

DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON **DESY**

DESY 80/28
April 1980



MEASURING QCD ANOMALIES IN HADRONIC TRANSITIONS BETWEEN ONIUM STATES

by

M. Voloshin and V. Zakharov

*Institut of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Moscow 117259*

and

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of apply for or grant of patents.

**To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :**

**DESY
Bibliothek
Notkestrasse 85
2 Hamburg 52
Germany**

MEASURING QCD ANOMALIES IN HADRONIC TRANSITIONS
BETWEEN ONIUM STATES

M. Voloshin and V. Zakharov
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Moscow 117259

and

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

Abstract

We argue that the ratio $\Gamma((\bar{Q}Q)' \rightarrow (\bar{Q}Q)\eta) / \Gamma((\bar{Q}Q)' \rightarrow (\bar{Q}Q)\pi\pi)$ of hadronic transition rates between heavy quarkonium states is calculable within QCD in terms of triangle anomalies in the divergence of the axial current and in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. In the case of transitions between ψ' and J/ψ our analysis is consistent with the data. More reliable test can be provided by experimental study of the transitions between Υ'' and Υ .

Hadronic transitions between quarkonium levels, like $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \pi\pi$ and $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$ decays, can provide an insight into gluonic physics. Indeed, as first realized by Gottfried¹⁾ the transition can be viewed as a two step process: first, emission of soft gluons by heavy quarks at relatively short distances and then conversion of the gluons into light hadrons at relatively large distances. Since heavy quarkonium is a rather compact object its interaction with the soft gluon field can be described by an ordinary multipole expansion¹⁻³⁾. On the other hand, gluon conversion which effectively measures the gluon admixture in ordinary hadrons is most intriguing but difficult to trace theoretically.

Here we argue that at least in two particular cases the theory can be completed and gluon conversion into the η -meson and into a two pion low-mass state is calculable in QCD. We show that for transitions between S-states of nonrelativistic heavy quarks the multipole expansion implies that the gluon conversion is governed by the matrix elements:

$$\langle \eta | g^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a(x) \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a(x) | 0 \rangle, \quad \langle \pi\pi | g^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a(x) G_{\mu\nu}^a(x) | 0 \rangle,$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}^a(x)$ is the gluon field strength operator, $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} G_{\lambda\sigma}^a$, and g is the QCD coupling constant: $g^2 = 4\pi\alpha_s$. Moreover, the matrix elements turn out to be calculable through the use of triangle anomalies in the axial vector current⁴⁾ and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor⁵⁾ as well as the use of low energy theorems (the result for $\langle \eta | g^2 \tilde{G} | 0 \rangle$ is actually not new, see Ref. 6)). The quarkonium parts of the transition matrix elements turn out to be proportional to each other so that the dependence on the exact form of the wave function is cancelled in the ratio of the rates of the η and

$\pi\pi$ emissions.

We concentrate first on gluonic matrix elements for light mesons. In general the matrix element for conversion of gluons into a low energy S-wave two pion system has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\pi\pi)_{J=0} | g^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\lambda\sigma}^a | 0 \rangle = & A (g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\lambda}) \\ & + B (g_{\mu\lambda} q_\nu q_\sigma - g_{\mu\sigma} q_\nu q_\lambda + g_{\nu\sigma} q_\mu q_\lambda - g_{\nu\lambda} q_\mu q_\sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $q = (q_1 + q_2)$ is the total 4-momentum of the pions and we omit higher powers in q . The Adler theorem ⁷⁾ requires both A and B to be proportional to q^2 so that the B term is actually of higher order in q and is neglected henceforth. A further constraint comes from the triangle anomaly in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ ⁵⁾ which in the chiral limit is given by

$$\theta_{\mu\mu}(x) = -\frac{b g^2}{32\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a(x) G_{\mu\nu}^a(x), \quad (2)$$

where b is the coefficient in the QCD β -function ($\beta(g) = -b g^3/16\pi^2$; $b = 11 - (2/3)n_f$). Note, that we have omitted the non-anomalous contribution of heavy quarks in eq. (2). The reason is that in the low-energy matrix elements of $\theta_{\mu\mu}$ the contribution of heavy quarks to the anomaly is cancelled by that of loops generated by the corresponding non-anomalous part of the $\theta_{\mu\mu}$ ⁸⁾. Therefore in the problem discussed only the term (2) is relevant with b including the contribution of the light quarks only, so

that $b = 9$. Eq. (2) and the low-energy pion Lagrangian imply that

$$-\langle \pi\pi | \frac{b g^2}{32\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a | 0 \rangle = \langle \pi\pi | \theta_{\mu\mu} | 0 \rangle = q^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi_\pi^\alpha \varphi_\pi^\alpha \right) \quad (3)$$

where φ_π^α is the pion isotopic amplitude ($\varphi^\alpha \varphi^\alpha = 2 \varphi^+ \varphi^- + \varphi^0 \varphi^0$) and we neglect for a while the pion mass m_π . Thus, comparing eqs. (3) and (1) we arrive at the following low-energy theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\pi\pi)_{J=0} | g^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\lambda\sigma}^a | 0 \rangle = \\ = -\frac{8\pi^2}{3b} q^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi_\pi^\alpha \varphi_\pi^\alpha \right) (g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Moreover, the conversion of gluons into the pseudoscalar η meson is described by single irreducible amplitude which is fixed ⁶⁾ by the SU(3) symmetry and the axial current triangle anomaly:

$$\langle \eta | g^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\lambda\sigma}^a | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{8/27} \pi^2 f_\pi m_\eta^2 (g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\lambda}) \varphi_\eta \quad (5)$$

where f_π is the pion decay constant: $f_\pi \approx 130$ MeV, and $\varphi_\eta(x)$ is the η field.

We pause here to make some remarks concerning the matrix elements (4) and (5). First, we point out that the coupling constant g^2 is included into definition of the gluonic operators: $g^2 GG$ and $g^2 G\tilde{G}$, to make them renormalization-invariant so that there is no question at which point g^2 is normalized. Since gluons have to transform into light mesons one would naively expect the gluonic matrix elements to be small. This is not the case, however, and the gluon operator, e.g., is "responsible" for the whole invariant mass of the pion system (eq. (4)). The same is true for the nucleon mass in the chiral limit ⁸⁾. Thus,

there seem to be a few gluonic operators, related by the equations of motion to anomalies in quark operators, whose matrix elements over ordinary hadrons are not small. Second, we would like to mention that the matrix element in eq. (4) which governs the form of the $\pi\pi$ spectrum does agree with the general current algebra result ⁹⁾.

We next discuss the interaction of the soft gluon fields with heavy quarkonium. Since quarkonium is a compact object the expansion in powers of its radius seems well justified ¹⁻³⁾ and e.g. emission of the 0^+ gluon system occurs in the second order in the electric-dipole-type interaction ¹⁻³⁾

$$\mathcal{H}_d = -g \xi^a \vec{z} \vec{E}^a(0)/2 \quad (6)$$

where $E_i^a = G_{0i}^a$ and $\xi^a = t_1^a - t_2^a$, $t_{1,2}^a$ being the color SU(3) generators acting on the quark and antiquark indices, respectively (e.g. $t_1^a = \lambda_1^a/2$). Note that the total color generator $t^a = t_1^a + t_2^a$ vanishes when applied to a colorless quarkonium state.

In the case of 0^- gluon system emission one looks for interference of the electric- and the magnetic-type interactions. To find the corresponding magnetic term in the Hamiltonian we use the Foldy-Wouthuysen expansion in the inverse heavy quark mass m_Q . The relevant term has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_s = - (4m_Q)^{-1} g \vec{S}_j \xi^a z_i D_i H_j^a(0) \quad (7)$$

where $\vec{S} = (\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2)/2$ is the total spin operator. Note, that we do not keep here terms proportional to $(\vec{\sigma}_1 - \vec{\sigma}_2)$ or to t^a since they drop out in the

case considered.

A standard calculation of the transition amplitudes between 3S_1 quarkonium states arising in the second order in the Hamiltonian (6), (7) results in

$$A_{\pi\pi} \equiv A(n^3S_1 \rightarrow m^3S_1 \pi\pi) = \langle \pi\pi | g^2 \vec{E}^a \vec{E}^a | 0 \rangle (\bar{\psi}' \psi) A_0 / 4 \quad (8)$$

$$A_\eta \equiv A(n^3S_1 \rightarrow m^3S_1 \eta) = \langle \eta | g^2 E_k^a D_k H_j | 0 \rangle m_Q^{-1} i \epsilon_{jelm} \psi'_e \psi_m A_0 / 4 \quad (9)$$

Here $\bar{\psi}'$ and ψ stand for spin amplitudes of the initial and the final states of quarkonium, and

$$A_0 = (24)^{-1} \langle m S' | \xi^a z_i G(\epsilon_n) z_i \xi^a | n S' \rangle \quad (10)$$

In the latter expression the bra- and ket-states are deprived of their spin variables and depend on r only, while $G(\epsilon_n)$ denotes the nonrelativistic quarkonium Green function ²⁾ at the energy ϵ_n of the n -th S-level. In derivation of eqs. (8) and (9) it is taken into account that in the non-relativistic limit the spin and the spatial parts of the wave functions factorize. Therefore for the transitions between S-levels the spatial part of the quarkonium matrix element adds no angular momentum to the hadronic system emitted (also the color dependence of the matrix element is reduced to δ^{ab} for trivial reasons). This matches well the phenomenological observation of the absence of the $\pi\pi$ D-wave in the $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \pi\pi$ decay.

The gluonic matrix element entering eq. (8) is readily found from the re-

lation (4). Thus the amplitude $A_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}}$ can be written in the form

$$A_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}} = 2\mathcal{J}^2 \beta^{-1} (q^2 - \lambda\mu_{\mathcal{J}}^2) (\vec{\Psi}' \vec{\Psi}) (\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha} \Psi_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}) A_0 \quad (11)$$

Note that we have included here the term proportional to the pion mass squared which does not appear in the chiral limit, but can be determined from phenomenological analysis. In the chiral limit only the leading term proportional to q^2 is calculable so that, strictly speaking, we find the slope of the spectrum which is unaffected by corrections proportional to $\mu_{\mathcal{J}}^2$.

As for the matrix element in the pseudoscalar case it is transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \eta | g^2 E_k^a D_k H_j^a | 0 \rangle = \\ & = \langle \eta | g^2 \partial_k (E_k^a H_j^a) | 0 \rangle - \langle \eta | g^2 (D_k E_k^a) H_j^a | 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of this equation is determined by eq. (5), and we neglect the second one. The reason for the second term to be comparatively small is twofold. First, the matrix element (5) is enhanced by one power of N_c = (number of colors) with respect to the naive counting. A nice explanation of this enhancement is given by Veneziano¹⁰⁾ in terms of mixing with the ghost gluonic state. Second, equations of motion imply that $D_k E_k^a$ is proportional to the coupling constant which is small inasmuch quarkonium is a compact object. Thus, we get finally for A_{η} :

$$A_{\eta} = \frac{\mathcal{J}^2 \sqrt{3}}{g} f_{\mathcal{J}} m_{\eta}^2 m_a^{-1} (\epsilon_{ijk} \Psi_i \Psi_j' (p_{\eta})_k) A_0 \quad (12)$$

where $(p_{\eta})_k$ is the η 3-momentum.

To eliminate the quarkonium matrix element A_0 which cannot be calculated reliably at the present state of the art we consider the ratio:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(n^3 S_1 \rightarrow m^3 S_1 \eta)}{d\Gamma(n^3 S_1 \rightarrow m^3 S_1 \mathcal{J}^+ \mathcal{J}^-) / dq^2} = \\ & = 16\mathcal{J}^2 \left(\frac{g}{g'}\right)^2 f_{\mathcal{J}}^2 \left(\frac{p_{\mathcal{J}}}{M}\right)^2 \frac{p_{\mathcal{J}}}{|\vec{q}|} \left(\frac{m_{\eta}^2}{q^2 - \lambda\mu_{\mathcal{J}}^2}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda\mu_{\mathcal{J}}^2}{q^2}\right)^{-1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $M \approx 2m_Q$ is the quarkonium mass and $q^2 \equiv m_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}}^2$. Since the derivation of this relation rests on the low energy theorem (4) for the $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}$ matrix element, it cannot be applied at too high q^2 . For large $|\vec{q}|$ the multipole expansion for the gluon-quarkonium interaction also breaks down since the gluons are no longer "soft". Therefore, eq. (13) is most reliable when the kinematics in the two decays is similar, i.e. when $m_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}}^2 = m_{\eta}^2$, and to a good approximation it can be also used in other parts of the $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}$ spectrum where the linear q^2 -dependence of the matrix element persists.

In conclusion let us give a few applications of eq. (13). At present only experimental data on charmonium transitions are available and they can be confronted with the following theoretical estimate obtained by integrating eq. (13) over the phase space:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Psi' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\psi \eta)}{\Gamma(\Psi' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\psi \mathcal{J}^+ \mathcal{J}^-)} \approx 16\mathcal{J}^2 \frac{f_{\mathcal{J}}^2 p_{\mathcal{J}}^3 m_{\eta}^4}{M^2 (M_{\Psi'} - M_{\mathcal{J}/\psi})^7} \cdot 19.6$$

This gives numerically 0.10 if $M = M_{\psi'}$ and 0.14 if $M = M_{J/\psi}$ which also shows the theoretical uncertainty arising from application of the nonrelativistic picture to charmonium. Experimentally the ratio is equal to 0.12 ± 0.02 according to the Tables ¹¹⁾ or to 0.09 ± 0.01 as is extracted from more recent data ¹²⁾. In any case we find the agreement very satisfactory. This agreement seems to resolve the long standing puzzle of the charmonium phenomenology, that is relatively large rate of the $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$ decay. Indeed, the amplitude A_η (see eq. (12)) contains the dynamical damping factor p_η/M and is also proportional to m_η^2 which vanishes in the SU(3) limit. Still numerically it fits the data well. The field-theoretical reason behind this is the above mentioned N_c enhancement of A_η .

Theoretical uncertainty dies away for heavier quarks. In particular we predict

$$\Gamma(Y'' \rightarrow Y \eta) / \Gamma(Y'' \rightarrow Y \pi^+ \pi^-) \approx 0.020 \quad (14)$$

where $\lambda = 4$ is assumed ¹²⁾ and $M_{Y''} - M_Y = 891 \text{ MeV}$ ¹³⁾ is used. The $Y' \rightarrow Y \eta$ decay rate depends rather crucially on the mass difference $\Delta = M_{Y'} - M_Y$ which is about 555-560 MeV ^{13,14)} and falls close to the η mass. With $\Delta - m_\eta \approx 11 \text{ MeV}$ we find that the ratio of the η and $\pi^+ \pi^-$ transition rates is $\approx 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$.

Although experimental verification of the predictions (13), (14) might be difficult, we believe it is worth efforts since the ratios considered measure the triangle anomalies associated with quark and gluon color charges in much the same way as the famous $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay measures the triangle anomaly associated with electric quark charges.

We are thankful to the DESY theory group for hospitality and especially to M. Krammer for thorough discussions of the subject. We are also grateful to M. Danilov, H.D. Schulz and Yu. Zaitsev for putting stimulating questions to us.

References and Footnotes

1. K. Gottfried, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 538 (1978).
2. M. Voloshin, Nucl. Phys. B154, 365 (1979).
3. M. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B156, 365 (1979);
G. Bhanot and M. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B156, 391 (1979).
4. H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. 47B, 365 (1973).
5. R. Crewther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1421 (1972);
M. Chanowitz and J. Ellis, Phys. Lett. 40B, 397 (1972);
J. Collins, L. Duncan and S. Joglekar, Phys. Rev. D16, 438 (1977).
6. V. Novikov et al., Nucl. Phys. B165, 55 (1980).
7. S.L. Adler, Phys. Rev. 139, B1638 (1965).
8. M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and V. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. 78B, 443 (1978).
9. M. Voloshin, JETP Lett. 21, 347 (1975);
L.S. Brown and R.N. Cahn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1 (1975).
10. G. Veneziano, Preprint TH.2651 - CERN (1979).
11. Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. 75B, 1 (1978).
12. T. Himel, SLAC-Report No. 223 (1979).
13. CLEO Collaboration, D. Andrews et al., CESR Report (1980).
14. For a review see G. Wolf, Preprint DESY 80/13 (1980).