DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 77/34
June 1977

A Possible Identification of $\chi(3.45)$ with a "time like" $c\bar{c}$ Excitation

by

H. Krasemann

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

and

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

and

M. Krammer

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

Abstract

We identify the four observed intermediate states between J/ψ and ψ' with the four $j^{PC}=j^{++}$ P waves of a relativistic bound state model. Assuming a point-like quark photon vertex we calculate bounds on their radiative couplings to J/ψ and ψ' by the help of four dimensional dipole sum rules. These bounds also imply upper bounds on the total widths.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) : •

DESY
Bibliothek
Notkestraße 85
2 Hamburg 52
Germany

A possible Identification of $\chi(3.45)$ with a "time like" $c\bar{c}$ Excitation

by

H. Krasemann

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

and

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

and

M. Krammer

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

Abstract

We identify the four observed intermediate states between J/ψ and ψ' with the four $j^{PC}=j^{++}$ P waves of a relativistic bound state model. Assuming a point-like quark photon vertex we calculate bounds on their radiative couplings to J/ψ and ψ' by the help of four dimensional dipole sum rules. These bounds also imply upper bounds on the total widths.

We suggest that the psions are true relativistic $c\bar{c}$ bound states with the special emphasis that in this case there appear extra states which have no analogue in the nonrelativistic Charmonium model. These extra states are excitations in relative time and thus vanish in the nonrelativistic limit. Also the experimental situation possibly indicates the need to go beyond the non-relativistic description.

Three intermediate states between J/ψ and ψ' , $\chi(3.41)$, $P_c(3.51)$ and $\chi(3.55)$ are consistent, in production and decay, with the three nonrelativistic $c\bar{c}$ bound states 3P_j , $j^{PC}=0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}$. The fourth intermediate state 1 , $\chi(3.45)$, also is a $c\bar{c}$ state as is strongly suggested by its large coupling to J/ψ . For the $\chi(2.83)$ 2 on the other hand the $c\bar{c}$ nature is not clear because its coupling to J/ψ is very small and couplings to other $c\bar{c}$ states are not yet observed. Both states, however, the $\chi(2.83)$ and the $\chi(3.45)$, are welcome as the expected pseudoscalars η_c and η'_c . But both states immediately cause problems for Charmonium 3 , i.e. the nonrelativistic perturbative treatment of QCD. In particular the fact that one forbidden M1 transition is not seen 4 : $\Gamma(\psi' \rightarrow \chi(2.83) \gamma) < 2\% \cdot (225 \pm 56) \text{ keV}$, but another forbidden M1 transition is a main decay mode of $\chi(3.45)$: $B(\chi(3.45) \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) \geq 0.27 \pm 0.13$ 1,4 , would set a very small upper limit on the total width of the $\chi(3.45)$ ($< 11 \text{ keV}$ 5).

In relativistic bound state models 6 the first extra $C=+$ state is a "time like" "P" wave, degenerate with the "space like" P waves, and its quantum numbers are either $j^{PC} = 0^{++}$ or 1^{++} . The two physical 0^{++} (or 1^{++}) states in principle are mixtures of this time like P wave and the corresponding space like P wave. Therefore the $\chi(3.45)$ might either be the second 0^{++} or 1^{++} state, the transition $\chi \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma$ is an electric instead of a magnetic transition and it is not forbidden by any selection rule. Subsequently we sketch the occurrence of time like excitations in a relativistic Bethe Salpeter (BS) model with heavy quarks and strong binding 6 . We further give estimates of γ transitions within this model assuming that the photon couples pointlike to the quarks. Our main emphasis, however, are not the detailed features of the model but rather the occurrence of an extra $C=+$ state on the P wave level with $j^{PC} = 0^{++}$ or 1^{++} . Thus it is in principle clearly distinguishable from the η'_c of Charmonium by general selection rules, i.e.: i) $\chi \rightarrow 2$ pseudoscalars if $j^P = 0^-, 1^+, 2^-, \dots$; ii) $\chi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ if $j = 1$; iii) $(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_1 \chi \rightarrow \gamma_1 \gamma_2 J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \ell^+ \ell^-) \sim (1 + \cos^2 \theta_1)(1 + \cos^2 \theta_2)$ if $j = 0$, where θ_1 is the angle between γ_1 and the beam, θ_2 is the angle between the lepton pair and γ_2 .

$$m = \text{Tr}(SU_4) e (2\pi)^{-3/2} i \int d^4 q \, 2 \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} k^\alpha \epsilon^\beta(q) \tilde{\Phi}_f^{\gamma\delta} \tilde{\Phi}_i^\delta \quad (3)$$

if not. Here $\text{Tr}(SU_4) = 4/3$ for $c\bar{c}$ and

$$\Gamma = \frac{k}{4 M_i^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 j_i + 1} \cdot \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \sum_{i,f} |m|^2. \quad (4)$$

In (2) the first term is a dipole term, while the second one gives the expected relativistic corrections ¹⁰⁾. These are of the order of k/M and numerically add up to 10 to 20 % in the rates. Keeping these relativistic corrections in mind we will only discuss the dipole term. In the dipole approximation the $n-\ell = \text{even}$ excitations do not couple to the $n-\ell = \text{odd}$ ones. This is easily seen in the matrix element involving vector states $\tilde{\Phi}^\nu \sim \epsilon^\nu$ and the scalar state $\tilde{\Phi}_\nu \sim (\hat{q} \cdot \hat{p}) \hat{p}_\nu$: $m \sim \epsilon^\nu \hat{p}_\nu \epsilon^\mu(q) I_\mu$. Here I_μ denotes the loop integral. Gauge invariance requires $k^\mu I_\mu = 0$, thus $I_\mu \sim k_\mu$ and therefore m vanishes. However, the ordinary scalar P state $\tilde{\Phi}_\nu \sim \hat{q}_\nu - (\hat{q} \cdot \hat{p}) \hat{p}_\nu$ couples to $\tilde{\Phi}^\nu \sim \epsilon^\nu$. Since the physical states in principle are mixtures of these two degenerate scalars ⁺⁺⁾ they may both couple to the vector state.

In order to calculate the matrix elements (2) one could start assuming a special "potential" and then solve the hyperradial equation (1) to gain the amplitudes $\tilde{\Phi}$ which enter eq.(2). Instead we will apply dipole sum rules ¹¹⁾ generalized to four dimensions ⁺⁺⁺⁾:

$$4 = \sum_f \gamma_4 (M_i^2 - M_f^2) |\chi|_{fi}^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{-n^2}{4(n+1)} = \sum_{f, n_f = n_i - 1} \gamma_4 (M_i^2 - M_f^2) |\chi|_{fi}^2; \quad \frac{(n+2)^2}{4(n+1)} = \sum_{f, n_f = n_i + 1} \gamma_4 (M_i^2 - M_f^2) |\chi|_{fi}^2$$

to the width formula (4) in the corresponding shape

$$\Gamma = 1/3 \text{Tr}^2(SU_4) \alpha (2j_f + 1) k_{fi}^3 |\chi|_{fi}^2.$$

Now we use the property of the harmonic oscillator that for radiative transitions i) $1P_j \rightarrow 1S_1$ saturates the sum rule for $1S \rightarrow \sum f$ and ii) $2S_1 \rightarrow 1P_j$ together with the former one saturates $1P_j \rightarrow \sum S_1$ ($n_f = n_i - 1$). Since the first transition is maximal and the sum is negative, also the second transition is maximal. We now

replace $(M_i^2 - M_f^2) |\chi|_{fi}^2 = 2M_i k_{fi} |\chi|_{fi}^2$ by its oscillator value, thus saturating the sum rules (5), and obtain

$$\Gamma(1P_j \rightarrow 1S_1 \gamma) < 1/3 \text{Tr}^2(SU_4) \alpha 2 \frac{k_{1S_1, 1P}^2}{M_{1P}} \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma(2S_1 \rightarrow 1P_j \gamma) < 1/3 \text{Tr}^2(SU_4) \alpha \frac{2j+1}{3} \frac{k_{1P, 2S}^2}{M_{2S}}$$

The same result holds - to this approximation - in the $+\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ model. The numerical values are given in Table 2.

	Γ_1 [keV]	Γ_2 [keV]	$\Gamma_{P_c/\chi} = \frac{\Gamma_2}{B_{\text{exp}}} \text{ [MeV]}$	
			a	b
$\chi(3.55)$	< 34	< 460	< $1.7^{+0.8}$	< $1.7^{+0.8}$
$P_c(3.51)$	} ^b < 34	} ^b < 380	< $0.63^{+0.16}$	< $0.63^{+0.16}$
$\chi(3.45)$			< $0.45^{+0.23}$	< $0.15^{+0.08}$
$\chi(3.41)$	} ^a < 27	} ^a < 230	< $1.0^{+0.6}$	< $1.0^{+0.6}$

Table 2. Limits on the radiative widths $\Gamma_1 \equiv \Gamma(\psi' \rightarrow P_c/\chi \gamma)$ and $\Gamma_2 \equiv \Gamma(P_c/\chi \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)$ and on the total widths of the P_c/χ states. The columns a(b) refer to the $(+)\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ model. B_{exp} is taken from ref.1,4.

The experimental information on $\psi' \rightarrow \chi(3.45) + \gamma$ allows to determine the admixture of the space like P wave covariant to the time like covariant. This admixture also accounts for the $\chi(3.45) \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma$ decay and allows to derive limits on the total width of $\chi(3.45)$ which may well be in the range of 100 to 500 keV, see Table 2.

For the magnetic transitions the same model yields from (3)

$$\Gamma(V_r \rightarrow PS_r \gamma) = 1/3 \text{Tr}^2(SU_4) \alpha \frac{4}{M^2} k_{fi}^3 \delta_r^{r'} \quad (7)$$

where $M = M_{PS}(M_V)$ for the $(+)\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ model. As before the quark masses do not show up in this formula but only the masses of the physical particles, in contrast to Charmonium calculations.

We have discussed the possibility that the $\chi(3.45)$ is a fourth P wave $c\bar{c}$ state as present in relativistic models. We found that its experimental properties lead to reasonable total widths in our model. The pseudoscalar state η_c' were still to be found. The pseudoscalar η_c is to be found, too, if the $X(2.83)$ is no $c\bar{c}$ state. On the other hand, if the $X(2.83)$ is the η_c , the smallness of its radiative coupling to J/ψ has to be explained. Unfortunately our simple ansatz would not do this; eq. (7) yields numerical results similar to nonrelativistic Charmonium calculations. We believe that this problem could only be resolved by dropping the pointlike quark photon coupling, which, on the other hand, is even numerically successful in the case of the electric transitions to the $c\bar{c}$ P waves.

Finally we emphasize that - not only in the case of $\chi(3.45)$ - the search for a relativistic degree of freedom in the hadron spectrum is important.

We thank H. Joos for a stimulating discussion on the manuscript.

Footnotes

- ⁺⁾ Similar formulae hold in the $+\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ model.
- ⁺⁺⁾ In the $+\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ model there are two degenerate axialvectors instead of scalars. The same arguments hold for these.
- ⁺⁺⁺⁾ We derive the four dimensional analogue of the nonrelativistic dipole sum rules with the full (squared) Hamiltonian $H^2 = P^2 + M^2$.

References

- 1) SLAC-LBL-Collaboration: J.S. Whitaker et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 1596; PLUTO-Collaboration: V. Blobel in Proc. of the XII Rencontre de Moriond (Flaine 1977) (Tran Thanh Van ed.).
- 2) DASP-Collaboration: W. Braunschweig et al., DESY 77/02, Phys. Lett. (to be published).
- 3) T. Appelquist, H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 43; A. De Rújula, S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 46; E. Eichten et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 369.
- 4) Upper limits on $J/\chi \rightarrow \gamma X$, $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma X$, γX :
MP²S³-Collaboration: reported by E. Hilger at the Aachen Conference (1977).
Even for $M_{\eta_c} \neq 2.83$ GeV but < 3.1 GeV the limit $B(\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) < 2\%$ holds.
- 5) M.S. Chanowitz, F.J. Gilman, Phys. Lett. 63B (1976) 178.
- 6) For a review see:
M. Böhm, H. Joos, M. Krammer, Act. Phys. Austr. Suppl. XI (1973) 3;
Ref. TH-1949 CERN;
M. Krammer, Act. Phys. Austr. 40 (1974) 187.
- 7) S. Mandelstam, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 233 (1955) 248;
R.E. Cutkowsky, M. Leon, Phys. Rev. 135B (1964) 1445.
- 8) M. Böhm, H. Joos, M. Krammer, Act. Phys. Austr. 38 (1973) 123.
- 9) J.M. Golden, Nucl. Phys. B23 (1970) 19.
- 10) See e.g.: Y. Tosa, Nagoya Univ. preprint DPNU-34 (1976).
- 11) H.A. Bethe, E.E. Salpeter, "Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms", New York 1957;
J.D. Jackson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 1107.