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The intercalation of different pyrazines (pyrazine, methylpyrazine, 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, 2,3-dimethylpyrazine, trimethylpyrazine and tetramethylpyrazine) into the trans-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate (CDC2) based Al-MOF [Al(OH)CDC], denoted as CAU-13, was investigated. The adsorption of the guest molecules into the flexible MOF was carried out from aqueous solution or via vapour phase adsorption, starting with the hydrated narrow-pore form of the framework [Al(OH)(O2-C6H4-CO2H)]H2O (CAU-13-np). The obtained host-guest systems were characterised by thermogravimetry and vibrational spectroscopy and their crystal structures were elucidated using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data. The crystal structures indicate that guest molecules forming hydrogen-bonds with the host framework (pyrazine, methylpyrazine and 2,5-dimethylpyrazine) induce a slight opening of the channels, resulting in a semi-open framework transformation (CAU-13-so). For the bulkier guests 2,3-dimethylpyrazine, trimethylpyrazine and tetramethylpyrazine, only Van der Waals contacts can be observed between the host and the guest molecules and the large pore conformation is observed (CAU-13-lp). We carried out in-situ PXRD studies using synchrotron radiation during the adsorption of the respective guest molecules from aqueous solutions with various concentrations and at different temperatures. In general, stronger host-guest interactions required milder adsorption conditions while harsher conditions nevertheless accelerated the conversion. The kinetic parameters for the intercalation of pyrazine indicate that the rate limiting step differs, depending on the intercalation temperature.

Introduction

During the recent two decades there has been a steadily growing interest in the group of compounds denoted as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). Such materials are most often formed by the connection of cationic inorganic building units (e.g. isolated ions or metal-oxo-clusters or chains) via anionic or neutral organic building units (e.g. amines, carboxylates or azolates). This allows in theory the replacement of building units by topologically equivalent ones, yielding compounds with tailored properties. MOFs exhibiting permanent porosity were shown to be suitable candidates for this approach named isoreticular synthesis. Thus the porosity and surface properties can be easily tuned to yield materials with remarkable performance in fields of application like adsorption, catalysis, luminescence or energy storage. Furthermore, MOFs sometimes show remarkable properties which are rarely paralleled by other crystalline materials. One outstanding phenomenon is the tremendous flexibility of some frameworks, which is induced by an external stimulus like temperature, pressure or by the presence of guest molecules. In case this behaviour is fully reversible, it is denoted as “breathing.” The most intensely investigated breathing MOFs are the MIL-88 and the MIL-53 series (MIL stands for Material Institute Lavoisier). The latter framework with composition [M(OH)BDC] (BDC2 = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) is known to form from a variety of inorganic cations like Sc3+, Cr3+, Fe3+, Al3+, Ga3+ and In3+. The joint inside the framework which allows for its flexibility is the axis connecting the oxygen atoms of the carboxylate groups. Rotation around this axis yields the closed pore or the open pore form of the 1D-channels in the framework. This particular mechanism is known as “knee-cap” mechanism.

In more recent years, several related frameworks were reported which, in some cases, also show breathing behaviour. Replacement of the aromatic terephthalate anions by its aliphatic counterpart trans-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate (CDC2) results in frameworks with MIL-53-topology and composition [M(III)(OH)CDC], which could be first obtained using In3+ or Cr3+. Employing this linker molecule in combination with Al3+ or Ga3+ yields also frameworks with MIL-53-topology which were moreover reported to exhibit the breathing phenomenon. However, in these compounds denoted CAU-13 (CAU stands for Christian-Albrechts-
University) the changes in framework structure are mostly due to rotations around the torsionally flexible C-C bonds in the linker molecule, while the influence of the “knee-cap” is only of minor importance. This eventually can also induce a change in the conformation of the linker molecules inside the framework (Fig. 1). While different conformers are observed in the narrow pore form (a,a- and e,e-orientation of the carboxylate groups), only the e,e-conformer is observed in the large pore form of the MIL-53 framework.

Figure 1: Representation of the conformational flexibility of the trans-cyclohexanedicarboxylate linker (CDC)\textsuperscript{2} as it is observed for example in CAU-13 MOFs.

A similar behaviour was also reported for the Ti\textsuperscript{4+}-based MOF [Ti\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{4}DCD\textsubscript{5}] or COK-69 (COK stand for Centrum voor Oppervlaktechemie en Katalyse).\textsuperscript{22} This MOF exhibits a topology identical to MIL-88, but while the latter shows breathing which is induced by the knee-cap mechanism, the different forms of COK-69 contain either a mixture of a,a- and e,e-conformers of trans-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate (open pore form) or solely the a,a-conformer (closed pore form). Similarly the zirconium based MOF [Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}(CDC)\textsubscript{3}] exhibits two different framework conformations.\textsuperscript{23} The large pore form containing polar solvents is highly crystalline with cubic symmetry and solely the e,e-conformation of CDC\textsuperscript{2} is observed. The narrow pore form obtained upon solvent removal is only weakly crystalline and tetragonally distorted, which is induced by a partial change in conformation of the linker molecules. Thus the e,e- and the a,a-conformers are present in 1:2 ratio. An even less rigid linker molecule has been employed to obtain a MIL-53-type structure based on the aliphatic single chain linker adipic acid (hexanedioic acid).\textsuperscript{24} In this compound the breathing motion between a dry and a hydrated framework is also dominated by conformational changes of the aliphatic chain. This also leads to substantial disorder in the open framework conformation, apparently since the various conformations of adipate ions are easily interconvertible.

The aforementioned observations indicate that the breathing behaviour in aliphatic MIL-53 frameworks can substantially differ from the effects observed in the aromatic compounds. Thus, here we present a study on the intercalation of pyrazines with differing degree of methylation into Al-CAU-13 (Figure 2). The products were characterised regarding structure and composition to elucidate the dominant interactions between host and guests.

Figure 2: The guest molecules employed for intercalation into Al-CAU-13. From left to right, top row: pyrazine (a), methylpyrazine (b), 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (c). Bottom row: 2,3-dimethylpyrazine (d), trimethylpyrazine (e), tetramethylpyrazine (f).

Powder X-ray diffraction studies during crystallisation or phase transitions are an ideal tool to shed light on the kinetics of such processes. Using high intensity synchrotron radiation also allows for the measurement of diffraction data for solids forming inside a closed reaction vessel.\textsuperscript{25} Thus the crystallisation of, for example, simple oxides like TiO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{26} or doped CeO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{27} as well as more complex oxometallates\textsuperscript{28}, thioantimonates\textsuperscript{29}, thioannatanates\textsuperscript{30}, and gallophosphates\textsuperscript{31} and other materials\textsuperscript{32,33,34} was already investigated. In addition the crystallisation of MOFs has recently also come into focus.\textsuperscript{35,36,37,38,39} Improvement of the experimental set-up enabled just very recently the in-situ observation of the exchange of coordinated solvent molecules in a Yb-based MOF\textsuperscript{40} and this technique was also employed to study the breathing behaviour of Fe-MIL-53 during adsorption of aromatic guests from aqueous solutions.\textsuperscript{40}

Experimental

Materials. All used chemicals are commercially available and were employed without further purification.

Methods. The synthesis of Al-CAU-13 was carried out in Pyrex glass bottles with screw cap and a volume of 100 mL based on the published procedure.\textsuperscript{27} Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a STOE Stadi P diffractometer equipped with a Mythen detector using monochromated CuK\textsubscript{α}\textsubscript{1} radiation in transmission geometry. All processing of the crystallographic data was done with TOPAS.\textsuperscript{41} IR-spectra were measured on a Bruker ALPHA-FT-IR A220/D-01 spectrometer equipped with an ATR-unit. The thermogravimetric analyses were recorded using a NETZSCH STA 409 CD analyzer with a heating rate of 4 K min\textsuperscript{-1} under flowing air (flow rate 75 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}). The force field based geometry optimizations were carried out using the forcite package available in the Materials Studio software based on the implemented Universal Force Field (UFF).\textsuperscript{42}

Synthesis. Al-CAU-13 (1) was synthesised from a mixture of 1.448 g (6 mmol) AlCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, 1.022 g (6 mmol) H\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}O and 32 mL dimethylformamide (DMF) in a 100 mL Pyrex
bottle. The reactor was sealed and placed in an oven which was heated up to 130 °C over 1 h, kept at this temperature for 12 h and cooled down to ambient conditions over 1 h. The raw product was filtered off, washed with additional DMF and acetone and dried overnight at 120 °C in air.

For the intercalation of the different pyrazines, several methods were investigated and the approach yielding the compound with highest crystallinity was chosen for full characterisation. Thus pyrazine, 2,3-dimethylpyrazine, trimethylpyrazine and tetramethylpyrazine were adsorbed via the gas phase. For this purpose, 15 mg of the hydrated Al-CAU-13 were placed inside a small Teflon jar and this small jar was placed in a larger Teflon reactor which was filled with 100 mg (pyrazine or tetramethylpyrazine) or 200 µL (2,3-dimethylpyrazine or trimethylpyrazine) of the respective guest. The container was put into a steel autoclave and placed in a preheated oven (120 °C) for 3 h. The other pyrazines were intercalated from aqueous solutions. Thus 50 mg of 1 and 1.5 mL of a 2 M solution of 2,5-dimethylpyrazine were stirred for 1 h at ambient conditions and filtrated. Similarly, methylpyrazine was intercalated by heating 1.5 mL of a 5 mM solution containing 50 mg 1 to 40 °C under stirring for 1 h.

Results and Discussion

Structures and Properties

The crystal structures were determined using PXRD data. Details on the procedures and the final Rietveld plots can be found in the supporting information. In general, a suitable model of the host framework was developed by indexing of the patterns and finding a suitable, already reported parent structure, which was optimised by force-field optimisation. Subsequently, the respective guest molecules were generated and considered as rigid bodies. Eventually the structure models obtained in this manner were confirmed by Rietveld refinement. Please note that hydrogen bonding between aromatic nitrogen atoms and oxygen atoms of the framework was evaluated by measuring the interatomic distances since protons cannot be localised by means of Rietveld refinement using powder X-ray diffraction data.

The resulting structures can be divided into two categories, dominated either by the presence of hydrogen bonding or by Van der Waals interactions. First the compounds dominated by hydrogen bonds will be discussed. Some relevant parameters of the refinements are shown in Table S1 (see SI). The linker molecules are in general present in $a,a$- and $e,e$-conformation in 1:1 molar ratio, showing a very similar framework conformation as in Ga-CAU-13 containing DMF molecules.\textsuperscript{20} Compared to the hydrated Al-CAU-13, this subtle opening is only induced by slight rotations around C-C bonds in the aliphatic linker molecules while the $a,a$-conformation of the linker is retained.\textsuperscript{19}

In 1a, the pyrazine molecules are assembled around the inversion centre and bound to the µ-OH groups (which are interconnecting the aluminium ions) in a pincer-like fashion (Fig. 3). Two different O···N distances are observed which indicate moderate interactions between the OH-groups and the guests (N···O distances of 3.05(1) and 3.12(1) Å).\textsuperscript{43} The host-guest compound containing methylpyrazine, 1b, exhibits a different bonding motif. Apparently the methyl group prevents its adjacent basic nitrogen atom from hydrogen bonding and therefore only the “free” basic sites are interacting with the µ-OH groups of the inorganic building units (Fig. 4). While this results in only half the number of binding sites compared to 1a, the bonding in 1b is considerably stronger (N···O distance of 2.79(1) Å).

The structure of the MOF containing 2,5-dimethylpyrazine guest molecules, 1c, shows strong similarities to the one of compound 1b. The guest molecules are bound only via one nitrogen atom to the µ-OH groups with an intermediate N···O-distance (3.00(2) Å). The second N···O-distance indicates only very weak (if any) hydrogen bonding (3.50(2) Å). However, due to the higher number of methyl groups and their steric demand, a smaller number of guest molecules per formula...
unit could be localised upon increase of the molecule size (for 1a: 0.484(2) pyrazine molecules per Al$^{3+}$; for 1b: 0.397(3) methylpyrazine molecules per Al$^{3+}$; for 1c: 0.22(1) 2,5-dimethylpyrazine molecules per Al$^{3+}$). Additional electron density observed inside the channels of 1c was refined as oxygen atoms. However, we assume that additional guest molecules are adsorbed in a non-ordered fashion. The other investigated guest molecules adsorb without the formation of hydrogen bonds. Nevertheless, there are substantial differences observed in the crystal structures. Some relevant parameters are summarized in Tab. S2.

Only compound 1d containing 2,3-dimethylpyrazine exhibits a crystal structure in which the guest molecules are unambiguously arranged in an ordered fashion (Fig. 5). The distances between the adsorbed 2,3-dimethylpyrazine molecules (> 4 Å) do not indicate any strong interaction between the guests and thus we assume that the ordered adsorption and the resulting symmetry result from a packing effect. This is also substantiated by the slightly lower cell volume for 1d compared to 1e and 1f and the higher loading with guest molecules.

The differing binding modes of the guest molecules are also clearly visible in the IR-spectra of the compounds (Fig. 6, for more detailed spectra see SI). The IR-spectra indicate that the guest molecules bearing more CH$_2$-groups lead to stronger absorption in the spectral range for C-H stretching vibrations (especially at 2922 cm$^{-1}$). Moreover the presence and absence of hydrogen bonds between host and guest can be also clearly deduced from the spectra. The structures which are dominated by Van der Waals interactions (compounds 1d, 1e and 1f) show a sharp peak at ~3690 cm$^{-1}$ for the free O-H stretching vibration of the μ-OH group in the inorganic building unit. In the other spectra (for 1a, 1b and 1c) this peak is substantially broadened and therefore much less pronounced. This can be clearly attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonds between host and guest in these compounds.

![Figure 6: IR-spectra of the described compounds in the range 4000 – 2500 cm$^{-1}$. The complete spectra can be found in the SI.](image)

In order to validate the observed occupancies of the guest molecules after Rietveld refinement we also carried out thermogravimetric (TG) measurements (see SI). By relating the observed weight losses to each other we deduced the amount of adsorbed guest molecules. In all curves a small weight loss is observed below 100 °C, which we attribute to the desorption of water molecules adsorbed on the particle surface. The other observed values for the weight losses between 100 and 300 °C and above 300 °C are tabulated in Tab. 1. In all samples the weight loss above 300 °C, which corresponds to the combustion of the framework, is lower than expected. We attribute this to the presence of X-ray amorphous impurities like aluminium oxides or hydroxides which are often observed in the synthesis of Al-MOFs, but mostly visible in thermogravimetric experiments.\textsuperscript{34,40} The ratio of guest : MOF was therefore deduced from the ratio of weight losses above 100 °C (attributed to the desorption of the respective pyrazine) and above 300 °C (attributed to the combustion of one CDC$^2$- linker anion).

The deduced molar host : guest ratios are mostly in reasonable agreement with the values observed during Rietveld refinement. Only for the compound 1c incorporating 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, a strong discrepancy is found. As mentioned above, additional electron density was observed during the combustion of the framework.
Rietveld refinement, which could be due to the co-adsorption of water molecules or due to the adsorption of additional guest molecules in a non-ordered fashion. This could also explain the higher weight loss observed in the TG curve compared to the amount of guests observed in the refined crystal structure.

Table 1: The observed fractional weight losses and residues for the respective host-guest compounds and the expected weight losses and deduced molar ratios as they were observed in the thermogravimetric experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>1c</th>
<th>1d</th>
<th>1e</th>
<th>1f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δm₁ (100–300°C) [%]</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δm₁ (&gt;300°C) [%]</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residue [%]</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expected Δm₁ [%]</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guest: linker ratio</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rietveld ratio</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results presented up to now can be directly compared to the host-guest structures of MOFs with MIL-53 framework (thus aromatic counterparts of CAU-13 with formula [M(III)(OH)(BDC)]) formed by heteroaromatic compounds. A MOF with Fe-MIL-53 structure exhibits a rather open framework conformation for the compound intercalated with pyridine. The guest molecules are strongly bound to the μ-OH groups with an N-O distance of 2.68(2) Å. For Al-MIL-53 two different structures were reported with adsorbed pyridine, which depend on the amount of loaded guest molecules. Both exhibit a fully open framework conformation and both show similar hydrogen bonding as Fe-MIL-53. The very same effect can be also observed for Ga-MIL-53 upon pyridine adsorption. The adsorption of lutidine (2,6-dimethylpyridine) in Fe-MIL-53 was also investigated. In this case the guest is initially only bound via a mediating water molecule between the lutidine and the μ-OH groups to result in an open framework. Upon careful desorption of water, a host-guest complex with directly hydrogen bonding guest molecules can be obtained, in which the framework is slightly compressed. The described bonding in Al-CAU-13 is substantially different since two distinct framework forms are observed which differ in the ratio of linker conformers (e.g. vs. a,a). Moreover the fully open framework conformation is only observed when no hydrogen bonds are present and the amount of loaded guest molecules is much lower compared to MIL-53. We propose that this could be a result of the kinked shape of the CDC molecules and the higher number of protons bound to the aliphatic ring. These differences might suffice to slightly shield the μ-OH groups from the bulkier guest molecules. The substantial structural differences of the title compounds inspired us also to investigate the adsorption process for Al-CAU-13 by means of in-situ powder X-ray diffraction using synchrotron radiation.

In-situ PXRD studies

Herein we used the system Al-CAU-13 / guest /H₂O as a model system for in-situ PXRD studies. This was enabled by the construction of a new reactor cell which will be described elsewhere in more detail. The core of the reaction cell is a pressure resistant Pyrex glass tube which is inserted into a temperature controlled heating mantle (Fig. 7).

A temperature sensor inside the tube allows the regulation by controlling heating (mantle) and cooling power (pressurised air). A magnetic stirrer agitates the reaction mixture inside the tube, which is also equipped with connections to up to two syringe pumps. This allows for the addition of reactants after preheating the reaction mixture and therefore also permits a precisely defined starting point of a reaction. In this study Al-CAU-13 (1) was preheated in water to a preset temperature and once this temperature was reached, the respective guest molecules were added as an aqueous solution or in pure liquid form. Prior to the investigations at the synchrotron radiation source, the conditions under which the hydrated MOF 1 is fully converted to the respective host-guest complex were established by ex-situ experiments. Thus depending on the guest molecule, different reaction conditions were chosen in order to achieve complete conversion, which is a prerequisite for the kinetic analysis. Tetramethylpyrazine was not further investigated in these experiments since it is not sufficiently soluble in H₂O. The optimised reaction conditions are summarised in Tab. 4. In all experiments, 50 mg 1 and 1.5 mL H₂O were first transferred into the reaction cell and after reaching the designated temperatures the respective pyrazine solution was added to the mixture by a syringe pump under vigorous stirring.

Figure 7: Schematic presentation of the in-situ reactor used in this study.
PXR D data were recorded throughout these experiments using monochromated radiation (60 keV, 0.207 Å) with collecting times of 30 seconds per pattern at beamline P02.1 at Petra III, DESY, Hamburg. The resulting time resolved PXR D data for the adsorption of pyrazine at 40 °C are exemplarily shown in Fig. 8. Further data are shown in the supporting information (Fig. S19 –Fig. S28). The large halo around 3.5° can be attributed to diffuse scattering due to the reactor materials and the solvent inside the reactor. For the evaluation of the data, we focussed on the low angle range below 2°. In this range the diffraction signals of hydrated 1 are clearly visible at the beginning of the reaction. Upon addition of the aqueous pyrazine solution after 8 minutes, an increase in background scattering can be observed. Moreover, the MOF begins immediately to convert to the host-guest compound 1a. However, this reaction proceeds very rapidly (within 16 minutes at 40°C) and at higher temperatures the conversion is accelerated.

Figure 8: 3D plot for the conversion of 1 to 1a at 40 °C (wavelength 0.207 Å).

For most guest molecules, the pure reagent was added to the MOF dispersion which also results in very fast adsorption. The higher temperatures required for full conversion to 1d or 1e did also lead to a virtually instantaneous conversion to the respective guest loaded forms. The reactions were considered complete once the intensity of the product peaks did not increase further and the reaction times are summarised in Tab. 3, depending on the respective guest and temperature. For the intercalation of trimethylpyrazine to yield 1e, no reliable data can be given since the high viscosity of the reaction mixture led to the formation an inhomogeneous slurry which resulted in strong fluctuations of the measured diffraction intensities. Moreover small peaks of the starting compound 1 remained visible in the measured patterns However, the reaction seems to be similarly fast as for the adsorption of 2,3-dimethylpyrazine to form 1d.

Table 2: The reaction conditions investigated by in-situ PXRD experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>Added V [mL]</th>
<th>solution</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pyrazine</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methylpyrazine</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>pure</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,5-dimethylpyrazine</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethylpyrazine</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>pure</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trimethylpyrazine</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>pure</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Conversion times for the reaction of 1 to the respective guest complex depending on temperature. For the compounds 1b, 1d and 1e, the pure liquid was added while for 1a and 1c a 4 M aqueous solution was used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>1c</th>
<th>1d</th>
<th>1e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T = 40 °C</td>
<td>16 min</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = 50 °C</td>
<td>6 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = 60 °C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = 70 °C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = 80 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = 90 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the first inspection of these values, it is obvious that the guest molecules which are only interacting via weak Van der Waals forces with the host require higher intercalation temperatures and higher guest concentrations for the complete conversion. The reason for the higher required temperature could be a larger activation energy for the transition from the narrow pore form to the large pore form. The intercalations leading to 1a, 1b and 1c result in the semi-open pore framework and thus no conformational change of the guest molecules is necessary. The conformational change of the linker molecules from a,a- to e,e-conformation for 1d and 1e results in an increased kinetic barrier. Moreover, this inhibition could be also affected by entropy. One must keep in mind that approximately 1 guest molecule of 2,3-dimethylpyrazine or trimethylpyrazine replaces ≈ 2.5 water molecules which are interacting by hydrogen bonding in 1. The slowest intercalation reactions are observed for the adsorption of pyrazine and 2,5-dimethylpyrazine at 40 °C starting from diluted aqueous solutions. Methylpyrazine, while also interacting via hydrogen bonds, does require the addition of the pure guest compound for full conversion and thus also adsorbs comparably fast. In general, adsorption of the guest molecules interacting via hydrogen bonds is achieved at much milder conditions and thus seems to be more favoured (also taking into account that H2O acting as competing guest molecule is still present in excess). Guest molecules forming only weaker Van der Waals interactions require harsher reaction conditions for adsorption, which is most likely due to a higher kinetic barrier resulting from the conformational transition of the linker molecules. The short reaction times make a kinetic analysis of the breathing phase transition upon adsorption challenging and, to the best of our knowledge, no such investigation has been reported yet. Since the duration of the intercalation reaction is comparably long for the formation of 1a, data with higher temporal resolution was measured at a beamline with higher photon flux (P08 at PETRA III, DESY, Hamburg) allowing for a
temporal resolution of 10 s per pattern. The formation of the
intercalated compound 1a, which also corresponds to the
reaction progress α, was evaluated at different temperatures.
The peak maximum of the 010 reflection was considered
proportional to the amount of product formed. Conventionally,
this proportionality is deduced from the peak area, but due to peak overlap and the limited resolution of the
detector, integration of the peaks was unsuccessful. The
observed curves are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9: Reaction progress α depending on the respective temperature for the conversion of 1 to 1a.](image)

Higher temperatures clearly accelerate the conversion to form
1a and thus the conversion is completed after ≈ 20, 11 and 3
minutes at 40, 50 and 60°C, respectively. This data can be
moreover analysed using the Sharp-Hancock plot.51 Plotting
ln(-ln(1-α)) against ln(t) should result in an approximately
linear correlation with the slope m and the intercept m*ln(k),
at least in case the reaction mechanism is unchanged during
the course of the reaction. The evaluated constant m is called
the Avrami exponent and its value is usually characteristic for
the reaction mechanism, ideally indicating a slowest and thus
rate limiting reaction step. Moreover, the apparent reaction
rate constant k can be also deduced. Using this method, we
observed linear correlations up to values for α > 0.9. The
corresponding plots can be found in the supporting
information (Fig. S24-S26) and the observed kinetic
parameters are summarized in Tab. 4. These values
numerically confirm that the reaction is clearly accelerated at
higher temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temperature</th>
<th>Linear till</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>k [min⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 °C</td>
<td>α = 0.94</td>
<td>0.90(1)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>α = 0.99</td>
<td>0.95(1)</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>α = 0.96</td>
<td>1.24(2)</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of the Avrami exponent are in a range which is
typical for reactions limited by diffusion (m = 0.4-0.7) or phase-
boundary controlled (m = 1.1). However, the Avrami model
actually is a nucleation/crystal growth model which is not
necessarily applicable for the breathing of MOFs or similar
reactions. In addition this model is based on the classical
theory that initially nuclei are formed which subsequently
grow into larger crystallites.52 While this is not necessarily the
case for the breathing of Al-CAU-13, it is a potential scenario.
However, this means that these values are unlikely to have any
true physical meaning in the context of a breathing MOF.
An Arrhenius plot of ln(k) against 1/T yields the slope E_{act}/R
and thus gives an estimation of the activation energy for the
adsorption of pyrazine (see SI). The value amounts to 58(5)
kJ/mol for the adsorption of pyrazine into Al-CAU-13. This is
quite reasonable since the activation energy for the adsorption
of pyrazine is thus in the same range as the adsorption
enthalpy for water in, for example, the Al-based MOF CAU-
10.53 Since water is actually exchanged for pyrazine during
adsorption, this value seems very plausible. However, as
mentioned above these interpretations should be considered
tentative and it would be highly desirable to evaluate further
breathing compounds during intercalation.

Conclusions
The adsorption of functionalised pyrazines into Al-CAU-13
indicates a rich structural diversity which originates mostly
from the interplay of linker conformations and dominating
host-guest interactions. In addition, we have demonstrated
that the newly developed cell for in-situ PXRD measurements
allows a sufficient temporal resolution for the measurement of
adsorption processes at comparably low temperature. This
opens the opportunity for the detailed analysis of diverse
breathing processes which can be visualized by X-ray
diffraction. The spatial resolution i.e. reflection overlap can be
a substantial problem and this is where future improvements
will start.
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This might seem unnecessary to mention, however, usually reactions to be measured at synchrotron facilities need to be first started and afterwards the experimental hutch is locked. Thus most often a delay of 1-3 minutes exists between initiation of the reaction and the start of the measurements.

