁰, H. Kagan ¹¹⁹, M. Kagan ¹⁴³, A. Kahn ⁴¹, A. Kahn ¹²⁸, C. Kahra ¹⁰⁰, T. Kaji ¹⁶⁷, E. Kajomovitz ¹⁵⁰, N. Kakati ¹⁶⁸, I. Kalaitzidou ⁵⁴, C.W. Kalderon ²⁹, A. Kamenshchikov ¹⁵⁵, S. Kanayama ¹⁵⁴, N.J. Kang ¹³⁶, D. Kar ^{33g}, K. Karava ¹²⁶, M.J. Kareem ^{156b}, E. Karentzos ⁵⁴, I. Karkanas ¹⁵², O. Karkout ¹¹⁴, S.N. Karpov ³⁸, Z.M. Karpova ³⁸, V. Kartvelishvili ⁹¹, A.N. Karyukhin ³⁷, E. Kasimi ¹⁵², J. Katzy ⁴⁸, S. Kaur ³⁴, K. Kawade ¹⁴⁰, T. Kawamoto ¹³⁵, E.F. Kay ³⁶, F.I. Kaya ¹⁵⁸, S. Kazakos ¹⁰⁷, V.F. Kazanin ³⁷, Y. Ke ¹⁴⁵, J.M. Keaveney ^{33a},

R. Keeler ¹⁶⁴, G.V. Kehris ⁶¹, J.S. Keller ³⁴, A.S. Kelly ⁹⁶, J.J. Kempster ¹⁴⁶, K.E. Kennedy ⁴¹, P.D. Kennedy ¹⁰⁰, O. Kepka ¹³¹, B.P. Kerridge ¹⁶⁶, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁰, B.P. Kerševan ⁹³, S. Keshri ⁶⁶, L. Keszeghova ^{28a}, S. Ketabchi Haghighat ¹⁵⁵, M. Khandoga ¹²⁷, A. Khanov ¹²¹, A.G. Kharlamov ³⁷, T. Kharlamova ³⁷, E.E. Khoda ¹³⁸, T.J. Khoo ¹⁸, G. Khorauli ¹⁶⁵, J. Khubua ^{149b}, Y.A.R. Khwaira ⁶⁶, M. Kiehn ³⁶, A. Kilgallon ¹²³, D.W. Kim ^{47a,47b}, Y.K. Kim ³⁹, N. Kimura ⁹⁶, A. Kirchhoff ⁵⁵, C. Kirfel ²⁴, F. Kirfel ²⁴, J. Kirk ¹³⁴, A.E. Kiryunin ¹¹⁰, C. Kitsaki ¹⁰, O. Kivernyk ²⁴, M. Klassen ^{63a}, C. Klein ³⁴, L. Klein ¹⁶⁵, M.H. Klein ¹⁰⁶, M. Klein ⁹², S.B. Klein ⁵⁶, U. Klein ⁹², P. Klimek ³⁶, A. Klimentov ²⁹, T. Klioutchnikova ³⁶, P. Kluit ¹¹⁴, S. Kluth ¹¹⁰, E. Kneringer ⁷⁹, T.M. Knight ¹⁵⁵, A. Knue ⁵⁴, R. Kobayashi ⁸⁷, S.F. Koch ¹²⁶, M. Kocian ¹⁴³, P. Kodyš ¹³³, D.M. Koeck ¹²³, P.T. Koenig ²⁴, T. Koffas ³⁴, M. Kolb ¹³⁵, I. Koletsou ⁴, T. Komarek ¹²², K. Köneke ⁵⁴, A.X.Y. Kong ¹, T. Kono ¹¹⁸, N. Konstantinidis ⁹⁶, B. Konya ⁹⁸, R. Kopeliansky ⁶⁸, S. Koperny ^{85a}, K. Korcyl ⁸⁶, K. Kordas ^{152,e}, G. Koren ¹⁵¹, A. Korn ⁹⁶, S. Korn ⁵⁵, I. Korolkov ¹³, N. Korotkova ³⁷, B. Kortman ¹¹⁴, O. Kortner ¹¹⁰, S. Kortner ¹¹⁰, W.H. Kostecka ¹¹⁵, V.V. Kostyukhin ¹⁴¹, A. Kotsokechagia ¹³⁵, A. Kotwal ⁵¹, A. Koulouris ³⁶, A. Kourkouveli-Charalampidi ^{73a,73b}, C. Kourkouvelis ⁹, E. Kourlitis ⁶, O. Kovanda ¹⁴⁶, R. Kowalewski ¹⁶⁴, W. Kozanecki ¹³⁵, A.S. Kozhin ³⁷, V.A. Kramarenko ³⁷, G. Kramberger ⁹³, P. Kramer ¹⁰⁰, M.W. Krasny ¹²⁷, A. Krasznahorkay ³⁶, J.W. Kraus ¹⁷⁰, J.A. Kremer ¹⁰⁰, T. Kresse ⁵⁰, J. Kretschmar ⁹², K. Kreul ¹⁸, P. Krieger ¹⁵⁵, S. Krishnamurthy ¹⁰³, M. Krivos ¹³³, K. Krizka ²⁰, K. Kroeninger ⁴⁹, H. Kroha ¹¹⁰, J. Kroll ¹³¹, J. Kroll ¹²⁸, K.S. Krowpman ¹⁰⁷, U. Kruchonak ³⁸, H. Krüger ²⁴, N. Krumnack ⁸¹, M.C. Kruse ⁵¹, J.A. Krzysiak ⁸⁶, O. Kuchinskaia ³⁷, S. Kuday ^{3a}, S. Kuehn ³⁶, R. Kuesters ⁵⁴, T. Kuhl ⁴⁸, V. Kukhtin ³⁸, Y. Kulchitsky ^{37,a}, S. Kuleshov ^{137d,137b}, M. Kumar ^{33g}, N. Kumari ¹⁰², A. Kupco ¹³¹, T. Kupfer ⁴⁹, A. Kupich ³⁷, O. Kuprash ⁵⁴, H. Kurashige ⁸⁴, L.L. Kurchaninov ^{156a}, O. Kurdysh ⁶⁶, Y.A. Kurochkin ³⁷, A. Kurova ³⁷, M. Kuze ¹⁵⁴, A.K. Kvam ¹⁰³, J. Kvita ¹²², T. Kwan ¹⁰⁴, N.G. Kyriacou ¹⁰⁶, L.A.O. Laatu ¹⁰², C. Lacasta ¹⁶², F. Lacava ^{75a,75b}, H. Lacker ¹⁸, D. Lacour ¹²⁷, N.N. Lad ⁹⁶, E. Ladygin ³⁸, B. Laforge ¹²⁷, T. Lagouri ^{137e}, S. Lai ⁵⁵, I.K. Lakomiec ^{85a}, N. Lalloue ⁶⁰, J.E. Lambert ^{164,1}, S. Lammers ⁶⁸, W. Lampl ⁷, C. Lampoudis ^{152,e}, A.N. Lancaster ¹¹⁵, E. Lançon ²⁹, U. Landgraf ⁵⁴, M.P.J. Landon ⁹⁴, V.S. Lang ⁵⁴, R.J. Langenberg ¹⁰³, O.K.B. Langrekken ¹²⁵, A.J. Lankford ¹⁵⁹, F. Lanni ³⁶, K. Lantzsch ²⁴, A. Lanza ^{73a}, A. Lapertosa ^{57b,57a}, J.F. Laporte ¹³⁵, T. Lari ^{71a}, F. Lasagni Manghi ^{23b}, M. Lassnig ³⁶, V. Latonova ¹³¹, A. Laudrain ¹⁰⁰, A. Laurier ¹⁵⁰, S.D. Lawlor ⁹⁵, Z. Lawrence ¹⁰¹, M. Lazzaroni ^{71a,71b}, B. Le ¹⁰¹, E.M. Le Boulicaut ⁵¹, B. Leban ⁹³, A. Lebedev ⁸¹, M. LeBlanc ³⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁶⁰, A.C.A. Lee ⁹⁶, S.C. Lee ¹⁴⁸, S. Lee ^{47a,47b}, T.F. Lee ⁹², L.L. Leeuw ^{33c}, H.P. Lefebvre ⁹⁵, M. Lefebvre ¹⁶⁴, C. Leggett ^{17a}, G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁶, M. Leigh ⁵⁶, W.A. Leight ¹⁰³, W. Leinonen ¹¹³, A. Leisos ^{152,s}, M.A.L. Leite ^{82c}, C.E. Leitgeb ⁴⁸, R. Leitner ¹³³, K.J.C. Leney ⁴⁴, T. Lenz ²⁴, S. Leone ^{74a}, C. Leonidopoulos ⁵², A. Leopold ¹⁴⁴, C. Leroy ¹⁰⁸, R. Les ¹⁰⁷, C.G. Lester ³², M. Levchenko ³⁷, J. Levêque ⁴, D. Levin ¹⁰⁶, L.J. Levinson ¹⁶⁸, M.P. Lewicki ⁸⁶, D.J. Lewis ⁴, A. Li ⁵, B. Li ^{62b}, C. Li ^{62a}, C-Q. Li ^{62c}, H. Li ^{62a}, H. Li ^{62b}, H. Li ^{14c}, H. Li ^{62b}, K. Li ¹³⁸, L. Li ^{62c}, M. Li ^{14a,14e}, Q.Y. Li ^{62a}, S. Li ^{14a,14e}, S. Li ^{62d,62c,d}, T. Li ^{5,b}, X. Li ¹⁰⁴, Z. Li ¹²⁶, Z. Li ¹⁰⁴, Z. Li ⁹², Z. Li ^{14a,14e}, Z. Liang ^{14a}, M. Liberatore ⁴⁸, B. Liberti ^{76a}, K. Lie ^{64c}, J. Lieber Marin ^{82b}, H. Lien ⁶⁸, K. Lin ¹⁰⁷, R.E. Lindley ⁷, J.H. Lindon ², A. Linss ⁴⁸, E. Lipeles ¹²⁸, A. Lipniacka ¹⁶, A. Lister ¹⁶³, J.D. Little ⁴, B. Liu ^{14a}, B.X. Liu ¹⁴², D. Liu ^{62d,62c}, J.B. Liu ^{62a}, J.K.K. Liu ³², K. Liu ^{62d,62c}, M. Liu ^{62a}, M.Y. Liu ^{62a}, P. Liu ^{14a}, Q. Liu ^{62d,138,62c}, X. Liu ^{62a}, Y. Liu ^{14d,14e}, Y.L. Liu ¹⁰⁶, Y.W. Liu ^{62a}, J. Llorente Merino ¹⁴², S.L. Lloyd ⁹⁴, E.M. Lobodzinska ⁴⁸, P. Loch ⁷, S. Loffredo ^{76a,76b}, T. Lohse ¹⁸, K. Lohwasser ¹³⁹, E. Loiacono ⁴⁸, M. Lokajicek ¹³¹, J.D. Lomas ²⁰, J.D. Long ¹⁶¹,

I. Longarini ^{id159}, L. Longo ^{id70a,70b}, R. Longo ^{id161}, I. Lopez Paz ^{id67}, A. Lopez Solis ^{id48},
 J. Lorenz ^{id109}, N. Lorenzo Martinez ^{id4}, A.M. Lory ^{id109}, O. Loseva ^{id37}, X. Lou ^{id47a,47b},
 X. Lou ^{id14a,14e}, A. Lounis ^{id66}, J. Love ^{id6}, P.A. Love ^{id91}, G. Lu ^{id14a,14e}, M. Lu ^{id80}, S. Lu ^{id128},
 Y.J. Lu ^{id65}, H.J. Lubatti ^{id138}, C. Luci ^{id75a,75b}, F.L. Lucio Alves ^{id14c}, A. Lucotte ^{id60}, F. Luehring ^{id68},
 I. Luise ^{id145}, O. Lukianchuk ^{id66}, O. Lundberg ^{id144}, B. Lund-Jensen ^{id144}, N.A. Luongo ^{id123},
 M.S. Lutz ^{id151}, D. Lynn ^{id29}, H. Lyons ⁹², R. Lysak ^{id131}, E. Lytken ^{id98}, V. Lyubushkin ^{id38},
 T. Lyubushkina ^{id38}, M.M. Lyukova ^{id145}, H. Ma ^{id29}, L.L. Ma ^{id62b}, Y. Ma ^{id121}, D.M. Mac Donell ^{id164},
 G. Maccarrone ^{id53}, J.C. MacDonald ^{id100}, R. Madar ^{id40}, W.F. Mader ^{id50}, J. Maeda ^{id84}, T. Maeno ^{id29},
 M. Maerker ^{id50}, H. Maguire ^{id139}, V. Maiboroda ^{id135}, A. Maio ^{id130a,130b,130d}, K. Maj ^{id85a},
 O. Majersky ^{id48}, S. Majewski ^{id123}, N. Makovec ^{id66}, V. Maksimovic ^{id15}, B. Malaescu ^{id127},
 Pa. Malecki ^{id86}, V.P. Maleev ^{id37}, F. Malek ^{id60}, M. Mali ^{id93}, D. Malito ^{id95,o}, U. Mallik ^{id80},
 S. Maltezos ¹⁰, S. Malyukov ³⁸, J. Mamuzic ^{id13}, G. Mancini ^{id53}, G. Manco ^{id73a,73b}, J.P. Mandalia ^{id94},
 I. Mandić ^{id93}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{id82a}, I.M. Maniatis ^{id168}, J. Manjarres Ramos ^{id102},
 D.C. Mankad ^{id168}, A. Mann ^{id109}, B. Mansoulie ^{id135}, S. Manzoni ^{id36}, A. Marantis ^{id152},
 G. Marchiori ^{id5}, M. Marcisovsky ^{id131}, C. Marcon ^{id71a,71b}, M. Marinescu ^{id20}, M. Marjanovic ^{id120},
 E.J. Marshall ^{id91}, Z. Marshall ^{id17a}, S. Marti-Garcia ^{id162}, T.A. Martin ^{id166}, V.J. Martin ^{id52},
 B. Martin dit Latour ^{id16}, L. Martinelli ^{id75a,75b}, M. Martinez ^{id13,t}, P. Martinez Agullo ^{id162},
 V.I. Martinez Outschoorn ^{id103}, P. Martinez Suarez ^{id13}, S. Martin-Haugh ^{id134}, V.S. Martoiu ^{id27b},
 A.C. Martyniuk ^{id96}, A. Marzin ^{id36}, D. Mascione ^{id78a,78b}, L. Masetti ^{id100}, T. Mashimo ^{id153},
 J. Masik ^{id101}, A.L. Maslennikov ^{id37}, L. Massa ^{id23b}, P. Massarotti ^{id72a,72b}, P. Mastrandrea ^{id74a,74b},
 A. Mastroberardino ^{id43b,43a}, T. Masubuchi ^{id153}, T. Mathisen ^{id160}, J. Matousek ^{id133}, N. Matsuzawa ¹⁵³,
 J. Maurer ^{id27b}, B. Maček ^{id93}, D.A. Maximov ^{id37}, R. Mazini ^{id148}, I. Maznas ^{id152}, M. Mazza ^{id107},
 S.M. Mazza ^{id136}, E. Mazzeo ^{id71a,71b}, C. Mc Ginn ^{id29}, J.P. Mc Gowan ^{id104}, S.P. Mc Kee ^{id106},
 E.F. McDonald ^{id105}, A.E. McDougall ^{id114}, J.A. Mcfayden ^{id146}, R.P. McGovern ^{id128},
 G. Mchedlidze ^{id149b}, R.P. Mckenzie ^{id33g}, T.C. McLachlan ^{id48}, D.J. McLaughlin ^{id96}, K.D. McLean ^{id164},
 S.J. McMahon ^{id134}, P.C. McNamara ^{id105}, C.M. Mcpartland ^{id92}, R.A. McPherson ^{id164,w},
 S. Mehlhase ^{id109}, A. Mehta ^{id92}, D. Melini ^{id150}, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{id33g}, A.H. Melo ^{id55},
 F. Meloni ^{id48}, A.M. Mendes Jacques Da Costa ^{id101}, H.Y. Meng ^{id155}, L. Meng ^{id91}, S. Menke ^{id110},
 M. Mentink ^{id36}, E. Meoni ^{id43b,43a}, C. Merlassino ^{id126}, L. Merola ^{id72a,72b}, C. Meroni ^{id71a}, G. Merz ¹⁰⁶,
 O. Meshkov ^{id37}, J. Metcalfe ^{id6}, A.S. Mete ^{id6}, C. Meyer ^{id68}, J-P. Meyer ^{id135}, R.P. Middleton ^{id134},
 L. Mijović ^{id52}, G. Mikenberg ^{id168}, M. Mikestikova ^{id131}, M. Mikuž ^{id93}, H. Mildner ^{id100}, A. Milic ^{id36},
 C.D. Milke ^{id44}, D.W. Miller ^{id39}, L.S. Miller ^{id34}, A. Milov ^{id168}, D.A. Milstead ^{id47a,47b}, T. Min ^{id14c},
 A.A. Minaenko ^{id37}, I.A. Minashvili ^{id149b}, L. Mince ^{id59}, A.I. Mincer ^{id117}, B. Mindur ^{id85a},
 M. Mineev ^{id38}, Y. Mino ^{id87}, L.M. Mir ^{id13}, M. Miralles Lopez ^{id162}, M. Mironova ^{id17a}, A. Mishima ¹⁵³,
 M.C. Missio ^{id113}, T. Mitani ^{id167}, A. Mitra ^{id166}, V.A. Mitsou ^{id162}, O. Miu ^{id155}, P.S. Miyagawa ^{id94},
 Y. Miyazaki ⁸⁹, A. Mizukami ^{id83}, T. Mkrtchyan ^{id63a}, M. Mlinarevic ^{id96}, T. Mlinarevic ^{id96},
 M. Mlynarikova ^{id36}, S. Mobius ^{id19}, K. Mochizuki ^{id108}, P. Moder ^{id48}, P. Mogg ^{id109},
 A.F. Mohammed ^{id14a,14e}, S. Mohapatra ^{id41}, G. Mokgatitwane ^{id33g}, L. Moleri ^{id168}, B. Mondal ^{id141},
 S. Mondal ^{id132}, G. Monig ^{id146}, K. Mönig ^{id48}, E. Monnier ^{id102}, L. Monsonis Romero ^{id162},
 J. Montejo Berlingen ^{id13,83}, M. Montella ^{id119}, F. Monticelli ^{id90}, S. Monzani ^{id69a,69c}, N. Morange ^{id66},
 A.L. Moreira De Carvalho ^{id130a}, M. Moreno Llácer ^{id162}, C. Moreno Martinez ^{id56}, P. Morettini ^{id57b},
 S. Morgenstern ^{id36}, M. Morii ^{id61}, M. Morinaga ^{id153}, A.K. Morley ^{id36}, F. Morodei ^{id75a,75b},
 L. Morvaj ^{id36}, P. Moschovakos ^{id36}, B. Moser ^{id36}, M. Mosidze ^{id149b}, T. Moskalets ^{id54},
 P. Moskvitina ^{id113}, J. Moss ^{id31,m}, E.J.W. Moyse ^{id103}, O. Mtintsilana ^{id33g}, S. Muanza ^{id102},
 J. Mueller ^{id129}, D. Muenstermann ^{id91}, R. Müller ^{id19}, G.A. Mullier ^{id160}, A.J. Mullin ³², J.J. Mullin ¹²⁸,
 D.P. Mungo ^{id155}, D. Munoz Perez ^{id162}, F.J. Munoz Sanchez ^{id101}, M. Murin ^{id101}, W.J. Murray ^{id166,134},
 A. Murrone ^{id71a,71b}, J.M. Muse ^{id120}, M. Muškinja ^{id17a}, C. Mwewa ^{id29}, A.G. Myagkov ^{id37,a},

A.J. Myers ¹²⁹, A.A. Myers ¹²⁹, G. Myers ¹²⁹, M. Myska ¹³², B.P. Nachman ^{17a}, O. Nackenhorst ⁴⁹,
 A.Nag Nag ⁵⁰, K. Nagai ¹²⁶, K. Nagano ⁸³, J.L. Nagle ^{29,af}, E. Nagy ¹⁰², A.M. Nairz ³⁶,
 Y. Nakahama ⁸³, K. Nakamura ⁸³, K. Nakkalil ⁵, H. Nanjo ¹²⁴, R. Narayan ⁴⁴,
 E.A. Narayanan ¹¹², I. Naryshkin ³⁷, M. Naseri ³⁴, S. Nasri ^{116b}, C. Nass ²⁴, G. Navarro ^{22a},
 J. Navarro-Gonzalez ¹⁶², R. Nayak ¹⁵¹, A. Nayaz ¹⁸, P.Y. Nechaeva ³⁷, F. Nechansky ⁴⁸,
 L. Nedic ¹²⁶, T.J. Neep ²⁰, A. Negri ^{73a,73b}, M. Negrini ^{23b}, C. Nellist ¹¹⁴, C. Nelson ¹⁰⁴,
 K. Nelson ¹⁰⁶, S. Nemecek ¹³¹, M. Nessi ^{36,h}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁶¹, F. Neuhaus ¹⁰⁰,
 J. Neundorff ⁴⁸, R. Newhouse ¹⁶³, P.R. Newman ²⁰, C.W. Ng ¹²⁹, Y.W.Y. Ng ⁴⁸, B. Ngair ^{35e},
 H.D.N. Nguyen ¹⁰⁸, R.B. Nickerson ¹²⁶, R. Nicolaidou ¹³⁵, J. Nielsen ¹³⁶, M. Niemeyer ⁵⁵,
 J. Niermann ^{55,36}, N. Nikiforou ³⁶, V. Nikolaenko ^{37,a}, I. Nikolic-Audit ¹²⁷, K. Nikolopoulos ²⁰,
 P. Nilsson ²⁹, I. Ninca ⁴⁸, H.R. Nindhito ⁵⁶, G. Ninio ¹⁵¹, A. Nisati ^{75a}, N. Nishu ²,
 R. Nisius ¹¹⁰, J-E. Nitschke ⁵⁰, E.K. Nkadimeng ^{33g}, S.J. Noacco Rosende ⁹⁰, T. Nobe ¹⁵³,
 D.L. Noel ³², T. Nommensen ¹⁴⁷, M.B. Norfolk ¹³⁹, R.R.B. Norisam ⁹⁶, B.J. Norman ³⁴,
 J. Novak ⁹³, T. Novak ⁴⁸, L. Novotny ¹³², R. Novotny ¹¹², L. Nozka ¹²², K. Ntekas ¹⁵⁹,
 N.M.J. Nunes De Moura Junior ^{82b}, E. Nurse ⁹⁶, J. Ocariz ¹²⁷, A. Ochi ⁸⁴, I. Ochoa ^{130a},
 S. Oerdek ¹⁶⁰, J.T. Offermann ³⁹, A. Ogrodnik ¹³³, A. Oh ¹⁰¹, C.C. Ohm ¹⁴⁴, H. Oide ⁸³,
 R. Oishi ¹⁵³, M.L. Ojeda ⁴⁸, Y. Okazaki ⁸⁷, M.W. O'Keefe ⁹², Y. Okumura ¹⁵³,
 L.F. Oleiro Seabra ^{130a}, S.A. Olivares Pino ^{137d}, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁹, D. Oliveira Goncalves ^{82a},
 J.L. Oliver ¹⁵⁹, M.J.R. Olsson ¹⁵⁹, A. Olszewski ⁸⁶, Ö.O. Öncel ⁵⁴, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴²,
 A.P. O'Neill ¹⁹, A. Onofre ^{130a,130e}, P.U.E. Onyisi ¹¹, M.J. Oreglia ³⁹, G.E. Orellana ⁹⁰,
 D. Orestano ^{77a,77b}, N. Orlando ¹³, R.S. Orr ¹⁵⁵, V. O'Shea ⁵⁹, L.M. Osojnak ¹²⁸,
 R. Ospanov ^{62a}, G. Otero y Garzon ³⁰, H. Otono ⁸⁹, P.S. Ott ^{63a}, G.J. Ottino ^{17a}, M. Ouchrif ^{35d},
 J. Ouellette ²⁹, F. Ould-Saada ¹²⁵, M. Owen ⁵⁹, R.E. Owen ¹³⁴, K.Y. Oyulmaz ^{21a},
 V.E. Ozcan ^{21a}, N. Ozturk ⁸, S. Ozturk ^{21d}, H.A. Pacey ³², A. Pacheco Pages ¹³,
 C. Padilla Aranda ¹³, G. Padovano ^{75a,75b}, S. Pagan Griso ^{17a}, G. Palacino ⁶⁸, A. Palazzo ^{70a,70b},
 S. Palestini ³⁶, J. Pan ¹⁷¹, T. Pan ^{64a}, D.K. Panchal ¹¹, C.E. Pandini ¹¹⁴,
 J.G. Panduro Vazquez ⁹⁵, H. Pang ^{14b}, P. Pani ⁴⁸, G. Panizzo ^{69a,69c}, L. Paolozzi ⁵⁶,
 C. Papadatos ¹⁰⁸, S. Parajuli ⁴⁴, A. Paramonov ⁶, C. Paraskevopoulos ¹⁰,
 D. Paredes Hernandez ^{64b}, T.H. Park ¹⁵⁵, M.A. Parker ³², F. Parodi ^{57b,57a}, E.W. Parrish ¹¹⁵,
 V.A. Parrish ⁵², J.A. Parsons ⁴¹, U. Parzefall ⁵⁴, B. Pascual Dias ¹⁰⁸, L. Pascual Dominguez ¹⁵¹,
 F. Pasquali ¹¹⁴, E. Pasqualucci ^{75a}, S. Passaggio ^{57b}, F. Pastore ⁹⁵, P. Pasuwan ^{47a,47b}, P. Patel ⁸⁶,
 U.M. Patel ⁵¹, J.R. Pater ¹⁰¹, T. Pauly ³⁶, J. Pearkes ¹⁴³, M. Pedersen ¹²⁵, R. Pedro ^{130a},
 S.V. Peleganchuk ³⁷, O. Penc ³⁶, E.A. Pender ⁵², H. Peng ^{62a}, K.E. Pensi ¹⁰⁹, M. Penzin ³⁷,
 B.S. Peralva ^{82d,82d}, A.P. Pereira Peixoto ⁶⁰, L. Pereira Sanchez ^{47a,47b}, D.V. Perepelitsa ^{29,af},
 E. Perez Codina ^{156a}, M. Perganti ¹⁰, L. Perini ^{71a,71b,*}, H. Pernegger ³⁶, A. Perrevoort ¹¹³,
 O. Perrin ⁴⁰, K. Peters ⁴⁸, R.F.Y. Peters ¹⁰¹, B.A. Petersen ³⁶, T.C. Petersen ⁴², E. Petit ¹⁰²,
 V. Petousis ¹³², C. Petridou ^{152,e}, A. Petrukhin ¹⁴¹, M. Pettee ^{17a}, N.E. Pettersson ³⁶,
 A. Petukhov ³⁷, K. Petukhova ¹³³, A. Peyaud ¹³⁵, R. Pezoa ^{137f}, L. Pezzotti ³⁶, G. Pezzullo ¹⁷¹,
 T.M. Pham ¹⁶⁹, T. Pham ¹⁰⁵, P.W. Phillips ¹³⁴, G. Piacquadio ¹⁴⁵, E. Pianori ^{17a},
 F. Piazza ^{71a,71b}, R. Piegai ³⁰, D. Pietreanu ^{27b}, A.D. Pilkington ¹⁰¹, M. Pinamonti ^{69a,69c},
 J.L. Pinfeld ², B.C. Pinheiro Pereira ^{130a}, A.E. Pinto Pinoargote ¹³⁵, K.M. Piper ¹⁴⁶,
 C. Pitman Donaldson ⁹⁶, D.A. Pizzi ³⁴, L. Pizzimento ^{76a,76b}, A. Pizzini ¹¹⁴, M.-A. Pleier ²⁹,
 V. Plesanovs ⁵⁴, V. Pleskot ¹³³, E. Plotnikova ³⁸, G. Poddar ⁴, R. Poettgen ⁹⁸, L. Poggioli ¹²⁷,
 I. Pokharel ⁵⁵, S. Polacek ¹³³, G. Polesello ^{73a}, A. Poley ^{142,156a}, R. Polifka ¹³², A. Polini ^{23b},
 C.S. Pollard ¹⁶⁶, Z.B. Pollock ¹¹⁹, V. Polychronakos ²⁹, E. Pompa Pacchi ^{75a,75b},
 D. Ponomarenko ¹¹³, L. Pontecorvo ³⁶, S. Popa ^{27a}, G.A. Popeneciu ^{27d}, A. Poreba ³⁶,
 D.M. Portillo Quintero ^{156a}, S. Pospisil ¹³², M.A. Postill ¹³⁹, P. Postolache ^{27c}, K. Potamianos ¹⁶⁶,

P.P. Potepa ^{85a}, I.N. Potrap ³⁸, C.J. Potter ³², H. Potti ¹, T. Poulsen ⁴⁸, J. Poveda ¹⁶², M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ³⁶, A. Prades Ibanez ¹⁶², D. Price ¹⁰¹, M. Primavera ^{70a}, M.A. Principe Martin ⁹⁹, R. Privara ¹²², T. Procter ⁵⁹, M.L. Proffitt ¹³⁸, N. Proklova ¹²⁸, K. Prokofiev ^{64c}, G. Proto ^{76a,76b}, S. Protopopescu ²⁹, J. Proudfoot ⁶, M. Przybycien ^{85a}, W.W. Przygoda ^{85b}, J.E. Puddefoot ¹³⁹, D. Pudzha ³⁷, D. Pyatiizbyantseva ³⁷, J. Qian ¹⁰⁶, D. Qichen ¹⁰¹, Y. Qin ¹⁰¹, T. Qiu ⁵², A. Quadt ⁵⁵, M. Queitsch-Maitland ¹⁰¹, G. Quetant ⁵⁶, G. Rabanal Bolanos ⁶¹, D. Rafanoharana ⁵⁴, F. Ragusa ^{71a,71b}, J.L. Rainbolt ³⁹, J.A. Raine ⁵⁶, S. Rajagopalan ²⁹, E. Ramakoti ³⁷, K. Ran ^{48,14e}, N.P. Rapheeha ^{33g}, H. Rasheed ^{27b}, V. Raskina ¹²⁷, D.F. Rassloff ^{63a}, S. Rave ¹⁰⁰, B. Ravina ⁵⁵, I. Ravinovich ¹⁶⁸, M. Raymond ³⁶, A.L. Read ¹²⁵, N.P. Readioff ¹³⁹, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{73a,73b}, G. Redlinger ²⁹, A.S. Reed ¹¹⁰, K. Reeves ²⁶, J.A. Reidelsturz ¹⁷⁰, D. Reikher ¹⁵¹, A. Rej ¹⁴¹, C. Rembser ³⁶, A. Renardi ⁴⁸, M. Renda ^{27b}, M.B. Rendel ¹¹⁰, F. Renner ⁴⁸, A.G. Rennie ⁵⁹, S. Resconi ^{71a}, M. Ressegotti ^{57b,57a}, S. Rettie ³⁶, J.G. Reyes Rivera ¹⁰⁷, B. Reynolds ¹¹⁹, E. Reynolds ^{17a}, O.L. Rezanova ³⁷, P. Reznicek ¹³³, N. Ribaric ⁹¹, E. Ricci ^{78a,78b}, R. Richter ¹¹⁰, S. Richter ^{47a,47b}, E. Richter-Was ^{85b}, M. Ridel ¹²⁷, S. Ridouani ^{35d}, P. Rieck ¹¹⁷, P. Riedler ³⁶, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁴⁵, A. Rimoldi ^{73a,73b}, M. Rimoldi ⁴⁸, L. Rinaldi ^{23b,23a}, T.T. Rinn ²⁹, M.P. Rinnagel ¹⁰⁹, G. Ripellino ¹⁶⁰, I. Riu ¹³, P. Rivadeneira ⁴⁸, J.C. Rivera Vergara ¹⁶⁴, F. Rizatdinova ¹²¹, E. Rizvi ⁹⁴, B.A. Roberts ¹⁶⁶, B.R. Roberts ^{17a}, S.H. Robertson ^{104,w}, M. Robin ⁴⁸, D. Robinson ³², C.M. Robles Gajardo ^{137f}, M. Robles Manzano ¹⁰⁰, A. Robson ⁵⁹, A. Rocchi ^{76a,76b}, C. Roda ^{74a,74b}, S. Rodriguez Bosca ^{63a}, Y. Rodriguez Garcia ^{22a}, A. Rodriguez Rodriguez ⁵⁴, A.M. Rodríguez Vera ^{156b}, S. Roe ³⁶, J.T. Roemer ¹⁵⁹, A.R. Roepe-Gier ¹³⁶, J. Roggel ¹⁷⁰, O. Røhne ¹²⁵, R.A. Rojas ¹⁰³, C.P.A. Roland ⁶⁸, J. Roloff ²⁹, A. Romaniouk ³⁷, E. Romano ^{73a,73b}, M. Romano ^{23b}, A.C. Romero Hernandez ¹⁶¹, N. Rompotis ⁹², L. Roos ¹²⁷, S. Rosati ^{75a}, B.J. Rosser ³⁹, E. Rossi ¹²⁶, E. Rossi ^{72a,72b}, L.P. Rossi ^{57b}, L. Rossini ⁴⁸, R. Rosten ¹¹⁹, M. Rotaru ^{27b}, B. Rottler ⁵⁴, C. Rougier ¹⁰², D. Rousseau ⁶⁶, D. Rousso ³², A. Roy ¹⁶¹, S. Roy-Garand ¹⁵⁵, A. Rozanov ¹⁰², Y. Rozen ¹⁵⁰, X. Ruan ^{33g}, A. Rubio Jimenez ¹⁶², A.J. Ruby ⁹², V.H. Ruelas Rivera ¹⁸, T.A. Ruggeri ¹, A. Ruggiero ¹²⁶, A. Ruiz-Martinez ¹⁶², A. Rummler ³⁶, Z. Rurikova ⁵⁴, N.A. Rusakovich ³⁸, H.L. Russell ¹⁶⁴, G. Russo ^{75a,75b}, J.P. Rutherford ⁷, S. Rutherford Colmenares ³², K. Rybacki ⁹¹, M. Rybar ¹³³, E.B. Rye ¹²⁵, A. Ryzhov ⁴⁴, J.A. Sabater Iglesias ⁵⁶, P. Sabatini ¹⁶², L. Sabetta ^{75a,75b}, H.F-W. Sadrozinski ¹³⁶, F. Safai Tehrani ^{75a}, B. Safarzadeh Samani ¹⁴⁶, M. Safdari ¹⁴³, S. Saha ¹⁶⁴, M. Sahinsoy ¹¹⁰, M. Saimpert ¹³⁵, M. Saito ¹⁵³, T. Saito ¹⁵³, D. Salamani ³⁶, A. Salnikov ¹⁴³, J. Salt ¹⁶², A. Salvador Salas ¹³, D. Salvatore ^{43b,43a}, F. Salvatore ¹⁴⁶, A. Salzburger ³⁶, D. Sammel ⁵⁴, D. Sampsonidis ^{152,e}, D. Sampsonidou ¹²³, J. Sánchez ¹⁶², A. Sanchez Pineda ⁴, V. Sanchez Sebastian ¹⁶², H. Sandaker ¹²⁵, C.O. Sander ⁴⁸, J.A. Sandesara ¹⁰³, M. Sandhoff ¹⁷⁰, C. Sandoval ^{22b}, D.P.C. Sankey ¹³⁴, T. Sano ⁸⁷, A. Sansoni ⁵³, L. Santi ^{75a,75b}, C. Santoni ⁴⁰, H. Santos ^{130a,130b}, S.N. Santpur ^{17a}, A. Santra ¹⁶⁸, K.A. Saoucha ¹³⁹, J.G. Saraiva ^{130a,130d}, J. Sardain ⁷, O. Sasaki ⁸³, K. Sato ¹⁵⁷, C. Sauer ^{63b}, F. Sauerburger ⁵⁴, E. Sauvan ⁴, P. Savard ^{155,ad}, R. Sawada ¹⁵³, C. Sawyer ¹³⁴, L. Sawyer ⁹⁷, I. Sayago Galvan ¹⁶², C. Sbarra ^{23b}, A. Sbrizzi ^{23b,23a}, T. Scanlon ⁹⁶, J. Schaarschmidt ¹³⁸, P. Schacht ¹¹⁰, D. Schaefer ³⁹, U. Schäfer ¹⁰⁰, A.C. Schaffer ^{66,44}, D. Schaile ¹⁰⁹, R.D. Schamberger ¹⁴⁵, C. Scharf ¹⁸, M.M. Schefer ¹⁹, V.A. Schegelsky ³⁷, D. Scheirich ¹³³, F. Schenck ¹⁸, M. Schernau ¹⁵⁹, C. Scheulen ⁵⁵, C. Schiavi ^{57b,57a}, E.J. Schioppa ^{70a,70b}, M. Schioppa ^{43b,43a}, B. Schlag ¹⁴³, K.E. Schleicher ⁵⁴, S. Schlenker ³⁶, J. Schmeing ¹⁷⁰, M.A. Schmidt ¹⁷⁰, K. Schmieden ¹⁰⁰, C. Schmitt ¹⁰⁰, S. Schmitt ⁴⁸, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁵, A. Schoening ^{63b}, P.G. Scholer ⁵⁴, E. Schopf ¹²⁶, M. Schott ¹⁰⁰, J. Schovancova ³⁶, S. Schramm ⁵⁶, F. Schroeder ¹⁷⁰, T. Schroer ⁵⁶, H-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{63a}, M. Schumacher ⁵⁴,

B.A. Schumm ¹³⁶, Ph. Schune ¹³⁵, A.J. Schuy ¹³⁸, H.R. Schwartz ¹³⁶, A. Schwartzman ¹⁴³,
 T.A. Schwarz ¹⁰⁶, Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁵, R. Schwienhorst ¹⁰⁷, A. Sciandra ¹³⁶, G. Sciolla ²⁶,
 F. Scuri ^{74a}, C.D. Sebastiani ⁹², K. Sedlaczek ¹¹⁵, P. Seema ¹⁸, S.C. Seidel ¹¹², A. Seiden ¹³⁶,
 B.D. Seidlitz ⁴¹, C. Seitz ⁴⁸, J.M. Seixas ^{82b}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{72a}, S.J. Sekula ⁴⁴, L. Selem ⁶⁰,
 N. Semprini-Cesari ^{23b,23a}, D. Sengupta ⁵⁶, V. Senthilkumar ¹⁶², L. Serin ⁶⁶, L. Serkin ^{69a,69b},
 M. Sessa ^{76a,76b}, H. Severini ¹²⁰, F. Sforza ^{57b,57a}, A. Sfyrlla ⁵⁶, E. Shabalina ⁵⁵, R. Shaheen ¹⁴⁴,
 J.D. Shahinian ¹²⁸, D. Shaked Renous ¹⁶⁸, L.Y. Shan ^{14a}, M. Shapiro ^{17a}, A. Sharma ³⁶,
 A.S. Sharma ¹⁶³, P. Sharma ⁸⁰, S. Sharma ⁴⁸, P.B. Shatalov ³⁷, K. Shaw ¹⁴⁶, S.M. Shaw ¹⁰¹,
 A. Shcherbakova ³⁷, Q. Shen ^{62c,5}, P. Sherwood ⁹⁶, L. Shi ⁹⁶, X. Shi ^{14a}, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁷¹,
 Y. Shimogama ¹⁶⁷, J.D. Shinner ⁹⁵, I.P.J. Shipsey ¹²⁶, S. Shirabe ^{56,h}, M. Shiyakova ³⁸,
 J. Shlomi ¹⁶⁸, M.J. Shochet ³⁹, J. Shojaii ¹⁰⁵, D.R. Shope ¹²⁵, S. Shrestha ^{119,ag}, E.M. Shrif ^{33g},
 M.J. Shroff ¹⁶⁴, P. Sicho ¹³¹, A.M. Sickles ¹⁶¹, E. Sideras Haddad ^{33g}, A. Sidoti ^{23b},
 F. Siegert ⁵⁰, Dj. Sijacki ¹⁵, R. Sikora ^{85a}, F. Sili ⁹⁰, J.M. Silva ²⁰, M.V. Silva Oliveira ²⁹,
 S.B. Silverstein ^{47a}, S. Simion ⁶⁶, R. Simoniello ³⁶, E.L. Simpson ⁵⁹, H. Simpson ¹⁴⁶,
 L.R. Simpson ¹⁰⁶, N.D. Simpson ⁹⁸, S. Simsek ^{21d}, S. Sindhu ⁵⁵, P. Sinervo ¹⁵⁵, S. Singh ¹⁵⁵,
 S. Sinha ⁴⁸, S. Sinha ¹⁰¹, M. Sioli ^{23b,23a}, I. Siral ³⁶, E. Sitnikova ⁴⁸, S.Yu. Sivoklov ^{37,*},
 J. Sjölin ^{47a,47b}, A. Skaf ⁵⁵, E. Skorda ⁹⁸, P. Skubic ¹²⁰, M. Slawinska ⁸⁶, V. Smakhtin ¹⁶⁸,
 B.H. Smart ¹³⁴, J. Smiesko ³⁶, S.Yu. Smirnov ³⁷, Y. Smirnov ³⁷, L.N. Smirnova ^{37,a},
 O. Smirnova ⁹⁸, A.C. Smith ⁴¹, E.A. Smith ³⁹, H.A. Smith ¹²⁶, J.L. Smith ⁹², R. Smith ¹⁴³,
 M. Smizanska ⁹¹, K. Smolek ¹³², A.A. Snesarev ³⁷, S.R. Snider ¹⁵⁵, H.L. Snoek ¹¹⁴,
 S. Snyder ²⁹, R. Sobie ^{164,w}, A. Soffer ¹⁵¹, C.A. Solans Sanchez ³⁶, E.Yu. Soldatov ³⁷,
 U. Soldevila ¹⁶², A.A. Solodkov ³⁷, S. Solomon ²⁶, A. Soloshenko ³⁸, K. Solovieva ⁵⁴,
 O.V. Solovyanov ⁴⁰, V. Solovyev ³⁷, P. Sommer ³⁶, A. Sonay ¹³, W.Y. Song ^{156b},
 J.M. Sonneveld ¹¹⁴, A. Sopczak ¹³², A.L. Sopio ⁹⁶, F. Sopkova ^{28b}, V. Sothilingam ^{63a},
 S. Sottocornola ⁶⁸, R. Soualah ^{116c}, Z. Soumami ^{35e}, D. South ⁴⁸, S. Spagnolo ^{70a,70b},
 M. Spalla ¹¹⁰, D. Sperlich ⁵⁴, G. Spigo ³⁶, M. Spina ¹⁴⁶, S. Spinali ⁹¹, D.P. Spiteri ⁵⁹,
 M. Spousta ¹³³, E.J. Staats ³⁴, A. Stabile ^{71a,71b}, R. Stamen ^{63a}, M. Stamenkovic ¹¹⁴,
 A. Stampeki ²⁰, M. Standke ²⁴, E. Stanecka ⁸⁶, M.V. Stange ⁵⁰, B. Stanislaus ^{17a},
 M.M. Stanitzki ⁴⁸, B. Stapf ⁴⁸, E.A. Starchenko ³⁷, G.H. Stark ¹³⁶, J. Stark ¹⁰², D.M. Starko ^{156b},
 P. Staroba ¹³¹, P. Starovoitov ^{63a}, S. Stärz ¹⁰⁴, R. Staszewski ⁸⁶, G. Stavropoulos ⁴⁶,
 J. Steentoft ¹⁶⁰, P. Steinberg ²⁹, B. Stelzer ^{142,156a}, H.J. Stelzer ¹²⁹, O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{156a},
 H. Stenzel ⁵⁸, T.J. Stevenson ¹⁴⁶, G.A. Stewart ³⁶, J.R. Stewart ¹²¹, M.C. Stockton ³⁶,
 G. Stoicea ^{27b}, M. Stolarski ^{130a}, S. Stonjek ¹¹⁰, A. Straessner ⁵⁰, J. Strandberg ¹⁴⁴,
 S. Strandberg ^{47a,47b}, M. Strauss ¹²⁰, T. Streblor ¹⁰², P. Strizenec ^{28b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁶⁵,
 D.M. Strom ¹²³, L.R. Strom ⁴⁸, R. Stroynowski ⁴⁴, A. Strubig ^{47a,47b}, S.A. Stucci ²⁹,
 B. Stugu ¹⁶, J. Stupak ¹²⁰, N.A. Styles ⁴⁸, D. Su ¹⁴³, S. Su ^{62a}, W. Su ^{62d}, X. Su ^{62a,66},
 K. Sugizaki ¹⁵³, V.V. Sulin ³⁷, M.J. Sullivan ⁹², D.M.S. Sultan ^{78a,78b}, L. Sultanaliev ³⁷,
 S. Sultansoy ^{3b}, T. Sumida ⁸⁷, S. Sun ¹⁰⁶, S. Sun ¹⁶⁹, O. Sunneborn Gudnadottir ¹⁶⁰,
 M.R. Sutton ¹⁴⁶, H. Suzuki ¹⁵⁷, M. Svatos ¹³¹, M. Swiatlowski ^{156a}, T. Swirski ¹⁶⁵,
 I. Sykora ^{28a}, M. Sykora ¹³³, T. Sykora ¹³³, D. Ta ¹⁰⁰, K. Tackmann ^{48,u}, A. Taffard ¹⁵⁹,
 R. Tafrout ^{156a}, J.S. Tafoya Vargas ⁶⁶, R. Takashima ⁸⁸, E.P. Takeva ⁵², Y. Takubo ⁸³,
 M. Talby ¹⁰², A.A. Talyshev ³⁷, K.C. Tam ^{64b}, N.M. Tamir ¹⁵¹, A. Tanaka ¹⁵³, J. Tanaka ¹⁵³,
 R. Tanaka ⁶⁶, M. Tanasini ^{57b,57a}, Z. Tao ¹⁶³, S. Tapia Araya ^{137f}, S. Tapprogge ¹⁰⁰,
 A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ¹⁰⁷, S. Tarem ¹⁵⁰, K. Tariq ^{62b}, G. Tarna ^{102,27b}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{71a},
 P. Tas ¹³³, M. Tasevsky ¹³¹, E. Tassi ^{43b,43a}, A.C. Tate ¹⁶¹, G. Tateno ¹⁵³, Y. Tayalati ^{35e,v},
 G.N. Taylor ¹⁰⁵, W. Taylor ^{156b}, H. Teagle ⁹², A.S. Tee ¹⁶⁹, R. Teixeira De Lima ¹⁴³,
 P. Teixeira-Dias ⁹⁵, J.J. Teoh ¹⁵⁵, K. Terashi ¹⁵³, J. Terron ⁹⁹, S. Terzo ¹³, M. Testa ⁵³,

R.J. Teuscher ^{155,w}, A. Thaler ⁷⁹, O. Theiner ⁵⁶, N. Themistokleous ⁵², T. Thevenaux-Pelzer ¹⁰², O. Thielmann ¹⁷⁰, D.W. Thomas ⁹⁵, J.P. Thomas ²⁰, E.A. Thompson ^{17a}, P.D. Thompson ²⁰, E. Thomson ¹²⁸, Y. Tian ⁵⁵, V. Tikhomirov ^{37,a}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ³⁷, S. Timoshenko ³⁷, D. Timoshyn ¹³³, E.X.L. Ting ¹, P. Tipton ¹⁷¹, S.H. Tlou ^{33g}, A. Tnourji ⁴⁰, K. Todome ^{23b,23a}, S. Todorova-Nova ¹³³, S. Todt ⁵⁰, M. Togawa ⁸³, J. Tojo ⁸⁹, S. Tokár ^{28a}, K. Tokushuku ⁸³, O. Toldaiev ⁶⁸, R. Tombs ³², M. Tomoto ^{83,111}, L. Tompkins ¹⁴³, K.W. Topolnicki ^{85b}, E. Torrence ¹²³, H. Torres ¹⁰², E. Torró Pastor ¹⁶², M. Toscani ³⁰, C. Tosciri ³⁹, M. Tost ¹¹, D.R. Tovey ¹³⁹, A. Traet ¹⁶, I.S. Trandafir ^{27b}, T. Trefzger ¹⁶⁵, A. Tricoli ²⁹, I.M. Trigger ^{156a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid ¹²⁷, D.A. Trischuk ²⁶, B. Trocmé ⁶⁰, C. Troncon ^{71a}, L. Truong ^{33c}, M. Trzebinski ⁸⁶, A. Trzupek ⁸⁶, F. Tsai ¹⁴⁵, M. Tsai ¹⁰⁶, A. Tsiamis ^{152,e}, P.V. Tsiareshka ³⁷, S. Tsigaridas ^{156a}, A. Tsirigotis ^{152,s}, V. Tsiskaridze ¹⁴⁵, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{149a}, M. Tsopoulou ^{152,e}, Y. Tsujikawa ⁸⁷, I.I. Tsukerman ³⁷, V. Tsulaia ^{17a}, S. Tsuno ⁸³, O. Tsur ¹⁵⁰, K. Tsur ¹¹⁸, D. Tsybychev ¹⁴⁵, Y. Tu ^{64b}, A. Tudorache ^{27b}, V. Tudorache ^{27b}, A.N. Tuna ³⁶, S. Turchikhin ³⁸, I. Turk Cakir ^{3a}, R. Turra ^{71a}, T. Turtuvshin ^{38,x}, P.M. Tuts ⁴¹, S. Tzamarias ^{152,e}, P. Tzanis ¹⁰, E. Tzovara ¹⁰⁰, K. Uchida ¹⁵³, F. Ukegawa ¹⁵⁷, P.A. Ulloa Poblete ^{137c,137b}, E.N. Umaka ²⁹, G. Unal ³⁶, M. Unal ¹¹, A. Undrus ²⁹, G. Unel ¹⁵⁹, J. Urban ^{28b}, P. Urquijo ¹⁰⁵, G. Usai ⁸, R. Ushioda ¹⁵⁴, M. Usman ¹⁰⁸, Z. Uysal ^{21b}, L. Vacavant ¹⁰², V. Vacek ¹³², B. Vachon ¹⁰⁴, K.O.H. Vadla ¹²⁵, T. Vafeiadis ³⁶, A. Vaitkus ⁹⁶, C. Valderanis ¹⁰⁹, E. Valdes Santurio ^{47a,47b}, M. Valente ^{156a}, S. Valentinetti ^{23b,23a}, A. Valero ¹⁶², E. Valiente Moreno ¹⁶², A. Vallier ¹⁰², J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁶², D.R. Van Arneman ¹¹⁴, T.R. Van Daalen ¹³⁸, A. Van Der Graaf ⁴⁹, P. Van Gemmeren ⁶, M. Van Rijnbach ^{125,36}, S. Van Stroud ⁹⁶, I. Van Vulpen ¹¹⁴, M. Vanadia ^{76a,76b}, W. Vandelli ³⁶, M. Vandenbroucke ¹³⁵, E.R. Vandewall ¹²¹, D. Vannicola ¹⁵¹, L. Vannoli ^{57b,57a}, R. Vari ^{75a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, C. Varni ^{17a}, T. Varol ¹⁴⁸, D. Varouchas ⁶⁶, L. Varriale ¹⁶², K.E. Varvell ¹⁴⁷, M.E. Vasile ^{27b}, L. Vaslin ⁴⁰, G.A. Vasquez ¹⁶⁴, F. Vazeille ⁴⁰, T. Vazquez Schroeder ³⁶, J. Veatch ³¹, V. Vecchio ¹⁰¹, M.J. Veen ¹⁰³, I. Veliscek ¹²⁶, L.M. Veloce ¹⁵⁵, F. Veloso ^{130a,130c}, S. Veneziano ^{75a}, A. Ventura ^{70a,70b}, A. Verbytskyi ¹¹⁰, M. Verducci ^{74a,74b}, C. Vergis ²⁴, M. Verissimo De Araujo ^{82b}, W. Verkerke ¹¹⁴, J.C. Vermeulen ¹¹⁴, C. Vernieri ¹⁴³, P.J. Verschuuren ⁹⁵, M. Vessella ¹⁰³, M.C. Vetterli ^{142,ad}, A. Vgenopoulos ^{152,e}, N. Viaux Maira ^{137f}, T. Vickey ¹³⁹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹³⁹, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹²⁶, L. Vigani ^{63b}, M. Villa ^{23b,23a}, M. Villaplana Perez ¹⁶², E.M. Villhauer ⁵², E. Vilucchi ⁵³, M.G. Vinciter ³⁴, G.S. Virdee ²⁰, A. Vishwakarma ⁵², A. Visibile ¹¹⁴, C. Vittori ³⁶, I. Vivarelli ¹⁴⁶, V. Vladimirov ¹⁶⁶, E. Voevodina ¹¹⁰, F. Vogel ¹⁰⁹, P. Vokac ¹³², J. Von Ahnen ⁴⁸, E. Von Toerne ²⁴, B. Vormwald ³⁶, V. Vorobel ¹³³, K. Vorobev ³⁷, M. Vos ¹⁶², K. Voss ¹⁴¹, J.H. Vossebeld ⁹², M. Vozak ¹¹⁴, L. Vozdecky ⁹⁴, N. Vranjes ¹⁵, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁵, M. Vreeswijk ¹¹⁴, N.K. Vu ^{62d,62c}, R. Vuillermet ³⁶, O. Vujinovic ¹⁰⁰, I. Vukotic ³⁹, S. Wada ¹⁵⁷, C. Wagner ¹⁰³, J.M. Wagner ^{17a}, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁰, S. Wahdan ¹⁷⁰, H. Wahlberg ⁹⁰, R. Wakasa ¹⁵⁷, M. Wakida ¹¹¹, J. Walder ¹³⁴, R. Walker ¹⁰⁹, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴¹, A. Wall ¹²⁸, T. Wamorkar ⁶, A.Z. Wang ¹⁶⁹, C. Wang ¹⁰⁰, C. Wang ^{62c}, H. Wang ^{17a}, J. Wang ^{64a}, R.-J. Wang ¹⁰⁰, R. Wang ⁶¹, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁴⁸, S. Wang ^{62b}, T. Wang ^{62a}, W.T. Wang ⁸⁰, X. Wang ^{14c}, X. Wang ¹⁶¹, X. Wang ^{62c}, Y. Wang ^{62d}, Y. Wang ^{14c}, Z. Wang ¹⁰⁶, Z. Wang ^{62d,51,62c}, Z. Wang ¹⁰⁶, A. Warburton ¹⁰⁴, R.J. Ward ²⁰, N. Warrack ⁵⁹, A.T. Watson ²⁰, H. Watson ⁵⁹, M.F. Watson ²⁰, E. Watton ^{59,134}, G. Watts ¹³⁸, B.M. Waugh ⁹⁶, C. Weber ²⁹, H.A. Weber ¹⁸, M.S. Weber ¹⁹, S.M. Weber ^{63a}, C. Wei ^{62a}, Y. Wei ¹²⁶, A.R. Weidberg ¹²⁶, E.J. Weik ¹¹⁷, J. Weingarten ⁴⁹, M. Weirich ¹⁰⁰, C. Weiser ⁵⁴, C.J. Wells ⁴⁸, T. Wenaus ²⁹, B. Wendland ⁴⁹, T. Wengler ³⁶, N.S. Wenke ¹¹⁰, N. Wermes ²⁴, M. Wessels ^{63a}, K. Whalen ¹²³, A.M. Wharton ⁹¹, A.S. White ⁶¹, A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, D. Whiteson ¹⁵⁹, L. Wickremasinghe ¹²⁴, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁶⁹, C. Wiel ⁵⁰, M. Wielers ¹³⁴, C. Wiglesworth ⁴²,

D.J. Wilbern¹²⁰, H.G. Wilkens , D.M. Williams , H.H. Williams¹²⁸, S. Williams , S. Willocq , B.J. Wilson , P.J. Windischhofer , F.I. Winkel , F. Winklmeier , B.T. Winter , J.K. Winter , M. Wittgen¹⁴³, M. Wobisch , Z. Wolffs , R. Wölker , J. Wollrath¹⁵⁹, M.W. Wolter , H. Wolters , A.F. Wongel , S.D. Worm , B.K. Wosiek , K.W. Woźniak , S. Wozniowski , K. Wraight , C. Wu , J. Wu , M. Wu^{64a}, M. Wu , S.L. Wu , X. Wu , Y. Wu , Z. Wu , J. Wuerzinger , T.R. Wyatt , B.M. Wynne , S. Xella , L. Xia , M. Xia^{14b}, J. Xiang , X. Xiao , M. Xie , X. Xie , S. Xin , J. Xiong , D. Xu , H. Xu , L. Xu , R. Xu , T. Xu , Y. Xu , Z. Xu , Z. Xu , B. Yabsley , S. Yacoob , N. Yamaguchi , Y. Yamaguchi , E. Yamashita , H. Yamauchi , T. Yamazaki , Y. Yamazaki , J. Yan^{62c}, S. Yan , Z. Yan , H.J. Yang , H.T. Yang , S. Yang , T. Yang , X. Yang , X. Yang , Y. Yang , Z. Yang , W.-M. Yao , Y.C. Yap , H. Ye , H. Ye , J. Ye , S. Ye , X. Ye , Y. Yeh , I. Yeletsikh , B.K. Yeo , M.R. Yexley , P. Yin , K. Yorita , S. Younas , C.J.S. Young , C. Young , Y. Yu , M. Yuan , R. Yuan , L. Yue , M. Zaazoua , B. Zabinski , E. Zaid⁵², T. Zakareishvili , N. Zakharchuk , S. Zambito , J.A. Zamora Saa , J. Zang , D. Zanzi , O. Zaplatilek , C. Zeitnitz , H. Zeng^{14a}, J.C. Zeng , D.T. Zenger Jr , O. Zenin , T. Ženiš , S. Zenz , S. Zerradi , D. Zerwas , M. Zhai , B. Zhang , D.F. Zhang , J. Zhang , J. Zhang , K. Zhang , L. Zhang , P. Zhang^{14a,14e}, R. Zhang , S. Zhang , T. Zhang , X. Zhang , X. Zhang , Y. Zhang , Y. Zhang , Z. Zhang , Z. Zhang , H. Zhao , P. Zhao , T. Zhao , Y. Zhao , Z. Zhao , A. Zhemchugov , K. Zheng , X. Zheng , Z. Zheng , D. Zhong , B. Zhou¹⁰⁶, H. Zhou , N. Zhou , Y. Zhou⁷, C.G. Zhu , J. Zhu , Y. Zhu , Y. Zhu , X. Zhuang , K. Zhukov , V. Zhulanov , N.I. Zimine , J. Zinsser , M. Ziolkowski , L. Živković , A. Zoccoli , K. Zoch , T.G. Zorbas , O. Zormpa , W. Zou , L. Zwalinski .

¹Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide; Australia.

²Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB; Canada.

^{3(a)}Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b)Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara; Türkiye.

⁴LAPP, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy; France.

⁵APC, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.

⁶High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL; United States of America.

⁷Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ; United States of America.

⁸Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX; United States of America.

⁹Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens; Greece.

¹⁰Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou; Greece.

¹¹Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX; United States of America.

¹²Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.

¹³Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona; Spain.

^{14(a)}Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b)Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing; ^(c)Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing; ^(d)School of Science, Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University; ^(e)University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing; China.

¹⁵Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade; Serbia.

- ¹⁶Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen; Norway.
- ¹⁷(^a) Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA; (^b) University of California, Berkeley CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁸Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin; Germany.
- ¹⁹Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern; Switzerland.
- ²⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham; United Kingdom.
- ²¹(^a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (^b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (^c) Department of Physics, Istanbul University, Istanbul; (^d) Istinye University, Sariyer, Istanbul; Türkiye.
- ²²(^a) Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogotá; (^b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá; (^c) Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota; Colombia.
- ²³(^a) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia A. Righi, Università di Bologna, Bologna; (^b) INFN Sezione di Bologna; Italy.
- ²⁴Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn; Germany.
- ²⁵Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA; United States of America.
- ²⁶Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA; United States of America.
- ²⁷(^a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; (^b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (^c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; (^d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; (^e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (^f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara; (^g) Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest; Romania.
- ²⁸(^a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; (^b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice; Slovak Republic.
- ²⁹Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY; United States of America.
- ³⁰Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Física, y CONICET, Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires (IFIBA), Buenos Aires; Argentina.
- ³¹California State University, CA; United States of America.
- ³²Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge; United Kingdom.
- ³³(^a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; (^b) iThemba Labs, Western Cape; (^c) Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; (^d) National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman (Philippines); (^e) University of South Africa, Department of Physics, Pretoria; (^f) University of Zululand, KwaDlangezwa; (^g) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; South Africa.
- ³⁴Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON; Canada.
- ³⁵(^a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (^b) Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn-Tofail, Kénitra; (^c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; (^d) LPMR, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier, Oujda; (^e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat; (^f) Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.
- ³⁶CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- ³⁷Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁸Affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁹Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL; United States of America.
- ⁴⁰LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand; France.

- ⁴¹Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY; United States of America.
- ⁴²Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen; Denmark.
- ⁴³(^a)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende;(^b)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; Italy.
- ⁴⁴Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX; United States of America.
- ⁴⁵Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX; United States of America.
- ⁴⁶National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos", Agia Paraskevi; Greece.
- ⁴⁷(^a)Department of Physics, Stockholm University;(^b)Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm; Sweden.
- ⁴⁸Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen; Germany.
- ⁴⁹Fakultät Physik , Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund; Germany.
- ⁵⁰Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; Germany.
- ⁵¹Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC; United States of America.
- ⁵²SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; United Kingdom.
- ⁵³INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati; Italy.
- ⁵⁴Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany.
- ⁵⁵II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany.
- ⁵⁶Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ⁵⁷(^a)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova;(^b)INFN Sezione di Genova; Italy.
- ⁵⁸II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen; Germany.
- ⁵⁹SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow; United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁰LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble; France.
- ⁶¹Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA; United States of America.
- ⁶²(^a)Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei;(^b)Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao;(^c)School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE), SKLPPC, Shanghai;(^d)Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai; China.
- ⁶³(^a)Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg;(^b)Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Germany.
- ⁶⁴(^a)Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong;(^b)Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong;(^c)Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong; China.
- ⁶⁵Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu; Taiwan.
- ⁶⁶IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405, Orsay; France.
- ⁶⁷Centro Nacional de Microelectrónica (IMB-CNM-CSIC), Barcelona; Spain.
- ⁶⁸Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN; United States of America.
- ⁶⁹(^a)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine;(^b)ICTP, Trieste;(^c)Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine; Italy.
- ⁷⁰(^a)INFN Sezione di Lecce;(^b)Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce; Italy.
- ⁷¹(^a)INFN Sezione di Milano;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano; Italy.
- ⁷²(^a)INFN Sezione di Napoli;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli; Italy.
- ⁷³(^a)INFN Sezione di Pavia;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia; Italy.
- ⁷⁴(^a)INFN Sezione di Pisa;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy.
- ⁷⁵(^a)INFN Sezione di Roma;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁶(^a)INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata;(^b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma; Italy.

- ⁷⁷(*a*) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; (*b*) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁸(*a*) INFN-TIFPA; (*b*) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento; Italy.
- ⁷⁹Universität Innsbruck, Department of Astro and Particle Physics, Innsbruck; Austria.
- ⁸⁰University of Iowa, Iowa City IA; United States of America.
- ⁸¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA; United States of America.
- ⁸²(*a*) Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (*b*) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; (*c*) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; (*d*) Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro; Brazil.
- ⁸³KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ⁸⁴Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe; Japan.
- ⁸⁵(*a*) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; (*b*) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁶Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁷Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto; Japan.
- ⁸⁸Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto; Japan.
- ⁸⁹Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka ; Japan.
- ⁹⁰Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata; Argentina.
- ⁹¹Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster; United Kingdom.
- ⁹²Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool; United Kingdom.
- ⁹³Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana; Slovenia.
- ⁹⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁵Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁷Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America.
- ⁹⁸Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund; Sweden.
- ⁹⁹Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid; Spain.
- ¹⁰⁰Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz; Germany.
- ¹⁰¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁰²CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France.
- ¹⁰³Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁴Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁵School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria; Australia.
- ¹⁰⁶Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁸Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁹Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München; Germany.
- ¹¹⁰Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München; Germany.
- ¹¹¹Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya; Japan.
- ¹¹²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM; United States of America.
- ¹¹³Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands.
- ¹¹⁴Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam;

Netherlands.

¹¹⁵Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; United States of America.

¹¹⁶(^a) New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi; (^b) United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain; (^c) University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates.

¹¹⁷Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY; United States of America.

¹¹⁸Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo; Japan.

¹¹⁹Ohio State University, Columbus OH; United States of America.

¹²⁰Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK; United States of America.

¹²¹Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK; United States of America.

¹²²Palacký University, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc; Czech Republic.

¹²³Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR; United States of America.

¹²⁴Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan.

¹²⁵Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo; Norway.

¹²⁶Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford; United Kingdom.

¹²⁷LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.

¹²⁸Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; United States of America.

¹²⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA; United States of America.

¹³⁰(^a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; (^b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (^c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; (^d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (^e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; (^f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); (^g) Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; Portugal.

¹³¹Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague; Czech Republic.

¹³²Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague; Czech Republic.

¹³³Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague; Czech Republic.

¹³⁴Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot; United Kingdom.

¹³⁵IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette; France.

¹³⁶Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA; United States of America.

¹³⁷(^a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (^b) Millennium Institute for Subatomic physics at high energy frontier (SAPHIR), Santiago; (^c) Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinario en Ciencia y Tecnología, y Departamento de Física, Universidad de La Serena; (^d) Universidad Andres Bello, Department of Physics, Santiago; (^e) Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica; (^f) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso; Chile.

¹³⁸Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; United States of America.

¹³⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom.

¹⁴⁰Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano; Japan.

¹⁴¹Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen; Germany.

¹⁴²Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC; Canada.

¹⁴³SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA; United States of America.

¹⁴⁴Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm; Sweden.

¹⁴⁵Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY; United States of America.

¹⁴⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton; United Kingdom.

- ¹⁴⁷School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney; Australia.
- ¹⁴⁸Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan.
- ¹⁴⁹(^a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (^b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (^c) University of Georgia, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ¹⁵⁰Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa; Israel.
- ¹⁵¹Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; Israel.
- ¹⁵²Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki; Greece.
- ¹⁵³International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁴Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁵Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁶(^a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; (^b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁷Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁵⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁶⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala; Sweden.
- ¹⁶¹Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL; United States of America.
- ¹⁶²Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia; Spain.
- ¹⁶³Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁵Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Germany.
- ¹⁶⁶Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁶⁷Waseda University, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁶⁸Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; Israel.
- ¹⁶⁹Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI; United States of America.
- ¹⁷⁰Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal; Germany.
- ¹⁷¹Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT; United States of America.
- ^a Also Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ^b Also at APC, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.
- ^c Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^d Also at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University; China.
- ^e Also at Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Innovation (CIRI-AUTH), Thessaloniki ; Greece.
- ^f Also at Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi; Italy.
- ^g Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- ^h Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ⁱ Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^j Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.
- ^k Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America.
- ^l Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada.
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento; United States of America.

- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom.
- ^p Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.
- ^q Also at Department of Physics, University of Thessaly; Greece.
- ^r Also at Department of Physics, Westmont College, Santa Barbara; United States of America.
- ^s Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.
- ^t Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^u Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany.
- ^v Also at Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.
- ^w Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.
- ^x Also at Institute of Physics and Technology, Ulaanbaatar; Mongolia.
- ^y Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.
- ^z Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ^{aa} Also at KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ^{ab} Also at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore; United States of America.
- ^{ac} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.
- ^{ad} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ^{ae} Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.
- ^{af} Also at University of Colorado Boulder, Department of Physics, Colorado; United States of America.
- ^{ag} Also at Washington College, Chestertown, MD; United States of America.
- * Deceased