DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 77/03 January 1977

PL (75)



The Three Photon Final State Produced in e \dot{e} Collision at 3.6 GeV and at the ψ^{\dagger}

by

DASP Collaboration

W. Braunschweig, H.-U. Martyn, H. G. Sander,

D. Schmitz, W. Sturm, and W. Wallraft

I. Physikalisches Institut der KWTH Machen

K. Berkelman, D. Cords, R. Felst, PR. Fries, L. Gadermann

H. Hultschig, P. Joos, W. Koch, U. Kötz, H. Krehbiel, W. Kothick

W. A. McNeely, K. C. Moffeit, A. Petersen,

R. Rüsch, M. Schliwa, B. H. Wiik and G. Wolfe

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

G. Grindhammer, J. Ludwig, K. H. Mess, G. Poelz,

J. Ringel, K. Sauerberg, and P. Schmüser

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg

W. deBoer, G. Buschhorn, B. Gunderson, R. Kotthaus,

H. Lierl, H. Oberlack, K. Pretzl

Max-Planck Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München

S. Orito, T. Suda, Y. Totsuka, and S. Yamada

High Energy Physics Lab. and Dept. of Physics,

University of Tokyo, Tokyo

2 HAMBURG 52 · NOTKESTIEG 1

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX,

send them to the following address (if possible by air mail):

DESY Bibliothek 2 Hamburg 52 Notkestieg 1 Germany

DASP Collaboration

W.Braunschweig, H.-U.Martyn, H.G.Sander, D.Schmitz, W.Sturm, and W.Wallraff, I.Physikalisches Institut der RWTH Aachen

K.Berkelman⁺⁾, D.Cords, R.Felst, R.Fries, E.Gadermann, H.Hultschig, P.Joos, W.Koch, U.Kötz, H.Krehbiel, D.Kreinick, W.A.McNeely, K.C.Moffeit⁺⁺⁾, A.Petersen, R.Rüsch, M.Schliwa, B.H.Wiik and G.Wolf,

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg,

G.Grindhammer, J.Ludwig, K.H.Mess⁺⁺⁺⁾ G.Poelz, J.Ringel, K.Sauerberg, and P.Schmüser.

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg,

W.deBoer, G.Buschhorn, B.Gunderson, R.Kotthaus, H.Lierl, H.Oberlack, K.Pretzl, Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München,

S.Orito, T.Suda, Y.Totsuka, and S.Yamada, High Energy Physics Lab. and Dept. of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo.

Abstract: The three photon final state produced in $e^{\frac{1}{4}}$ collisions at 3.6 GeV and at the mass of the ψ' resonance has been measured using the nonmagnetic part of the double arm spectrometer DASP. A total of 31 events is observed outside the resonance region compared to 35.1 events predicted from QED. At the mass of the ψ' 49 events are observed compared to 37.2 events expected from QED. Limits on radiative decays via intermediate states are given.

- 2 -

In this paper results on the three photon final state produced in e^+e^- collisions for cms energies at the ψ' resonance and in the nonresonant region below the ψ' are reported. The data were collected at the e^+e^- storage ring DORIS using the inner detector of the double arm spectrometer DASP to identify the final state and to measure the directions and the energies of the photons. Data were collected for an integrated luminosity of 256 nb⁻¹ at a cms energy of 3.6 GeV and for 460 nb⁻¹ in a narrow energy range centered on the ψ' resonance.

The detector and the criteria used to analyse the three photon final state were discussed in detail in a previous publication $^{(1)}$. Candidates for three photon events were required to have at least 2 GeV deposited in the detector. At most one of the photons was allowed to convert in the beam pipe, and the opening angle between any two photons in the event had to be larger than 30° . A total of 41 events outside the resonance region and a total of 66 events at the ψ^{*} satisfied the criteria.

The χ^2 was computed for the hypothesis that the three photon conversion points and the interaction point lie in a plane. The location and the size of the interaction region were determined from multihadron and Bhabha scattering events. The coplanarity condition will in general not be met by three photon candidates resulting from multihadron or beam gas events with unobserved hadrons. Events with a χ^2 greater than 2.7 were rejected, leaving 31 events at 3.6 GeV and 49 events at the ψ' resonance.

For the coplanar events the photon energies were computed from the best fit photon directions and the known cms energy. The computed photon energies agree well with the measured values obtained by summing the normalized pulse heights of all the scintillation and shower counters fired along the track. The effective photon pair mass was evaluated using the fitted directions and the computed energies of the photons.

1) Nonresonant region

The events obtained at 3.6 GeV are shown in a Dalitz plot (Fig. 1a). The events cluster mainly at high effective mass as expected 2 for two photon annihilation with a photon radiated by one of the incoming electrons. There is no evidence for reactions of the type

⁺⁾ Now at Cornell University

⁺⁺⁾ Now at SLAC

⁺⁺⁺⁾ Now at CERN

with H = n, n' or X(2.83). This is demonstrated more clearly in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c, where the events are plotted as a function of the lowest and the highest photon pair-mass observed in the event. The contribution from QED events, shown as the solid lines in Fig. 1b,c, was evaluated as follows: Three photon events, produced according to the matrix elements listed by Berends and Gastman²) were used as an input to a Monte Carlo program. The program propagated the photons through the detector evaluating the conversion points and the energy deposited in each layer of the detector. Events satisfying the trigger conditions were analyzed with the standard analysis program. This calculation predicts a total of 35.1 events compared to the 31 events observed. Both the number and the mass distribution of the observed events are compatible with QED production.

Upper limits on resonance production were determined by fitting to the observed data the sum of the computed QED contribution and resonance production represented by a Gaussian. The Gaussian was centered at the mass of the assumed resonance with a mass resolution taken from a Monte Carlo calculation. This ansatz fits the data at the J/ ψ resonance. The following 90% upper confidence limits on resonance production were obtained

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \eta) < 0.21 \text{ nb and } \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X) \cdot BR(X \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) < 0.11 \text{ nb.}$$

In this energy region a total cross section for hadron production of roughly 20 nb is observed³⁾.

2) ψ'-region

At the ψ^1 resonance the three photon final state can come from

- a) the QED process.
- b) radiative decays via intermediate states with even charge conjugation and spin different from one, and
- c) direct decay into three photons.

A Dalitz plot of the three photon events obtained at the ψ' resonance is shown in Fig. 2a. The events tend to cluster at high mass with no striking evidence for the radiative decay via intermediate states. The events are histogrammed in Fig. 2b and Fig. 2c as a function of the lowest and the highest photon pair mass observed in the event. The QED contribution is shown as solid lines.

The data show no significant peaks from the decay via intermediate states. However, a total of only 37.2 events is expected from QED compared to 49 events observed. The 90% confidence upper limits on $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \eta$ and $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ obtained as above are

$$\Gamma(\psi^1 \rightarrow \gamma \eta) < 87 \text{ eV}$$

 $\Gamma(\psi^1 \rightarrow \gamma \eta^1) < 1.4 \text{ keV}.$

These limits are larger than the widths (55 \pm 12) eV and (152 \pm 117) eV measured for the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$.

The decay $\psi^1 \to \gamma X(2.83)$ would appear as a peak in the high mass spectrum (Fig.2c) centered at 2.83 GeV. A total of 3 events is observed within the mass range (2.83 \pm 0.05) GeV corresponding to a mass interval of about twice the expected FWHM resolution. A total of 0.8 events is expected from QED. From these data a 90% confidence upper limit on BR($\psi^1 \to \gamma X$) \cdot BR($X \to \gamma \gamma$ of 3 x 10⁻⁴ can be extracted. This limit, combined with the value \cdot for $J/\psi \to \gamma X(2.83)$, leads to

$$\frac{\Gamma(\psi' \to \gamma X(2.83))}{\Gamma(J/\psi \to \gamma X(2.83))} < 10.$$

The significance of this number is partially hidden by the large difference in phase space available for the two reactions. If the X(2.83) is identified with the lowest 1S_0 state in the charmonium model the width should be proportional to ${\bf k}^3|{}^4{\bf n}_{\bf f}|{}^4{\bf n}_{\bf i}^{\bf r}|^2$ since these are M1 transitions. Here k is the photon energy and ${}^4{\bf n}_{\bf f}|{}^4{\bf n}_{\bf i}^{\bf r}$ the overlap integral of the wave functions. With these assumptions we find

$$\frac{|\langle x | \psi' \rangle|^2}{|\langle x | J/\psi \rangle|^2} < 0.23.$$

In the charmonium model a small ratio is expected.

The C = +1 states observed between the ψ and the J/ ψ are compatible with being the 3P states in the charmonium model. In particular, the states at 3.41 GeV and 3.56 GeV are usually identified with the 3P_0 and 3P_2 states with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and 2^{++} . Both states can in principle decay into two photons. No evidence for these decays is seen. The 90% confidence upper limits on the product of the branching ratios are listed in table 1. The upper limits on branching ratio for the decay of the resonances into two photons can

be obtained from these data using the measured 6,7) values for BR($\psi^{+} \rightarrow \gamma P_{c}/\chi$).

The limits found are on the order of a few times 10^{-3} , compatible with the values predicted⁸⁾ for these decays in the charmonium model using the short range picture.

Acknowledgement

We thank Drs. F.A.Berends and T.Walsh for many discussions. We are indebted to all the engineers and technicians of the collaborating institutions who have participated in the construction and maintenance of DASP. The invaluable cooperation of the technical support groups and the computer center at DESY is gratefully acknowledged. We are indebted to the DORIS machine group for their excellent support during the experiment. The non-DESY members of the collaboration thank the DESY directorate for their kind hospitality.

Table | - Upper limits on ψ' → YN → YYY

Decay	$BR(\psi^{\dagger}\rightarrow\gamma H)\cdot BR(H\rightarrow\gamma\gamma)$	BR(H → γγ)
$\psi' + \gamma \eta + \gamma \gamma \gamma$	< 1.6 x 10 ⁻⁴	-
→ γη¹ → γγγ	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	-
$\rightarrow \gamma X(2.83) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	•
$\rightarrow \gamma \chi(3.41) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$< 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$< 5.7 \times 10^{-3}$
→ YX(3.45) → YYY	< 3.1 x 10 ⁻⁴	-
$\rightarrow \gamma P_{c}(3.51) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$
$\rightarrow \gamma \chi(3.55) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$

References:

- 1) DASP Collaboration, W.Braunschweig et al., submitted to Phys.Lett.
- 2) F.A.Berends and R.Gastman, Nucl. Phys. B. 61, 414 (1973)

- 6 -

- 3) J.E.Augustin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 34, 764 (1975)
- 4) DASP Collaboration, W.Braunschweig et al., Phys.Lett. 578, 407 (1975)
- 5) M.S. Chanowitz and F.J. Gilman, SLAC-PUB 1746, 1976
- 6) J.W.Whitaker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 37, 1596 (1976)
- 7) D.Badtke et al., Contribution to the 18th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Tbilisi, USSR, 1976
- 8) R.Barbieri, R.Gatto and R.Kögerler, Phys.Lett. 60B, 183 (1976) T.Walsh, private communication

Figure Captions:

- Fig. 1a Dalitz plot of coplanar three photon events at $\sqrt{s} = 3.6$ GeV.
 - b The three photon events plotted as a function of the lowest photon pair mass observed in the event. The solid curve is the QED contribution.
 - c The three photon events plotted as a function of the highest photon pair mass observed in the event. The solid curve is the QED contribution.
- Fig. 2a Dalitz plot of coplanar three photon events at the ψ^{\dagger} resonance.
 - b The three photon events plotted as a function of the lowest photon pair mass observed in the event. The solid curve is the QED contribution.
 - c The three photon events plotted as a function of the highest photon pair mass observed in the event. The solid curve is the QED contribution.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2