

From Spinning Conformal Blocks to Matrix Calogero-Sutherland Models

Volker Schomerus,^a Evgeny Sobko^b

^a*DESY Hamburg, Theory Group, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*

^b*Nordita, Stockholm University and KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Roslagstullsbacken 23, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

E-mail: [evgenysobko AT gmail.com](mailto:evgenysobko@gmail.com), [volker.schomerus AT desy.de](mailto:volker.schomerus@desy.de)

ABSTRACT: In this paper we develop further the relation between conformal four-point blocks involving external spinning fields and Calogero-Sutherland quantum mechanics with matrix-valued potentials. To this end, the analysis of [1] is extended to arbitrary dimensions and to the case of boundary two-point functions. In particular, we construct the potential for any set of external tensor fields. Some of the resulting Schrödinger equations are mapped explicitly to the known Casimir equations for 4-dimensional seed conformal blocks. Our approach furnishes solutions of Casimir equations for external fields of arbitrary spin and dimension in terms of functions on the conformal group. This allows us to reinterpret standard operations on conformal blocks in terms of group-theoretic objects. In particular, we shall discuss the relation between the construction of spinning blocks in any dimension through differential operators acting on seed blocks and the action of left/right invariant vector fields on the conformal group.

KEYWORDS: Conformal blocks, Harmonic analysis, Calogero-Sutherland models

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The space of conformal blocks	3
3	Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian as a radial part of Laplace-Beltrami operator	7
3.1	The metric on the conformal group G	7
3.2	Formula for Laplacian on the torus A	9
3.3	Example: Casimir equation for 4-dimensional seed blocks	12
4	Boundary two-point functions	14
5	Harmonic analysis view on the diagonalization, seed blocks and weight shifting	16
6	Discussion	20
A	Comparison with Casimir equations for 4d seed blocks	21
B	Comparison with Casimir equations for boundary two-point function	23
C	Compact picture for principal series representations of G	24

1 Introduction

The theory of conformal partial waves and conformal blocks has a long history that goes back almost 50 years to early studies in conformal field theory. It was realized from the beginning of the subject that conformal partial wave expansions of correlation functions provide a very clean way to separate the kinematical skeleton of conformal field theory from the dynamical content [2, 3]. This insight paved the way for the conformal bootstrap programme [4–6]. Unfortunately, there was no comprehensive mathematical theory of conformal blocks at the time and we know today that even the relevant mathematical background did not yet exist. The entire subject of global conformal blocks seemed dormant until the widely recognized papers of Dolan and Osborn [7–9] which uncovered many highly non-trivial facts about these functions. With the conception of the modern numerical bootstrap program [10], the demands of the scientific community gradually increased. While Dolan and Osborn had focused on blocks for correlators in which all for external fields are scalar, it is clear that correlators involving tensor fields, and in particular the stress tensor, provide important additional constraints. Even though the numerical

bootstrap for spinning correlators has only been explored quite recently, see e.g. [11–13], the general challenge has boosted significant new developments in the theory of spinning conformal blocks over the last few years, see e.g. [14–26].

There is another area of theoretical physics that was born roughly around the same time as the conformal bootstrap, namely the study of Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians. These Hamiltonians were first written down in [27–29] as an interacting multi-particle (or multi-dimensional) generalization of the famous 1-dimensional Hamiltonian for a single particle in a Pöschl-Teller potential [30]. The investigation of these models uncovered an extremely rich structure including spectrum generating symmetries, intriguing dualities and deformations. Through the seminal work of Heckman and Opdam, see e.g. [31–33] and then later of Cherednik, see [34] and references therein, as well as many others, Calogero-Sutherland models eventually gave birth to the modern theory of multivariate hypergeometric functions. Even though a link between Calogero-Sutherland models and group theory had been observed by Olshanetzki and Perelomov in [35–37] and developed further e.g. in [38–40], it was not until last year that the relevance for conformal blocks and the bootstrap was first pointed out [41]. This observation makes an enormous body of recent mathematical results available for conformal field theory [42]. As we will see below, the application of such techniques goes well beyond scalar four-point blocks. On the other hand, in the context of spinning blocks, new classes of matrix-valued Calogero-Sutherland potentials appear that have received little attention so far. One may therefore also hope that some of the methods from the conformal bootstrap could be transferred to the study of these new quantum mechanical models.

The present work lies at the intersection of the two subjects we have sketched above. In [1] we described a general algorithm to construct certain Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians with matrix-valued potentials for the theory of spinning blocks. For somewhat technical reasons our general analysis and the examples were restricted to dimension $d \leq 3$. In the present work we want to overcome this restriction in the general case and work out a few examples of relevant matrix Calogero-Sutherland models in $d = 4$. This will allow us to make contact to the recent work [20] on spinning seed blocks in 4-dimensional conformal field theory.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In the next section we will review how spinning conformal blocks can be obtained from vector-valued functions on the conformal group. Then we explain how the Casimir equation for conformal blocks descends from the Laplacian on the conformal group and work out an explicit formula that applies to spinning four-point blocks in any dimension and with any assignment of external spins. The general expression (3.19) we derive takes the form of a Schrödinger operator with some matrix-valued potential that is similar to the one found in [43, 44] for certain quotients of compact groups. This Hamiltonian acts on functions that depend on cross ratios and take values in the space of tensor structures. The latter is constructed for the case of 4-dimensional seed blocks. After insertion into the general expression for the Casimir operator, the potential takes the form of a matrix-valued Calogero-Sutherland potential. The associated eigenvalue problem is shown to be equivalent to the Casimir equation of [20] in Appendix A. Similarly, we also discuss the case of (spinning) boundary two-point functions, derive

the corresponding Calogero-Sutherland model, and demonstrate the equivalence with the Casimir equations in [45], see section 4 and Appendix B.

Our approach to spinning blocks has several important advantages over conventional ones. On the one hand, as an approach to Casimir equations it is entirely universal, i.e. regardless of the setup, whether it involves local spinning fields as in this work, or is extended to supersymmetric models and defects, the Casimir equations descend from the Laplacian(s) on the conformal (super)group. This makes many relations between blocks manifest, see further comments in the concluding section. On the other hand, our approach realizes solutions of the Casimir equations in terms of functions on the conformal group itself. In comparison with conventional realizations of conformal blocks which involve two sets of coordinates, namely coordinates on embedding space and on an auxiliary space that serves to encode spin degrees of freedom, our realization treats all variables on the same footing. This throws a new light on the construction of special spinning blocks from scalar ones [20], the concept of seed blocks [13, 19, 20, 46] and the weight shifting operators that were introduced recently in [23]. All these possess a simple origin in group theory which we outline in section 5 along with some consequences. In particular, we describe a set of seed blocks for conformal field theories in any dimension.

2 The space of conformal blocks

In this section we will review the construction of the space of conformal four-point blocks as a space of equivariant functions on the group. The conformal group in a d -dimensional Euclidean space is given by $G = \text{SO}(1, d + 1)$. Almost any¹ element $h \in G$ admits Bruhat decomposition:

$$h = \tilde{n}ndr, \quad \tilde{n} \in \tilde{N}, \quad n \in N, \quad d \in D, \quad r \in R, \quad (2.1)$$

where $D = \text{SO}(1, 1)$ is a dilatation subgroup, $R = \text{SO}(d)$ is a subgroup of rotations and \tilde{N}, N are two abelian subgroups that are generated by translations and special conformal transformations, respectively.

Finite dimensional irreducible representations of the subgroup $K = DR \subset G$ are parametrized by the eigenvalue Δ of the generator of dilatation, also known as conformal weight, and by the weight μ of an irreducible representation of the rotation group. Given these data we can induce an irreducible representation $\pi_{\Delta, \mu}$ of the conformal group G . It can be realised on the following space of equivariant functions

$$V_{\pi_{\Delta, \mu}} \cong \Gamma_{G/NDR}^{(\Delta, \mu)} = \{f : G \rightarrow V_{\mu} \mid f(hndr) = e^{\Delta \lambda} \mu(r^{-1})f(h)\} \quad (2.2)$$

and the representation $\pi_{\Delta, \mu} : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_{\pi_{\Delta, \mu}}, V_{\pi_{\Delta, \mu}})$ is given by the left regular action of the conformal group

$$[\pi_{\Delta, \mu}(h)f](h') = f(h^{-1}h'), \quad h, h' \in G, \quad f \in V_{\pi_{\Delta, \mu}}. \quad (2.3)$$

¹The set of elements that do not have such representation forms a lower dimensional subspace of zero measure.

Here, V_μ denotes the finite dimensional carrier space of representation μ of the rotation group R . We wrote elements $d \in D$ as

$$d(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda & \sinh \lambda \\ \sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Using the equivariance law we can reconstruct any function $f \in \Gamma_{G/NDR}^{(\Delta, \mu)}$ on the conformal group G from the values it assumes on representatives of the NDR -orbits. In this sense, we can also think of the space (2.2) as a space of V_μ -valued functions on the d -dimensional quotient G/NDR . Let us add a few comments on the representation of the rotation group. Throughout the bulk of this work, we will consider the rotations group $SO(d)$ rather than its universal covering group $Spin(d)$. Correspondingly, the values our label μ assumes correspond to representations of $SO(d)$ rather than spinorial representations, i.e. we focus on blocks for bosonic external fields. The extension to fermionic fields and spinorial representations is straight forward. Since our construction of the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian is local on the conformal group, the distinction between $SO(d)$ and $Spin(d)$ does not matter, except for the choice of admissible transformations laws μ of external fields. The latter can simply assume more values if we want to include external fermions.

The representation (2.3) belongs to the unitary principal series representations² of G if $\Delta = d/2 + ic$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In what follows we will assume that Δ is of this form. Since all equations for conformal blocks we shall derive below are polynomial in Δ , they can be continued to arbitrary Δ . In this way, all our equations are applicable to reflection positive Euclidean theories as well as unitary conformal field theories on a space with Lorentz signature.

We are now prepared to review the construction of four-point blocks from [1]. Let us pick four external fields which are associated with four representations $\pi_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ of the conformal group. By definition, the space of conformal blocks is given by the space $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3 \otimes \pi_4)^G$ of G -invariants on the four-fold tensor product $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3 \otimes \pi_4$. In order to construct the space of such invariants we employ theorem 9.4 of [47]. It states that the tensor product of two principal series representations (2.2) can be realised on the following space of equivariant functions

$$\pi_i \otimes \pi_j \cong \Gamma_{G/K}^{(\pi_i, \pi_j)} \quad \text{with} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Gamma_{G/K}^{(\pi_i, \pi_j)} = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow V_{\mu_i} \otimes V_{\mu'_j} \left| \begin{array}{ll} f(hd(\lambda)) = e^{\lambda(\Delta_i - \Delta_j)} f(h) & \text{for } d(\lambda) \in D \subset G \\ f(hr) = \mu_i(r^{-1}) \otimes \mu'_j(r^{-1}) f(h) & \text{for } r \in R \subset G \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

where we used the prime symbol for the representation $\mu'(r) = \mu(wrw)$ that is twisted by conjugation with the nontrivial element w of restricted Weyl group. The latter is given by the quotient R'/R where R' is a normalizer of the dilation subgroup D within the maximal compact subgroup $SO(d+1)$ and it consists of two elements $\{1, w\}$. As a vector space $V_{\mu'}$ coincides with V_μ and we add the prime in order to stress that we consider this vector space as a carrier of the representation μ' .

²There are also unitary discrete and supplementary series, however they will not appear in our discussion.

Just as in our previous discussion of the space (2.2) we can also think of the space (2.5) as a space of $V_{\mu_i} \otimes V_{\mu'_j}$ -valued function on the quotient G/K . In this case, the underlying quotient space G/K is $2d$ -dimensional. Once again we only used the right regular action of a subgroup to formulate the equivariance law. Consequently, the conformal group G acts on the space (2.5) through left regular transformations. The associated representation is highly reducible and may be decomposed into irreducibles of the form (2.2).

In close analogy to eq. (2.5), we can realise the two-fold tensor product $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ on the space of left-equivariant functions,

$$V_{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2} \cong \Gamma_{K \setminus G}^{(\pi_1, \pi_2)} \quad \text{with} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Gamma_{K \setminus G}^{(\pi_1, \pi_2)} = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu'_2} \left| \begin{array}{ll} f(d(\lambda)h) = e^{\lambda(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)} f(h) & \text{for } d(\lambda) \in D \subset G \\ f(rh) = \mu_1(r) \otimes \mu'_2(r) f(h) & \text{for } r \in R \subset G \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

If we now combine this with the realization of the tensor product $\pi_3 \otimes \pi_4$ through right equivariant functions, as in eq. (2.5), we arrive at the following realization of the space $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3 \otimes \pi_4)^G$ of four-point invariants [1],

$$\Gamma_{K \setminus G/K}^{(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R})} = \{ f : G \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \mid f(k_l h k_r^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}(k_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r) f(h), \quad | k_l, k_r \in K \}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the two representations $\mathcal{L} = (a, \mu_1 \otimes \mu'_2)$ and $\mathcal{R} = (-b, \mu_3 \otimes \mu'_4)$ of the subgroup $K = \text{SO}(1, 1) \times \text{SO}(d)$ act on $V_{\mathcal{L}} = V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu'_2}$ and $V_{\mathcal{R}} = V_{\mu_3} \otimes V_{\mu'_4}$, respectively, according to³

$$\mathcal{L}(d(\lambda)r) = e^{2a\lambda} \mu_1(r) \otimes \mu'_2(r), \quad \mathcal{R}(d(\lambda)r) = e^{-2b\lambda} \mu_3(r) \otimes \mu'_4(r). \quad (2.8)$$

Here $2a = \Delta_2 - \Delta_1$, $2b = \Delta_3 - \Delta_4$. Note that the elements f in the space (2.7) are equivariant with respect to both left and right multiplication of h by elements $k \in K$. Hence, the space $\Gamma_{K \setminus G/K}^{(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R})}$ does no longer admit an action of the conformal group as one would expect from a space of G -invariants.

As in the previous cases, we can use the equivariance laws to reduce a function f to representatives $a \in A \subset G$ of the $K \times K$ orbits of G . Given the value of f on any such $a \in A$, we would like to reconstruct f on the entire orbit. But this might meet an obstacle since the left and right action of K on G may not be independent. In fact, it turns out that any representative a possesses a nontrivial stabilizer subgroup in $K \times K$ that is isomorphic to $B = \text{SO}(d-2) \subset G$. In order for $f(a)$ to possess a unique extension to the entire orbit, we should restrict it to take values in the subspace of B -invariants $(V_1 \otimes V'_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V'_4)^B$ which is actually the space of four-point tensor structures.

Let us explain the statements we made in the previous paragraph in a bit more detail. To this end we mimic the usual construction of the KAK or Cartan decomposition and introduce an automorphism Θ acting on $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ as $\Theta(\xi) = \theta \xi \theta$, $\theta = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The map Θ determines a decomposition of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the conformal group G as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ where $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$ and \mathfrak{p} its orthogonal complement. To

³Elements in $\text{SO}(1, 1)$ act on states by multiplication with a scalar factor. In the following we shall not distinguish between carrier space of representations for $\text{SO}(d)$ and $\text{SO}(1, 1) \times \text{SO}(d)$

be more concrete let us introduce usual set of generators $M_{ij} = -M_{ji}$ of the conformal group $G = \text{SO}(1, d + 1)$ where i, j run through $0, 1, 2, \dots, d + 1$. Obviously, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of K is spanned by the generator $M_{0,1}$ of dilations along with the elements $M_{\mu\nu}$ for $\mu = 2, \dots, d + 1$ that generate rotations. Our subspace \mathfrak{p} in turn is spanned by $M_{0,\mu}$ and $M_{1,\mu}$. The subalgebra \mathfrak{p} contains the d -dimensional abelian subalgebras of translations P_μ and of special conformal transformations K_μ . We shall select a 2-dimensional abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{a} that is spanned by $a_+ = M_{0,2}$ and $a_- = M_{1,3}$. These two generators commute with each other since they have no index in common. Through exponentiation we pass to the abelian subgroup $A \subset \text{SO}(1, d + 1)$ that consists of matrices of the form

$$a(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{\tau_1}{2} & 0 & \sinh \frac{\tau_1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \frac{\tau_2}{2} & 0 & -\sin \frac{\tau_2}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sinh \frac{\tau_1}{2} & 0 & \cosh \frac{\tau_1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \frac{\tau_2}{2} & 0 & \cos \frac{\tau_2}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in A \quad (2.9)$$

where two variables $\tau_1 \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $\tau_2 \in [0, 4\pi)$ may be considered as functions of the two anharmonic ratios one can build from four points in \mathbb{R}^d with $d > 1$. Once we know that the space A coincides with the double coset $K \backslash G / K$ we can write the conformal group as $G = KAK$. In particular, the dimension of the space KAK coincides with that of G . Indeed, we can think of KAK as a formal union $KAK = \cup_{a \in A} O_a^{K \times K}$ of $K \times K$ orbits. Taking into account that elements $a \in A$ commute with the subgroup $B = \text{SO}(d - 2)$ embedded into the lower right corner we obtain

$$\dim(KAK) = 2\dim(K) + \dim(A) - \dim(B) = \dim(G) . \quad (2.10)$$

In dimensions $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ the stabiliser subgroup B is trivial and $(V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}})^B = V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}$. Once we pass to dimensions d higher than $d = 3$, the stabilizer subgroup $B = \text{SO}(d - 2)$ becomes non-trivial. With our choice (2.9) of A , the subgroup $B \subset K \subset G$ is embedded into the lower right corner of $(d - 2) \times (d - 2)$ matrices and such matrices do commute with all elements $a \in A$ as the latter contain a $(d - 2) \times (d - 2)$ identity matrix in the lower-right corner. The action of such elements $b \in B$ on the group G is given through the embedding $b \mapsto (b, b^{-1}) \in K \times K$ so that an element $b \in B$ acts on $a \in A$ by conjugation $a \mapsto bab^{-1} = a$. As we have stressed before, the existence of nontrivial stabiliser B leads to ambiguity when we try to reconstruct a function on G from its values on A . Indeed, let us take a point $k_l a k_r = k_l b a b^{-1} k_r \in G$. From the equivariance law in definition (2.7) conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}(k_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r) f(a) = f(k_l a k_r^{-1}) = f(k_l b a b^{-1} k_r^{-1}) = \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(k_l b) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r b) f(a) = (\mathcal{L}(k_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r)) (\mathcal{L}(b) \otimes \mathcal{R}(b)) f(a) . \quad (2.12)$$

In order to ensure that the extension is independent of our choices, we impose that f takes values in the subspace of B -invariants. We can construct the projection \mathcal{P} to B -invariants

explicitly through

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B)} \int_B d\mu_b \mathcal{L}(b) \otimes \mathcal{R}(b) . \quad (2.13)$$

This projector is non-trivial for $d > 3$ if at least one of the external primary fields carries a non-trivial spin. The space $(V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}})^B = \mathcal{P}(V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}})$ of B -invariants is the image of the projection \mathcal{P} .

3 Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian as a radial part of Laplace-Beltrami operator

For given intermediate operator of weight Δ and spin μ the spinning conformal block $\mathbf{g}_{\Delta,\mu}$ is a multi-component function of two cross-ratios which diagonalises the Casimir operator

$$\mathcal{C}^{(2)}[\mathbf{g}_{\Delta,\mu}] = C_{\Delta,\mu} [\mathbf{g}_{\Delta,\mu}] , \quad (3.1)$$

with eigenvalue $C_{\Delta,\mu}$ equal to the value of quadratic Casimir element in the representation $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$. Of course, higher Casimir elements are also diagonalized but we will not discuss these any further.

In the previous section we have realized conformal blocks as equivariant functions on the conformal group which are defined by their restriction to torus A with two coordinates (τ_1, τ_2) which in turn are functions of anharmonic ratios. In this section we show that the Laplace-Beltrami operator, which acts on equivariant functions componentwise, descends to the Casimir operator for conformal blocks once it is restricted to the torus A . With an appropriate choice of coordinates on the conformal group this second order operator can be worked out explicitly. We have described these coordinates in the previous subsection. In the first subsection below we will discuss the form of the metric in these coordinates before we calculate the Casimir operator for spinning blocks in any dimension d in the second. Our result extends a closely related statement in [44] to the case of conformal groups and the analysis in [1] to $d > 3$. In the third subsection we illustrate the general formulas through the example of a spinning seed blocks in $d = 4$ dimensions to recover the Casimir equations that were originally derived in [20].

3.1 The metric on the conformal group G

As was mentioned in the previous section the space G is foliated by $(\dim G - 2)$ -dimensional orbits of the $K \times K$ action on it. These orbits are parametrized by points on the torus A . We have also stressed that in general the $K \times K$ action on G is not free. In fact, any given point on the torus is stabilized by the subgroup $B = \text{SO}(d - 2)$. We can use this gauge freedom by elements $b \in B$ to move e.g. the elements k_l of the left subgroup K_l to lie in the right coset K_l/B . The corresponding representative will be denoted by κ_l . Let us choose some coordinates x_l on K_l/B and (x_r, y) on the right subgroup K_r in addition to the coordinates $\tau = \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ on A that we introduced already. Here, x_r denote coordinates on the quotient $B \backslash K_r$ and y are coordinates on the subgroup B . Hence, points on G are

parametrized by the coordinates $\mathbf{x} = \{x_l, \tau, x_r, y\}$. We will write x_A for the components of x with $A = 1, \dots, \dim G$. For the coordinates x_l, x_r on the quotients K_l/B and $B \backslash K_r$ we use indices $\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim K - \dim B$ while coordinates on B are y_a with $a = 1, \dots, \dim B$.

In these coordinates we want to compute the metric on the G . If we denote an element $h \in G$ by $h = h(\mathbf{x})$, the Killing metric reads

$$g_{AB}(\mathbf{x}) = -2 \operatorname{tr} h^{-1} \partial_A h h^{-1} \partial_B h, \quad h \in G. \quad (3.2)$$

Let us begin our analysis of the metric with the elements $g_{\alpha i}$ corresponding to a pair of tangent vectors along K_l/B and A , respectively. We parametrize elements $h \in G$ of conformal group as $h = \kappa_l(x_l) a(\tau) k_r(x_r, y)$, where $\kappa_l \in K_l/B$, $a \in A$, $k_r \in K_r$. A short evaluation gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{x}) &= -2 \operatorname{tr} (k_r^{-1} a^{-1} \kappa_l^{-1} \partial_\alpha \kappa_l a k_r) (k_r^{-1} a^{-1} \kappa_l^{-1} \partial_i \kappa_l a k_r) = \\ &= 2 \operatorname{tr} \kappa_l^{-1} \partial_\alpha \kappa_l \partial_i a a^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the index i enumerates the coordinates τ_i on the torus and α enumerates the coordinates $\{x_{l\alpha}\}$. Here we used orthogonality of the two elements $\kappa_l^{-1} \partial_\alpha \kappa_l \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\partial_i a a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the Killing form. Continuing along these lines it is easy to see that all elements $g_{A i} = g_{i A}$ vanish as long as $A \neq i$. Using the explicit parametrisation (2.9) for elements of the torus we conclude that the metric tensor has the following form

$$(g_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} \# & \dots & \# & 0 & 0 & \# & \dots & \# \\ \# & \dots & \# & \vdots & \vdots & \# & \dots & \# \\ \# & \dots & \# & 0 & 0 & \# & \dots & \# \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \# & \dots & \# & 0 & 0 & \# & \dots & \# \\ \# & \dots & \# & \vdots & \vdots & \# & \dots & \# \\ \# & \dots & \# & 0 & 0 & \# & \dots & \# \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

This means that the torus A and any of its images $k_l A k_r$ under the $K \times K$ -action crosses all the $K \times K$ orbits orthogonally. Generalising terminology of Palais and Terng [?] to the noncompact case, one may therefore call the $K \times K$ -action *hyperpolar*.

The Haar measure $d\mu_G$ on the conformal group is

$$d\mu_G = \sqrt{|\det g|} dx_l \wedge d\tau_1 \wedge d\tau_2 \wedge dy \wedge dx_r = d\mu_{KaK} \wedge d\tau_1 \wedge d\tau_2,$$

where $d\mu_{KaK}$ can be interpreted as induced measure on the $Ka(\tau)K$ orbit. The determinant of the metric tensor has a factorised form $\sqrt{|\det g|} = \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) \eta(x_l, y, x_r)$ due to the fact that the $K \times K$ orbits intersect the torus A transversely. The volume $\operatorname{vol}(Ka(\tau)K)$ of any given orbit $Ka(\tau)K$ is given by the integral

$$\operatorname{vol}(Ka(\tau)K) = \int_{Ka(\tau)K} d\mu_{KaK} = \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) \int dx_l dy dx_r \eta(x_l, y, x_r) = \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) v_\infty, \quad (3.5)$$

where we introduced factor $v_\infty = \int dx_l dy dx_r \eta(x_l, y, x_r)$ which is formally equal to infinity. This factor is the same for all orbits and can be canceled in all future calculations by the appropriate normalization described below.

Now we can construct a scalar product on the space of conformal blocks. Let us first note that the scalar product on the space (2.7) of sections inherits a scalar product from the scalar product on the Hilbert space $L_G^2 = L^2(G, V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}; d\mu_G)$ of vector-valued square integrable functions on the conformal group

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G d\mu_G(h) \langle f(h), g(h) \rangle &= \int_G d\mu_G(\kappa_l, a, k_r) \langle f(\kappa_l a k_r), g(\kappa_l a k_r) \rangle = \\ &= \int_G d\mu_G(\kappa_l, a, k_r) \langle \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) f(a), \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) g(a) \rangle = \\ &= \int_G \sqrt{|\det g|} d\mathbf{x} \langle f(a), g(a) \rangle = v_\infty \int_A \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle f(a), g(a) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a scalar product in $V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}$. Taking into account that any element of the space (2.7) is defined by its restriction $f(a)$ to A , we obtain an isomorphism

$$L^2(\Gamma_{K \backslash G/K}^{(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R})}; d\mu_G) \cong L_A^2 = L^2(A, (V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}})^B; d\mu_A).$$

Here, $d\mu_A = \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2$ is the measure on the torus A we introduced before and the scalar product for the Hilbert space on the right hand side is given by

$$(f(a), g(a)) = \mathcal{N} \int_G d\mu_G \langle f(k_l a k_r), g(k_l a k_r) \rangle = \int_A d\mu_A \langle f(a), g(a) \rangle \quad (3.6)$$

where we introduced normalization factor $\mathcal{N} = v_\infty^{-1}$. We can also restrict $K \times K$ -invariant operators \mathcal{D} , such as e.g. the Laplacian, from L_G^2 to the space L_A^2 . Matrix elements of the reduced operators can be computed through the prescription

$$(f(a), \mathcal{D}^A g(a)) = \mathcal{N} \int_G d\mu_G \langle \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) f(a), \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) g(a) \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

By construction, the reduced operator \mathcal{D}_A is self-adjoint with respect to the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) if the original operator \mathcal{D} is.

3.2 Formula for Laplacian on the torus A

The Laplace-Beltrami operator on any (pseudo)Riemannian manifold is given by the standard expression

$$\Delta_{\text{LB}} = \sum_{A,B} |\det(g_{AB})|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_A g^{AB} |\det(g_{AB})|^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_B. \quad (3.8)$$

If we insert the Killing form on the group manifold G we obtain a Laplace-Beltrami operator which is invariant under left and right regular transformations (shifts). Initially the

Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB} acts on the complex-valued functions. The extension to $V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}$ -valued functions is done by acting componentwise.

Using the definition (3.8) and the form (3.4) of metric tensor we discussed in the previous subsection, we see that the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB} on G splits into the sum of two terms

$$\Delta_{\text{LB}} = \Delta_A + \Delta_{K \times K} , \quad (3.9)$$

where the first term

$$\Delta_A = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{\tau_1} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_{\tau_1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{\tau_2} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_{\tau_2} \quad (3.10)$$

involves derivatives with respect to the coordinates τ_i on the torus A and the second term contains derivatives with respect to coordinates on $K \times K$ or rather $K/B \times K$.

With the help of our general prescription (3.7) we can now reduce the Laplace-Beltrami operator to sections on the torus A ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f(a), \Delta_{\text{LB}}^A g(a)) &= \mathcal{N} \int_G d\mu_G \langle \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) f(a), \Delta_{\text{LB}} \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) g(a) \rangle = \\ &= \mathcal{N} \int_G d\mu_{K_a K} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle f(a), (\mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}))^{-1} \Delta_{\text{LB}} \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) g(a) \rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we used unitarity of the representation $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Before we continue, let us introduce the function

$$\rho(\kappa_l, k_r) = \mathcal{L}(\kappa_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}(k_r^{-1}) . \quad (3.12)$$

It is defined for a pair of elements $\kappa_l \in K_l/B$ and $k_r \in K_r$ and takes values in the linear operators on the finite dimensional vector space $V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}}$.

To proceed with the reduction we now integrate over the orbits of the $K \times K$ action. For the moment, we want to keep the choice of the torus A open, i.e. we shall perform our reduction for any $A_{\hat{k}} \subset G$ that intersects each orbit once. Given A , any such $A_{\hat{k}}$ can be obtained as $A_{\hat{k}} = \hat{\kappa}_l A \hat{k}_r$ for some choice of $\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r \in K/B \times K$. For the reduction to $A_{\hat{k}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \int_G d\mu_{K_a K} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle f(a), \rho(\kappa_l, k_r)^{-1} \Delta_{\text{LB}} \rho(\kappa_l, k_r) g(a) \rangle \\ &= \int_{A_{\hat{k}}} \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle f(a), \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r)^{-1} \Delta_{\text{LB}} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r) g(a) \rangle = \\ &= \int_A \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle f(a), \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r)^{-1} \Delta_{\text{LB}} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r) g(a) \rangle |_{\hat{\kappa}_l=1, \hat{k}_r=1} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

In the course of this short computation we have used the invariance of the measure $\mu_{K_a K}$ and of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB} under the action of $K \times K$ on G . The final result is expressed in terms of an integration over our choice A of the torus, i.e. we set

$\hat{\kappa}_l = 1 = \hat{k}_r$. Note that the original integral over G is certainly independent of the choice of the torus and hence of $\hat{\kappa}_l$ and \hat{k}_r . The function ρ captures the dependence of the reduced Laplace-Beltrami operator on the choice of the torus.

Using the decomposition (3.9), we can evaluate the reduced the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{LB}}^A &= \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r)^{-1} \Delta_{\text{LB}} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r) = \\ &= -\omega^{-1} \partial_{\tau_1} \omega \partial_{\tau_1} + \omega^{-1} \partial_{\tau_2} \omega \partial_{\tau_2} + \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r)^{-1} \Delta_{K \otimes K} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where we use $\omega = \omega(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ to denote the density of the measure on the torus A as before. Next we write the second order operator $\Delta_{K \otimes K}$ explicitly in terms of coordinates (x_r, x_l, y) on $K_l/B \times K_r$. If we enumerate these coordinates by an index $\mu = 1, \dots, \dim(G) - 2$ and denote them as x_μ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r)^{-1} \Delta_{K \otimes K} \rho(\hat{\kappa}_l, \hat{k}_r) &= \rho^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{x_\mu} (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{x_\nu} \rho) = \\ &= (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_\mu} \rho) g^{\mu\nu} (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_\nu} \rho) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{x_\mu} (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \rho^{-1} \partial_{x_\nu} \rho) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

One may show that the second term vanishes so that the reduced Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB}^A becomes

$$\Delta_{\text{LB}}^A = -\omega^{-1} \partial_{\tau_1} \omega \partial_{\tau_1} + \omega^{-1} \partial_{\tau_2} \omega \partial_{\tau_2} + (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_\mu} \rho) g^{\mu\nu} (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_\nu} \rho)|_A . \quad (3.16)$$

This is almost the result we were after, except that the measure for the integration over the torus variables τ_i still involves the nontrivial factor ω which we want to absorb into a redefinition of the functions $f(a)$. So, let us introduce

$$\psi(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\tau_1, \tau_2) . \quad (3.17)$$

On these wave functions, the reduced Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB}^A acts as

$$H = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_{\text{LB}}^A \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (3.18)$$

In conclusion we have obtained the following final expression for the Casimir operator in Euclidean signature

$$H = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_2^2} - \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_2^2} \right) \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_i} \rho) g^{ij} (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_j} \rho)|_A . \quad (3.19)$$

It acts on wave functions ψ in the Hilbert space $L^2(A, V^{\mathcal{P}}, d\tau_1 \wedge d\tau_2)$ with the canonical scalar product given by

$$(\psi(\tau_1, \tau_2), \phi(\tau_1, \tau_2)) = \int_{R \times S^1} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \langle \psi(\tau_1, \tau_2), \phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) \rangle \quad (3.20)$$

and the vector space $V^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the image of the four-fold tensor product of the spin representations under the action of the projection operators \mathcal{P} , i.e. it is given by $V^{\mathcal{P}} =$

$\mathcal{P}(V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu'_2} \otimes V_{\mu_3} \otimes V_{\mu'_4})$. We can get the Casimir operator in Lorentz signature by formal analytical continuation with respect to the coordinates $x = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 - i\tau_2)$, $y = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 + i\tau_2)$.

In our final expression (3.19), the Casimir operator takes the form of matrix-valued Schrödinger operator that describes a particle on the strip parametrized by τ_1 and τ_2 . The potential acts in the space $(V_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{R}})^B$ of tensor structures. It is determined by global features of the foliation of G through $K \times K$ orbits as well as the embedding of the torus A into G . In the case of external scalar fields, i.e. when all the μ_i are trivial, the potential is found to coincide with that of a Calogero-Sutherland model for root system BC_2 [41]. In the more general case of external fields with spin, only one example for $d = 3$ has been worked out before [1]. In this case, the projector \mathcal{P} to $SO(d-2) = SO(1)$ -invariant states is trivial. Our task in the final subsection is to illustrate our general result (3.19) for the simplest example that involves a non-trivial projection.

3.3 Example: Casimir equation for 4-dimensional seed blocks

In dimension $d = 4$ the stabilizer subgroup B is given by $B = SO(2)$. In order to illustrate how our compact formula for the Casimir operator works in this case, we shall study an example that is relevant for the seed blocks that were introduced in [20]. These seed blocks occur in the decomposition of correlation functions involving two scalars and two spinning operators

$$\langle O_{0,0} O_{s,0} O_{0,0} O_{0,s} \rangle ,$$

where $s \in (0, 1/2, 1, \dots)$. Two labels s_1, s_2 that we attached to the operators O_{s_1, s_2} refer to the representation of the rotation group $SO(4)$. Since the labels $\mu_1 = (0, 0) = \mu_3$ are trivial, our construction the space (2.7) involves the two representations $\mu_l = \mu_1 \otimes \mu'_2 = (s, 0)'$ and $\mu_r = \mu_3 \otimes \mu'_4 = (0, s)'$.

Let us now describe the parametrization of G in a bit more detail. In total, we need 15 variables. To begin with, we choose coordinates on $K = D \times R$. Using the isomorphism $r \rightarrow (r_1, r_2)$ between $R = SO(4)$ and $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ (see appendix A), our subgroup $K = SO(1, 1) \times SO(4) \subset SO(1, 5)$ can be parametrized through three 2×2 matrices of the form

$$d(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda & \sinh \lambda \\ \sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.21)$$

$$r_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_1 + \psi_1}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_1 - \psi_1}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_1 - \psi_1}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_1 + \psi_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_2 + \psi_2}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_2 - \psi_2}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_2 - \psi_2}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_2 + \psi_2}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.22)$$

When we write an element $h \in G$ as $h = k_l a k_r$, two elements k_l and k_r of K appear. These are parametrized by $\lambda_l, \phi_{li}, \psi_{li}, \theta_{li}$ with $i = 1, 2$ and a similar set of seven variables in which l is replaced by r . For the element a of the torus A we use the familiar parametrisation (2.9) in terms of two variables τ_1, τ_2 . This leaves us with a total number of 16 variables. Since we are trying to parametrize a 15-dimensional manifold, we need to remove one coordinate. The excess is of course related to the fact that the group $B = SO(d-2) =$

SO(2) is non-trivial for $d = 4$. We can think of $B \subset R$ as the set of rotation matrices $r = (r_1, r_2)$ for which $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ while all other parameters vanish, i.e.

$$B = \{b = (d, r_1, r_2) \in K \mid \lambda_l = \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0, \psi_1 = \psi_2\}. \quad (3.23)$$

In the following we shall assume a gauge in which B is removed from K_l by putting $\psi_{l1} = -\psi_{l2} = \psi_l$, i.e. we will parametrize the 15-dimensional manifold \mathcal{G} through the coordinates

$$\mathbf{x} = \{\lambda_l, \theta_{l1}, \theta_{l2}, \phi_{l1}, \phi_{l2}, \psi_l, \tau_1, \tau_2, \lambda_r, \theta_{r1}, \theta_{r2}, \phi_{r1}, \phi_{r2}, \psi_{r1}, \psi_{r2}\}.$$

The final technical input that we shall need concerns the form of the generators in the spin- s representation of SU(2). These are given by the Wigner matrices t^s , i.e. their matrix elements take the form

$$t_{lm}^s = e^{-i(m\phi+n\psi)} i^{m-n} \left(\frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin^{m-n} \theta / 2 \cos^{m+n} \theta / 2 P_{l-m}^{m-n, m+n}(\cos \theta), \quad (3.24)$$

where m and n runs from $-l$ to l with integer steps and $P_n^{a,b}$ is a Jacobi polynomial. Using this expression one can explicitly build the matrix-valued function (3.12) as well as the projector \mathcal{P} .

Let us first demonstrate the general formula (3.19) in the simplest case when $s = 0$, i.e. all external fields are scalar. This implies that the function ρ receives contributions from the non-trivial representations of dilations only and is simply given by $\rho = \exp(2(a\lambda_l + b\lambda_r))$. If we plug this simple formula for ρ along with an explicit expression for the metric tensor into formula (3.19) we obtain the scalar Casimir operator (in Lorentz signature)

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(a+b)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\sinh^2 x} - \frac{ab}{\sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{(a+b)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\sinh^2 y} - \frac{ab}{\sinh^2 \frac{y}{2}} \right) + \frac{5}{4}. \quad (3.25)$$

As we pointed out at the end of the previous subsection, it takes the form of a 2-particle Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian.

The simplest matrix-valued Hamiltonian appears for $s = 1/2$. With the help of eq. (3.24) and the explicit form for conjugation with the Weyl element w (see Appendix A) we obtain the following expression for the representation matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}(k_l) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}(k_r) &= e^{2(a\lambda_l - b\lambda_r)} \times \\ &\left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos \frac{\theta_{l2}}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi_{l2} + \psi_{l2}}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_{l2}}{2} e^{i\frac{-\phi_{l2} + \psi_{l2}}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_{l2}}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi_{l2} - \psi_{l2}}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_{l2}}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi_{l2} + \psi_{l2}}{2}} \end{array} \right) \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos \frac{\theta_{r1}}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi_{r1} + \psi_{r1}}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_{r1}}{2} e^{i\frac{-\phi_{r1} + \psi_{r1}}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_{r1}}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi_{r1} - \psi_{r1}}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_{r1}}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi_{r1} + \psi_{r1}}{2}} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

On elements of $B = \text{SO}(2)$, see eq. (3.23), the map ρ becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}(b) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\psi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\psi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.27)$$

Using our prescription (2.13) we obtain the following expression for projector

$$\mathcal{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{4\pi} d\psi \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\psi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.28)$$

With the help of eqs. (3.26) and (3.28) we can now evaluate our formula (3.19) for the Casimir operator. After conjugation with an appropriate constant 2×2 matrix the Hamiltonian H takes the following very simple form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_0 - \frac{1}{16} & 0 \\ 0 & H_0 - \frac{1}{16} \end{pmatrix} + \quad (3.29)$$

$$\frac{1}{32} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{y}{2}} + \frac{4}{\sinh^2 \frac{x-y}{4}} - \frac{4}{\cosh^2 \frac{x+y}{4}} & 4(b-a) \left(\frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{y}{2}} \right) \\ 4(b-a) \left(\frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{y}{2}} \right) & \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{y}{2}} + \frac{4}{\sinh^2 \frac{x+y}{4}} - \frac{4}{\cosh^2 \frac{x-y}{4}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, one can derive Hamiltonians for seed blocks with $s \geq 1$ from the Wigner matrix (3.24) for spin- s representations. The resulting Casimir equations are equivalent to the ones derived in [20]. For $s = 1/2$ we show this equivalence in Appendix A. It is interesting to compare the matrix Calogero-Sutherland potential we derived here for 4-dimensional seed blocks with $s = 1/2$ with the matrix potential for fermionic seed blocks in three dimensions we derived in [1]. The latter was given by a 4×4 matrix that could be block-diagonalized into two 2×2 matrix potentials. One of these 2×2 blocks for the 3-dimensional seed blocks has almost the same form as the potential in eq. (3.29) except for slightly different constant pre-factors in front of the interaction terms.

4 Boundary two-point functions

Our construction of conformal blocks as functions on the conformal group and of the associated Casimir equations can be generalised to many others situations. The idea is simple and heuristically can be formulated as follows: One should split a given correlator on a "left" and a "right" part, identify their symmetry and then take a double coset over these groups of symmetries. In this way, all our constructions may be extended to include boundaries, defects and interfaces. Here, we want to illustrate such extensions at the example of a boundary two-point function.

$$\langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) \rangle_{Boundary}$$

where the $d-1$ -dimensional boundary is assumed to preserve conformal symmetry transformations in a subgroup $SO(1, d) \subset SO(1, d+1)$. In this case we "split" our system into a left part containing the boundary and a right part that contains the two local fields and hence is associated with a tensor product $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ of principal series representations. The latter can be realized as in eq. (2.5) on the right cosets over $K_r = DR = SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(d)$. On the left side we shall choose the denominator subgroup K_l to be the group $K_l = SO(1, d)$ of

conformal transformation in the boundary. In more mathematical terms, we are instructed to study the following space of functions on the conformal group

$$\Gamma_{K_l \backslash G / K_r}^{(\mathcal{R})} = \{ f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{R}} \mid f(k_l a k_r^{-1}) = \mathcal{R}(k_r) f(a), \forall a \in A, k_l \in K_l, k_r \in K_r \}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the representation \mathcal{R} is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}(d(\lambda)r) = e^{(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)\lambda} \mu_1(r) \otimes \mu_2'(r). \quad (4.2)$$

Once again we can also think of the space (4.1) as a space of sections in a vector bundle over the double coset $A = K_l \backslash G / K_r$ with values in the space $V^{\mathcal{P}} = (V_1 \otimes V_2)^B$ where the stabilizer group B is now given by $B = \text{SO}(d-1)$. In this case, the double coset turns out to be 1-dimensional and the associated torus can be parametrized as

$$a(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sinh \frac{\tau}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh \frac{\tau}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

Following the logic of previous sections we can derive the analogue of the Casimir operator in the boundary case. It reads

$$H = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_i} \rho) g^{ij} (\rho^{-1} \partial_{x_i} \rho)|_A. \quad (4.4)$$

where as before $\omega = \omega(\tau)$ is a factor depending on τ in $\sqrt{|g|}$.

This Hamiltonian acts on functions f which belong to the Hilbert space $L^2(A, V^{\mathcal{P}}, d\chi)$ with scalar product

$$(f(\tau), g(\tau)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\tau \langle f(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle \quad (4.5)$$

and the vector space $V^{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined as the image of the projector

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B)} \int_B d\mu_b \mathcal{R}(b) \quad (4.6)$$

on the carrier space $V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu_2}'$ of the representation \mathcal{R} we introduced in eq. (4.2).

In case of two scalar operators we have $\rho = \mathcal{R}(d(\lambda)r) = e^{(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)\lambda}$. Using an explicit parametrisation of the conformal group G that is adapted to the double coset one can derive the following Hamiltonian

$$H_d = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \frac{1}{16} \left(d^2 + \frac{4(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2 - 1}{\sinh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} - \frac{(d-3)(d-1)}{\cosh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} \right) \quad (4.7)$$

which can indeed be mapped to the Casimir operator that was derived in [45], see eq. (B.5) in Appendix B.

The generalization to tensor fields in the bulk is now straightforward, following precisely the steps we described above. As we shall argue in a moment, the corresponding Hamiltonian can be diagonalized into a decoupled systems of scalar Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians in a single variable. To see this, let us pick two representations (Δ_1, μ_1) and (Δ_2, μ_2) associated with the two external tensor fields. The tensor product of the finite dimensional carrier spaces V_{μ_1} and V_{μ_2} can be decomposed with respect to the action of the rotation group $\text{SO}(d)$,

$$V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu_2} = \bigoplus_j V_{\mu_j} . \quad (4.8)$$

The projector \mathcal{P} to $\text{SO}(d-1)$ invariant respects this decomposition. When restricted to a single summand V_{μ_j} the image of \mathcal{P} is actually 1-dimensional. This is a consequence of the well known fact that upon restriction from $\text{SO}(d)$ to $\text{SO}(d-1)$ an irreducible representation of $\text{SO}(d)$ decomposes into a sum of irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(d-1)$ with multiplicity at most one. In particular, this is true for the trivial 1-dimensional representation of $\text{SO}(d-1)$ which, if it appears at all, appears a single time. Consequently, the decomposition (4.8) diagonalizes the Hamiltonian (4.4) into a set of scalar Calogero-Sutherland, or rather Pöschl-Teller, models. For $d = 3$, for example, these Hamiltonians take the form

$$H_{d=3}^s = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \frac{9}{16} + \frac{4(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2 - 1}{16 \sinh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} - \frac{s(s+1)}{4 \cosh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} , \quad (4.9)$$

where $s = s_j$ is the value the $\text{SO}(3)$ spin $s = 0, 1, \dots$ takes in the summand V_{μ_j} of the decomposition (4.8). Of course, the results remains true in case we admit fermionic fields for which representations of the covering group $\text{SU}(2)$ of $\text{SO}(3)$ appear. If we study the two-point functions for two fermions, for example, we get two Pöschl-Teller problems of the form (4.9) with $s = 0, 1$.

There is another way to split the setup we discussed here into a “left” and a “right” system, namely we can put one of the bulk fields on either side of this split. In this case, since the boundary is included on both sides, the numerator symmetry is the part of the d -dimensional conformal symmetry that is preserved by the boundary, i.e. the subgroup $\text{SO}(1, d) \subset \text{SO}(1, d+1)$. Once we add a bulk field, the symmetry is broken to $\text{SO}(d)$. Hence, the relevant double coset for the so-called boundary channel is given by

$$\text{SO}(d) \backslash \text{SO}(1, d) / \text{SO}(d) .$$

It is easy to see that this double coset is 1-dimensional, i.e. it is parametrized by a single cross ratio. Working out the Hamiltonians is straightforward. Obviously, one ends up with a set of decoupled Pöschl-Teller models, one for each $\text{SO}(d-1)$ invariant in the tensor product $V_{\mu_1} \otimes V_{\mu_2}$.

5 Harmonic analysis view on the diagonalization, seed blocks and weight shifting

The approach we have described in the previous sections implies that all conformal blocks, scalar and spinning, can be obtained from functions on the conformal group itself. A basis

for the latter is well known from the harmonic analysis of the conformal group. Our main goal in this section is to locate all spinning blocks within the Hilbert space of functions on the conformal group. This will allow us to develop a new view on the construction of spinning blocks through differential operators. In particular we will explain how left and right invariant vector fields can be used to construct arbitrary functions on the conformal group from a class of seed functions. The construction of certain spinning blocks from the better studied scalar blocks through differential operators was first advocated in [15] and generalized later to a construction of arbitrary spinning blocks from so-called seed blocks [46]. In the recent work [23] this development was carried one step further through so-called weight shifting operators that allow to obtain all spinning blocks from scalar ones, thereby eliminating more general seed blocks.

According to Peter-Weyl theory, the matrix elements $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}^{ij}$ of irreducible unitary representations $\{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}\}$ form an orthogonal basis in the space of square integrable functions on the group

$$L^2(G, d\mu_G) = \bigoplus_{\Delta,\mu} \rho_{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}}, \quad \rho_{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}} = \text{span}\{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}^{ij}\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Here, the indexes i and j enumerate basis vectors in the representation space V_π . If G is compact, π runs through all irreducible unitary representations. For both compact and non-compact groups such as the conformal group, however, the precise range of π must be determined by solving the spectral problem for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the group. In case of conformal group it includes the all unitary principal series representations⁴. Namely, the matrix elements of irreducible representations $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$ are eigenfunctions of the scalar Laplace-Beltrami operator,

$$\Delta \pi_{\Delta,\mu}^{ij}(g) = C_{\Delta,\mu} \pi_{\Delta,\mu}^{ij}(g) \quad (5.2)$$

with eigenvalue $C_{\Delta,\mu}$ which is the value of the quadratic Casimir element in the irreducible representation $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian (3.19) for conformal blocks was obtained as a reduction of Laplace-Beltrami operator with a componentwise action on vector-valued equivariant functions. Note that components of an eigenfunction $f_{\Delta,\mu}(h) \in \Gamma_{K \backslash G / K}^{(\mathcal{LR})}$ with eigenvalue $C_{\Delta,\mu}$ can be written as a linear combination of matrix elements of the representation $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$. Upon restriction to the torus A , the equivariant function $f_{\Delta,\mu}(a)|_{g \in A}$ becomes an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian (3.19). Consequently, after the restriction, the matrix elements $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}^{ij}(a(\tau_1, \tau_2))$ provide a basis of functions from which any component of a general spinning block can be constructed.

So far, we have considered the space of square integrable functions as a space of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator. But it comes with additional structure. Namely, there exist two commuting actions of the conformal group G through left and right invariant vector fields. These actions commute with the Laplacian, i.e. act within the eigenspaces $\rho_{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}}$. Under the combined left and right action, the eigenspaces are irreducible, i.e. each term in

⁴and also discrete series in the case of odd dimension d

the spectral decomposition (5.1) is a simple tensor product of irreducible representations for the left and right action of the conformal group on itself,

$$L_{\Delta,\mu}^2(G) := \rho_{\pi_{\Delta,\mu}} \cong \Gamma_{S^d}^{\mu;\Delta} \otimes \Gamma_{S^d}^{\mu^c;\Delta^*} . \quad (5.3)$$

As before, $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\mu;\Delta}$ denotes the representation space of the representation $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$ of the conformal group and the second factor in the tensor product is the conjugate of the first. The lower index S^d implies that we employ the compact picture for principal series representation $\pi_{\Delta,\mu}$ in which vectors are realized as functions on a d -dimensional sphere S^d , see appendix C for details.

As was already mentioned above, components of the spinning conformal blocks can be packaged into $L^2(G)$. Let us now describe more precisely, which blocks we can actually construct from functions in the subspace $L_{\Delta,\mu}^2(G)$. Here, the compact picture we used in eq. (5.3) is particularly useful since it makes the decomposition of the principal series representations into irreducible representations of the rotation group quite transparent, see appendix C. In order to describe the result, let us write the label μ of the $R = \text{SO}(d)$ representation that defines the space $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\mu;\Delta}$ of sections in a vector bundle over S^d as $\mu = [k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The entries k_i are subject to the restrictions described in eq. (C.2). Then an $\text{SO}(d)$ representation $\tilde{\mu} = [l_1, \dots, l_r]$ appears in the decomposition of $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta,\mu}$ provided that its labels obey

$$-k_1 \leq l_1 \leq k_2 \quad , \quad k_{i-1} \leq l_i \leq k_{i+1} \quad , \quad k_{r-1} \leq l_r . \quad (5.4)$$

A derivation of this statement and all the relevant background material on representations of the rotation group are collected in appendix C. The way we have presented condition (5.4) it provides us with a list of all the possible covariance laws we can possibly find within a given eigenspace of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the conformal group. More precisely, the covariance law in eq. (2.7) with representations \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} as in eq. (2.8) can only appear in the sector $L_{\Delta,\mu}^2(G)$ of the tensor products $\mu_1 \otimes \mu'_2$ and $\mu_3 \otimes \mu'_4$ both contain representations $\tilde{\mu}_l$ and $\tilde{\mu}_r$ satisfying condition (5.4).

As we have stressed above, the eigenspaces $\rho_{\Delta,\mu}$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the conformal group are irreducible under the combined action of the first order left- and right invariant vector fields. This means that we can generate all function in the subspace $L_{\Delta,\mu}(G)$ from a single seed element by application of differential operators. We have seen in the previous paragraph that the elements of $L_{\Delta,\mu}(G)$ are associated with an infinite number of possible covariance laws. There exists a universal choice for the covariance law of the seed element that works in all sectors and reduces to scalar blocks in case $\mu = \mu_l$ is a symmetric traceless tensor representation. For a generic choice of $\mu = [k_1, \dots, k_r]$, $r = [d/2]$ the space (5.3) contains an $\text{SO}(d)$ representation with labels

$$\tilde{\mu} = [l_1 = k_2, \dots, l_{r-1} = k_{r-1}, l_r = k_{r-1}] . \quad (5.5)$$

Indeed, this set of labels l_i satisfies the condition (C.2) and hence it describes a representation of $\text{SO}(d)$. Moreover, the condition (5.4) is also satisfied which implies that the representation $\tilde{\mu}$ of $\text{SO}(d)$ appears (with infinite multiplicity) in the decomposition of the

space (5.3) with respect both the left and the right action of $\text{SO}(d)$. Since the space (5.3) is irreducible under the action of $G_L \times G_R$, any function in $L^2(G)$ can be reconstructed from those functions of G that satisfy an equivariance condition as in eq. (2.7) with $\mathcal{L} = (a, \tilde{\mu}), \mathcal{R} = (-b, \tilde{\mu})$. Hence, the space of functions on the conformal group G that transform in a representation of the form (5.5) with respect to both left and right action of the rotation group provide the seeds for the space of all functions. It allows us to define the set of seed blocks in any dimension d .

Four-point correlators corresponding to the set of seed blocks can be defined in the following way

$$\langle O_{\Delta_1, \tilde{\mu}} O_{\Delta_2, 0} O_{\Delta_3, \tilde{\mu}^\dagger} O_{\Delta_4, 0} \rangle, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined as in eq. (5.5) and the conformal weights go over all possible values. For the subspace in which the internal field transforms in a symmetric traceless tensor representation $\mu_l = [0, \dots, 0, l]$, our choice for $\tilde{\mu}$ selects the scalar seed blocks. In the case of $d = 4$, which was discussed in [20], representations of the rotation group are labeled by two integers $\mu = [k_1, k_2]$ and we selected representations $\tilde{\mu} = [k_2, k_2]$ as seed representations. This agrees with the choice proposed in [20]. For simplicity, we have restricted our discussion to bosonic fields only, i.e. to representations of $\text{SO}(d)$ rather than $\text{Spin}(d)$. But it is easy to generalize everything we described above to include fermionic representations. In this case, we must also allow for representation labels in which all entries take half-integer values and upon restriction from $\text{Spin}(d+1)$ to $\text{Spin}(d)$ bosonic representations decompose into bosonic ones while fermionic representations decompose into fermionic ones. With these small adjustments, our discussion goes through. In the case of $d = 3$ one then needs a single fermionic seed block in addition to the scalar blocks.

What we have described so far allows to reconstruct the entire eigenspaces of the Casimir elements from special eigenfunctions through the application of left- and right invariant vector fields, or, equivalently, all spinning blocks from a set of seed blocks through the application of differential operators. In this sense the construction of blocks is reduced to that of seed blocks. Quite recently it has been pointed out that there is a simple way to construct spinning blocks from scalar ones by application of so-called weight shifting operators. These operators also possess a simple description in the context of harmonic analysis.

The differential operators we obtained directly from left- and right invariant vector fields allowed us to construct all blocks with intermediate fields in symmetric traceless tensor representations μ_l from scalar blocks, i.e. we can construct all the subspaces $L^2_{\Delta, \mu_l}(G)$. With our choice of coordinates on the conformal group G , see first paragraph of section (3.1), functions in the subspaces $L^2_{\Delta, \mu_l}(G)$ depend on variables x_l, τ and x_r , but they are independent of the variables y that parametrize elements in the compact subgroup $B \subset G$. All functions that do not belong to any $L^2_{\Delta, \mu_l}(G)$ and hence cannot be generated from scalar blocks by application of left- and right invariant vector fields, possess a non-trivial dependence on the variables y . On the other hand, given a function that does not depend on y , we can actually construct new functions with non-trivial y dependence by multiplication

with those functions that appear as matrix elements of finite dimensional representations of the conformal group. These matrix elements do not give rise to normalizable functions on G but if we multiply such matrix elements with a normalizable functions, the product is normalizable. In principle it is sufficient to use the matrix elements of the defining $d + 2$ -dimensional representation since the latter generate all functions of the angles y . Through iterated multiplication with the $(d + 2)^2$ matrix elements of this representation, we can obtain arbitrary functions on the conformal group from y -independent ones, i.e. from elements in $L^2_{\Delta, \mu_l}(G)$. Since the latter may be constructed from scalar blocks by application of left- and right invariant vector fields, we can build all functions of the group by the combination of the two constructions we described.

While these methods can give access to formulas for conformal blocks using no more than the known results for scalar blocks in the sectors $L^2_{\Delta, \mu_l}(G)$, it can become a bit cumbersome and it gives little control over analytic properties of the blocks as a function of the various parameters. For these reasons we pursue a different strategy that rests on the explicit construction of the Casimir equations and their spectrum generating symmetries, see also comments in the next section.

6 Discussion

In this work we have derived a general expression (3.19) for the Casimir equation of spinning four-point blocks in any dimension in terms of some Schrödinger problem. The universality of our approach is one of its main advantages since it makes standard mathematical tools applicable for the study of a wide variety of conformal blocks, involving external tensor fields, boundaries and more general defects. Many of these tools will be discussed at the example of scalar four-point functions in the forthcoming papers [42] and [48]. There are many interesting further directions to pursue.

On the one hand, it is certainly important to extend the analysis in section 3 and to derive more explicit expressions for the potentials. As a first important step towards a systematic solution theory one should then analyse the symmetries and singularities of these potentials. Next one needs to investigate the behaviour of solutions in the vicinities of the singularities in order to understand the precise analytic structure of solutions (blocks) in the space of cross ratios. The Calogero-Sutherland form of the Casimir equation is also well suited to derive series expansions, recurrence relations and to study the analytic properties of solutions in the space of eigenvalues, which include the weight Δ of the intermediate fields as well as the spin μ . Furthermore, it would be interesting to study (super-)integrability and spectrum generating symmetries of our matrix-valued Calogero-Sutherland models. It should be possible to develop all these issues in close analogy to the scalar case, see [42, 48].

The coset spaces we met in the context of conformal blocks lead to Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians of type BC_N . This means that the terms in the scalar potential are in one-to-one correspondence with the positive roots on a BC_N root system. Calogero-Sutherland systems associated with A_N root systems have been studied more extensively. These arise in the context of coset spaces G/G where the denominator groups acts by conjugation. In [49, 50] Reshetikhin introduced a matrix version of these A_N Calogero-Sutherland models

that is very similar to the matrix BC_N models we constructed above. For the A_N series these matrix systems were shown to be super (or degenerate) integrable. It therefore seems likely that the same is true for the matrix Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians that emerge from the theory of spinning conformal blocks.

As we have stressed several times, our approach to Casimir equations and conformal blocks is very flexible. In particular it also applies to superblocks, including cases involving external fields do not belong to BPS multiplets, which has not received much attention yet. If the external fields sit in half-BPS multiplets, the denominator subgroups K_l and K_r contain enough fermionic generators to remove all fermionic directions from the double coset. The associated Calogero-Sutherland models are purely bosonic and look similar to the ones we discussed above. The opposite case in which all external fields belong to long multiplets has only been studied in 2 dimensions, see [51] for the case of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry and [52] for $\mathcal{N} = 2$. In the latter paper clear evidence was given that the superblock decomposition of correlation functions for primaries in long multiplets is more constraining than the decomposition into bosonic blocks or the study of BPS correlators. It therefore seems worthwhile to develop a supersymmetric version of the approach we described above, construct the associated super Calogero-Sutherland models and develop a systematic theory of superblocks.

A final line of applications we want to mention concerns the study of blocks in the presence of boundaries or defects [45, 53–56]. All these possess a description in terms of cosets of the conformal group and the Casimir equations take the form of a Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian [57]. Furthermore, our approach might be useful for the application of bootstrap methods to correlation functions of particular nonlocal operators such as the BFKL light-ray operators introduced in [58]. For these operators, the operator product coefficients were already calculated in [59, 60]. We will come back to these and related issues in future research.

Acknowledgments

We thank Misha Isachenkov, Edwin Langmann, Pedro Liendo, Madalena Lemos and Kostya Zarembo for interesting discussions. The work of E. Sobko was supported by the grant "Exact Results in Gauge and String Theories" from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation and, in part, by the ERC advanced grant No 341222.

A Comparison with Casimir equations for 4d seed blocks

In this appendix we want to compare the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian for the $s = 1/2$ seed block we have constructed in eq. (3.29) with the Casimir equations that were put forward in [20]. After a bit of background on the relevant group theory, we shall review that results from [20] for the $s = 1/2$ Casimir equations. Then we describe in detail how to map these Casimir equations to the Schrödinger equation for the matrix Calogero-Sutherland model (3.29).

Let us begin with a few comments on the well known isomorphism between Spin(4) and SU(2) × SU(2). As usual the first step is to identify quaternions with 2 × 2-matrices as

$$q = a + ib + jc + kd \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a + ib & c + id \\ -c + id & a - ib \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then we introduce the group of left and right unit quaternions which is isomorphic to SU(2)

$$q_1 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_1 + \psi_1}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_1 - \psi_1}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_1 - \psi_1}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_1 + \psi_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_2 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_2 + \psi_2}{2}} & i \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{i \frac{\phi_2 - \psi_2}{2}} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_2 - \psi_2}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{-i \frac{\phi_2 + \psi_2}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Any pair $(q_1, q_2) \in \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ of such elements acts on \mathbb{R}^4 through

$$(q_1, q_2) : \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^4 \quad (q_1, q_2) : x \rightarrow q_1 x q_2^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

On the right hand side we have represented the elements $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ through the associated element in the space of quaternions,

$$x \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ix_2 & x_3 + ix_4 \\ -x_3 + ix_4 & x_1 - ix_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

This action of (q_1, q_2) on \mathbb{R}^4 allows us to assign an element of SO(4) to any element $(q_1, q_2) \in \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$. The map is well known to be an isomorphism, i.e. any rotation of \mathbb{R}^4 is of that form.

There is one more piece of information about the group theory we used in deriving our Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian (3.29), namely the non-trivial element w of the restricted Weyl group. It can be represented as a 6×6 matrix $w = \text{diag}\{1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1\}$ which acts on the 4-dimensional conformal group SO(1, 5) by conjugation. When restricted to elements $r \in \text{SO}(4) \subset \text{SO}(1, 5)$ the actions reads

$$wr(\theta_1, \phi_1, \psi_1, \theta_2, \phi_2, \psi_2)w = r(\theta_2, -\phi_2, -\psi_2, \theta_1, -\phi_1, -\psi_1). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This concludes our brief discussion of group theoretic background.

Let us now turn to checking the equivalence between Hamiltonian (3.29) and Casimir operator derived in [24]. In the case of $s = 1/2$, the equations (3.17) from [24] read as

$$(\Delta_3^{a+\frac{1}{4}, b+\frac{3}{4}; 1} - \frac{1}{8})G_0^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}L(b - \frac{1}{4})G_1^{(1)} = \frac{E_l^1}{2}G_0^{(1)} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$2z\bar{z}L(a + \frac{1}{4})G_0^{(1)} + (\Delta_3^{a+\frac{1}{4}, b-\frac{1}{4}; 0} + \frac{15}{8})G_1^{(1)} = \frac{E_l^1}{2}G_1^{(1)} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where

$$\Delta_\epsilon^{(a,b;c)} = D_z^{(a,b;c)} + D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b;c)} + \epsilon \frac{z\bar{z}}{z-\bar{z}} ((1-z)\partial_z - (1-\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}}), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$D_z^{(a,b;c)} = z^2(1-z)\partial_z^2 - ((a+b+1)z^2 - cz)\partial_z - abz \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and

$$L(\mu) = -\frac{1}{z-\bar{z}}(z(1-z)\partial_z - \bar{z}(1-\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}}) + \mu. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Introducing new variables $z = -\sinh^{-2} \frac{x}{2}$, $\bar{z} = -\sinh^{-2} \frac{y}{2}$ we can rewrite eqs. (A.6) - (A.7) in the form of eigenvalue problem for differential matrix operator $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \begin{pmatrix} G_0^{(1)} \\ G_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{E_l^1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} G_0^{(1)} \\ G_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

We will not write out the precise form of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. It is easily obtained from the formulation in eqs. (A.6) and (A.7). Next one needs to perform a gauge transformation of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = S^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} S, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where the matrix S is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sinh \frac{x}{2} \sinh \frac{y}{2},$$

$$\chi_1 = \frac{(\cosh \frac{x}{2} \cosh \frac{y}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}-a-b} (\sinh \frac{x}{2} \sinh \frac{y}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}+a+b}}{(\sinh \frac{x}{2} - \sinh \frac{y}{2})(\sinh \frac{x}{2} + \sinh \frac{y}{2})^2},$$

$$\chi_2 = \frac{(\cosh \frac{x}{2} \cosh \frac{y}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}-a-b} (\sinh \frac{x}{2} \sinh \frac{y}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}+a+b}}{(\sinh \frac{x}{2} - \sinh \frac{y}{2})^2 (\sinh \frac{x}{2} + \sinh \frac{y}{2})}.$$

A short and explicit calculation shows that \mathcal{M} and our Hamiltonian (3.29) are related by

$$\mathcal{M} = -2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

While our explicit analysis of the matrix Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian for 4-dimensional seed blocks and its comparison with the Casimir equations in [20] was restricted to the first non-trivial case $s = 1/2$, it is clear that similar results hold for all the other Casimir equations.

B Comparison with Casimir equations for boundary two-point function

The goal of this appendix is to compare the Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian (4.4) that we obtained in our discussion of two-point functions of scalar fields in the presence of a boundary with the Casimir operator derived in [45]. The correlation function of two scalar operators in the presence of a boundary has the following general form [45]

$$\langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2x_1^d)^{\Delta_1} (2x_2^d)^{\Delta_2}} \xi^{-(\Delta_1+\Delta_2)/2} G(\xi), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\xi = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4x_1^d x_2^d}$ is the anharmonic ratio. Equation (A.4) from [45] reads

$$\xi(1 + \xi)g''(\xi) + \left(\Delta - \frac{d}{2} + 1 + (\Delta + 1)\xi\right)g'(\xi) + \frac{1}{4}(\Delta^2 - (\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2)g(\xi) = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $g(\xi) = \xi^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}G(\xi)$ and $G(\xi)$ is defined in eq. (B.1). Introducing the new variable $\xi = \sinh^{-2} \frac{\tau}{2}$ we can rewrite eq. (B.2) in the form

$$\tilde{H}_d G(\tau) = \frac{\Delta(d - \Delta)}{4} G(\tau), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_d = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \left(1 - \frac{d}{2}(1 - \cosh \tau)\right) \frac{1}{\sinh \tau} \frac{d}{d\tau} + \frac{(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2}{\sinh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Through a gauge transformation with the scalar function

$$\chi(\tau) = \left(\frac{\sinh \frac{\tau}{2}}{\cosh \frac{\tau}{2}}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \frac{1}{\sinh^{\frac{d}{4}} \tau}$$

brings the operator \tilde{H}_d into the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_d &= \chi^{-1}(\tau) \circ \tilde{H}_d \circ \chi(\tau) \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \frac{1}{16} \left(d^2 + \frac{4(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2 - 1}{\sinh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} - \frac{(d-3)(d-1)}{\cosh^2 \frac{\tau}{2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which agrees with the Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian we found in section 4. This concludes our comparison with the results from [45].

C Compact picture for principal series representations of G

In this appendix we describe how the principal continuous series decompose with respect to the subgroup $R \subset K \subset G$. It turns out that this is most easily described if we pass to a model for the principal series representation $\pi_{\Delta, \mu}$ in which the carrier space is realized in terms of functions on the quotient $S^d = \text{SO}(d+1)/\text{SO}(d)$ of the maximally compact subgroup $L = \text{SO}(d+1)$. More precisely, it is known [47] that we can realize $\pi_{\Delta, \mu}$ on the space

$$\Gamma_{G/NDR}^{\Delta, \mu} \cong \Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu} = \{f : \text{SO}(d+1) \rightarrow V_\mu \mid f(ur) = \mu(r^{-1})f(k)\}.$$

Note that this space does not depend on the choice of Δ . The dependence on Δ comes in when we introduce the action of G on $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu}$ which is given by

$$\pi_{\Delta, \mu}(g)f(u) = e^{\Delta\lambda}f(u_g) \quad \text{where} \quad g^{-1}u = u_g n d(\lambda)$$

for $u, u_g \in \text{SO}(d+1)$. Obviously, the space $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu}$ carries a representation of the isometry group $L = \text{SO}(d+1)$ of the sphere. It will be important for us to understand how the

space it decomposes under this action, but before we can spell out a precise statement, we need a bit of background on representations of orthogonal groups.

For simplicity we shall again assume that $d = 2r$ is even. The odd case can be treated similarly. Let us recall that finite dimensional representations $\varrho = [q_1, \dots, q_r]$ of $L = \text{SO}(d+1)$ are labeled a set of integers subject to

$$\varrho = [q_1, \dots, q_r] \quad , \quad 0 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_r \quad . \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Finite dimensional representations of the rotation group $R = \text{SO}(d)$, on the other hand are labeled by an r -tuple $\tilde{\mu} = [l_1, \dots, l_r]$ of integers l_i satisfying

$$\tilde{\mu} = [l_1, \dots, l_r] \quad , \quad |l_1| \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r \quad . \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Note that the first label l_1 may be negative. The representations $\tilde{\mu}$ with label $\tilde{\mu}_l = [0, 0, \dots, 0, l]$ correspond to symmetric traceless tensors. Upon restriction from the maximally compact subgroup L to the rotation group R , an irreducible representation $\tilde{\mu}$ of R can appear in the decomposition of $\varrho = [q_1, \dots, q_r]$ provided that

$$\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{J}_\varrho \equiv \{ [l_1, \dots, l_r] \mid -q_1 \leq l_1 \leq q_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq q_r \} \quad . \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Equipped with all these technical details on the representation theory of orthogonal groups we are ready harvest some results on the principal series representations.

As a first simple consequence we can describe the decomposition of the carrier space $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu}$ into irreducible representations of the symmetry group $L = \text{SO}(d+1)$. The result is

$$\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu} \equiv \bigoplus_{\varrho \mid \mu \in \mathcal{J}_\varrho} \varrho \quad . \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Note that all labels q_i with $i < r$ are restricted to a finite set. On the other hand, the last label q_r is not bounded from above so that the decomposition is infinite as it has to be since we build an infinite dimensional space of sections in a vector bundle over the sphere in terms of finite dimensional representations of the isometry group L .

For us it will be more important to understand which representation $\tilde{\mu}$ of the rotation group $R = \text{SO}(d)$ appears when we restrict the action of $L = \text{SO}(d+1)$ on $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu}$ to the subgroup R . Indirectly, the answer is obtained by combining the decomposition (C.4) with the statement on the decomposition of irreducible representations ϱ before eq. (C.3). But we can also phrase the result a little more directly. To this end let us write the label μ of the $R = \text{SO}(d)$ representation that defines the bundle on S^d as $\mu = [k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The entries k_i are subject to the restrictions described in eq. (C.2). Then an $\text{SO}(d)$ representation $\tilde{\mu} = [l_1, \dots, l_r]$ appears in the decomposition of $\Gamma_{S^d}^{\Delta, \mu}$ provided that its labels obey

$$-k_1 \leq l_1 \leq k_2 \quad , \quad k_{i-1} \leq l_i \leq k_{i+1} \quad , \quad k_{r-1} \leq l_r \quad . \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Whenever a representation $\tilde{\mu}$ can appear, it appears with infinite multiplicity. As an example let us see how to construct scalar representations of the rotations group $\text{SO}(d)$. This means we are looking for spaces $\Gamma_{S^d}^\mu$ that contain a trivial representation $\tilde{\mu} = (l_1 =$

$0, \dots, l_r = 0$). According to the previous conditions, such a representation $\tilde{\mu}$ can only appear if $\mu = [k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}, k_r]$ takes the form $\mu = [0, 0, \dots, 0, l]$. The integer $0 \leq l$ remains free. In other words, for a scalar representation to appear, the bundle Γ^μ must be associated with a symmetric traceless tensor representation $\mu = \mu_l$.

References

- [1] V. Schomerus, E. Sobko and M. Isachenkov, “Harmony of Spinning Conformal Blocks,” JHEP **1703** (2017) 085 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2017)085
- [2] G. Mack, “Conformal Invariant Quantum Field Theory,” J. Phys. Colloq. **34** (1973) no.C1, 99. doi:10.1051/jphyscol:1973108
- [3] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, G. Parisi and R. Gatto, *Covariant expansion of the conformal four-point function*, Nucl. Phys. B **49** (1972) 77 Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **53** (1973) 643]. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(72)90587-1, 10.1016/0550-3213(73)90467-7
- [4] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo and R. Gatto, *Tensor representations of conformal algebra and conformally covariant operator product expansion*, Annals Phys. **76** (1973) 161. doi:10.1016/0003-4916(73)90446-6
- [5] A. M. Polyakov, *Nonhamiltonian approach to conformal quantum field theory*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **66** (1974) 23.
- [6] G. Mack, *Duality in quantum field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **118** (1977) 445. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(77)90238-3
- [7] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion*, Nucl. Phys. B **599** (2001) 459 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00013-X [hep-th/0011040].
- [8] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal partial waves and the operator product expansion*, Nucl. Phys. B **678** (2004) 491 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.11.016 [hep-th/0309180].
- [9] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal Partial Waves: Further Mathematical Results*, arXiv:1108.6194 [hep-th].
- [10] R. Rattazzi, V. S. Rychkov, E. Tonni and A. Vichi, *Bounding scalar operator dimensions in 4D CFT*, JHEP **0812** (2008) 031 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/031 [arXiv:0807.0004 [hep-th]].
- [11] L. Iliesiu, F. Kos, D. Poland, S. S. Pufu and D. Simmons-Duffin, “Bootstrapping 3D Fermions with Global Symmetries,” arXiv:1705.03484 [hep-th].
- [12] A. Dymarsky, F. Kos, P. Kravchuk, D. Poland and D. Simmons-Duffin, “The 3d Stress-Tensor Bootstrap,” arXiv:1708.05718 [hep-th].
- [13] L. Iliesiu, F. Kos, D. Poland, S. S. Pufu, D. Simmons-Duffin and R. Yacoby, *Bootstrapping 3D Fermions*, JHEP **1603** (2016) 120 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)120 [arXiv:1508.00012 [hep-th]].
- [14] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, *Spinning Conformal Correlators*, JHEP **1111** (2011) 071 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)071 [arXiv:1107.3554 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, *Spinning Conformal Blocks*, JHEP **1111** (2011) 154 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)154 [arXiv:1109.6321 [hep-th]].

- [16] P. Kravchuk and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Counting Conformal Correlators*, arXiv:1612.08987 [hep-th].
- [17] D. Simmons-Duffin, *Projectors, Shadows, and Conformal Blocks*, JHEP **1404** (2014) 146 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)146 [arXiv:1204.3894 [hep-th]].
- [18] M. S. Costa, T. Hansen, J. Penedones and E. Trevisani, *Radial expansion for spinning conformal blocks*, JHEP **1607** (2016) 057 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2016)057 [arXiv:1603.05552 [hep-th]].
- [19] M. S. Costa, T. Hansen, J. Penedones and E. Trevisani, *Projectors and seed conformal blocks for traceless mixed-symmetry tensors*, JHEP **1607** (2016) 018 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2016)018 [arXiv:1603.05551 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Castedo Echeverri, E. Elkhidir, D. Karateev and M. Serone, *Seed Conformal Blocks in 4D CFT*, JHEP **1602** (2016) 183 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)183 [arXiv:1601.05325 [hep-th]].
- [21] P. Kravchuk, *Casimir recursion relations for general conformal blocks*, arXiv:1709.05347 [hep-th].
- [22] J. Penedones, E. Trevisani and M. Yamazaki, *Recursion Relations for Conformal Blocks*, JHEP **1609** (2016) 070 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)070 [arXiv:1509.00428 [hep-th]].
- [23] D. Karateev, P. Kravchuk and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Weight Shifting Operators and Conformal Blocks*, arXiv:1706.07813 [hep-th].
- [24] L. Iliesiu, F. Kos, D. Poland, S. S. Pufu, D. Simmons-Duffin and R. Yacoby, *Fermion-Scalar Conformal Blocks*, JHEP **1604** (2016) 074 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)074 [arXiv:1511.01497 [hep-th]].
- [25] F. Rejon-Barrera and D. Robbins, “Scalar-Vector Bootstrap,” JHEP **1601** (2016) 139 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2016)139 [arXiv:1508.02676 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. S. Costa and T. Hansen, “Conformal correlators of mixed-symmetry tensors,” JHEP **1502** (2015) 151 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2015)151 [arXiv:1411.7351 [hep-th]].
- [27] F. Calogero, *Solution of the one-dimensional N body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 419. doi:10.1063/1.1665604
- [28] B. Sutherland, *Exact results for a quantum many body problem in one-dimension. 2.*, Phys. Rev. A **5** (1972) 1372. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.5.1372
- [29] J. Moser, *Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations*, Adv. Math. **16** (1975) 197. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(75)90151-6
- [30] G. Poschl and E. Teller, *Bemerkungen zur Quantenmechanik des anharmonischen Oszillators*, Z. Phys. **83** (1933) 143. doi:10.1007/BF01331132
- [31] O. E. M. Heckman and E. M. Opdam 1987. *Root systems and hypergeometric functions. I*. Compositio Mathematica 64.3 (1987): 329-352. <http://eudml.org/doc/89879>.
- [32] G. Heckman and H. Schlichtkrull, *Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces*, Elsevier 1995.
- [33] E.M. Opdam, *Part I: Lectures on Dunkl Operators*, Lecture Notes on Dunkl Operators for Real and Complex Reflection Groups, 2–62, The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, Japan, 2000 doi:10.2969/msjmemoirs/008010C010.
- [34] I. Cherednik. 2005. Double Affine Hecke Algebras (Cambridge University Press,) cambridge Books Online, URL. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511546501 9780511546501

- [35] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Completely integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with semisimple Lie algebras*, *Inventiones mathematicae* 37.2 (1976): 93-108.
- [36] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Classical integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras*, *Physics Reports* 71.5 (1981): 313-400.
- [37] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, *Physics Reports* 94.6 (1983): 313-404.
- [38] Etingof P., Kirillov A.. *On a unified representation theoretical approach to special functions* - 1994. *Funk.Anal.i Prilozh.*,28,91
- [39] Etingof, P., Frenkel, I., Kirillov, A.. 1995. *Spherical functions on affine Lie groups*. *Duke Math.J.*,80,79
- [40] P. I. Etingof, *Quantum integrable systems and representations of Lie algebras*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 2636 doi:10.1063/1.531056 [hep-th/9311132].
- [41] M. Isachenkov and V. Schomerus, *Superintegrability of d-dimensional Conformal Blocks*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) no.7, 071602 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.071602 [arXiv:1602.01858 [hep-th]].
- [42] M. Isachenkov and V. Schomerus, *Integrability of Conformal Blocks I: Calogero-Sutherland Scattering Theory*, DESY-17-178, to appear.
- [43] L. Feher and B. G. Puzsai, *Derivations of the trigonometric BC(n) Sutherland model by quantum Hamiltonian reduction*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **22** (2010) 699 doi:10.1142/S0129055X10004065 [arXiv:0909.5208 [math-ph]].
- [44] L. Feher and B. G. Puzsai, *Hamiltonian reductions of free particles under polar actions of compact Lie groups*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **155** (2008) 646 doi:10.1007/s11232-008-0054-3 [arXiv:0705.1998 [math-ph]].
- [45] P. Liendo, L. Rastelli and B. C. van Rees, *The Bootstrap Program for Boundary CFT_d*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 113 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2013)113 [arXiv:1210.4258 [hep-th]].
- [46] A. Castedo Echeverri, E. Elkhidir, D. Karateev and M. Serone, “Deconstructing Conformal Blocks in 4D CFT,” *JHEP* **1508** (2015) 101 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2015)101 [arXiv:1505.03750 [hep-th]].
- [47] V. K. Dobrev, G. Mack, V. B. Petkova, S. G. Petrova and I. T. Todorov, *Harmonic Analysis on the n-Dimensional Lorentz Group and Its Application to Conformal Quantum Field Theory*, Berlin 1977, 280p
- [48] M. Isachenkov and V. Schomerus, *Integrability of Conformal Blocks II: Algebraic Structures*, work in progress.
- [49] N. Reshetikhin, *Degenerate integrability of quantum spin Calogero–Moser systems*, arXiv:1510.00492 [math-ph]. [8]
- [50] N. Reshetikhin, *Degenerately Integrable Systems*, *J. Math. Sci.* **213** (2016) no.5, 769 doi:10.1007/s10958-016-2738-9 [arXiv:1509.00730 [math-ph]].
- [51] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, Z. U. Khandker, D. Li, D. Poland and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Covariant Approaches to Superconformal Blocks*, *JHEP* **1408** (2014) 129 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2014)129 [arXiv:1402.1167 [hep-th]].
- [52] M. Cornagliotto, M. Lemos and V. Schomerus, “Long Multiplet Bootstrap,” arXiv:1702.05101 [hep-th].

- [53] A. Gadde, *Conformal constraints on defects*, arXiv:1602.06354 [hep-th].
- [54] M. Bill, V. Goncalves, E. Lauria and M. Meineri, *Defects in conformal field theory*, JHEP **1604** (2016) 091 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)091 [arXiv:1601.02883 [hep-th]].
- [55] P. Liendo and C. Meneghelli, *Bootstrap equations for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with defects*, JHEP **1701** (2017) 122 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2017)122 [arXiv:1608.05126 [hep-th]].
- [56] M. Fukuda, N. Kobayashi and T. Nishioka, “Operator product expansion for conformal defects,” arXiv:1710.11165 [hep-th].
- [57] V. Schomerus, *Harmony of Defects*, Talk given at the workshop on “Boundary and Defect Conformal Field Theory: Open Problems and Applications”, Chicheley Hall, Sep 2017.
- [58] I. Balitsky, V. Kazakov and E. Sobko, *Two-point correlator of twist-2 light-ray operators in $N=4$ SYM in BFKL approximation*, arXiv:1310.3752 [hep-th].
- [59] I. Balitsky, V. Kazakov and E. Sobko, *Structure constant of twist-2 light-ray operators in the Regge limit*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.6, 061701 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.061701, 10.3204/PUBDB-2015-02315 [arXiv:1506.02038 [hep-th]].
- [60] I. Balitsky, V. Kazakov and E. Sobko, *Three-point correlator of twist-2 light-ray operators in $N=4$ SYM in BFKL approximation*, doi:10.3204/PUBDB-2015-05622 arXiv:1511.03625 [hep-th].