



24th International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects

11-15 April 2016, DESY, Hamburg



Exclusive ρ^0 Meson Photoproduction with a Leading Neutron at HERA



Sergey Levonian

On behalf of H1 Collaboration



Results presented in this talk: EPJ C76 (2016) 1, 41 [arXiv:1508.03176]

HERA as a '4P' facility





HERA enables to study structure of

Proton – $F_2, F_L, \dots$

Photon – g/γ

Pomeron – F_2^D, F_L^D

Pion – F_2^π



HERA as a '4P' facility





HERA enables to study structure of

Proton – $F_2, F_L, \dots$



Photon – g/γ

Pomeron – F_2^D, F_L^D

Pion – F_2^π



Here for the first time we investigate the reaction involving all these objects simultaneously:



Introduction

- First observation of exclusive photoproduction on (virtual) pion


- ▷ Unique for HERA (before that γ , π beams did exist, but no target)
- ▷ Extends further (very powerful) VM field at HERA
- ▷ Additional constraints to pion flux models
- ▷ Information about absorption effects in leading baryon production at HERA

- Key observables:


- ▷ $x_L = E_n/E_p$ (or $x_\pi = 1 - x_L$) distribution: $\sim f_{\pi/p}(x_L)$
- ▷ W dependence: $\sim W^\delta$ – nature of exchange object(s)
- ▷ t -slope of ρ^0 ($b \propto R^2$ in geometric picture)

- Main experimental difficulty:


- ▷ Trigger (tagged γp – too large W to observe VM; untagged γp – too high rates/prescales)
- ▷ Limited acceptance for forward π and N ($\eta_{\text{lab}} \geq 6$)



Reaction of interest and the analysis phase space




Photoproduction:	$Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$	$(\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.04 \text{ GeV}^2)$
Low p_t :	$ t < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$	$(\langle t \rangle = 0.20 \text{ GeV}^2)$
Small mass:	$0.3 < m_{\pi\pi} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}$	(m_{ρ^0})
π^+, π^- in CT:	$20 < W_{\gamma p} < 100 \text{ GeV}$	$(\langle W_{\gamma p} \rangle = 45 \text{ GeV})$
Leading n :	$E_n > 120 \text{ GeV};$	$\theta_n < 0.75 \text{ mrad}$




No hard scale present $\Rightarrow$ Regge framework is most appropriate

Drell-Hiida-Deck diagrams and diffractive background




Drell-Hiida-Deck diagrams and diffractive background






(P o m p y t M C)

(D i f f V M M C)

Properties (*S. Drell and K. Hiida (1961); R. Deck (1964); F. Hayot et al. (1977); A. Kaidalov (1979)*)


- At large s and $t' \rightarrow 0$ $A_b \simeq -A_c$ and π -exchange dominates
- $\sigma(\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n \pi^+) = |A_a + A_b + A_c|^2$  Interference!
- At small $M_{n\pi^+}$ – prominent peak in t' (kinematics + interference)

Typical $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n \pi^+$ Event




Key Experimental Ingredients


Improved H1 FNC (distinguish ($\langle P \rangle = 98\%$) and measure n and γ/π^0)



located at $z = 106\text{m}$ from IP
 $\langle A \rangle \simeq 30\%$ for $\theta < 0.8 \text{ mrad}$
 Preshower: $60X_0$, Main Calo: 8.9λ




Powerful fast track trigger (allows untagged soft γp to be collected)



Data sample: $\mathcal{L} = 1.16 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 $\sim 7000 \text{ events}$

Precision: $\delta_{\text{stat}} = 2\%$
 $\delta_{\text{sys}} = 14\%$


ρ -meson shape



$$\frac{dN(M_{\pi\pi})}{dM_{\pi\pi}} \propto BW_{\rho}(M_{\pi\pi}) \left(\frac{M_{\rho}}{M_{\pi\pi}} \right)^{n_{RS}}$$

$$M = 764 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma = 155 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}$$



Analysis region: $0.6 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.1$ GeV extrapolated using BW to the full range: $0.28 < M_{\rho^0} < 1.5$ GeV

ρ -meson decay angle



$$\int W(\theta_h, \phi_h, \Phi) d\phi_h d\Phi \propto$$

$$1 - r_{00}^{04} + (3r_{00}^{04} - 1) \cos^2 \theta_h$$


Empirical fit: $r_{00}^{04} = \frac{1}{1 + \xi(M_\rho^2/Q^2)^\kappa}$

$$\xi = 1.85 \pm 0.10 \quad \kappa = 0.67 \pm 0.03$$

$$(P(\chi^2) = 0.41)$$




S/B decomposition and Control plots


 E_n
 $B/(S+B)$

$$F_{bg} = 0.34 \pm 0.05$$


Data points are shown with statistical errors only;
green band represents estimated background fraction uncertainty



Cross sections definitions

$$\sigma_{\gamma p} = \frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\Phi_\gamma}$$

$$\Phi_\gamma = \int f_{\gamma/e}(y, Q^2) dy dQ^2$$



$$\sigma_{\gamma\pi} = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma p}}{\Gamma_\pi}$$

$$\Gamma_\pi = \int f_{\pi/p}(x_L, t) dx_L dt$$

VMD:
$$f_{\gamma/e}(y, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi Q^2 y} \left\{ \left[1 + (1 - y)^2 - 2(1 - y) \left(\frac{Q_{\min}^2}{Q^2} - \frac{Q^2}{M_\rho^2} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_\rho^2} \right)^2} \right\}$$

OPE:
$$f_{\pi/p}(x_L, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{g_{p\pi N}^2}{4\pi} (1 - x_L) \frac{-t}{(m_\pi^2 - t)^2} \exp[-R_{\pi n}^2 \frac{m_\pi^2 - t}{1 - x_L}]$$

Total cross sections



$$\sigma_{\gamma p} = \frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\int f_{\gamma/e}(y, Q^2) dy dQ^2} = \frac{N_{\text{data}} - N_{\text{bgr}}}{\mathcal{L}(A \cdot \epsilon) \mathcal{F}} \cdot C_\rho$$

Where

N_{bgr} – diffractive dissociation bgr from MC

$\mathcal{L}$ – integrated luminosity

$A \cdot \epsilon$ – correction for detector acceptance and efficiency

$\mathcal{F}$ – photon flux integrated over kinematic domain $20 < W < 100$ GeV, $Q^2 < 2$ GeV²

C_ρ – numerical factor accounting for extrapolation to full ρ^0 mass range


For the range $0.35 < x_L < 0.95$ and averaged over $20 < W_{\gamma p} < 100$ GeV

$$\sigma(\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n(\pi^+)) = (310 \pm 6_{\text{stat}} \pm 45_{\text{sys}}) \text{ nb}$$

for $\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad

$$\sigma(\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n(\pi^+)) = (130 \pm 3_{\text{stat}} \pm 19_{\text{sys}}) \text{ nb}$$

for $p_{T,n} < 0.2$ GeV




$$\sigma_{\gamma\pi}(\langle W_{\gamma\pi} \rangle) = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma p}}{\int f_{\pi^+/p}(x_L, t) dx_L dt},$$





and for $\langle W_{\gamma\pi} \rangle = 24$ GeV

$$\sigma_{\text{el}}(\gamma\pi^+ \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^+) = (2.33 \pm 0.34(\text{exp})_{-0.40}^{+0.47}(\text{model})) \mu\text{b}$$



Energy dependence of the cross section $\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n \pi^+}$



Constraining pion flux



Estimate of absorption corrections




$$r_{\text{el}} = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma\pi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi}}{\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 p}} = \begin{cases} 0.25 \pm 0.06 & (\text{exp. extracted}) \\ 0.57 \pm 0.03 & (\text{theo. expected}) \end{cases} \Rightarrow K_{\text{abs}} = 0.44 \pm 0.11$$

Optical Theorem: $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = b_{\text{el}} \sigma_{\text{el}} \propto \sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 \Rightarrow r_{\text{el}} = \left(\frac{b_{\gamma p}}{b_{\gamma\pi}}\right) \cdot (\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma\pi} / \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma p})^2$



Eikonal approach: $b = \langle R^2 \rangle$; $b_{12} = b_1 + b_2$

World data: $(b_{pp} \simeq 11.7, b_{\pi^+p} \simeq 9.6, b_{\gamma p} \simeq 9.75) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Differential cross section in p_t^2






Differential cross section in p_t^2



Geometric interpretation: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 2b_1 \cdot (\hbar c)^2 \simeq 2 \text{ fm}^2 \Rightarrow (1.6 R_p)^2 \Rightarrow \text{ultra-peripheral process}$

Differential cross section in p_t^2



Geometric interpretation: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 2b_1 \cdot (\hbar c)^2 \simeq 2 \text{ fm}^2 \Rightarrow (1.6 R_p)^2 \Rightarrow$ ultra-peripheral process

DPP explanation: low mass $\pi^+ n$ state $\rightarrow$ large slope, high masses $\rightarrow$ less steep slope

Summary

- Photoproduction cross section for exclusive ρ^0 production associated with leading neutron is measured for the first time at HERA.
- Differential cross sections for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n \pi^+$ exhibit features typical for exclusive double peripheral process.
- Elastic photon-pion cross section, $\sigma(\gamma \pi^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^+)$, is extracted in the OPE approximation.
- The estimated cross section ratio $r_{\text{el}} = \sigma_{\text{el}}^{\gamma\pi} / \sigma_{\text{el}}^{\gamma p} = 0.25 \pm 0.06$, suggests large absorption corrections, of $\sim 60\%$, suppressing the rate of the studied reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 n \pi^+$.

Backup Slides

Model calculation for $pn \rightarrow p(\pi^- n)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ GeV

V.A. Tsarev and N.P. Zotov, Sov. J. Part. and Nuclei (1978)




Рис. 17. Диаграммы ДХД для процесса $b + a \rightarrow 2 + 3 + 1$:
а — t (π)-диаграмма; б — u -диаграмма; в — s -диаграмма

Если в амплитудах A_u и A_s пренебречь спиновыми факторами, то из (17) и (19) получим

$$A_u \approx (u - m^2)^{-1} \exp [(b_N/2) (u - m^2)];$$

$$A_s \approx -(m^2 - s_2)^{-1} \exp [(b_N/2) (m^2 - s_2)].$$

Поскольку из (13)

$$u - m^2 = m^2 - s_2 - (t_1 - \mu^2) + t,$$

то при малых t_1 и t $A_u + A_s \approx 0$ [5—7, 30, 31]. При учете спина





Рис. 21. Вклады π -, u - и s -диаграмм ДХД в $d\sigma/dt_1$ [37]

$p \rightarrow n\pi^+$ vertex, pion flux and OPE validity






$$\frac{f_{p/p}}{f_{\pi/p}} \simeq (m_\pi^2 + |t|)^2 / (M_\rho^2 + |t|)^2 \simeq 1 / \left(\frac{M_\rho^2}{|t|} + 1 \right)^2$$

LN in DIS: F_2^π , access to the g/π

- But:
- other exchanges (ρ, a_2) - ? ($\Rightarrow$ low t)
 - factorisation (rescattering/abs.corr.) - ?
 - pion flux models (too many on the market)

$$f_{\pi/p}(x_L, t) \propto (1 - x_L) \frac{-t}{(m_\pi^2 - t)^2} F^2(t, x_L)$$



Any new experimental information on the pion flux is important!




Event selection and the Analysis phase space

Event selection (2006 – 2007 e^+p)	Analysis PS	Measurement PS
Triger s14 No e' in the detector	$Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$	(VDM flux: $\sigma_{ep} \rightarrow \sigma_{\gamma p}$) $Q^2 = 0 \text{ GeV}^2$
2 tracks, net charge = 0 $p_t > 0.2 \text{ GeV}$, $20^\circ < \theta < 160^\circ$ from $ z_{vx} < 30 \text{ cm}$ $0.3 < M_{\pi\pi} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}$	$20 < W_{\gamma p} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{t,\rho} < 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ $0.6 < M_{\pi\pi} < 1.1 \text{ GeV}$	$20 < W_{\gamma p} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{t,\rho} < 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ $2m_\pi < M_\rho < M_\rho + 5\Gamma_\rho$
$E_n > 120 \text{ GeV}$ $\theta_n < 0.75 \text{ mrad}$ $x_{FNC} < 2.5 \text{ cm}$, $y_{FNC} < 7.5 \text{ cm}$	$x_L > 0.2$ $\theta_n < 0.75 \text{ mrad}$	$0.35 < x_L < 0.95$ $p_{t,n} < 0.69 \cdot x_L \text{ GeV}$
$\sim 7000 \text{ events}$	$\sim 6100 \text{ events}$	$\sim 5770 \text{ events}$
$\sigma_{\gamma\pi}$ (OPE dominated range) OPE1 OPE2	$p_{t,n} < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sim 3500 \text{ events}$) $p_{t,n} < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$, $0.65 < x_L < 0.95$ ($\sim 2200 \text{ events}$)	



$$\mathcal{L} = 1.16 \text{ pb}^{-1}$$

$$\delta_{\text{stat}} = 2.0\% \oplus \delta_{\text{sys}} = 13.9\% \oplus \delta_{\text{norm}} = 4.4\% \Rightarrow \delta_{\text{tot}} = 14.7\%$$

FNC Acceptance sketch



Differential cross section $d\sigma_{\gamma p}/dx_L$



For OPE safe range $p_{T,n} < 0.2$ GeV

Estimating the value of K_{abs}

- Optical Theorem (plus exponential t dependence):

$$d\sigma_{el}/dt \big|_{t=0} = b_{el}\sigma_{el} \propto \sigma_{tot}^2; \Rightarrow \sigma_{el} \propto \sigma_{tot}^2/b_{el}$$

- Relations between elastic slopes ($b \propto \langle R^2 \rangle$; $b_{ij} = b_i + b_j$):

$$r_b \equiv \frac{b_{12}}{b_{13}} = \frac{b_1 + b_2}{b_1 + b_3} = \frac{b_1 + b_2}{(b_1 + b_2) + (b_2 + b_3) - 2b_2} = \frac{b_{12}}{b_{12} + b_{23} - b_{22}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{b_{22} - b_{23}}{b_{12}}}$$

- Data at $\sqrt{s} \simeq 24$ GeV (for $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^0 p$ an interpolated value of $b_{\gamma p}$ is given):

$$b_{pp} = (11.7 \pm 0.2) \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \quad b_{\pi^+ p} = (9.6 \pm 0.25) \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \quad b_{\gamma p} = (9.75 \pm 0.50) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

- Ratio r_{el} ($1 = \gamma$, $2 = p$, $3 = \pi^+$):


$$r_{el} = \left(\frac{b_{\gamma p}}{b_{\gamma \pi}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma \pi}}{\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma p}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{1 - (2.1/9.75)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 = (0.57 \pm 0.03)$$

- Absorption factor:


$$K_{abs} = \frac{r_{el}(\text{measured})}{r_{el}(\text{estimated})} = \frac{0.25 \pm 0.06}{0.57 \pm 0.03} = \mathbf{0.44 \pm 0.11}$$

Cross sections ratio

H1 (2015)



ZEUS (2002)



$$\sigma_{\text{el}}^{\gamma\pi} / \sigma_{\text{el}}^{\gamma p} = 0.25 \pm 0.06$$

Exp.result

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma\pi} / \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma p} = 0.32 \pm 0.03$$

OT+eikonal approach+data: $r_{\text{el}} \simeq 0.57$

Theory

AQM: $r_{\text{tot}} \simeq 2/3$


Large absorption effects!

Optical Theorem: $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = b_{\text{el}} \sigma_{\text{el}} \propto \sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 \Rightarrow r_{\text{el}} = \left(\frac{b_{\gamma p}}{b_{\gamma\pi}}\right) \cdot (\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma\pi} / \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma p})^2$

Eikonal approach: $b = \langle R^2 \rangle$; $b_{12} = b_1 + b_2$

World data: $(b_{pp} \simeq 11.7, b_{\pi^+ p} \simeq 9.6, b_{\gamma p} \simeq 9.75) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Absorptive factors, K_{abs} , in different PHP reactions



Unofficial private summary!