DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 74/27
June 1974

Field Theoretic Model for the Pion Form Factor I:

Formalism

by

F. Gutbrod and U. Weiss

2 HAMBURG 52 · NOTKESTIEG 1

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

DESY
Bibliothek
2 Hamburg 52
Notkestieg 1
Germany

Field Theoretic Model for the Pion Form Factor I:
Formalism

by

F. Gutbrod and U. Weiss *

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany

* On leave of absence from Institut für Theoretische Physik
der Universität Stuttgart

Abstract

We present the formalism of a field theoretic many channel model for the electromagnetic form factors of the $\pi\pi$, the $K\bar{K}$, the $\pi\omega$ and the ρE system. The main attractive force, which generates the ρ -meson, is derived from the nucleon box diagram with phenomenological strong vertex corrections. Propagator self energy corrections are derived from the Ward identity. Long range forces are introduced through the pion exchange coupling between the $\pi\pi$ - and ρE -channel. The photon is coupled minimally to pions, kaons and nucleons, with subtractions performed at large spacelike momenta. The model is solved in the framework of the Bethe-Salpeter equation. Numerical results will be presented in subsequent papers.

I. Introduction

The electromagnetic form factors of elementary particles in the timelike region will provide us with much information about strong interaction dynamics. It could be for instance that the pion form factor (f.f.) turns out to be dominated by many resonance poles ^(1,2,3) or that it shows, shortly after the ρ -bump, the same smooth power fall off as in the spacelike region or that it does so, if at all, only beyond e.g. the $N\bar{N}$ -threshold. This detailed behaviour will clarify many questions. This is especially true if we do not consider theoretically just one isolated form factor but try to understand, at moderate energies at least, several channels like $\pi\pi$, $\pi\omega$ and $N\bar{N}$ as a strongly coupled system in the spin 1 state. Then all these f.fs. are mutually dependent. The unique possibility to study the production of these states in e^+e^- collisions in a pure spin state makes it worthwhile to devote much effort on theoretical models which incorporate the multichannel aspect. Phenomenological attempts (without detailed "dynamical" assumptions) have been undertaken several times ^(4,5,6).

Of course in the energy region ^{*)} $\sqrt{s} < 2 \text{ GeV}$ the ρ -meson (and possible higher vector mesons) will have a dominant influence on the pion f.f. $F_\pi(s)$. Therefore a reasonable model for the ρ -meson has to be the starting point, and we do not believe that

^{*)} We denote the photon 4-momentum by P and use $P^2 = s = W^2$.

a knowledge of the $\pi\pi$ -scattering phase shift alone can substitute this for the following reason. Since there is little hope that the ρ can be described as a predominantly elastic $\pi\pi$ -state ⁽⁷⁾, the use of the Omnès formula ^(8,9,10) which is based on analyticity and elastic unitarity, can hardly be justified. It is tempting to describe the ρ -meson as a resonance (or bound state resp.) between more elementary constituents, and as those we take $\pi\pi$, $K\bar{K}$ and $N\bar{N}$ states ^{*)}. We shall make the assumption that the dominant forces which these constituents feel, are not usual one particle exchange forces for the various elastic scattering amplitudes, but are exchange forces which lead from one channel to another ('non-diagonal forces'). It has been pointed out in ref. ⁽¹¹⁾ that when one considers only the $\pi\pi$ and the $N\bar{N}$ channel in the framework of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE), one finds a ρ meson which is immensely broad. If in addition to the $\pi\pi$ -channel one takes into account those states which contribute to the pion self energy, the situation is improved considerably. These selfenergy effects can be determined selfconsistently ⁽¹²⁾ from the Ward identity once the electromagnetic vertex of the pion is calculated off-shell with the help of the BSE. The ρ -width can be reduced further if one couples also the $\pi\omega$ -channel to the ρ , mainly because of the special energy dependence of the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\omega$ transition amplitude ⁽¹²⁾.

It remains however impossible to explain a ρ -width of 140 MeV without stretching the strength of the $\pi\omega\rho$ -coupling beyond acceptable values. In principle it is not surprising that the

^{*)} We also shall consider $\pi^0\omega$ and $\rho\pi$ states which is reasonable as long as they can be regarded as corrections.

restriction to a finite number of channels will cause a too large coupling of the resonance to the channels under consideration, i.e. a too large $\pi\pi$ -width. We may simulate the coupling to neglected channels by increasing the dressed pion propagators beyond the value dictated by the Ward identity.

Another possibility of improving our model with respect to the ρ -width is indicated by the use of chiral invariant πN -Lagrangians for the calculation of the nucleon loop ^(13,14,15) (we recall from ref. ⁽¹¹⁾ that the nucleon loop of Fig. 1 is considered as the main driving force in the $\pi\pi$ -channel). These Lagrangians possess quadrilinear couplings, which drastically change the energy behaviour of the loop as compared to the result of standard pseudoscalar coupling. Of course due to divergences one cannot really calculate a resonance with this Lagrangian as a pole in the strong coupling constant ^{*)}. However, it is plausible that similar modifications in the nucleon loop might arise from pion exchange insertions inside the loop which do not destroy the renormalizability. We shall introduce such changes in the kernel of the BSE for $\pi\pi$ -scattering on a phenomenological level. We think that the ρ -width can finally be explained with reasonable modifications.

The nondiagonal forces between the $\pi\pi$, $\pi\omega$ and $N\bar{N}$ channels are of short range nature. Long range forces, which are especially

^{*)} In the quoted papers the pole is generated by the replacement
 $1 + g^2 \rightarrow 1/(1 - g^2)$

important at energies below the ρ -mass, are introduced into the model by the one pion exchange force between the $\pi\pi$ and $\rho\pi$ force. After one iteration this leads to the box contribution of Fig. 2a, and we also included the crossed box diagram of Fig. 2b as a force in the $\pi\pi$ -channel.

The coupling of the photon to the various channels introduces only few additional problems. We assume that pions, kaons and nucleons act as bare constituents with minimal e.m. couplings, and we have to perform subtractions for their divergent vertex diagrams. The divergences are logarithmic due to a balance of vertex and propagator modifications, which we introduce in the nucleon loop.

In Section II we describe the mentioned modifications of the nucleon loop and the subtraction for the e.m. vertices. In Section III the coupling of the $\pi\omega$ channel to the ρ will be discussed together with the question of normalization of the $\pi\omega\gamma$ -vertex. In Section IV we present the calculation of the $\rho\pi$ loop. The two electromagnetic form factors of the $\rho\pi$ state are calculated in one pion exchange approximation in Section V. Since the $\rho\pi$ -channel causes great difficulties for solving the BSE equation, we shall discuss the method of solution and the numerical results for the $\pi\pi$ -phase shift and the pion form-factor in a subsequent paper (referred to as II in the following). Thus Section VI contains only a short discussion of shortcomings of the model.

II. Nucleon loop contributions to the pion formfactor

In this section we want to discuss the force in the $\pi\pi$ -channel which is responsible for the ρ -resonance. As summarized in ref. (16), the direct $\pi\pi$ -interaction $L_I = \lambda : (\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 :$ is not a good candidate as far as can be judged from the low order calculations performed so far. Derivative Lagrangians like (13,14,15) $L_I = - f_\pi^2 : (\partial_\mu \vec{\pi})^2 \vec{\pi}^2 :$ lead to unbypassed difficulties in higher orders and in the e.m. current, and up to second order do not seem to produce a resonance. Consequently we shall concentrate here on the force provided by the nucleon loop contribution to the $\pi\pi$ -irreducible amplitude shown in Fig. 1. Repeating the essential results from refs. (11,12), its properties are the following: Calculated in γ_5 -theory with $g^2/4\pi = 14.5$, it gives an attractive potential which is too large by more than an order of magnitude to give a ρ -meson at the correct mass. We proposed to weaken the strong interaction by introducing phenomenological hadronic vertex functions which decrease for growing spacelike momenta. However we do not intend to convert the model into a superrenormalizable one, since this would probably lead to finite e.m. form factors at infinite momentum transfer. Therefore we shall work (as it is recommended anyhow) with dressed propagators for pions and nucleons, which compensate the fall-off of the strong vertex functions at large off shell momenta. We shall arrange the relative strength of both modifications such that e.m. vertex diagrams are still logarithmically divergent. Fortunately the pion propagator can be calculated from the pion e.m. vertex by the Ward identity, and as found in ref. (12) the

model can be used to determine both quantities by an iteration procedure. Therefore no new unknown parameters enter. In principle the same could be done with the nucleon propagator, but for simplicity we shall assume that the self energy effects in both propagators are identical. There remains the question how different parametrisations of the vertex will affect predictions for the form factors, once a mass parameter in the vertex is adjusted to give the correct ρ -mass. This will be discussed in II. It is clear a priori, that a stronger decrease in the vertex will partly be compensated by stronger self-energy contributions in the propagator.

Let us start with a discussion of the partial wave projection of the nucleon loop of Fig. 1 in the presence of vertex- and propagator-modifications. We restrict the πNN -coupling to a pure pseudoscalar term and write for the vertex with nucleon momenta p_i and p_j (setting $p_i^2 = M^2 = \pi_i^2$ with M = nucleon mass)

$$g_5 \Gamma_5(p_i, p_j) = g_5 / (1 - (\pi_i + \pi_j + (p_i - p_j)^2 - \mu^2) / \Lambda_1^2) \quad (2.1)$$

The cut-off parameter Λ_1^2 will be chosen to fit the ρ -mass.

For the pion and nucleon propagators we take the ansatz

(μ = pion mass)

$$\Delta'(p^2, \mu^2) = d / (\Lambda_2^2 - (\Lambda_2^2 - p^2 + \mu^2)^d \cdot \Lambda_2^{2-2d}) + d / (p^2 - \Lambda_3^2) \quad (2.2)$$

and
$$S'(p) = (\not{p} + M) \cdot \Delta'(p^2, M^2) \quad (2.3)$$

The ansatz (2.3) was made for simplicity, as stated above.

Simple power counting with (2.1) and (2.2) requires $\delta = 1/3$ if the model should not be superrenormalizable. The parameters Λ_2 , Λ_3 and d shall be fixed ⁽¹²⁾ by adjusting (2.2) to the numerically integrated pion vertex for spacelike off-shell momenta and for $s = 0$. When we calculate the spin trace for the nucleon loop, we shall find terms proportional to π_2 and π_4 . Their contribution to the $\pi\pi$ p-wave amplitude vanishes for free vertices and propagators in the loop, and we believe that they are rather small in the presence of the modifications (2.1) and (2.3) as long as $\Lambda_i^2 \gg M^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. We furthermore neglect nucleon d-wave contributions, and then the p-wave projection of the loop is *

$$M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)}(k_i^2, s) \approx - \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{32}{\pi} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos d \sin d \int_0^\infty dq q^3 \times$$

$$\times I(k_i^2, \cos d, q, s) \Delta'(p_1^2, M^2) \Delta'(p_3^2, M^2) \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$I(k_i^2, \cos d, q, s) = (s - \pi_1 - \pi_3) \left[\frac{2}{3} |\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3| \tilde{Q}_0(k_1) \tilde{Q}_0(k_3) \right.$$

$$\left. + (k_1^2 + k_3^2 - 2k_{10}k_{30}) \tilde{Q}_1(k_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_3) \right] \quad (2.5)$$

$$- (k_1^2 k_4^2 + k_2^2 k_3^2 + 2\pi_1 \pi_3 - (k_1^2 + k_3^2) \pi_3 - (k_2^2 + k_4^2) \pi_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_3)$$

* There is a misprint in eq. (13) of ref. ⁽¹¹⁾. The factor 2 in front of $\pi_1 \pi_3$ in the formula (2.5) was omitted there, but was present in the numerical calculations.

We have abbreviated

$$\tilde{Q}_\ell(k_i) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} dz P_\ell(z) \Delta'((p_1 - k_i)^2, M^2) \Gamma_5(p_1, p_{i+1}) \Gamma_5(p_3, p_{i+1}) \quad (2.6)$$

with $z = \cos(\vec{p}_1, \vec{k}_3)$.

The loop momenta p_1 and p_3 (see Fig. 1) in the CMS are given by

$$p_{10(30)} = \mp \frac{W}{2} - i q \cos d, \quad |\vec{p}_1| = |\vec{p}_3| = q \sin d \quad (2.7)$$

For pointlike vertices and free propagators the $\tilde{Q}_\ell(k_i)$ reduce ess. to Legendre functions of second kind. For moderately large $-k_i^2 \lesssim M^2$, the dominant term in $I(k_i^2, \cos d, q, s)$ is the first one, which arises from the contraction of p_2 and p_4 in the spin trace. It consists out of an attractive, explicitly s -dependent part and the likewise attractive "contact" terms proportional to π_1 and π_3 which have little s -dependence. The relative strength of these two components of the $\pi\pi$ -potential enters strongly into the ρ -width, as the s -dependent term favours a narrow, the contact terms a broad resonance.

It has been proposed by Lehmann ⁽¹³⁾ to calculate the nucleon loop with the help of the phenomenological Lagrangian due to Gursey ⁽¹⁷⁾. This Lagrangian has terms like

$$L_{\pi N} = 2 f_\pi^2 g_\pi^2 M_N : \bar{\psi} \psi \vec{\pi}^2 : + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

which in first order just cancel the contact terms of the γ_5 -interaction. Neglecting other derivative terms in the Gursay Lagrangian, the description of the p-wave phase is reasonable. But the second order contribution of (2.8) leads to the diagram of Fig. 3 for the pion f.f., which requires two subtractions. We therefore shall look for another mechanism which reduces the contact terms (anticipating the usual difficulties with the ρ -width!). Let us consider pion exchange inside the loop, Fig. 4. For moderate k_i^2 we expect the leading term in the spin trace to arise again from the contraction of p_2 and p_5 . At $s = k_i^2 = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ we find from this term for the ρ -channel

$$M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)}(s \approx k_i^2 \approx 0) = \frac{g}{3\pi} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 \frac{|\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3|}{M^2} \quad (2.9)$$

whereas with the same approximations one has for the simple loop

$$M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)}(s \approx k_i^2 \approx 0) = - \frac{16}{3} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{|\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3|}{M^2} \quad (2.10)$$

The relative factor $-\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \approx -2.2$ between these diagrams is of course reduced by the combination of vertex and propagator modifications which will lead for off-shell momenta around $-M^2$ to an effective coupling constant g_{eff} (see II) with

$$-\frac{g_{\text{eff}}^2}{8\pi^2} \approx -\frac{1}{3} \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \approx -0.73 \quad (2.11)$$

Thus a substantial part of the loop potential at $s \approx 0$ is cancelled by the repulsive one pion exchange. We think that the reduction expressed by (2.11) is too strong, since higher order contributions will reduce it. A simple calculation shows, that the linearly s -dependent term in $I(k_i^2, \cos \alpha, q, s)$ (eq. 2.5) is only weakly modified by diagram Fig. 4. In view of the uncertainty of any estimate like (2.9) we shall modify the contact terms in the potential by a free parameter λ , which will be used to fit the ρ -width precisely. We replace $I(k_i^2, \cos \alpha, q, s)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I'(k_i^2, \cos \alpha, q, s) = & \left(s - \lambda(\pi_1 + \pi_3) \right) \frac{2}{3} |\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3| \tilde{Q}_0(k_1) \tilde{Q}_0(k_3) \\ & + (s - \pi_1 - \pi_3) (k_1^2 + k_3^2 - 2k_{10}k_{30}) \tilde{Q}_1(k_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_3) \\ & - (k_1^2 k_4^2 + k_2^2 k_3^2 + 2\pi_1 \pi_3 - (k_1^2 + k_3^2)\pi_3 - (k_2^2 + k_4^2)\pi_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_1) \tilde{Q}_1(k_3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It will turn out, that λ has a big influence on the high energy behaviour of the pion f.f. We expect λ to be somewhat smaller than 1.

We now turn to the coupling of the photon to the $\pi\pi$, $K\bar{K}$ and $N\bar{N}$ state. We shall assume that there is a bare coupling of the form $e(k_1 - k_2)_\mu$ to the pion and kaon and of the form $e\gamma_\mu/2$ to the isovector nucleon current. The lowest order contribution of the nucleon current to the unrenormalized pion vertex function shown in Fig. 5 is given by (using the notation 2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\pi}^{(0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) &= 2 \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \cdot \sin\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dq q^3 \Delta'(p_1^2, M^2) \Delta'(p_3^2, M^2) * \\
 &* \left((s - 2(\pi_1 + \pi_3)) \tilde{Q}_0(k_1) - (s - k_1^2 - k_2^2) \frac{|\vec{q}|}{|\vec{k}_1|} \tilde{Q}_1(k_1) \right) \\
 &- 2 \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} * \text{same Integral} \Big|_{s=0, k_1^2=k_2^2=\hat{k}^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Note the same suppression factor λ in front of the contact terms as in eq. (2.12). We regard this again as a crude approximation of diagrams with pion exchange as in Fig. 6. The subtraction point $\hat{k}^2$ was not taken $\hat{k}^2 = \mu^2$, as this leads to a divergence of the ladder series for the pion vertex. We choose $\hat{k}^2 \approx 100 M^2$ with the consequence that all iterated diagrams for the pion vertex are small at large momenta. We then obtained very good convergence of the ladder series. The iterated diagram with a pion current (shown in Fig. 7) needs two subtractions. We write down the first subtraction for the nucleon vertex explicitly, considering a diagram with n nucleon loops (we shall denote the contributions to F_{π} from the nucleon current by F_{π}' and from the pion current by F_{π}''):

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\pi}''(\pi\pi, n)(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) &= - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \sin\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dk k^3 M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)}(k_3^2, k_4^2, k_1^2, k_2^2, s) * \\
 &* |\vec{k}_3| \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) \cdot F_{\pi}''(\pi\pi, n-1)(s, k_3^2, k_4^2) \\
 &+ 2 F_{\pi}^{(0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) \frac{4}{3} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \sin\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dk k^3 * \\
 &* |\vec{k}_3| \tilde{Q}_0(k_3) \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) \cdot F_{\pi}''(\pi\pi, n-1)(s, k_3^2, k_4^2) \Big|_{s=0, p_1^2=p_3^2=\hat{k}^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

- same expression for $s = 0, k_1^2 = k_2^2 = \hat{k}^2$.

with *)

$$h_{30(40)} = \frac{W}{2} \pm i k \cos \alpha, \quad |\vec{h}_3| = |\vec{h}_4| = k \sin \alpha \quad (2.15)$$

A similar expression holds for $\widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2)$ with $n > 0$.

We shall include the kaon current everywhere by the replacement in eq. (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) \longrightarrow \\ \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta'(k_3^2, m_K^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, m_K^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The final expression for the pion f.f. in the nucleon ladder approximation is now

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = Z_N(\hat{k}^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) \\ + Z_\pi(\hat{k}^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\text{with } \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi, 0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = 1, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\text{and } \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(n\pi, 0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = \widetilde{F}_\pi^{(0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2), \quad (2.19)$$

as given by eq. (2.13).

*) This representation with $-1 \leq \cos \alpha \leq +1$ will be used only for $s < 4\mu^2$. The necessary changes for $s > 4\mu^2$ will be described in II.

The renormalization constants $Z_N(\hat{k}^2)$ and $Z_\pi(\hat{k}^2)$ could be determined separately by considering the nucleon isovector form factor simultaneously with F_π , but we simplify the model and set

$$Z_\pi(\hat{k}^2) = Z_N(\hat{k}^2) \quad (2.20)$$

determined now by

$$F_\pi(0, \mu^2, \mu^2) = 1 \quad (2.21)$$

Other assumptions like $Z_\pi(\hat{k}^2) = 3 Z_N(\hat{k}^2)$ change $F_\pi(s, \mu^2, \mu^2)$ by less than one percent. In the following we therefore shall not make any difference between $F_\pi^{(\pi\pi, n)}$ and $F_\pi^{(\pi\pi, n)}$ and define the renormalized contribution of n loops to the pion f.f. according to

$$F_\pi^{(n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = Z \cdot (F_\pi^{(\pi\pi, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) + F_\pi^{(\pi\pi, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2)) \quad (2.22)$$

In the following sections we shall discuss the coupling of the $\pi\pi$ -state and of the photon to other channels, especially to $\pi\omega$ and to $\rho\pi$.

III. The $\pi\omega$ -channel

It has been shown in ref. ⁽¹²⁾ that the influence of the $\pi\omega$ intermediate state in the ρ -channel is rather important since it provides an attractive force which is strongly increasing with s due to the magnetic $\pi\omega\rho$ -coupling. This effect reduces the ρ -width drastically. We shall derive the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\omega$ amplitude again from a nucleon loop

neglecting an magnetic coupling of the ω to $N\bar{N}$. Furthermore we shall assume the same vertex correction for the $\omega N\bar{N} \gamma_\mu$ -vertex as for the $\pi N\bar{N} \gamma_5$ -vertex. In contrast to the $\pi\pi$ -scattering case, both the uncrossed and the crossed nucleon boxes of Fig. 8 contribute here. Since the crossed diagram of Fig. 8b is harder to calculate than the uncrossed one, we restrict ourselves to the evaluation of Fig. 8a. For small external momenta $|k_i|^2 \ll M^2$, $|s| \ll M^2$ it follows from crossing symmetry that the two diagrams are identical. Since we shall treat the $\omega N\bar{N}$ -coupling constant as a free parameter anyhow, this implies that we should work with an effective coupling bigger than the (unknown) physical one. From Fig. 8a we get (with 2 directions for the internal momenta) for the invariant amplitude ($e_\mu(\omega)$ = polarisation vector of the ω)

$$M_{\pi\omega}^{loop}(k_i) = \frac{g^3 g_{\omega N\bar{N}}}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \phi_3^* \cdot (\phi_1 \times \phi_2) e_\mu(\omega) K^\mu(k_i) \quad (3.1)$$

$$K_\mu(k_i) = 4iM \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p^\nu k_1^\sigma k_3^\sigma \int d^4q \prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_5(p_i, p_{i+1}) \Delta'(p_i^2, M^2) \quad (3.2)$$

Here we have set $p_5 = p_1$ and have used the definition of $\Gamma_5(p_i, p_j)$ of (2.1). By parity conservation there is only one independent helicity amplitude of spin and isospin 1, which we take as (λ = ω -helicity)

$$M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)}(s, k_i^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(M_{\pi\omega}(s, k_i^2, \lambda=1) - M_{\pi\omega}(s, k_i^2, \lambda=-1) \right) \quad (3.3a)$$

$$= - \frac{g^3 g_{\omega NN}}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{128}{3\pi} W M \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\theta \sin\theta \cdot \int_0^\infty dq q^3 \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left(\tilde{Q}_0(k_1) \tilde{Q}_0(k_3) - \tilde{Q}_2(k_1) \tilde{Q}_2(k_3) \right) \Delta'(p_1^2, M^2) \Delta'(p_3^2, M^2) \quad (3.3b)$$

This amplitude will serve as an off-diagonal kernel for the two-channel (viz. the $\pi\pi$ and the $\pi\omega$ -channel) BSE.

Let us now discuss the diagonal forces in the $\pi\omega$ -channel. Since the nucleon loop yields in this case many terms which are not easy to estimate, we shall concentrate on the ρ -exchange diagram Fig. 9.

It may be in some sense dual to the nucleon loop as ρ -exchange in $\pi\pi$ scattering was to the nucleon loop ⁽¹¹⁾. The amplitude is, following the $\rho\omega\pi$ coupling of ref. ⁽¹⁸⁾

$$M_{\omega\omega}(k_i, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = - \frac{g_{\rho\omega\pi}^2}{4\pi} \left[e_2(\lambda_2) \cdot e_3(\lambda_3) (k_3 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot k_2 - k_2 \cdot k_3 k_1 \cdot k_4) \right.$$

$$\left. + k_3 \cdot e_2(\lambda_2) k_2 \cdot e_3(\lambda_3) k_1 \cdot k_4 \right] \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_3)^2 - m_\rho^2} \quad (3.4)$$

The projection into the helicity state defined by (3.3a) turns out

to be small compared to the $\pi\pi$ -interaction, whereas the non-diagonal amplitude $M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)}$ is of the same magnitude as the latter. Thus we shall neglect the direct $\pi\omega$ interaction in the BSE.

We now turn to the description of the electromagnetic $\pi\omega$ -vertex. This is, together with the $\pi^0\gamma\gamma$ -vertex, traditionally explained by baryon loops ^(19,20). We calculate it from diagram Fig. 10 where the blob denotes the renormalized isovector nucleon vertex. Within our approximations we have two contributions to the latter, namely the bare nucleon current denoted by a) in Fig. 11, and the full pion current denoted by b) in Fig. 11. The pion current will also contain contributions from the $\pi\omega$ intermediate states, given below in eq. (3.12). The $\pi\omega\gamma$ form factor $F_{\pi\omega\gamma}$ defined by

$$\langle \pi^0, \omega | j_\mu(0) | 0 \rangle \equiv i e F_{\pi\omega\gamma}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} k_\pi^\alpha P^\beta e^\gamma(\omega) \quad (3.5)$$

receives a contribution from the bare nucleon current like

$$F_{\pi\omega\gamma}^{(0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = 8 Z_N(\hat{k}^2) \frac{g_{\omega NN}}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} M \cdot \\ \times \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^\infty dq q^3 \tilde{Q}_0(k_1) \Delta'(p_1^2, M^2) \Delta'(p_3^2, M^2). \quad (3.6)$$

The f.f.s F_π and $F_{\pi\omega\gamma}$ obey now coupled BSEs which under the neglect of subtractions are written formally (suppressing integrations and constant factors)

$$F_{\pi\omega\gamma} = F_{\pi\omega\gamma}^{(0)} + M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)} \cdot (\Delta'(\mu^2) \Delta'(\mu^2) + 0.5 \Delta'(m_\pi^2) \Delta'(m_\pi^2)) F_\pi \quad (3.7)$$

$$F_\pi = Z(\hat{k}^2) (1 + F_\pi'^{(0)}) + M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)} (\Delta'(\mu^2) \Delta'(\mu^2) + 0.5 \Delta'(m_\pi^2) \Delta'(m_\pi^2)) F_\pi + M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)} \Delta'(\mu^2) \Delta'(m_\omega^2) F_{\pi\omega\gamma} \quad (3.8)$$

The coupled equations are solved by iteration.

We now exhibit the subtractions in eq. (3.7) explicitly. The diagram Fig. 11b involving the pion f.f. with $n-1$ loops requires a subtraction inside the loop:

$$F_{\pi\omega\gamma}^{(n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = - \frac{Z(\hat{k}^2)}{|\vec{k}_3|W} \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \sin\alpha \int_0^\infty dk k^3 M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) \times |\vec{k}_3| [\Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) + 0.5 \Delta'(k_3^2, m_\pi^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, m_\pi^2)] \cdot F_\pi^{(n-1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2) - F_{\pi\omega\gamma}^{(0)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) \frac{8}{3} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \sin\alpha \int_0^\infty dk k^3 |\vec{k}_3| \tilde{Q}_0(k_3) \times [\Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) + 0.5 \Delta'(k_3^2, m_\pi^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, m_\pi^2)] F_\pi^{(n-1)}(0, k_3^2, k_4^2) \Big|_{\substack{s=0 \\ p_1^2=p_2^2=\hat{k}^2}} \quad (3.9)$$

where (3.6) has to be inserted and the kinematics of eq. (2.15)

is used. Here $F_{\pi}^{(n)}$ is the n'th iteration of eq. (3.8).

We are facing a difficulty here which we cannot resolve at the moment. It is to be expected that with our simplifying assumption (2.18) the nucleon vertex in Fig. 11 is not properly renormalized, i.e. the sum of (3.6) and (3.8) does not necessarily add up to the diagram Fig. 10 with $F_1(0, M^2, M^2) = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, there are other baryon loop contributions to the $\pi\omega\gamma$ vertex besides the nucleon loop, and our model cannot be too reliable here. Therefore we consider the loop of Fig. 10 as an effective summation of more diagrams and do not insist on a proper normalization of $F_1(0, M^2, M^2)$. Since we are mainly interested in a realistic admixture of the $\pi\omega$ channel to the $\pi\pi$ -state, we adjust $g_{\omega N\bar{N}}$ to yield (21)

$$|F_{\pi\omega\gamma}(0, \mu^2, m_{\omega}^2)|^2 = 3 \int_{\omega \rightarrow \gamma\pi} \cdot \left(\frac{2 m_{\omega}}{m_{\omega}^2 - \mu^2} \right)^3 = \left(\frac{1.93 \pm 0.1}{m_{\omega}} \right)^2 \quad (3.10)$$

Of course $g_{\omega N\bar{N}}$ should not deviate too much from the VDM-value (22)

$$\frac{g_{\omega N\bar{N}}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{\omega}^2}{4\pi} = 4.6 \pm 0.5 \quad (3.11)$$

Since we neglected a direct $\pi\omega \rightarrow \pi\omega$ amplitude, the $\pi\omega$ intermediate state contributes only internally in the pion f.f. in Fig. 11b. The contribution of the $\pi\omega$ intermediate states to $F_{\pi}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2)$ is without subtractions (see Fig. 12 and the last term of eq. (3.8)) given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F}_{\pi}^{(\pi, \omega)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) &= \frac{W}{|k_1|} \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^{\infty} dk k^3 * \\ &* M_{\pi\omega}^{(1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, m_\omega^2) \overline{F}_{\pi\omega\pi}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

This term vanishes like s for $s \rightarrow 0$.

IV. The $\rho\epsilon$ - loop as a long range force in the π - π channel

Due to our general idea that nondiagonal forces are dominant in the π - π channel we consider the exchange of two pions via $\rho\epsilon$ intermediate states as the leading long range force contribution in the ρ -channel. The total $\rho\epsilon$ loop contribution to the invariant $\pi\pi$ amplitude of fourth order is given by the two s -channel box diagrams of Fig. 13a and the two u -channel box diagrams of Fig. 13b. Unfortunately, the u -channel box contribution cannot be evaluated in analogy to the nucleon box calculations, as it involves four-particle intermediate states in the s -channel. Therefore, these diagrams (and also those of the s -channel box) are evaluated by use of dispersion relations in t for fixed s . The s -channel box amplitude has only a 'right hand' cut given by the two pion intermediate states, whereas the u -channel box has also a 'left hand' cut given by the $\rho\epsilon$ intermediate states. This method of calculating the diagrams, however, is only applicable in the energy range where the anomalous singularities of the triangle diagram, obtained by contracting one of the ρ or ϵ propagators, are not on the physical sheet ⁽²³⁾. The corresponding condition is e.g. $k_1^2 + k_5^2 \leq 2(m_\rho^2 + \mu^2)$. The most disadvantageous

case is that k_2 and k_4 are on their mass shell, which arises from picking up the propagator poles in the Wick-rotated Bethe-Salpeter equation above threshold. Then we have $(\sqrt{s}-\mu)^2 \leq m_\rho^2 + \mu^2$ which is an upper energy bound for the applicability of our method.

a) The s-channel box contribution

The sum of the two diagrams of Fig. 13a gives the invariant amplitude

$$M_{\rho\epsilon}^s(k_i) = -i \frac{g_{\epsilon\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m_\epsilon^2}{\pi^2} I \int d^4q \frac{R_s(k_i, q)}{(p_1^2 - m_\epsilon^2)(p_3^2 - m_\rho^2)(p_2^2 - \mu^2)(p_4^2 - \mu^2)} \quad (4.1)$$

where I is the isospin contribution

$$I = (\phi_1 \times \phi_2) \cdot (\phi_3 \times \phi_4) \quad (4.2)$$

which gives a factor 2 in the ρ -channel. The coupling constants

$g_{\epsilon\pi\pi}$ and $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ are related to their total widths $\epsilon \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ by

$$\frac{g_{\epsilon\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma_{\epsilon\pi\pi}}}{\sqrt{\frac{m_\epsilon^2}{4} - \mu^2}} ; \quad \frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{3}{2} m_\rho^2 \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma_{\rho\pi\pi}}}{(\frac{m_\rho^2}{4} - \mu^2)^{3/2}} \quad (4.3)$$

Throughout our calculations we put $m_E = m_g \equiv m$.

The spin term $R_s(k_i, q)$ is defined according to

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_s(k_i, q) = & -4k_1 \cdot k_3 - \pi_2 - \pi_4 + k_1^2 + k_3^2 - 2\mu^2 + M^2 + \pi_1 \\
 & + \frac{1}{m^2} (\pi_2 + \mu^2 - k_1^2) (\pi_4 + \mu^2 - k_3^2) \\
 & - 4k_2 \cdot k_4 - \pi_2 - \pi_4 + k_2^2 + k_4^2 - 2\mu^2 + M^2 + \pi_3 \\
 & + \frac{1}{m^2} (\pi_2 + \mu^2 - k_2^2) (\pi_4 + \mu^2 - k_4^2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\pi_i = p_i^2 - m_i^2$. In eq. (4.4) all terms proportional to π_2 and π_4 can be dropped because they only contribute to s-waves. By writing down a dispersion relation in t for fixed s we get from eqs. (4.1) the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{gE}^s(k_i) = & \frac{g_E \pi \pi}{4\pi} \frac{g_E \pi \pi}{4\pi} 2m^2 I \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{t' - t} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{t'}{4} - \mu^2}}{2\sqrt{t'}} \times \\
 & \times \left[(R_1 + 8|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3|\cos\theta_{13}) K_1(t') + K_2(t') \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where R_1 is a function of the external momenta

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1(k_i) = & k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 - 4k_{10}k_{30} - 4k_{20}k_{40} - 4\mu^2 + 2m^2 \\
 & + \frac{1}{m^2} (\mu^2 - k_1^2)(\mu^2 - k_3^2) + \frac{1}{m^2} (\mu^2 - k_2^2)(\mu^2 - k_4^2) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The integrals

$$K_1(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{\pi_1 \pi_3} \quad (4.7)$$

$$K_2(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi_1} + \frac{1}{\pi_3} \right) \quad (4.8)$$

are evaluated in the t-channel CMS with the two pions in the loop kept on their mass shell.

With the t-channel kinematics

$$\begin{aligned} p_{20} = -p_{40} &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} \quad ; \quad |\vec{p}_2| = |\vec{p}_4| = \sqrt{\frac{t}{4} - \mu^2} \quad ; \\ k_{10} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} (t + k_1^2 - k_3^2) \quad ; \quad k_{40} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} (t + k_4^2 - k_2^2) \quad ; \\ k_{20} &= k_{40} - \sqrt{t} \quad ; \quad k_{30} = k_{10} - \sqrt{t} \quad ; \quad |\vec{k}_i| = \sqrt{k_{10}^2 - k_i^2} \quad i=1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

we introduce the cosines

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{m^2 - \mu^2 - k_1^2 + 2p_{20} k_{10}}{2|\vec{p}_2||\vec{k}_1|} \\ z_2 &= \frac{\mu^2 + k_2^2 - m^2 + 2p_{20} k_{20}}{2|\vec{p}_2||\vec{k}_2|} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Then $K_1(t)$ and $K_2(t)$ are

$$K_1(t) = \frac{1}{4|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2||\vec{p}_2|^2} \frac{1}{D_+} \ln \left(\frac{(a_+ + b_+ - D_+)(b_+ + D_+)}{(a_+ + b_+ + D_+)(b_+ - D_+)} \right) \quad (4.11)$$

$$K_2(t) = \frac{1}{2|\vec{k}_1||\vec{p}_2|} \ln \left(\frac{z_1 - 1}{z_1 + 1} \right) + \frac{1}{2|\vec{k}_2||\vec{p}_2|} \ln \left(\frac{1 + z_2}{z_2 - 1} \right) \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$a_{\pm} = (z_1 + z_2)^2 - 2(1 \pm z_{12}); \quad b_{\pm} = -z_2(z_1 + z_2) + 1 \pm z_{12} \quad (4.13)$$

$$D_{\pm} = \sqrt{b_{\pm}^2 - a_{\pm}c} \quad ; \quad c = z_2^2 - 1$$

and

$$z_{12} = \frac{2k_{10}k_{20} + k_1^2 + k_2^2 - s}{2|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2|} \quad . \quad (4.14)$$

The $J = 1, I = 1$ projection of the s-channel box amplitude eq. (4.5) is

$$M_{\pi\pi}^{(s,1)}(k_i) = \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{2m^2}{|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3|} \cdot \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\sqrt{\frac{t'}{4} - \mu^2}}{2\sqrt{t'}} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left[(R_1 \cdot K_1(t') + K_2(t')) Q_1(w_{t'}) \right. \quad (4.15)$$

$$\left. + \frac{8}{3} |\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3| (Q_0(w_{t'}) + 2Q_2(w_{t'})) K_1(t') \right]$$

with

$$w_{t'} = \frac{2 k_{10} k_{30} + t' - k_1^2 - k_3^2}{2 |\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3|} \quad (4.16)$$

b) The u-channel box contribution

The contribution of the two diagrams of Fig. 13b to the invariant $\pi\pi$ amplitude is

$$M_{g\pi}^{\mu}(k_i) = -i \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m^2}{\pi^2} I \int d^4q \frac{R_{\mu}(k_i, q)}{(p_1^2 - m^2)(p_3^2 - m^2)(p_2^2 - \mu^2)(p_4^2 - \mu^2)} \quad (4.17)$$

with the isospin factor

$$I = (\phi_1 \times \phi_4^*) \cdot (\phi_3^* \times \phi_2) \quad (4.18)$$

which gives a factor 1 in the ρ -channel and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu}(k_i, q) = & R_1(k_i) + 8 |\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3| \cos \theta_{13} + \pi_1 + \pi_3 \\ & + R_2(k_i) \cdot \pi_2 + R_3(k_i) \cdot \pi_4 + \frac{2}{m^2} \pi_2 \pi_4 \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $R_1(k_i)$ is defined in eq. (4.6) and

$$R_2(k_i) = \frac{2\mu^2 - k_2^2 - k_3^2 - 2m^2}{m^2} \quad R_3(k_i) = \frac{2\mu^2 - k_1^2 - k_4^2 - 2m^2}{m^2} \quad (4.20)$$

The term proportional to $\pi_2 \cdot \pi_4$ can be dropped because it only contributes to s-waves. The expression (4.17) is evaluated by writing down a dispersion relation in t for fixed s with a 'right hand' cut and a 'left hand' cut contribution. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pi\pi}^u(k_i) = & \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} 2m^2 I \left\{ \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{t' - t} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{t'}{4} - \mu^2}}{2\sqrt{t'}} * \right. \\ & * [(R_1 + 8|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3| \cos \theta_{13}) K_3(t') + K_2(t')] \\ & + \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} du' \frac{1}{u' - u} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{u'}{4} - m^2}}{2\sqrt{u'}} * \\ & * [(R_1 + 8|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3| \cos \theta_{13}) K_4(u') + R_2 \cdot K_5(u') + R_3 \cdot K_6(u')] \Big\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

with

$$K_2(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi_1} + \frac{1}{\pi_3} \right) \quad (4.22)$$

$$K_3(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{\pi_1 \cdot \pi_3} \quad , \quad (4.23)$$

where p_2 and p_4 have to be kept on their mass shell, and

$$K_4(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{\pi_2 \cdot \pi_4} \quad (4.24)$$

$$K_5(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{\pi_4} \quad (4.25)$$

$$K_6(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{\pi_2} \quad (4.26)$$

with p_1 and p_3 kept on their mass shell.

Using the t-channel kinematics eqs. (4.9), (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14) we obtain

$$K_3(t) = \frac{1}{4|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2||\vec{p}_2|^2} \frac{1}{D_-} \ln \left(\frac{(a_- + b_- - D_-)(b_- + D_-)}{(a_- + b_- + D_-)(b_- - D_-)} \right) \quad (4.27)$$

$K_2(t)$ is given in eq. (4.12).

The expressions (4.24) - (4.26) are evaluated in the center of mass system of the u-channel. By use of the u-channel kinematics

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{30} &= -p_{10} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2} ; \quad |\vec{p}_3| = |\vec{p}_1| = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{4} - m^2} \\
 k_{20} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} (k_3^2 - k_2^2 - \mu) ; \quad k_{40} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} (k_1^2 - k_4^2 - \mu) ; \\
 k_{10} &= \mu + k_{40} ; \quad k_{30} = \mu + k_{20} ; \quad |\vec{k}_i| = \sqrt{k_{i0}^2 - k_i^2} \quad i=1,2,3,4
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

and the cosines

$$v_2 = \frac{m^2 + k_2^2 + 2p_{30}k_{20} - \mu^2}{2|\vec{p}_3||\vec{k}_2|} \quad v_4 = \frac{m^2 + k_4^2 + 2p_{30}k_{40} - \mu^2}{2|\vec{p}_3||\vec{k}_4|} \tag{4.29}$$

one obtains

$$K_4(\mu) = \frac{1}{4|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_4||\vec{p}_3|^2} \frac{1}{D} \ln \left(\frac{(a+b-D)(b+D)}{(a+b+D)(b-D)} \right) \tag{4.30}$$

$$K_5(\mu) = \frac{1}{2|\vec{p}_3||\vec{k}_4|} \ln \left(\frac{v_4+1}{v_4-1} \right) \tag{4.31}$$

$$K_6(\mu) = \frac{1}{2|\vec{p}_3||\vec{k}_2|} \ln \left(\frac{v_2+1}{v_2-1} \right) \tag{4.32}$$

where

$$a = (v_2 - v_4)^2 - 2(1 - v_{24}); \quad b = (v_2 - v_4)v_4 + 1 - v_{24} \quad (4.33)$$

$$c = v_4^2 - 1 \quad ; \quad D = \sqrt{b^2 - ac}$$

and

$$v_{24} = \frac{2k_{20}k_{40} + k_1^2 + k_3^2 - s - u}{|\vec{k}_2| \cdot |\vec{k}_4|} \quad (4.34)$$

The $J = 1, I = 1$ projection of the u-channel box amplitude eq. (4.21) is

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pi\pi}^{(\mu, 1)}(k_i) = & \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m^2}{|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3|} \left\{ \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\sqrt{\frac{t'}{4} - m^2}}{2\sqrt{t'}} * \right. \\ & * \left[(R_1 \cdot K_3(t') + K_2(t')) Q_1(w_{t'}) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{8}{3} |\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3| (Q_0(w_{t'}) + 2Q_2(w_{t'})) K_3(t') \right] \\ & - \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} du' \frac{\sqrt{\frac{u'}{4} - m^2}}{2\sqrt{u'}} * \\ & * \left[(R_1 \cdot K_4(u') + R_2 \cdot K_5(u') + R_3 \cdot K_6(u')) Q_1(w_{u'}) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{8}{3} |\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3| (Q_0(w_{u'}) + 2Q_2(w_{u'})) K_4(u') \right] \left. \right\} \quad (4.35) \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$w_{u'} = \frac{2 k_{10} \cdot k_{30} + k_2^2 + k_4^2 - s - u'}{2 |\vec{k}_1| |\vec{k}_3|} \quad (4.36)$$

and $w_{t'}$ is defined in eq. (4.16).

As input in our Bethe-Salpeter kernel we use the sum of eqs. (4.15) and (4.35) with the vertex modifications

$$\frac{g_{\epsilon\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \longrightarrow \frac{g_{\epsilon\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} \prod_{j=1}^4 \left(1 - \frac{k_j^2}{M^2}\right)^{-1} \quad (4.37)$$

These modifications due to the resonance wave functions are justified from our model for the ρ , and we speculate that the $\epsilon\pi\pi$ vertex is similar to the $\rho\pi\pi$ vertex. As we are interested in the long range character of the $\rho\epsilon$ -loop we don't consider propagator modifications of the exchanged pions and use vertex functions which are independent of the internal momenta.

We shall assume that the $\rho\epsilon$ -loop diagrams are coupled to the photon via the dressed pion propagators and the renormalized pion vertex as shown in Fig. 13. Due to the vertex corrections (4.37) the diagrams Fig. 13 are finite and don't need an internal subtraction, but they have to be subtracted nevertheless for $k_1^2 = k_2^2 = \hat{k}^2$. Thus we obtain for the $\rho\epsilon$ -contribution to the unrenormalized pion form factor with

n nucleon or $\rho\epsilon$ loops

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{F}_{\pi}^{(\rho\epsilon, n)}(s, k_1^2, k_2^2) = & -\frac{1}{4\pi^3} \frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|} \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \sin\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dq \cdot q^3 * \\
 & * \left(M_{\rho\epsilon}^{(s,1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) + M_{\rho\epsilon}^{(u,1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) \right) * \\
 & * \prod_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{k_j^2}{M^2}\right)} \cdot |\vec{k}_3| \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) \cdot \overline{F}_{\pi}^{(n-1)}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2) \\
 & - \text{same expression} \Big|_{s=0, k_1^2 = k_2^2 = \hat{k}^2} .
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Here $\overline{F}_{\pi}^{(n-1)}$ is the $(n-1)$ 'th iteration of eq. (3.8) with the substitution

$$M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pi\pi}^{(1)} + M_{\rho\epsilon}^{(s,1)} + M_{\rho\epsilon}^{(u,1)} . \quad (4.39)$$

V. The $\rho\epsilon$ transition formfactors

The $\rho\epsilon$ transition formfactors are calculated from diagram Fig. 14 where the blob denotes the renormalized off-shell pion formfactor and M denotes the two pion irreducible transition amplitude $\pi\pi \rightarrow \rho\epsilon$. The current matrixelement is (23)

$$\gamma(\lambda_\rho=1, \lambda_\rho) = \mathcal{D}_{1, \lambda_\rho}^{1*}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) \Gamma^{\sigma\lambda_\rho} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma^{\sigma\lambda_\rho} = & \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \cdot \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\alpha \cdot \sin\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dq \cdot q^3 M_1(k_i^2, \lambda_\rho) * \\
 & * \Delta'(k_3^2, \mu^2) \Delta'(k_4^2, \mu^2) 2|\vec{k}_3| \overline{F}_{\pi}(s, k_3^2, k_4^2)
 \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$M_1(k_i^2, \lambda_p) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\cos\vartheta d_{01}^1(\vartheta) M_{\pi\pi \rightarrow \rho\epsilon}(k_i^2, \lambda_p) . \quad (5.3)$$

$F_\pi(s, k_1^2, k_2^2)$ is calculated from the coupled equations (3.7) and (3.8) with the substitution (4.39) and the subtractions performed.

In our model the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \rho\epsilon$ transition amplitude is given by the one pion exchange diagram Fig. 15, which gives the following amplitudes in the $J = 1$ channel.

$$M_1(k_i^2, \lambda_p=1) = +g_{\rho\pi\pi} g_{\epsilon\pi\pi} \frac{2}{3} m|\vec{k}_1| [\bar{Q}_0(k_1, k_3) - \bar{Q}_2(k_1, k_3)] \quad (5.4)$$

$$M_1(k_i^2, \lambda_p=0) = +g_{\rho\pi\pi} g_{\epsilon\pi\pi} \frac{2}{3} [k_{30}|\vec{k}_1| (\bar{Q}_0(k_1, k_3) + 2\bar{Q}_2(k_1, k_3)) - 3k_{10}|\vec{k}_3| \bar{Q}_1(k_1, k_3)] \quad (5.5)$$

where we have abbreviated

$$\bar{Q}_\ell(k_1, k_3) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} dz P_\ell(z) \Delta'((k_1 - k_3)^2, \mu^2) \Gamma_\rho(k_1, k_3) \Gamma_\epsilon(k_2, k_4) . \quad (5.6)$$

The $\rho\pi\pi$ vertex function Γ_ρ follows from our model, whereas the $\epsilon\pi\pi$ vertex function Γ_ϵ is unknown. We shall take it equal to Γ_ρ . The invariant transition formfactors $F_{\rho\epsilon\gamma}^{(1)}$ and $F_{\rho\epsilon\gamma}^{(2)}$ which are free from kinematical singularities ⁽²³⁾ are given by

$$F_{\pi\pi}^{(1)} = \frac{2}{(s-4m^2)} \left[\frac{(s-2m^2)}{s} \Gamma^{01} - \frac{m}{\sqrt{s}} \Gamma^{00} \right] \quad (5.7)$$

$$F_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{(s-4m^2)} \left[\frac{2m}{\sqrt{s}} \Gamma^{00} - \Gamma^{01} \right] \quad (5.8)$$

and the cross section is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{s^2 \sqrt{s-4m^2}}{s^2 \sqrt{s}} \cdot \left[|\Gamma^{00}|^2 \sin^2\theta + |\Gamma^{01}|^2 (1 + \cos^2\theta) \right] \quad (5.9)$$

VI. Outlook

We think that the model outlined so far contains many important aspects of strong interaction dynamics, namely the presence of both long and short range forces, strong damping in vertex functions and increase in propagators and finally the coupling of many channels already at moderate energies. It is an essential improvement of a Bethe-Salpeter model proposed previously ⁽²⁵⁾. We hope that more output of information about form factors will emerge than there is necessary input in terms of assumptions and adjustable parameters.

Future aspects on the theoretical side are the following:
The higher order corrections in the nucleon loop should be calculated more carefully not only because of the energy behaviour of the $\pi\pi$ -potential, but also because of current conservation requirements. Both the use of dressed nucleon propagators and of damped meson nucleon vertices requires the inclusion of diagrams of the general form Fig. 6. Strong violations of current conservation might ultimately destroy the possibility to renormalize the pion and nucleon form factors as in eq. (2.17) with positive renormalization constants Z_π and Z_N .

Technically, the methods of solving the BSE in the timelike region have to be improved. Our inability to calculate the diagrams of Fig. 13 for large s and to solve the BSE above the first inelastic threshold at $s = 9\mu^2$ (due to the same diagrams) will force us to solve the BSE below this threshold rather accurately, and then to extrapolate the pion f.f. into the region of large positive s . This is a time consuming and dangerous technique, and it stops to work for $s > 3 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$. These problems will be discussed in II.

Our results for the pion f.f. show significant deviations from a pure ρ -dominance expression ⁽¹⁰⁾, however without higher vector mesons or strong cusps as proposed by Renard ⁽⁴⁾. The present experiments ⁽²⁶⁾ support such deviations, but are inconclusive with respect to sizeable effects of various ρ' -s or cusps. If such effects show up in further experiments, our model needs essential modifications.

Acknowledgement

One of us (U.W.) wishes to thank Professors H. Joos,
H. Schopper and G. Weber for the kind hospitality ex-
tended to him at DESY.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: Nucleon loop contribution to the $\pi\pi$ -Bethe-Salpeter kernel.
Propagators and vertices are dressed ones.

Fig. 2a: $\rho\pi$ loop contribution to the $\pi\pi$ -Bethe-Salpeter kernel.
The internal propagators are free ones.

Fig. 2b: Crossed $\rho\pi$ loop contribution to the $\pi\pi$ -Bethe-Salpeter kernel.

Fig. 3: Unrenormalizable pion vertex diagram due to the
Lagrangian of eq. (2.8).

Fig. 4: Sixth order loop diagram for the $\pi\pi$ -Bethe-Salpeter kernel.

Fig. 5: Bare nucleon current contribution to the pion e.m.
form factor.

Fig. 6: Higher order nucleon current contribution.

Fig. 7: Iterated diagram for the pion e.m. form factor.

Fig. 8a: Nucleon loop contribution to the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\omega$ transition
amplitude.

Fig. 8b: Crossed nucleon loop contribution to the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\omega$
transition amplitude.

Fig. 9: ρ -exchange diagram for the $\pi\omega$ scattering amplitude.

Fig. 10: Nucleon loop for the $\pi\omega\gamma$ e.m. vertex.

Fig. 11: Separation of the $\pi\omega\gamma$ e.m. vertex according to eq. (3.7).

Fig. 12: Contribution of the $\pi\omega$ intermediate state to the pion e.m. form factor.

Fig. 13a : Contributions of the $\rho\pi$ intermediate state to the
and 13b : pion e.m. form factor.

Fig. 14: Contribution of the pion current to the photon coupling to the $\rho\pi$ -state.

Fig. 15: One pion exchange contribution to the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \rho\pi$ transition amplitude.

References

- 1) Y. Oyanagi, Progr. Theor. Phys. 42 (1969) 898
- 2) N.G. Antoniou, C.G. Georgalas and C.B. Uouris,
Lett. Nuovo Cim. 4 (1970), 915
- 3) M. Böhm and M. Krammer, Preprint TH. 1814-CERN (1974)
(to appear in Phys. Lett.)
- 4) F.M. Renard, Phys. Lett. 47B (1973), 361
- 5) V.N. Baier and V.S. Fadin, Pisma J.E.T.P. 15 (1972) 219
- 6) M. Roos, Preprint Univ. Helsinki Nr. 63 (1973)
- 7) B.R. Webber, Phys. Rev. D3, (1971), 1971
- 8) R. Omnès, Nuovo Cimento 8, (1958), 316
- 9) W. Frazer and J. Fulco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2 (1959) 365
- 10) G.J. Gounaris and J.J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21 (1968), 244
- 11) F. Gutbrod, Phys. Rev. D6 (1972), 3631
- 12) F. Gutbrod, DESY 72/74
- 13) H. Lehmann, DESY 72/54 (1972) and in Recent Developments in
Mathematical Physics (Paul Urban ed.), Springer-Verlag Wien (1973)
- 14) G. Ecker, J. Honerkamp, Nucl. Phys. B62 (1973) 509
- 15) V.N. Pervushin and M.K. Volkov, Dubna JINR E2-7661 (1974)
- 16) J. Zinn-Justin, Physics Reports 10(3) (1971)
- 17) F. Gürsey, Nuovo Cimento 16 (1960), 230

- 18) M. Gell-Man, D. Sharp and W.G. Wagner,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 8 (1962), 261
- 19) J. Steinberger, Phys. Rev. 76 (1949), 1180
- 20) M.D. Scadron, R.L. Thews, Phys. Rev. D6 (1972) 844
- 21) Particle Data Group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 45,2 (1973)
- 22) J. Le Francois, in Proceeding of the International Symposium
on Electron and Photon Interactions at High Energies, 1971,
ed. by N.B. Mistry (Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1972)
- 23) R.J. Eden, P.V. Landshoff, D.I. Olive and J.C. Polkinghorne,
The Analytic S-Matrix, (Cambridge University Press 1966)
- 24) G. Kramer and T.F. Walsh, Z. Physik 263 (1973), 361
- 25) J. Fleischer and F. Gutbrod, Nuovo Cim. 10A (1972) 235
- 26) D. Benaksas et al., Phys. Lett. 39B (1972) 289,
M. Bernardini et al., Phys. Lett. 46B, (1973),
C. Driver et al., Phys. Lett. 35B (1971) 77, 81,
P.S. Kummer et al., Lett. Nuovo Cim. 1 (1971) 1026,
C.N. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 92.

Fig.1

Fig. 2a

Fig. 2b

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8a

Fig. 8b

Fig. 9

Fig. 10

Fig. 11

a)

b)

Fig.12

Fig.13 a

Fig.13b

Fig.14

Fig.15