Strange nucleon electromagnetic form factors from lattice QCD
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We evaluate the strange nucleon electromagnetic form factors using an ensemble of gauge configurations generated with two degenerate maximally twisted mass clover-improved fermions with mass tuned to approximately reproduce the physical pion mass. In addition, we present results for the disconnected light quark contributions to the nucleon electromagnetic form factors. Improved stochastic methods are employed leading to high-precision results. The momentum dependence of the disconnected contributions is fitted using the model-independent z-expansion. We extract the magnetic moment and the electric and magnetic radii of the proton and neutron by including both connected and disconnected contributions. We find that the disconnected light quark contributions to both electric and magnetic form factors are nonzero and at the few percent level as compared to the connected. The strange form factors are also at the percent level but more noisy yielding statistical errors that are typically within one standard deviation from a zero value.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon are important quantities encapsulating information about the distribution of electric charge and magnetism inside the proton and neutron. Namely, at zero momentum transfer, electromagnetic form factors yield the electric charge and magnetic moment, while from the slope of the form factors at zero momentum transfer one extracts the nucleon radii. Obtaining the individual quark contributions is a major theoretical and experimental challenge, which can reveal insights on the partonic structure of the nucleon. In particular, the strange quark contribution, which is subdominant compared to the up and down quark contributions, is especially challenging to measure. The interference between the weak and electro-magnetic amplitudes leads to a parity-violating asymmetry in the elastic scattering cross section for right- and left-handed electrons, which gives information on the strange form factors. Measuring the parity-violating electroweak asymmetry in elastic scattering of polarized electrons from protons, the HAPPEX collaboration [1] extracted the linear combination of strange form factors $G_E + 0.392 G_M = 0.014 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.010$ at $Q^2 = 0.48$ GeV$^2$ which was found to be compatible with zero, where $G_E$ is strange electric and $G_M$ the strange magnetic proton form factor. The A4 experiment at MAMI [2] finds a combination $G_E + 0.225 G_M = 0.039 \pm 0.034$ at $Q^2 = 0.23$ GeV$^2$, slightly non-zero within errorbars, while the SAMPLE experiment [3] determined the strange magnetic form factor $G_M(Q^2 = 0.1) = 0.14 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.31$ which is consistent with zero. A combined analysis of proton and neutron electromagnetic and weak form factors from elastic electron-nucleon scattering mediated by photon and $Z^0$ exchange provides more recent estimates for the electric and magnetic form factors (see Refs. [4–6] for some recent experimental results). These studies also deliver results consistent with zero for the strange quark contribution, and as such, provide limits on the contribution of strange quarks in the distribution of nucleon charge and magnetization.
Lattice QCD allows for a first principles calculation of the nucleon form factors. In lattice QCD, the calculation of the individual quark contributions to nucleon matrix elements requires the so called disconnected contributions, such as the one shown in Fig. 1. A number of lattice QCD calculations exist for the isovector form factors, or equivalently the combination

\[ \langle N(p', s')|j_\mu|N(p, s)\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m_N^2}{E_N(p')E_N(p)}} \times \bar{u}_N(p', s') \]

\[ \times \left[ \gamma_\mu F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu}{2m_N} F_2(q^2) \right] \]

\[ \times u_N(p, s). \tag{1} \]

\( N(p, s) \) is the nucleon state with initial (final) momentum \( p \) (\( p' \)) and spin \( s \) (\( s' \)), with energy \( E_N(p') \) (\( E_N(p) \)) and mass \( m_N \). \( q^2 = q_\mu q^\mu \) is the momentum transfer squared where \( q_\mu = (p'_\mu - p_\mu) \) and \( u_N \) is the nucleon spinor. The vector current \( j_\mu \) is given by

\[ j_\mu(x) = j^d_\mu(x) + j^s_\mu(x) \tag{2} \]

with

\[ j^d_\mu(x) = e_u \bar{u}(x) \gamma_\mu u(x) + e_d \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\mu d(x), \tag{3} \]

and

\[ j^s_\mu(x) = e_s \bar{s}(x) \gamma_\mu s(x), \tag{4} \]

where \( (e_u, e_d, e_s) = (2/3, -1/3, -1/3) \) are the electric charges carried by the up, down and strange quarks respectively. In this study, we use the local vector current, therefore renormalization is necessary and has been computed non-perturbatively using the RI'\textsubscript{MOM} scheme [17,18]. Lattice artifacts have been evaluated in perturbation theory to 1-loop level and all orders in the lattice spacing and have been subtracted before taking the chiral and continuum limits [19].

The nucleon matrix element on the lattice requires the evaluation of three- and two-point correlation functions. The three-point function in momentum space is given by

\[ C(\Gamma_x, \bar{p}; t_s, t_{ins}, t_0) = \sum_{\tilde{x}_{ins}} e^{i(\tilde{x}_{ins} - \tilde{x}_0) \cdot \tilde{p}} \times \text{Tr}[\Gamma_x \langle J(t_s, \tilde{x}_s) j_\mu(t_{ins}, \tilde{x}_{ins}) J(t_0, \tilde{x}_0) \rangle]. \tag{5} \]

and the two-point function is given by

\[ C(\Gamma_0, \bar{p}; t_s, t_0) = \sum_{\tilde{x}_s} \text{Tr}[\Gamma_0 \langle J(t_s, \tilde{x}_s) J(t_0, \tilde{x}_0) \rangle] \times e^{-i(\tilde{x}_s - \tilde{x}_0) \cdot \bar{p}}, \tag{6} \]

where \( J_N(x) \) is the standard nucleon interpolating field:

\[ J_N(x, t) = e^{abc} u^a(x) [U^b(t) \gamma_5 d^c(x)], \tag{7} \]
with $C = γ_0γ_2$ the charge conjugation matrix and $u$ and $d$ are the up- and the down-quark fields respectively. $Γ_z$ is a projector acting on spin space, with $Γ_0 = \frac{1 + γ_0}{2}$ projecting to unpolarized nucleons and $Γ_k = iγ_μγ_5Γ_0$ projecting to nucleons polarized in direction $k$.

The three-point function receives contributions from both quark-connected and disconnected terms. As mentioned, the connected contributions have been evaluated and presented in Ref. [10] for the same ensemble as the one used here, as have preliminary results for the disconnected light quark contributions. In this work, we present a thorough analysis of the disconnected contributions, depicted in Fig. 1, updating our results for light quarks and showing results on the strange quark contributions not calculated previously. We use Osterwalder-Seiler strange quarks [20] and tune the strange quark mass to reproduce the experimental $Ω$-mass. This yields $aμ_s = 0.0259(3)$, where the lattice spacing $a = 0.0938(3)$ fm as determined from the nucleon mass [21], yielding a renormalized strange quark mass at 2 GeV in the $\overline{MS}$-scheme $m_{s,\overline{MS}} = 108.6(2.2)$ MeV.

To isolate the electromagnetic matrix element in the three-point function, an optimized combination of two-point functions is constructed to form the ratio,

$$
R_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p}; t_s; t_{ins}) = \frac{C_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p}; t_s; t_{ins})}{C(Γ_0; \vec{p}; t_s)} \times \frac{C(Γ_0; \vec{p}; t_s - t_{ins})C(Γ_0, \vec{p}; t_{ins})C(Γ_0, \vec{p}; t_s)}{C(Γ_0, \vec{p}; t_s - t_{ins})C(Γ_0, \vec{p}; t_{ins})C(Γ_0, \vec{p}; t_s)}. \tag{8}
$$

In the large time limit, $R_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p}; t_s; t_{ins}) \overset{t_s, t_{ins} \to \infty}{\to} Π_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p}; \vec{p})$ yielding a time independent plateau. Note that in Eq. (8), $t_s$ and $t_{ins}$ are relative to the source, $t_0$, which is omitted, and we will adopt this convention for the remainder of this paper. When taking large time separations to obtain $Π_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p}; \vec{p})$, one cannot set the source-sink time separation to arbitrarily large values since the noise-to-signal ratio grows exponentially. Therefore, one seeks a window within which the source-sink separation is large enough for the excited states to be suppressed while small enough to yield a good signal. We employ Gaussian smearing [22,23] to increase the overlap with the ground state and apply APE smearing [24] to the gauge links, with the same parameters used in Ref. [10].

The Dirac and Pauli form factors, $F_1$ and $F_2$, are related to the electric Sachs [$G_E(Q^2)$] and magnetic Sachs [$G_M(Q^2)$] form factors via:

$$
G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{2m_N^2} F_2(Q^2), \tag{9}
$$

$$
G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2). \tag{10}
$$

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
β & $c_{\text{SW}}$ & $a$ \\
\hline
2.1 & 1.57751 & 0.0938(3) fm, $r_0/a = 5.32(5)$ \\
\hline
48$^3 \times 96$, $L = 4.5$ fm & $aμ_s = 0.0009$ \\
& $m_\tau = 0.1304(4)$ GeV \\
& $m_t = 2.98(1)$ \\
& $m_N = 0.932(4)$ GeV \\
& $m_N/m_\tau = 7.15(4)$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Simulation parameters. First row gives the β-value, the value of the clover parameter $c_{\text{SW}}$, the lattice spacing and the Sommer parameter $r_0$.}
\end{table}

where $Q^2 = -q^2$ is the Euclidean momentum transfer squared. The combination of the projector $Γ_z$, the current insertion and the initial and final momenta $\vec{p}, \vec{p'}$ leads to an overconstrained set of equations relating $Π_μ(Γ_z; \vec{p'}, \vec{p})$ to $G_E$ and $G_M$. We solve by using the singular value decomposition of the minimization problem that arises. The expressions used are given in Appendix. The same procedure has been followed for extracting the axial and induced pseudo-scalar form factors in Ref. [25], where more details can be found. For the results that follow, the analysis combines two values of the final momentum, namely $\vec{p'} = 0$ and $\vec{p'} = 2π/\Lambda$. In what follows we use two analysis methods to assess excited states contamination and extract the matrix element of the nucleon.

**Plateau method:** For specific $t_s$ one identifies a range of $t_{ins}$ where the value of the ratio remains unchanged and performs a constant fit. This procedure is repeated for several $t_s$ seeking for convergence in the matrix element of the ground state.

**Summation method:** Summing over $t_{ins}$ in the ratio of Eq. (8) between the source and the sink gives,

$$
\sum_{t_{ins} = a}^{t_{ins} = \infty} R_μ(Γ_z, \vec{p}; t_s, t_{ins}) = C + t_s\mathcal{M} + O(e^{-ΔE t_s}) \tag{11}
$$

where $ΔE$ is the energy gap between the ground state and the first excited state. The nucleon matrix element, $\mathcal{M}$, is extracted from the slope by fitting to a linear form. The summation method will be used to provide an estimate of the systematic error due to potential contamination from excited states.

In Table I we summarize the parameters of the simulation. Details on the determination of the nucleon and pion mass and the lattice spacing are given in Ref. [21].

In Table II we tabulate the statistics used in this work. The disconnected quark loop entering the diagram of Fig. 1 cannot be computed exactly, except for very small lattices. In this work, we employ stochastic techniques combined with the so-called one-end trick [26] and specifically its generalized version explained in detail in Refs. [25,27,28] to estimate the disconnected quark loops. The light quark loops are produced using high-precision inversions.
employing deflation of the low modes to overcome critical slowdown. For the computation of strange quark loops we employ the truncated solver method (TSM)\cite{29} to increase the statistics at low cost. Details for the tuning procedure followed can be found in Ref.\cite{25}. Note that we do not use dilution, therefore we invert each noise vector once.

### III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We demonstrate the quality of our plateaus in Figs. 2 and 3. The disconnected part of the three-point function can be computed for all source-sink time separations. However, very large time separations are not useful due to the increased statistical error. Thus, we restrict to analyzing separations up to $t_s = 1.31$ fm for which the signal-to-noise ratio is acceptable. In Fig. 2 the ratio yielding $G_E(Q^2)$ is shown. Note that the upper index “l” is used to denote the light quarks combination introduced in Eq. (3). For demonstration purposes we choose a representative momentum, namely $Q^2 = 0.0753$ GeV$^2$, having

\[ \vec{p}' = \vec{0}. \]

In Fig. 3 the ratio yielding $G_M(Q^2)$ is presented. Fitting the form factors within the plateau region for several separations allows us to check convergence to the ground state. The extracted results are shown in Fig. 4 including also the result from the summation method obtained using the fit range [0.56–1.31] fm. For the case of $G_E$, results using the plateau method up to $t_s = 1.13$ fm have a good agreement with the summation method while larger separations become noisy. For $G_M$, the value increases in magnitude as $t_s$ increases and becomes compatible with the summation method for $t_s = 1.13$ fm. Therefore, we show final results extracted using the plateau method at $t_s = 1.13$ fm to which we perform our $Q^2$-fits in what follows. The same procedure is followed to extract the disconnected contributions to the form factors at several $Q^2$ values where the analysis is extended to allow for nonzero final nucleon momentum

---

**TABLE II.** The statistics of our calculation. $N_{\text{conf}}$ is the number of gauge configurations analyzed and $N_{\text{src}}$ is the number of source position per configuration for the evaluation of the two-point functions. $N_{\text{HP}}$ and $N_{\text{LP}}$ is the number of high- and low-precision stochastic vectors used for the evaluation of the quark loops when employing the truncated solver method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>$N_{\text{conf}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{HP}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{LP}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{src}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>$\cdots$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strange</td>
<td>2057</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIG. 2.** Results for the ratio from which $G_E(Q^2)$ is extracted. This is a representative example for $Q^2 = 0.0753$ GeV$^2$. The source-sink time separations are for $t_s = 0.75$ fm (open red circles), $t_s = 0.94$ fm (open blue squares) and $t_s = 1.13$ fm (open black stars). Results for the two larger separations are shifted slightly to the right for clarity. The gray band is the extracted value using the plateau method for $t_s = 1.13$ fm, using $t_{\text{ins}}$-values indicated by the length of the error band.

**FIG. 3.** Ratio leading to $G_M(Q^2)$ for $Q^2 = 0.0753$ GeV$^2$. The notation is as in Fig. 2.

**FIG. 4.** Extracted values for $G_E$ and $G_M$ at $Q^2 = 0.0753$ GeV$^2$ using the plateau method (red points) and summation method (gray band). Open symbols show our chosen value from the plateau method.

---
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yielding a large number of closely spaced values for \( Q^2 \). To display the results we do a weighted average on results with close values of \( Q^2 \). In particular, we use bins with width of 0.02 \( \text{GeV}^2 \) for the light disconnected quark contributions and 0.04 \( \text{GeV}^2 \) for the strange since for the latter we have results available up to higher \( Q^2 \) compared to the light. Note that the weighted averages are taken only for the purpose of better displaying the results in the plots and not for fits where we use the individual data points. A systematic error due to excited states contamination is given by the difference between the plateau and the summation values.

The dipole form is widely used to fit the proton electric and magnetic form factors \([30,31]\) yielding the expected behavior in the large-\( Q^2 \) region where the form factors are expected to decrease like \( Q^{-4} \) \([32]\). The \( z \)-expansion \([16,33]\) is a model independent Ansatz that has been applied recently to fit experimental results. Using a conformal mapping of \( Q^2 \) to a variable \( z \) defined as,

\[ z = \frac{\sqrt{t_{\text{cut}} + Q^2} - \sqrt{t_{\text{cut}}}}{\sqrt{t_{\text{cut}} + Q^2} + \sqrt{t_{\text{cut}}}} \] (12)

one can expand the form factor into a polynomial

\[ G(Q^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{cut}}} a_k z^k, \] (13)

where \( t_{\text{cut}} \) is the cut in the timelike region of the form factor. For light disconnected form factors \( t_{\text{cut}} = (2m_f)^2 \) is used while for the strange \( t_{\text{cut}} = (2m_k)^2 \) with \( m_k \) the kaon mass. The \( z \)-expansion should converge as we increase \( k_{\text{max}} \) and the coefficients \( a_k \) should be bounded in size for this to happen. The form factor at \( Q^2 = 0 \) is obtained from the first coefficient, i.e., \( G(Q^2 = 0) = a_0 \). We define the radius as,

\[ r^2 = -6 \frac{dG(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \bigg|_{Q^2 = 0}, \] (14)

which is related to the second coefficient, via \( r^2 = -3a_1/2t_{\text{cut}} \). In the case of the proton and neutron electric form factors the mean square radius is the same as Eq. (14), whereas for the magnetic, one has to divide with the total value of the form factor at \( Q^2 = 0 \).

In our fitting procedure, the coefficients \( a_0, a_1 \) are free to vary, while for \( a_{k>1} \) we impose Gaussian priors for the series to converge. The priors are imposed using an augmented \( \chi^2 \) where the additional term is

\[ \chi^2_{pr} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{(a_k - \tilde{a}_k)^2}{w_{a_k}} \] (15)

for parameter \( a_k \), which is centered at \( \tilde{a}_k \) with width \( w_{a_k} \). To compute \( \tilde{a}_k \) we start by setting \( k_{\text{max}} = 1 \) to obtain an estimate for \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) using jackknife ensemble averages. Then, for \( k_{\text{max}} = 2 \), \( \tilde{a}_2 \) is set to \( \max(|a_0|, |a_1|) \) and the width is chosen as \( w_{a_1} = 2|\tilde{a}_k| \). This procedure is generalized for any \( k_{\text{max}} \) and the priors are used to restrict \( a_k \) inside the jackknife bins. In Fig. 5 we show two representative observables extracted from the electromagnetic form factors using the \( z \)-expansion as a function of \( k_{\text{max}} \). We seek for convergence in both mean value and error as we increase \( k_{\text{max}} \). In the case of the magnetic moment \( \mu^l \), increasing \( k_{\text{max}} \) does not affect the result while in the case of the radius \( \langle r^2 \rangle_t \) one needs up to \( k_{\text{max}} = 3 \) to converge. Therefore, we choose to use \( k_{\text{max}} = 3 \) for all the extracted parameters.

FIG. 5. Extracted values for \( \mu^l \) and \( \langle r^2 \rangle_t \) as a function of \( k_{\text{max}} \), where results from the plateau method at \( t_s = 1.13 \text{ fm} \) have been used.

FIG. 6. Disconnected light quarks contribution to the nucleon electric form factor denoted as \( G_E^L(Q^2) \). Results are extracted using the plateau method for three source-sink time separations with \( t_s = 0.75 \text{ fm} \) (red open circles), \( t_s = 0.94 \text{ fm} \) (blue open squares) and \( t_s = 1.13 \text{ fm} \) (black open stars). Results using the summation method in the fit range of \([0.56–1.31]\) \( \text{fm} \) are depicted with the green open triangles. Results shown are obtained after a binning of neighboring \( Q^2 \) values as explained in the text. Results are shifted slightly to the right for clarity. The gray band is a fit to the results extracted from the plateau method using \( t_s = 1.13 \text{ fm} \).
quantities where we have checked the convergence of Eq. (13).

In Fig. 6 we present the light quarks disconnected contribution to the nucleon electric form factor. The form factor is shown up to \( Q^2 \sim 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The fits of the form factor yield a monotonically increasing dependence on the \( Q^2 \) that flattens out for \( Q^2 > 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \). In the case of \( G_E^l(Q^2) \) we impose \( a_0 = 0 \). Fitting the results extracted from the plateau method at \( t_s = 1.13 \) fm, we find a value for the radius \( (r^2)^l_E = -0.026(9) \) fm\(^2\), whereas using the summation method we find \( (r^2)^l_E = -0.036(11) \) fm\(^2\). We assign a systematic error due to possible excited states from the difference between the values extracted using the plateau and summation methods obtaining a value for the electric squared charge radius

\[
(r^2)^l_E = -0.026(9)(10) \text{ fm}^2.
\]

It is interesting to check how much the proton and neutron charge radii are affected by the disconnected contributions. Using results for the connected contributions from Ref. [10], tabulated in Table III, we find that the connected plus disconnected light quark contributions are

\[
(r^2)^l_E(\text{total}) = 0.558(31)(30) \text{ fm}^2,
\]

\[
(r^2)^l_M(\text{total}) = -0.068(25)(12) \text{ fm}^2.
\]

Although the light disconnected contribution to the proton charge radius is small, it is important to calculate accurately enough when comparing to experiment, especially in light of the discrepancy observed in the experimental value of proton charge radius between the conventional and the muonic hydrogen measurement. For the neutron, disconnected quark contributions are more important making the value of the charge radius more negative, albeit with large statistical errors.

In Fig. 7 we show our results for \( G_M^l(Q^2) \), which as noted above, shows a clear trend towards more negative values when the source-sink time separation is increased, especially at small values of \( Q^2 \). Fitting \( G_M^l(Q^2) \) using the z-expansion we find that disconnected contributions to the nucleon magnetic moment and radius are \( \mu^l = -0.040(9)(8) \), \( (r^2)^l_M = -0.072(27)(20) \) fm\(^2\). In Fig. 8, we show results for the strange nucleon electric form factor, which receives only disconnected contributions. We find that the strange charge radius of the nucleon is

\[
(r^2)^s = 0.0013(8)(8) \text{ fm}^2,
\]
we find a strange nucleon magnetic moment of neutron magnetic moments and radii are given in Table III. The strange magnetic form factor $G_M^s(Q^2)$ is shown in Fig. 9. We find a strange nucleon magnetic moment of

$$\mu^s = 0.006(4)/(2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The strange magnetic radius is $(r^2)_M^s = 0.0019(28)/(4)$ fm$^2$, consistent with zero, as expected from the flat behavior of the form factor in Fig. 9. Our results for the proton and neutron magnetic moments and radii are given in Table III.

**IV. COMPARISON WITH OTHER STUDIES**

Disconnected quark loop contributions to the nucleon electromagnetic form factors are available from two recent works beyond the current one. In Ref. [14], LHPC has analyzed an ensemble of $N_f = 2 + 1$ Wilson clover-improved fermions simulated for heavier than physical pion mass, namely $m_\pi = 317$ MeV. The other study, from $\chi$QCD, used valence overlap fermions on four $N_f = 2 + 1$ domain-wall fermion ensembles with pion masses in the range $m_\pi \in (135, 403)$ MeV [34,35]. Their final values were extracted by performing a simultaneous chiral, infinite volume and continuum extrapolation.

In Fig. 10, we compare our result for $(r^2)_E^\mu$ to the one from $\chi$QCD, while for $\mu^\prime$ to those from both $\chi$QCD and LHPC. The dark, inner band indicates the statistical error, while the outer band is the statistical and systematic error added in quadrature. The good agreement with $\chi$QCD, for which a continuum and infinite volume extrapolation has been performed, indicates that lattice artifacts due to finite lattice spacing and volume on these quantities are small for our ensemble. On the other hand, the result for $\mu^\prime$ from LHPC at higher than physical pion mass is smaller, as expected from chiral perturbation theory arguments [36]. In Fig. 11 we compare the strange $\mu^s$ and $(r^2)_E^\mu$ with the corresponding results from the two other studies. For $(r^2)_E^\mu$, results from the three studies are in good agreement, whereas for $\mu^s$, the result from $\chi$QCD differs by one standard deviation. Given the large statistical errors on the strange quark contributions such an agreement among lattice QCD results is welcoming.

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we compute the disconnected quark loop contributions from up, down and strange quarks to the nucleon electromagnetic form factors using $N_f = 2$ maximally twisted mass fermions at the physical point. While all source-sink time separations accessible, we opt to use up to $t_s = 1.31$ fm for which statistical errors are not prohibitively large. Both the plateau and the summation methods are employed to estimate contamination due to the excited states. Three-point functions produced with final nucleon momenta of $\vec{p}' = 0$ and $\vec{p}' = \vec{p}/3$ analyzed to increase statistics. The form factors, $G_E^s(Q^2)$ and $G_M^s(Q^2)$, are computed up to $Q^2 \approx 0.3$ GeV$^2$ while $G_E^l(Q^2)$ and $G_M^l(Q^2)$ are computed up to $Q^2 \approx 0.6$ GeV$^2$. The model independent z-expansion is used to fit the $Q^2$ dependence of the form factors and extract the electric and
magnetic radii as well as the magnetic moment. The size of the individual contributions as well as the total values for the extracted quantities are tabulated in Table III. The disconnected light quark contributions are consistently nonzero for all $Q^2$ for both the electric and magnetic form factors as demonstrated in Figs. 6 and 7. The strange quark contributions tend to be nonzero but more noisy and more precise results are required to exclude zero.

We plan to analyze an $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted mass ensemble with a clover term at the physical point to check possible quenching effects of the strange and charm quarks in the sea. Further improvements for the computation of disconnected quark loops are under investigation to improve the accuracy of the disconnected loop determination.
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APPENDIX: EXTRACTION OF FORM FACTORS FROM LATTICE QCD RATIOS

In this Appendix we generalize the equations from which the form factors are extracted for a nucleon with nonzero final momentum $\vec{p}'$. All expressions are given in Euclidean space.

\[
\Pi_\mu(\Gamma_0, \vec{p}', \vec{p}) = \frac{-ig \kappa(Q^2)C}{2m(4m^2 + Q^2)}((p'_\mu + p_\mu)(m(E(p') + E(p) + m) - p'_\mu p_\mu))
\]
\[
+ \frac{G_M(Q^2)C}{4m^2(4m^2 + Q^2)}(\delta_{\mu0}(4m^4 + m^2Q^2 + 4m^2p'_\mu p_\mu + Q^2p'_\mu p_\mu) + 2im^2p'_\mu(E(p') - E(p))
\]
\[
- 2im^2(p'_\mu + p_\mu - E(p))iQ^2p'_\mu - E(p')iQ^2p_\mu - imQ^2(p'_\mu + p_\mu) - 2im^2p_\mu(E(p') - E(p))
\]
\[
- 2imp'_\mu p_\mu(p'_\mu + p_\mu));
\]

\[
\Pi_\mu(\Gamma_k, \vec{p}', \vec{p}) = \frac{-ig \kappa(Q^2)C}{2m(4m^2 + Q^2)}(m^2\epsilon_{\mu k0p}(p'_\mu - p_\mu) - i\epsilon_{\mu k0p}p'_\mu p_\sigma(E(p') + E(p)) + \epsilon_{\mu0k0p}(p'_\mu + p_\mu - \epsilon_{\mu k00p}p'_\mu p_\sigma(p'_\mu - p_\mu))
\]
\[
- \frac{G_M(Q^2)C}{4m^2(4m^2 + Q^2)}(m \epsilon_{\mu k00p}(p'_\mu - p_\mu)(2m^2 + Q^2) + 2im \epsilon_{\mu k00p}p'_\mu p_\sigma(2m + E(p') + E(p) + Q^2)
\]
\[
- 2m \epsilon_{\mu000p}p'_\mu p_\sigma(p'_\mu + p_\mu - 2m \epsilon_{\mu k00p}p'_\mu p_\sigma(p'_\mu - p_\mu))
\),
\]

where

\[
C = \frac{2m}{E(p)(E(p') + m)} \sqrt{\frac{E(p)(E(p') + m)}{E(p')(E(p) + m)}},
\]


