

XUV-Initiated Dissociation Dynamics of Molecular Oxygen (O_2)

Published as part of *The Journal of Physical Chemistry virtual special issue "Daniel Neumark Festschrift"*.

Marc Rebholz,* Thomas Ding, Lennart Aufleger, Maximilian Hartmann, Kristina Meyer, Veit Stooß, Alexander Magunia, David Wachs, Paul Birk, Yonghao Mi, Gergana Dimitrova Borisova, Carina da Costa Castanheira, Patrick Rupprecht, Maia Magrakvelidze, Uwe Thumm, Sebastian Roling, Marco Butz, Helmut Zacharias, Stefan Düsterer, Rolf Treusch, Günter Brenner, Christian Ott,* and Thomas Pfeifer*



Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2021, 125, 10138–10143



Read Online

ACCESS |




Metrics & More



Article Recommendations

ABSTRACT: We performed a time-resolved spectroscopy experiment on the dissociation of oxygen molecules after the interaction with intense extreme-ultraviolet (XUV) light from the free-electron laser in Hamburg at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron. Using an XUV-pump/XUV-probe transient-absorption geometry with a split-and-delay unit, we observe the onset of electronic transitions in the O^{2+} cation near 50 eV photon energy, marking the end of the progression from a molecule to two isolated atoms. We observe two different time scales of 290 ± 53 and 180 ± 76 fs for the emergence of different ionic transitions, indicating different dissociation pathways taken by the departing oxygen atoms. With regard to the emerging opportunities of tuning the central frequencies of pump and probe pulses and of increasing the probe-pulse bandwidth, future pump-probe transient-absorption experiments are expected to provide a detailed view of the coupled nuclear and electronic dynamics during molecular dissociation.



INTRODUCTION

Oxygen plays a central role in the metabolism of many life forms on earth¹ as well as in combustion processes.² Furthermore, it shields the earth from ultraviolet radiation in the form of the ozone layer.³

In addition to its fundamental importance, as a diatomic molecule, oxygen can serve as a model system for the experimental and theoretical study of nuclear wave-packet dynamics.^{4–7} In recent years, such experiments have mostly been conducted using few-cycle near-infrared (NIR) laser pulses in time-resolved pump-probe spectroscopy studies.^{6–9} However, the intense electric field of the NIR pulses introduces strong couplings between individual electronic states. Therefore, instead of the fundamental field-free potential energy curves (PECs), the field-dressed PECs are probed.⁴

The introduction of extreme ultraviolet (XUV) free-electron lasers (FELs)¹⁰ as intense sources of XUV radiation made XUV-pump-XUV-probe schemes possible. Hereby, the first FEL pulse initiates a nuclear wave packet by ionizing the target molecule, while the second FEL pulse probes the evolution of the system. Because of the high photon energy of the XUV radiation, a single photon is sufficient for the ionization, which


is in contrast to a multiphoton excitation/ionization with NIR radiation. In this way, the evolution of the nuclear wave packet on the unperturbed potential energy curves can be probed by the second XUV pulse. This can be done by either recording photoelectron¹¹ or fragment kinetic energy release^{12,13} spectra of the target but also via the detection of the molecule's absorption spectrum.^{14,15}

Photoelectron/photofragment ion spectroscopy gives detailed information on all produced fragments and electrons including their kinetic energies over a broad bandwidth.^{16–18} It is even possible to reconstruct the three-dimensional (3D) momentum distribution of produced fragments or ejected photoelectrons. However, it is only possible to detect the final states of a fragment, which could have decayed on its way from its origin to the detector. In contrast, transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) allows for the continuous probing of a

Received: July 7, 2021

Revised: November 3, 2021

Published: November 17, 2021



dissociating molecule's electronic structure and its resonant transitions, right at the time when the probe pulse arrives, within the window of the probe pulse's spectral width.^{19–21}

In this work, we demonstrate the time-resolved spectroscopic access to the electronic structure during the pump-induced interaction through XUV-pump—XUV-probe transient absorption spectroscopy.

■ SETUP

The employed FEL pulses from the free-electron laser in Hamburg (FLASH)¹⁰ have a photon energy of 50 eV with a bandwidth of ~ 0.5 eV (full width at half-maximum (fwhm)), a pulse duration of 65 ± 15 fs, and an intensity in the 10^{13} W cm⁻² regime. Pump and probe pulses are generated by geometrically splitting the incoming FEL pulses in the split-and-delay unit (SDU) at beamline BL2 at FLASH.²² The spectra of the probe pulses, transmitted through a gas cell that contains a moderately dense sample of molecular oxygen (backing pressure: 41 mbar; target length: 4 mm; focus size: 25 μ m), are detected as a function of the pump–probe time delay with a step size of 40 fs (see Figure 1). The instrument




Figure 1. The incident FEL beam is split into two parts via the FLASH BL2 SDU.²² The hereby generated pump and probe pulses are focused into a gas cell containing the oxygen target. The spectra of the probe pulses are detected as a function of the pump–probe time delay with a spectral resolution of 35 meV at 50 eV photon energy.

response function of the setup is determined to be 65 ± 15 fs fwhm via an independent measurement in a neon gas target under the same experimental conditions.²³ This measurement also allowed us to determine the temporal overlap between pump and probe pulses with an accuracy better than 10 fs.²³ A more detailed description of the experimental setup can be found in ref 14.

■ RESULTS

The measured quantity in the presented transient absorption study is the spectrum of the FEL probe pulse transmitted through an oxygen gas sample. In the presence of the FEL pump pulse, the absorption signal is modified through the interaction with the highly intense XUV light. In Figure 2, the differential optical density (ΔOD) is depicted. It shows the changes the pump pulse induces within the target sample and is calculated according to

$$\Delta OD(E) = -\log \left(\frac{I_{\text{pump first}}(E)}{I_{\text{probe first}}(E)} \right) \quad (1)$$

where $I_{\text{pump first}}(E)$ is the spectrum of the probe pulse when the pump pulse precedes it by more than 400 fs. In this case, the pump has modified the response of the system, which is




Figure 2. ΔOD showing the pump-induced absorption changes. It is calculated according to eq 1. Letters A through E refer to the O²⁺ transitions listed in Table 1. Figure adapted from ref 25.

reflected in a change in the absorption of the probe pulse. In the opposite case ($I_{\text{probe first}}(E)$), the probe spectrum is detected as well; however, the pump pulse trails the probe by more than 400 fs. Thus, the detected probe spectrum experiences the unaltered absorption of the oxygen target. The pump-induced absorption changes are calculated according to eq 1 and are shown in Figure 2 (lower panel). Sharp absorption features can be observed in the ΔOD . Additionally, the energetic positions of several transitions of the O²⁺ cation are plotted in the top panel of Figure 2. The spectroscopic assignment is carried out along literature values in ref 24 and is summarized in Table 1. The letters A through E are assigned to individual absorption features for easier identification.

Table 1. Parameters of O²⁺ Absorption Lines²⁴

transition	term scheme	energy (eV)
A: 2p ² –2p5d	(g ³ P ₂)–(3D ₃ ^o)	49.87
A: 2p ² –2p5d	(g ³ P ₁)–(3D ₃ ^o)	49.88
A: 2p ² –2p5d	(g ³ P ₀)–(3D ₃ ^o)	49.89
B: 2p ² –2p7d	(1D ₂)–(1F ₃ ^o)	49.93
C: 2s ² 2p ² –2s2p ² 3p	(1D ₂)–(1F ₃ ^o)	50.18
D: 2s ² 2p ² –2s2p ² 3p	(1D ₂)–(1D ₂ ^o)	50.35
E: 2s ² 2p ² –2s2p ² 3p	(1D ₂)–(1P ₁ ^o)	50.80

In Figure 3 the time-dependent OD of the oxygen target is shown. In the absence of a suitable measured reference spectrum, a reconstructed reference spectrum $I_{\text{Fourier ref}}(E, \Delta t)$ of the experimentally measured probe transmission spectrum $I_{\text{probe}}(E, \Delta t)$ is calculated for every time-delay step Δt via a Fourier bandpass filter. Therefore, the spectra are Fourier-transformed along their energy axis, and a Gaussian low-pass filter is used to suppress the high-frequency Fourier components stemming from the sharp absorption resonances. These filtered Fourier spectra are transformed back into the spectral domain. In order to mitigate artificial high-frequency components from a Fourier transformation of detector noise outside of the bandwidth of the spectra, the probe spectra are tapered by a $\cos^2$ function. The employed Gaussian low-pass filter is defined as

$$w(E) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \quad (2)$$

Its width σ is chosen to be large enough to still recover the spectral variations of the FEL spectrum but not too large, as




Figure 3. Experimentally measured time-dependent absorption spectra, obtained through the generated Fourier reference spectra. The emergence of several O^{2+} absorption lines, labeled here with A, C, and D (cf. Table 1), is clearly visible over the course of the time delay. Transitions B and E are hidden in the noise floor of the measurement. Note, most prominently visible at negative time delays and in the overlap region, spectrotemporal structures appear, which are an artifact of the Fourier bandpass filter and lead to systematic deviations. Figure adapted from ref 25.

otherwise the sharp spectral absorption features in the OD would be removed as well (cf. Figure 3). To avoid systematical effects, below (Table 2) we also performed a comparison for

Table 2. Results of Fitting Eq 4 to the Lineouts A and D

	filter 1	filter 2	filter 3	average
	($\sigma_1 = 19$ fs)	($\sigma_2 = 23$ fs)	($\sigma_3 = 27$ fs)	
τ_A	300 ± 60 fs	290 ± 50 fs	270 ± 50 fs	290 ± 53 fs
τ_D	178 ± 79 fs	177 ± 77 fs	186 ± 73 fs	180 ± 76 fs

several choices of σ . With the hereby generated Fourier reference $I_{\text{Fourier ref}}(E, \Delta t)$ the OD can be calculated.

$$\text{OD}(E, \Delta t) = -\log \left(\frac{I_{\text{probe}}(E, \Delta t)}{I_{\text{Fourier ref}}(E, \Delta t)} \right) \quad (3)$$

The emergence of sharp absorption features is clearly visible over the course of the pump–probe time delay (see Figure 3). The upper panel of Figure 3 shows the same O^{2+} resonances as the top panel of Figure 2. Regarding the time-dependent measurement, three distinct absorption features emerge for positive time delays (see Figure 3). The most prominent feature can be assigned to the triplet transition A centered at 49.88 eV, where the 10 meV-scale triplet structure is unresolved at our spectrometer resolution. Additionally, two signatures of the $2s^2 2p^2 - 2s 2p^2 3p$ transition at 50.18 eV ($^1D - ^1F$) and 50.35 eV ($^1D - ^1D$) can be observed, while the remaining absorption lines remain hidden in the noise floor of the data.

The lineouts through the energy regions of the absorption features A, C, and D can be found in Figure 4. The error bars of the lineouts are calculated by taking additional lineouts through the data in regions with no measured absorption right next to transition A (from 49.74 to 49.81 eV), C (from 50.10 to 50.14 eV), and transition D (from 50.40 to 50.47 eV). The standard deviation of these lineouts is used as a measure for




Figure 4. Lineouts of the OD, averaged over the absorption resonances A, C, and D. The lineouts of A and D are fitted with the exponential model introduced in Eq 4, while the statistics of the data does not allow for a sensible fit of lineout C. The results of this fit are summarized in Table 2.

the statistical fluctuation of our experimental data. Lineouts A and D are then fitted with an exponential model to get a measure for the time scales of their emergence. The statistics of the data for lineout C is not sufficient to perform the same fit.

$$\text{OD}(E, t) = y(E) + \text{IRF}(t) * [\Theta(t) \times (a(E) - b(E)e^{-t/\tau})] \quad (4)$$

Here, $y(E)$ is a time-independent offset, $\text{IRF}(t)$ is the instrument response function, and $\Theta(t)$ is the Heaviside step function. The symbol $(*)$ denotes the convolution between instrument response function and the function that describes the dynamics, while $(\times)$ denotes multiplication. $a(E)$ and $b(E)$ are fit amplitudes, while τ is the time constant of the exponential rise of the absorption line. The fits are performed for three different widths σ of the Fourier filter to get an estimate of its influence on the time scales. The results of the fit are summarized in Table 2. All three results per lineout agree well within the error margins. A strong influence of the width of the Gaussian low-pass filter on the resulting time scales can thus be ruled out.

The emergence of the absorption resonance A of the $2p^2 - 2p5d$ triplet with a time constant of $\tau_A = 290 \pm 53$ fs is reproduced reasonably well by the exponential fit model [Eq. 4]. Absorption resonance D ($2s^2 p^2 - 2s 2p^2 3p$) arises with a time constant of $\tau_D = 180 \pm 76$ fs. As can be seen in Figure 4, there is an increase in resonance line D already 100 fs before the temporal overlap of pump and probe pulses. This is most likely linked to an artifact of the Fourier filter (cf. Figure 3). The fit model mostly ignores this artifact through the Heaviside step function $\Theta(t)$. Excluding the artifact from the fit (time-delay region between -170 and $+30$ fs) returns $\tau_D^* = 150 \pm 45$ fs, which agrees with the original value within error bars.

two different delayed or predissociation channels that lead to the formation of the corresponding transitions.

CONCLUSION

By using femtosecond XUV-pump–XUV-probe transient absorption spectroscopy, we are able to time-resolve and spectroscopically identify the appearance of individual atomic transitions in O^{2+} , after triggering the dissociation of O_2 by a 50 eV FEL pump pulse. With a spectral resolution of ~ 35 meV at 50 eV we have identified several transitions in O^{2+} with different initial-state electronic configurations, which exponentially rise with different time scales. These time scales are of the order of a few 100 fs. They are substantially longer than those expected from a simple motion along individual PECs, which has been found through a comparison with a classical model. A possible explanation for this delayed rise could be the contribution of predissociative states.

This first experiment on XUV-pump–XUV-probe transient absorption spectroscopy of oxygen molecules still has limitations, mainly in temporal resolution and bandwidth. Nevertheless, we were able to measure the onset of different atomic transitions after the dissociation of the molecule as a function of the pump–probe time delay. We demonstrate the time-resolved spectroscopic access to the electronic structure of the molecule during the pump-induced interaction through XUV-pump–XUV-probe transient absorption spectroscopy. The extension of this technique to a broader probe spectrum and shorter probe pulses, possibly in technologically very challenging combination with a high-harmonic probe following the XUV FEL pump, will substantially increase the possibilities of this experimental scheme, since spectroscopically selective access to a much wider range of intermediate and final states will be achievable in this way.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Marc Rebholz – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-0035-1412; Email: marc.rebholz@mpi-hd.mpg.de

Christian Ott – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany; Email: christian.ott@mpi-hd.mpg.de

Thomas Pfeifer – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany; Email: thomas.pfeifer@mpi-hd.mpg.de

Authors

Thomas Ding – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Lennart Aufleger – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Maximilian Hartmann – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Kristina Meyer – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Veit Stooß – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Alexander Magunia – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

David Wachs – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Paul Birk – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Yonghao Mi – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Gergana Dimitrova Borisova – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Carina da Costa Castanheira – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Patrick Rupprecht – Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69117, Germany

Maia Magrakvelidze – Cabrini University, Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087, United States

Uwe Thumm – Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, United States

Sebastian Roling – Physikalisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster 48149, Germany

Marco Butz – Physikalisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster 48149, Germany

Helmut Zacharias – Physikalisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster 48149, Germany

Stefan Düsterer – Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg 22607, Germany

Rolf Treusch – Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg 22607, Germany

Günter Brenner – Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg 22607, Germany

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c06033>

Funding

Open access funded by Max Planck Society.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the technical and scientific staff at FLASH for their support during the experiment. For their technical support, we thankfully acknowledge C. Kaiser and B. Knappe. We acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (X-MuSiC-616783). U.T. acknowledges support by the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under Award No. DEFG02-86ER13491 and, in part, for general aspects of the numerical model development, by NSF Grant No. PHY 2110633. H.Z. acknowledges support by the BMBF (Project No. 05K13PM2).

REFERENCES

- (1) Schmidt-Rohr, K. Oxygen Is the High-Energy Molecule Powering Complex Multicellular Life: Fundamental Corrections to Traditional Bioenergetics. *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 2221–2233.
- (2) Weiss, H. M. Appreciating Oxygen. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2008**, *85*, 1218.
- (3) Schubert, G. In *Allen's Astrophysical Quantities*; Cox, A. N., Ed.; Springer: New York, 2002.
- (4) Feuerstein, B.; Ergler, T.; Rudenko, A.; Zrost, K.; Schröter, C. D.; Moshhammer, R.; Ullrich, J.; Niederhausen, T.; Thumm, U. Complete Characterization of Molecular Dynamics in Ultrashort Laser Fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *99*, 153002.
- (5) Thumm, U.; Niederhausen, T.; Feuerstein, B. Time-series analysis of vibrational nuclear wave-packet dynamics in D_2^+ . *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **2008**, *77*, 063401.
- (6) Bocharova, I. A.; Alnaser, A. S.; Thumm, U.; Niederhausen, T.; Ray, D.; Cocke, C. L.; Litvinyuk, I. V. Time-resolved Coulomb-explosion imaging of nuclear wave-packet dynamics induced in

diatomic molecules by intense few-cycle laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **2011**, *83*, 013417.

(7) De, S.; Magrakvelidze, M.; Bocharova, I. A.; Ray, D.; Cao, W.; Znakovskaya, I.; Li, H.; Wang, Z.; Laurent, G.; Thumm, U.; et al. Following dynamic nuclear wave packets in N₂, O₂, and CO with few-cycle infrared pulses. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **2011**, *84*, 043410.

(8) Ergler, T.; Rudenko, A.; Feuerstein, B.; Zrost, K.; Schröter, C. D.; Moshhammer, R.; Ullrich, J. Time-Resolved Imaging and Manipulation of H₂ Fragmentation in Intense Laser Fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 093001.

(9) De, S.; Bocharova, I. A.; Magrakvelidze, M.; Ray, D.; Cao, W.; Bergues, B.; Thumm, U.; Kling, M. F.; Litvinyuk, I. V.; Cocke, C. L. Tracking nuclear wave-packet dynamics in molecular oxygen ions with few-cycle infrared laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **2010**, *82*, 013408.

(10) Ackermann, W.; Asova, G.; Ayvazyan, V.; Azima, A.; Baboi, N.; Bähr, J.; Balandin, V.; Beutner, B.; Brandt, A.; Bolzmann, A.; et al. Operation of a free-electron laser from the extreme ultraviolet to the water window. *Nat. Photonics* **2007**, *1*, 336–342.

(11) Shimada, H.; Komatsu, K.; Komatsubara, W.; Mizuno, T.; Miyake, S.; Minemoto, S.; Sakai, H.; Majima, T.; Owada, S.; Togashi, T.; et al. Two- and three-photon double ionization of helium by soft x-ray free-electron laser pulses. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **2019**, *52*, 065602.

(12) Rudenko, A.; Jiang, Y. H.; Kurka, M.; Kühnel, U. K.; Foucar, L.; Herrwerth, O.; Lezius, M.; Kling, M. F.; Schröter, C. D.; Moshhammer, R.; et al. Exploring few-photon, few-electron reactions at FLASH: from ion yield and momentum measurements to time-resolved and kinematically complete experiments. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **2010**, *43*, 194004.

(13) Magrakvelidze, M.; Herrwerth, O.; Jiang, Y. H.; Rudenko, A.; Kurka, M.; Foucar, L.; Kühnel, K. U.; Kübel, M.; Johnson, N. G.; Schröter, C. D.; et al. Tracing nuclear-wave-packet dynamics in singly and doubly charged states of N₂ and O₂ with XUV-pump-XUV-probe experiments. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **2012**, *86*, 1–11.

(14) Ding, T.; Rebholz, M.; Aufleger, L.; Hartmann, M.; Stooß, V.; Magunia, A.; Birk, P.; Borisova, G. D.; da Costa Castanheira, C.; Rupprecht, P.; et al. XUV pump–XUV probe transient absorption spectroscopy at FELs. *Faraday Discuss.* **2021**, *228*, S19–S36.

(15) Rebholz, M.; Ding, T.; Despré, V.; Aufleger, L.; Hartmann, M.; Meyer, K.; Stooß, V.; Magunia, A.; Wachs, D.; Birk, P.; et al. All-XUV Pump-Probe Transient Absorption Spectroscopy of the Structural Molecular Dynamics of Di-iodomethane. *Phys. Rev. X* **2021**, *11*, 031001.

(16) Schnorr, K.; Senftleben, A.; Kurka, M.; Rudenko, A.; Schmid, G.; Pfeifer, T.; Meyer, K.; Kübel, M.; Kling, M. F.; Jiang, Y. H.; et al. Electron Rearrangement Dynamics in Dissociating I₂ⁿ⁺ Molecules Accessed by Extreme Ultraviolet Pump-Probe Experiments. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *113*, 073001.

(17) Erk, B.; Boll, R.; Trippel, S.; Anielski, D.; Foucar, L.; Rudek, B.; Epp, S. W.; Coffee, R.; Carron, S.; Schorb, S.; et al. Imaging charge transfer in iodomethane upon x-ray photoabsorption. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 288–291.

(18) Rudenko, A.; Rolles, D. Time-resolved studies with FELs. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2015**, *204*, 228–236.

(19) Kobayashi, Y.; Chang, K. F.; Zeng, T.; Neumark, D. M.; Leone, S. R. Direct mapping of curve-crossing dynamics in IBr by attosecond transient absorption spectroscopy. *Science* **2019**, *365*, 79–83.

(20) Wei, Z.; Li, J.; Wang, L.; See, S. T.; Jhon, M. H.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, F.; Yang, M.; Loh, Z.-H. Elucidating the origins of multimode vibrational coherences of polyatomic molecules induced by intense laser fields. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 735.

(21) Wei, Z.; Li, J.; Zhang, H.; Lu, Y.; Yang, M.; Loh, Z.-H. Ultrafast dissociative ionization and large-Amplitude vibrational wave packet dynamics of strong-field-ionized di-iodomethane. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 214308.

(22) Wöstmann, M.; Mitzner, R.; Noll, T.; Roling, S.; Siemer, B.; Siewert, F.; Eppenhoff, S.; Wahlert, F.; Zacharias, H. The XUV split-

and-delay unit at beamline BL2 at FLASH. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **2013**, *46*, 164005.

(23) Ding, T.; Rebholz, M.; Aufleger, L.; Hartmann, M.; Meyer, K.; Stooß, V.; Magunia, A.; Wachs, D.; Birk, P.; Mi, Y.; et al. Nonlinear Coherence Effects in Transient-Absorption Ion Spectroscopy with Stochastic Extreme-Ultraviolet Free-Electron Laser Pulses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *123*, 103001.

(24) Kelly, R. L., Ed. *Atomic and Ionic Emission Lines below 2000 Angstroms*; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington DC, 1973.

(25) Rebholz, M. *All-XUV pump-probe transient absorption spectroscopy on the dissociation dynamics of small molecules*. Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Heidelberg, 2020.

(26) Samson, J. A. R.; Rayborn, G. H.; Pareek, P. N. Dissociative photoionization cross sections of O₂ from threshold to 120 Å. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 393.

(27) Demekhin, F. V.; Omel'yanenko, D. V.; Lagutin, B. M.; Sukhorukov, V. L.; Werner, L.; Ehresmann, A.; Schartner, K.-H.; Schmoranz, H. The predissociation of the 2σ_u⁻¹(c⁴σ_u⁻, v states of the oxygen molecular ion. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *1*, 213–221.

(28) Schopman, J.; Loch, R. The observation of predissociations in the oxygen molecular ion by low-energy electron impact. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1974**, *26*, 596–600.

(29) Frasninski, L. J.; Randall, K. J.; Codling, K. Predissociation of the c⁴Σ_u⁻ state of O₂⁺. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **1985**, *18*, L129–L135.

(30) Timmers, H.; Shivaram, N.; Sandhu, A. Ultrafast Dynamics of Neutral Superexcited Oxygen: A Direct Measurement of the Competition between Autoionization and Predissociation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 173001.