Fragment Binding Can Be Either More Enthalpy-Driven or Entropy-Driven: Crystal Structures and Residual Hydration Patterns Suggest Why

Eggert Rühmann, Michael Betz, Andreas Heine, and Gerhard Klebe*

Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Philipps-University Marburg, Marbacher Weg 6, 35037 Marburg, Germany

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In lead optimization, small, enthalpically advantaged fragments have been suggested to be superior, as an entropic component will be added inevitably during late-stage optimization. Determination of thermodynamic signatures of weak-binding fragments is essential to support the decision-making process, to decide which fragment to take to further optimization. High-resolution crystal structures of six fragments binding to the S1 pocket of thrombin were determined and analyzed with respect to their thermodynamic profile. The two most potent fragments exhibiting an amidine-type scaffold are not the most enthalpic binders; instead a chloro-thiophene fragment binds more enthalpically. Two chemically very similar chloro-aromatic fragments differ strongly in their potency (430 μ M vs 10 mM); their binding modes are related, but the surrounding residual water network differs. The more potent one recruits a water molecule and involves Glu192 in binding, thus succeeding in firmly capping the S1 pocket. Fragments exhibiting a rather perfect solvation pattern in their binding mode also experience the highest potency.

■ INTRODUCTION

The consideration of thermodynamic binding profiles in hit and lead optimization is increasingly relevant as a valuable analytical tool in drug discovery.^{1–3} Analyses of thermodynamic data of ligand binding have shown that, during late-stage optimization, improved binding is frequently achieved by enhancing the entropic component to the Gibbs free energy of binding.^{4,5} In many cases this results either from an appropriate rigidification of the lead scaffold in the protein-bound conformation or from the attachment of lipophilic groups of growing size to optimally fill the remaining unoccupied pockets in the binding site. Usually these strategies make the lead candidates more complex, provoking concomitant problems such as unsatisfactory bioavailability or growing risk of undesired toxicity.^{6–8} Accordingly, it has been recommended to start lead optimization with small, enthalpically advantaged binders, as the entropic component will be added inevitably to the binding signature during late-stage optimization.^{9,10} Therefore, methods giving reliable access to thermodynamic signatures, particularly of weak binding initial hits such as fragments, are essential to support the decision-making process, to determine which compounds to take further into lead optimization.^{11,12}

In this study we investigated the important drug target thrombin, a serine protease that converts soluble fibrinogen into insoluble strands of fibrin, as well as activating and catalyzing many other coagulation-related reactions. Its active site consists of three well-shaped pockets: S1, S2, and S3/4. We investigated a series of fragments binding to the S1 pocket

(Figure 1). For the characterization of their binding profiles we applied isothermal titration calorimetry and used a set of more potent displacement ligands to determine their thermodynamic signature. The reference ligands used for displacement titrations address all three pockets.

In our previous study¹³ we showed that fragments binding to the S1 pocket of thrombin can be titrated either directly under so-called low *c*-value conditions (no sigmoidal curve)^{14–16} or indirectly by use of a strongly binding ligand displacing the low-affinity fragment that had already been bound to the protein in a pre-incubation step.¹⁷ We could show that the Gibbs free energy of binding is determined quite reliably and the obtained values are independent of the applied titration protocol, rendering the method valid for dissociation constant determinations. In contrast, the characterization of enthalpy and entropy is more difficult. Even though the displacement method achieves higher accuracy, the obtained enthalpy–entropy profile depends on the properties of the displacement ligand used in the second titration step. Although the absolute values deviate, the relative enthalpy differences determined across the experiments using the different displacement ligands revealed an unchanged signature and thus can serve as a kind of thermodynamic fingerprint for the fragments' binding signatures.

Received: May 28, 2015

Figure 1. Chemical structures of the investigated fragments and reference ligands.

In the current contribution we present the high-resolution crystal structures of thrombin in complex with the studied fragments and the reference ligands used for the displacement titrations. The uncovered structural details, particularly with respect to the residual solvation patterns, will be compared to the results obtained by considering the deviating thermodynamic signatures and the differences recorded by the displacement titrations.

RESULTS

Crystal Structures of the Reference Ligands. Reference ligand **A** exhibits a predominantly enthalpic binding signature and addresses the S1 pocket of thrombin with its dichloro-benzyl moiety, the S2 pocket with a proline, and the S3/S4 pocket with a D-Phe portion (Figure 2a). At the P1 position the 2,5-dichloro-benzylamide portion forms a chlorine- π interaction with Tyr228. The 2-chlorine atom points along the C-Cl bond vector to the edge of the aromatic ring of Tyr228 at a distance of 4.9 Å. No additional water molecule can be detected in the S1 pocket. Furthermore, a hydrogen bond is formed from the NH group of this P1 group to the carbonyl oxygen of Ser214 (2.9 Å). The proline portion at P2 fills nicely the space beneath the 60-loop covering the S2 pocket. Accordingly, it prevents solvent exposure of the ligand's central amide NH, which is hydrogen-bonded to Ser214CO. The adjacent carbonyl function (2.9 Å) and the N-terminal amino group (2.7 and 3.1 Å) of the D-Phe P3 portion form a dual β -ladder-type H-bonding motif to the backbone amide group of Gly216, which has been refined in two conformations. The Glu192 residue, spatially adjacent, also adopts two conformations with comparable occupancy pointing toward the solvent.

Reference ligand **B** is a less enthalpic binder and addresses the S1 pocket of thrombin with its benzamidine moiety, the S2 pocket with a proline, and the S3 pocket with a homo-Ala residue (Figure 2b). The crystal structure of reference ligand **B** (PDB code: 2ZGX) has been discussed previously.¹⁸ As for reference ligand **A**, Glu192 is present in two conformers with almost equal occupancy. Furthermore, a water molecule is found on top of the aromatic ring of Tyr228 in the S1 pocket.

Reference ligand **C** shows balanced enthalpic and entropic binding contributions. Similar to reference ligand **B**, it addresses the S1 pocket of thrombin using its benzamidine

moiety (Figure 2c). It forms a salt bridge with distances both 2.9 Å to the deprotonated Asp189 at the bottom of this pocket. Furthermore, the water molecule observed in the complex with **B** is also present above Tyr228. In the S2 pocket, the NH of a glycine residue addresses the hydroxyl moiety of Tyr60A via a water-mediated H-bond, both with equal distances of 3.0 Å. The S3 pocket is occupied by the ligand's D-Phe residue, and the S4 pocket is filled by a terminally attached benzyldisulfonamide group. The sulfonyl moiety forms a hydrogen bond (2.9 Å) to the backbone NH of Gly219. Glu192 is disordered over several orientations, leading to a poor electron density for this side chain which has thus not been modeled into the electron density. Due to the benzyldisulfonamide in the P4 position which is extending toward the S1 pocket, Glu192 is most likely pointing toward the solvent, as already observed in related structures. The binding mode of related inhibitors has also been described in a previous publication.¹⁹

Crystal Structures of the Fragments. Fragment **1** (Figure 2d) establishes a bifurcated hydrogen bond via its amide nitrogen to the carbonyl oxygens of Gly216 (3.3 Å) and Gly219 (3.1 Å). Both hydrogen bonds are characterized by a rather large distance, and angular relationships indicate strong deviations from ideal linearity ($\text{N-H}\cdots\text{O}=\text{C}$, with 128° and 130°). The pyridine nitrogen of **1** forms an additional polar contact to Gly219 (2.9 Å). The mutual arrangement of the involved groups does not suggest the formation of an energetically favorable H-bond ($\text{N-H}\cdots\text{N}$), as it deviates strongly from linearity (126°). In contrast to fragments **2–6**, no further direct interactions with the protein in the deeply buried S1 pocket could be recognized. Fragment **1** could be refined with full occupancy at a resolution of 1.18 Å. Glu192 is observed in one single conformation and orients toward the solvent.

Fragment **2** forms a chlorine- π interaction with Tyr228 deep in the S1 pocket where the chlorine atom of the chlorothiophene points toward Tyr228 (Figure 2e). This chlorine- π interaction in protein-ligand complexes is characterized by an "edge-on" geometry, as the carbon-chlorine bond vector points toward a C-C bond of the adjacent aromatic portion.²⁰ Furthermore, hydrogen bonds are formed between the carboxamide group of **2** and Glu192 (2.9 Å) as well as Gly219 (3.1 Å). One of the carboxylate oxygens of Glu192 is

Figure 2. (a) Reference ligands A (light blue), (b) B (turquoise), and (c) C (cyan) and fragments (d) 1 (white), (e) 2 (green), (f) 3 (orange), (g) 4 (yellow), (h) 5 (magenta), and (i) 6 (red) bound to the active site of thrombin. (j) The apo-structure of thrombin (2UUF, light green) is shown. The protein is indicated by its solvent-accessible surface (white). Oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms are indicated in red, blue, and yellow, respectively. In (a) also the specificity pockets are assigned. Water molecules are displayed as spheres in the color of the respective ligand. Electron density difference maps ($F_o - F_c$) are shown at the 2σ level for fragments 1 and 6 and at 3σ for all other ligands as green meshes, respectively.

Figure 3. (a) Superposition of 3 and 4; (b) 2 and 5; (c) 5 and 6; (d) 3, 4, B, C, and apo; and (e) 1, 2, 5, 6, A, and apo. Color code similar to Figure 2.

placed in a position suited to address the carboxamide nitrogen of the fragment, allowing to establish a favorable H-bonding geometry (162° to Gly219 and 173° to Glu192). As a consequence, Glu192 adopts one definite conformation. This contact, along with the support from reasonably refined *B*-values, suggests the unambiguous assignment of O and N to the electron density of the carboxamide group. In addition, an interstitial water molecule (red circle, Figure 2e) mediating hydrogen-bonding contacts between the carboxamide oxygen of 2 and the backbone of amino acids Cys191, Gly193 (amino acids not shown in Figure 2e), and both alternative conformations (occupancy 0.42 and 0.58) of Ser195 is observed.

The amidino moiety of fragment 3 (Figure 2f) is accommodated in the S1 pocket of thrombin and forms a salt bridge with distances of 2.9 and 2.8 Å to the most likely deprotonated Asp189 at the bottom of this pocket. Planes defined by the atoms of either the ligand's amidino and the carboxylate group of Asp189 are twisted by 14.5° from coplanarity, which is assumed to represent the optimum for this contact. This contrasts the binding geometry observed for 4 (see below). In anti-direction the amidino nitrogens of 3 form H-bonds to the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Ala190 (3.1 Å) and Gly219 (2.9 Å). A water molecule mediating an H-bond to the carbonyl oxygen of Phe227 (not shown in Figure 2f) can be detected, and Glu192 is observed in one conformation which partly seals the S1 pocket from above. Beyond the phenyl ring

of **3** toward the solvent environment an elaborate water network is detected, consisting of seven water molecules and involving the carboxylate group of Glu192.

Via its amidino group, the amidinopiperidine fragment **4** (Figure 2g) forms a salt bridge with comparable distances as in **3** (2.9 and 2.9 Å) to the deprotonated Asp189 at the bottom of the S1 pocket. Here the twist angle between planes through the amidino and carboxylate groups approaches more closely the optimal coplanarity compared to **3** (3.6°). Further H-bonds can be observed from the amidino nitrogens to the backbone oxygen atoms of Ala190 (3.3 Å) and Gly219 (2.9 Å). The H-bond to Ala190 is longer compared to **3** (3.1 Å). The ligand's piperidine ring adopts a chair conformation fitting well below one of the two observed Glu192 conformers which refine to almost equal occupancies of 49% and 51%. Whereas one conformer is integrated into the water network capping the S1 pocket, the second conformer is oriented toward the solvent. None of the conformers is involved in a direct contact to other residues of the protein. Remarkably, both show an orientation and accordingly torsion angles that deviate from the other structures. Similar to **3**, the corresponding water-mediated H-bond to Phe227 could be detected, and the water molecule is located also at the same position as in the complex with **3**. The generated water network exhibits similar features as the one observed for **3**, except that Glu192 occurs in a second conformation and only the first one is involved in the network. The water network above the S1 pocket and **3** and **4** is largely conserved (Figure 3a).

Fragment **5** (resolution: 1.12 Å) refines to an occupancy of 0.80. It also forms a chlorine- π interaction to Tyr228 in the S1 pocket, and the carbon-chlorine bond vector points toward a C-C bond of the aromatic ring of Tyr228 (Figure 2h). In this structure Glu192 is disordered and no interactions to **5** can be detected. A hydrogen bond is formed between the carbonyl group of the fragment's amide group and one conformer (occupancy 0.54) of the hydroxy methylene side chain of the catalytic Ser195 (2.7 Å). Here, the assignment of N and O to the density of the fragment's carboxamide groups is not unequivocally defined by the H-bonding topology, but the B-factor refinement supports our allocation. In addition, water-mediated hydrogen bonds are formed via the NH₂ group of the amide function of **5** and the carbonyl oxygens of the backbone amide groups of residues Gly216 and Gly219.

For fragment **6** (resolution: 1.24 Å) a chlorine- π interaction to Tyr228 is also observed in the S1 pocket since the chlorine atom of the *para*-chloro-benzyl portion points toward Tyr228 (Figure 2i). An additional hydrogen bond is formed via the NH group of the sulfamide unit to the carbonyl group of Gly219 (3.0 Å). Glu192 is oriented toward the solvent, as similarly observed for fragment **1**. In addition, an interstitial water molecule mediates a hydrogen bond between one oxygen of the sulfamide group of **6** and the backbone amide groups of Gly193 and Ser195. Furthermore, the terminal dimethyl amino group of the sulfamide is involved in a water-mediated contact to the peptide backbone of Gly216. Fragment **6** refines to an occupancy of 0.75. In the difference electron density, weak density signals attributable to several water molecules at the position of the phenyl ring of **6** became visible, suggesting partial occupancy of **6** and corresponding to the water structure of the *apo*-protein. Due to the low occupancy, these water molecules were not included in the refinement model.

One water molecule (O1279; *apo*-structure 2UUF,²¹ red circle in Figure 2j) is known to be important for the binding of

different P1 head groups in the S1 binding pocket of thrombin. It is found in the benzamidine (**B**, **C**, **3**) and amidino piperidine (**4**) complexes (Figure 3d).¹⁸ The location of this water molecule seems to be optimal in the *apo*-protein, as it builds up a network with other water molecules to interact with Asp189. Furthermore, it forms a short contact to water molecules placed on top of the aromatic ring of Tyr228. Interestingly, the chlorine substituents of **2**, **5**, **6**, and **A** and also the methoxy moiety of **1** are located close to the latter water position above the aromatic ring of Tyr228 and displace it from the S1 pocket (Figure 3e). With the amidine-type ligands this water molecule remains in the S1 pocket but it is pushed into a slightly more distal position compared to the *apo*-protein.

Influence of Bound Hirudin Peptide on the Thermodynamic Signature. All crystal structures presented in this study originate from crystals that were prepared in the presence of a 12-residue-long peptide derived from the 66 amino acid natural product inhibitor hirudin in order to block the *exo*-site of thrombin. Even though the binding site of this peptide is rather remote from the active site where all fragments and the reference ligands bind, it cannot be excluded that the binding of the peptide has some influence on their binding properties. In contrast to the crystallographic analysis, all titration experiments discussed in our previous communication were performed in the absence of the peptide. Accordingly, to study the influence of the hirudin peptide used in the crystallization procedure, we titrated the peptide directly to thrombin and performed additional titrations with the three displacement ligands **A**, **B**, and **C** in the presence of the hirudin peptide in order to compare them with the thermodynamic data obtained in the presence and absence of the *exo*-site binder.

A direct titration of the hirudin peptide to thrombin revealed no significant enthalpic signal that could be evaluated, likely due to a predominantly entropic binding profile. Subsequently, we titrated the three displacement ligands to the protein pre-incubated with the hirudin peptide. The measured binding enthalpies for ligands **A** (with hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -47.0 \pm 1.1$ kJ/mol, without hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -46.5 \pm 0.3$ kJ/mol), **B** (with hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -39.9 \pm 0.7$ kJ/mol, without hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -40.2 \pm 0.6$ kJ/mol), and **C** (with hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -21.0 \pm 0.2$ kJ/mol, without hirudin $\Delta H^\circ = -22.4 \pm 0.9$ kJ/mol) show almost identical thermodynamic signatures within experimental accuracy (Supporting Information, Figure A). Hence, the presence of the hirudin peptide seems to have no measurable impact on the thermodynamic properties of the binding of our active-site inhibitors.

Analysis of the Conformational Flexibility of Glu192.

A survey of a large number of high-resolution thrombin structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) shows that Glu192 is quite flexible and adopts multiple conformations in the various crystal structures.

We analyzed the different orientations of glutamic acid 192 (Glu192) in 174 thrombin crystal structures. All 174 thrombin structures with a resolution better than 2 Å were taken from the PDB, and extended by our eight new structures discussed in this paper. The complex with **B** has already been deposited and is comprised in the retrieved PDB set. A list of all considered PDB codes can be found in the Supporting Information.

In total, 13 structures were removed from the data set due to major deviations in the backbone, or cases missing Glu192 side chains: 1HAG, 2A0Q, 2AFQ, 2GP9, 2ZO3, 3BEI, 3DUX, 3HK3, 3JZ1, 3K6S, 3SQE, SAFY (structure **5**), and SAFZ

(structure C). Only 12 structures of the sample set showed a second alternative conformations of the Glu192 side chain; one had even a third orientation. In total our analysis covers 187 side chain conformers.

A distance matrix was calculated on the basis of the root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) of all side chain atoms. For visualization, a hierarchical cluster analysis using the average linkage method was performed. The distance criterion for the separation into distinct clusters was a dissimilarity of 1.7 Å. Supporting Information, Figure B shows the dendrogram used for the hierarchical clustering of the 187 Glu192 side chain entries. Figure 4 displays the distribution of the Glu192 side chain orientations in stick representation and colored according to their cluster affiliation.

Figure 4. Distribution of Glu192 side chain orientations as sticks colored by cluster number. The surface of the thrombin *apo*-structure (2UUF), carbon atoms of the amino acids, and water molecules are depicted in white.

The Glu192 side chain found in complex 1A4W with a thiazole-containing inhibitor probing the S1' pocket is structurally remote to all other entries and remains as a singleton (shown in orange). It appears puzzling that a rather large *B*-value of 50.0 Å² has been assigned to this residue in the structure 1A4W. According to this analysis, Glu192 is quite flexible and can adopt, depending on the bound ligand, different conformations.

DISCUSSION

The thermodynamic characterization of the reference ligands A–C and the four fragments 1–4 has been described in our previous contribution.¹³ Fragments 5 and 6 are characterized by too low affinities to record their thermodynamic signature and accurate affinity data. According to a fluorescence-based assay,¹³ we estimate that their binding must be about 10 mM. Thus, both are by 5–100-fold less potent than the other fragments studied. A summary of the binding data of A–C and 1–4 is given in Figure 5.

Independent of the selected titration strategy (direct/displacement) or the chosen reference ligand, the free energy of binding is determined with rather small deviations in all cases, rendering ITC as a reliable method to determine ΔG and dissociation constants. The characterization of the enthalpy/entropy partitioning is more difficult and seems to be dependent on the applied titration protocol. It has to be kept in mind that in ITC enthalpy and entropy do not result from independent measurements; they are calculated as the numerical difference between ΔG and ΔH . We therefore have to consider with respect to the relative comparisons only one of the two properties, ΔH or $-T\Delta S$. To reduce the influence of possibly superimposed protonation effects, all titration experiments were performed in pyrophosphate buffer which exhibits a small heat of ionization. Multiple titrations of ligands to thrombin have indicated that His57 generally releases approximately 0.3–0.5 mol of protons upon ligand binding.^{18,19} For ligands with a scaffold like A and B, entrapment of a similar molar quantity of protons has been recorded, compensating the proton release from His57. Thus, for the studied fragments some small uncertainties of the thermodynamic profile can be given owing to superimposed and not corrected protonation effects.

Interestingly, quite consistent thermodynamic profiles are found for fragment 2 by all titration protocols. In the case of 1 this conclusion is difficult to make, as the accuracy of the measurements is limited due to the low potency of this fragment. Thus, differences in the profiles resulting from the deviating protocols have to be discussed with some care. Nonetheless, the data suggest that this ligand is a predominantly enthalpic binder. Considering the direct titration, both amidine-type fragments exhibit similar profiles, although 4 is more potent owing to both an enthalpic and entropic advantage over 3. The displacement titrations provide a more complex picture. Here the reference ligand A featuring a chloro-aromatic P1 portion reveals for both fragments 3 and 4 an entropically more favored profile, whereas the benzamidines B and C result in stronger enthalpically favored binding. The absolute values differ for both fragments; however, the relative entropic advantage of using A compared to the enthalpic components using B and C is similar (~ 8 – 10 kJ/mol) (Figure 5b).

Methoxy Fragment 1. The methoxy group of 1 falls very close to the location of the chlorine substituent found in A, 2, 5, and 6 (Figure 3e). In all ligands the attached substituents are able to displace the water molecule located at this position on top of the aromatic moiety of Tyr228 in the *apo*-protein (PDB codes: 2UUF, 3D49) and the two amidino complexes. The methoxy group attached to fragment 1 is also found in the marketed factor Xa inhibitor Apixaban, rendering this substituent as competent to collect sufficient affinity contribution in the S1 pocket of serine proteases.²² Fragment 1 has been refined with full occupancy and results in a *B*-factor ratio between fragment and protein ($B_{\text{fragment}}/B_{\text{protein}} = 1.24$) which is the highest within the studied series. This indicates that the occupancy is below 100% and/or this fragment exhibits a significant residual mobility in the pocket due to less tight fixation. This observation would suggest a reduced enthalpic signature in favor of an enhanced entropic contribution. At least compared to 2 that also displaces the named water molecule (see below), it is indeed a less enthalpic binder.

Amidine Fragments 3 and 4. The conformationally more flexible amidinopiperidine 4 loses a larger amount of degrees of

(a)	Compound	ITC Protocol	ΔG^0	ΔH^0	$-T^*\Delta S^0$	K_i Assay	K_d ITC
	direct	-37.5 ± 0.5	-45.1 ± 0.8	7.6 ± 0.5	0.06 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.05
	direct	-39.7 ± 0.4	-28.8 ± 0.8	-10.9 ± 0.6	0.18 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02
	direct	-38.8 ± 0.6	-20.7 ± 0.9	-18.1 ± 1.1	0.14 ± 0.07	0.16 ± 0.03

(b)	Fragment	ITC Protocol	ΔG^0	ΔH^0	$-T^*\Delta S^0$	K_i Assay	K_d ITC displacement	K_d ITC direct
	direct	-17.2 ± 0.6	-15.7 ± 4.1	-1.5 ± 4.7	2430 ± 309	1582 ± 441	1003 ± 224
		disp. A	-16.8 ± 0.8	-10.5 ± 1.6	-6.3 ± 1.8			
		disp. B	-15.8 ± 0.6	-17.0 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3			
		disp. C	-16.3 ± 0.6	-20.7 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.3
	direct	-19.0 ± 0.6	-29.7 ± 2.0	10.7 ± 2.3	431 ± 27	507 ± 139	475 ± 110
		disp. A	-18.2 ± 0.0	-27.4 ± 0.5	9.2 ± 0.6			
		disp. B	-18.9 ± 0.0	-28.8 ± 0.5	9.9 ± 0.6			
		disp. C	-19.6 ± 0.1	-31.0 ± 0.1	11.4 ± 0.2
	direct	-19.8 ± 0.2	-13.9 ± 1.3	-5.9 ± 1.5	258 ± 1	455 ± 109	355 ± 29
		disp. A	-18.7 ± 0.2	-3.4 ± 0.3	-15.3 ± 0.4			
		disp. B	-19.1 ± 0.4	-12.2 ± 0.3	-6.9 ± 0.1			
		disp. C	-19.4 ± 1.1	-13.7 ± 0.7	-5.7 ± 1.8
	direct	-22.8 ± 0.4	-15.4 ± 2.1	-7.4 ± 2.1	111 ± 2	197 ± 74	107 ± 29
		disp. A	-22.0 ± 0.3	-13.8 ± 1.1	-8.2 ± 1.3			
		disp. B	-21.0 ± 0.7	-21.0 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.8			
		disp. C	-21.5 ± 0.7	-20.7 ± 0.3	-0.8 ± 0.8			

Figure 5. (a) Chemical structures of reference ligands, thermodynamic parameters (ΔG^0 in blue, ΔH^0 in green, $-T\Delta S^0$ in red in kJ/mol; the size of the columns indicates the absolute value of the parameter) determined by direct ITC titrations for the strong binding reference ligands A, B, and C, and their estimated binding constants in μM . The estimated standard deviations were calculated from at least triplicate measurements. (b) Chemical formulas of fragments 1–4 with their thermodynamic parameters derived from different titration protocols (direct titrations and displacement titrations with ligands A, B, and C) in kJ/mol and their estimated binding constants in μM . In cases where the enthalpies were taken as similar to the binding enthalpy of the reference ligand (C-1, B-2, and C-4), no error estimations are indicated.

freedom upon binding as it is restricted to only one distinct chair conformation in the S1 pocket. This should be entropically unfavorable. In comparison, the nearly planar scaffold of benzamidine allows at most some wiggling motions of the amidino group with respect to the adjacent aromatic portion, and part of this mobility will still be experienced at the binding site, which should be entropically beneficial. Additionally, the binding of 4 allows establishment of two conformations of Glu192, whereas for 3 only one conformation could be observed. Possibly the latter effect overwhelms and provides an explanation for the higher entropic binding contribution of 4 (cf. below 2 and 5). Both molecules show a comparable B -factor ratio of the fragment compared to the surrounding protein ($B_{\text{fragment}}/B_{\text{protein}}$ for 3 = 0.87 and 4 = 0.85) and comparably low maximum-likelihood-based coordinate errors (0.09 Å for 3 and 0.07 Å for 4, see Table 1). A closer analysis of the anisotropically refined B -factors unveils that the part of the benzamidine ring pointing toward Gly219 is moving more strongly out of plane, leading most likely to a higher residual mobility of the fragment. Planes assigned to the

ligand's amidino and the carboxylate group of Asp189 exhibit a stronger mutual twist from coplanarity for 3 compared to 4. It is tempting to speculate whether this observation results in a weaker salt bridge formed to Asp189 in the case of 3, particularly as 3 is also the enthalpically less favorable binder compared to 4. Overall 4 shows more potent binding to thrombin, which stimulated the researchers at Roche to use the amidinopiperidine moiety as a P1 headgroup in the development compound napsagatran.²³ Taking the data from direct titrations (as they are not further affected by superimposed implications of the displacement ligands) as the basis to compare the thermodynamic signatures of 4 over 3, the affinity advantage of 4 seems to result from both enthalpic and entropic benefits.

Interestingly, a direct comparison of the water networks formed above the S1 pocket shows no substantial differences (Figure 3a) and will hardly contribute to the differences in the thermodynamic profiles of 3 and 4.

Chlorine-Substituted Fragments 2, 5, and 6. The less potent fragment 2 shows interestingly an even lower $B_{\text{fragment}}/$

Table 1. Data Collection and Refinement Statistics

	compound (PDB code)							
	1 (SAF9)	2 (4UD9)	3 (4UEH)	4 (4UE7)	5 (SAFY)	6 (SAHG)	A (4UDW)	C (SAFZ)
wavelength (Å)	0.976	0.976	0.9184	0.9184	0.9184	0.976	0.976	0.895
resolution range (Å) ^a	70.96–1.18 (1.22–1.18)	35.68–1.12 (1.17–1.12)	35.76–1.16 (1.20–1.16)	19.56–1.13 (1.15–1.13)	18.45–1.12 (1.16–1.12)	43.41–1.24 (1.28–1.24)	71.13–1.16 (1.20–1.16)	21.47–1.53 (1.58–1.53)
space group	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1
unit cell: <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	70.0 71.3 72.1	70.1 71.4 72.1	70.4 71.5 72.5	70.3 71.5 72.4	70.4 71.5 72.6	70.2 71.3 72.2	69.6 71.1 72.3	70.3 71.6 72.4
α , β , γ (deg)	90 100.4 90	90 100.4 90	90 100.5 90	90 100.3 90	90 100.5 90	90 100.5 90	90 100.4 90	90 100.5 90
total reflections	497434 (40339)	804825 (42252)	444822 (35244)	392964 (19572)	417074 (37162)	326876 (29013)	506370 (36860)	201127 (19424)
unique reflections	108698 (9826)	127839 (10054)	116712 (10231)	130988 (6524)	134540 (13272)	95099 (8909)	116096 (10454)	53119 (5257)
multiplicity	4.6 (4.1)	6.3 (4.2)	3.8 (3.4)	3.0 (2.7)	3.1 (2.8)	3.4 (3.3)	4.4 (3.5)	3.8 (3.7)
completeness (%)	95.1 (86.1)	96.7 (76.3)	95.7 (84.3)	99.5 (99.5)	99.3 (98.5)	96.1 (90.2)	97.1 (87.9)	99.8 (99.4)
mean $I/\sigma(I)$	19.6 (2.2)	21.8 (3.1)	17.7 (2.5)	24.5 (2.5)	25.7 (2.5)	14.1 (2.2)	25.9 (2.7)	17.7 (2.8)
Wilson <i>B</i> -factor	13.4	12.7	13.6	10.7	11.4	13.3	13.2	17.0
<i>R</i> -merge	0.033 (0.565)	0.036 (0.414)	0.032 (0.440)	0.038 (0.390)	0.040 (0.396)	0.043 (0.528)	0.025 (0.472)	0.048 (0.527)
<i>R</i> -work	0.121 (0.223)	0.125 (0.186)	0.121 (0.204)	0.124 (0.189)	0.122 (0.186)	0.123 (0.229)	0.124 (0.214)	0.145 (0.176)
<i>R</i> -free	0.137 (0.247)	0.141 (0.197)	0.138 (0.214)	0.139 (0.200)	0.138 (0.190)	0.144 (0.250)	0.141 (0.230)	0.174 (0.191)
no. of non-hydrogen atoms	2778	2815	2810	2816	2780	2659	2808	2645
macromolecules	2434	2465	2447	2434	2416	2294	2456	2383
ligands	58	58	22	55	37	61	41	43
water	280	291	331	321	325	300	309	218
protein residues	289	294	289	291	290	280	290	287
RMS bonds (Å)	0.011	0.009	0.011	0.01	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.006
RMS angles (deg)	1.45	1.38	1.46	1.45	1.45	1.43	1.51	1.04
Ramachandran favored (%) ^b	97	97	98	97	97	97	97	98
Ramachandran outliers (%) ^b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ramachandran allowed (%) ^b	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
average <i>B</i> -factor (Å ²)	20.6	20.1	22.0	17.9	18.9	19.9	21.0	25.0
macromolecules	19.2	18.9	20.3	16.0	17.1	17.9	19.5	24.3
ligands	26.8	27.8	22.9	20.0	23.1	28.8	16.8	26.3
solvent	31.8	28.4	33.8	30.9	31.8	32.8	33.3	32.0
<i>B</i> _{fragment} / <i>B</i> _{protein}	1.24	0.81	0.87	0.85	0.98	1.18	—	—
coordinate errors (Å) ^c	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.12

^aStatistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses. ^bCalculated by MOLPROBITY. ^cMaximum-likelihood based.

*B*_{protein} ratio of 0.82 compared to 3 and 4, whereas the very weakly binding fragments 1, 5, and 6 show significantly higher ratios (see Table 1). The properties of 2 might be determined by a fairly tight fixation of the terminal carboxamide group in a network of well-established H-bonds between Glu192, Gly219 and, mediated by two water molecules, to Ser195OH and its backbone NH. This fairly rigid fixation in combination with the enthalpically favored formation of the chlorine- π interaction owing to the replacement of a water molecule which is located in the *apo*-protein on top of Tyr228 has to be compared with the binding pose of 5, a fragment binding by 1–2 orders of magnitude less strongly. The chloro-aromatic portion accommodates virtually the same position in both structures (Figure 3b). Due to the deviating geometry of the five- and six-membered rings, the exit vectors orienting the carboxamide groups differ strongly. As a consequence, the carboxylate of Glu192 is not recruited to interact with 5 in contrast to 2; it remains disordered and likely oriented toward the solvent. However, as modeling attempts show, it could potentially form an H-bond to the ligand with about 3.1 Å length. At first glance, the surrounding water network, apart from the involvement of Glu192, seems to be similar in both complexes, but in detail it appears geometrically less ideal in the case of 5. The water

molecule bridging a putative contact to Glu192 is lacking. In the complex with 2 this water molecule succeeds in firmly capping the S1 pocket from above. Obviously these differences in the solvation pattern and the contact to Glu192 enhance the potency of 2 significantly, suggesting this fragment is superior to 5.

In 5 the exit vector for the carboxamide is located *meta* with respect to the chlorine substituent. In 6, the second weak binding fragment, *para*-topology is realized. Accordingly its polar sulfamide group, even better competent to establish more polar contacts, only establishes a hydrogen bond with Gly219 and via an interstitial water molecule a contact to Ser195OH and its backbone NH. Due to its larger steric demand, the sulfamide group perturbs the water network more strongly compared to that in 2 and 5. The inability of 6 to recruit Glu192 for binding, likely owing to repulsive interactions, the stronger perturbation of the capping water network, and the higher costs for at least partial desolvation of the sulfamide group, makes this fragment overall a weaker binder.

All ligands containing a chloro-aromatic P1 portion replace, with respect to the *apo*-protein, at least four well-ordered water molecules from the S1 pocket. Interestingly enough, the amidine derivative 3 and 4 as well as the reference ligands B

and C all show one residual water molecule in S1, occupying the position of the chlorine atom in 2, 5, 6, and A (Figure 3d,e). This water molecule, found on top of the aromatic ring of Tyr228, is slightly shifted in the complexes compared to its position adopted in the *apo*-structure. The desolvation cost required to shed the hydration shell around the charged amidino group in 3, 4, B, and C will be significantly larger than for those with the chloro-aromatic P1 group. Desolvation is predominantly enthalpically unfavorable and will therefore reduce the enthalpic binding signal.¹² Remarkably, the chloro-thiophene anchor used in 2 has also been applied as P1 headgroup in the marketed factor Xa inhibitor rivaroxaban.²⁴

Deviating Thermodynamic Profiles Obtained Using Different Reference Ligands. We suppose that we record with these displacement titration experiments another case of non-additivity in protein–ligand binding. In case every individual step in a displacement titration procedure would be at equilibrium, the thermodynamic signature obtained for the displacement of the bound fragments should be independent of the properties of the applied stronger binding reference ligand.

A displacement titration starts by incubating the protein with the probe fragment. Subsequently, the selected reference ligand is added and repels the fragment from the pocket. If intermediately the binding pocket would return to the unperturbed solvation pattern observed for the *apo*-protein, the displacement titration should reveal—independent of the used displacement ligand—the same results. This is due to the fact that in the evaluation the results of the titration using the reference ligand alone are subtracted from the combined titration protocol. However, within experimental accuracy this is not the case, we obtain deviations using different reference ligands. This suggests that the protein does not return intermediately to the unperturbed solvation structure seen in the *apo*-protein.

The chloro-thiophene fragment 2 displaces all four well-ordered water molecules found in the S1 pocket of the *apo*-protein. Displacement by the chloro-aromatic reference ligand A occurs without changing the solvation pattern in the S1 pocket. Displacement by the two benzamidine-type reference ligands B and C involves, however, the displacement of 2 from the fully desolvated pocket and the benzamidine reference ligand enters the site together with a water molecule. Thus, the latter ligand does not fully release its solvation shell. This situation is different if the amidine-type fragments 3 and 4 are displaced from the S1 pocket. They incorporate already the water molecule on top of Tyr228. This has to be repelled once the chloro-aromatic reference A accommodates the pocket. This release is likely combined with an entropic advantage. In contrast the displacement of 3 and 4 by the benzamidine-type reference ligand B and C does not change the solvation pattern in S1, the water molecule on top of Tyr228 remains. Obviously, this shifts the thermodynamic signature relative to the displacement with A toward a more enthalpically favored process of about 8–10 kJ/mol. It remains interesting to see that the displacement of 3 and 4 obtains the same relative changes in the enthalpy/entropy inventory. But compared on an absolute scale a difference is experienced. Obviously, additional effects are overlaid to the process, resulting from further changes of the protein and/or the solvation structure, possibly involving the conformational transitions of Glu192. This underlines again that thermodynamic signatures should not be compared on an absolute scale but only relative to each

other.¹² The example shows that the mutual displacement of ligands in binding pockets can follow a rather complex and not necessarily an additive protocol. Instead cooperativity resulting from differences in the solvation pattern can be given.

CONCLUSION

Fragment-based lead discovery (FBLD) has emerged as a promising method to discover starting points for novel leads in a medicinal chemistry program. The obtained hits are usually characterized in terms of their binding affinity, and binding modes are determined by crystallography. However, as they are very weak binders, particularly their energetic ranking remains difficult, and computational methods often fail to provide reliable information. Subsequently, the difficult decision has to be made: which fragment to select for further optimization. Accordingly, current research seeks for criteria to support this decision-making process. Particularly, the thermodynamic properties have been hypothesized as an important gauge, and enthalpic ligand efficiency has been suggested as important.^{1,5}

To provide some insight into the sustainability of these criteria, we determined the high-resolution crystal structures of six fragments binding to the S1 pocket of thrombin and analyzed them with respect to their thermodynamic binding profile. We selected fragments which exhibit the well-known P1 head groups, successfully used in serine protease inhibitors such as dabigatran, napsagatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban. Two rather weak binding fragments (5, 6) address the S1 pocket via their chloro-aromatic portion; the remaining fragment moieties do not succeed in establishing strong interactions with the enzyme. As a consequence, they show significant residual mobility, and their weak potency makes a detailed thermodynamic characterization impossible. Another chloro-thiophene fragment (2) is similarly recognized in the S1 pocket through a chlorine- π interaction with Tyr228. However, additional strong interactions beyond the S1 pocket and an extensive water network capping the fragment's binding position turn this fragment into the most enthalpic binder of the series and renders 2 a much better binder compared to the highly isostructural 5. Part of the enthalpic advantage of 2 is compensated by an unfavorable positive entropic contribution, making this fragment the third most potent of the series. A fourth fragment (1) exhibiting a methoxy aromatic P1 headgroup binds with less affinity to the protein; however, its binding is also dominated by enthalpy. In addition to its S1 binding, this fragment experiences several polar contacts with the protein beyond the S1 pocket. Importantly enough, all four fragments displace four well-defined water molecules found in the S1 pocket of the *apo*-structure of thrombin, particularly including the water molecule located on top of the aromatic ring of Tyr228. The two most potent fragments studied are those of the amidino-type molecules 3 (benzamidine) and 4 (amidinopiperidine). The latter fragment turns out to be the best binder of the series owing to an enthalpic and entropic advantage over 3. For both charged fragments a huge enthalpic desolvation price will be required which should be much larger than for the other four fragments.¹² This cost will, however, be overcome by the strong salt bridge formed to Asp189. Compared to the *apo*-structure, the water molecule on top of Tyr228 remains in the pocket, while it is slightly shifted out of the *apo*-position. In addition, both fragments allow for a perfect water network capping the S1 pocket even though they are not involved in any further polar contacts.

The side chain of Glu192, which exhibits large conformational flexibility across the large variety of thrombin crystal structures, adopts different configurations in the six fragment complexes, partly involving its carboxylate group into interactions with the fragments. Supposedly, also this residue, in addition to the residual solvation structure, has an impact on the thermodynamic signature of the fragments. Remarkably, the fragments exhibiting a rather perfect solvation pattern in the adopted binding pose also experience the highest potency. Likely in the case of fragment binding, the influence of the quality and completeness of the water network wrapping around the bound fragment is even more determining for the thermodynamic signature than in the case of drug-size ligand binding.¹²

This observation is further emphasized by the fact that displacement titrations using different reference ligands produce deviating absolute signatures for the fragments being replaced. The displacement of amidine-based fragments by a benzamidine-type ligand does not alter the S1 solvation pattern, whereas a chloro-aromatic ligand used for displacement will induce a change of the solvation pattern in the S1 pocket as the water on top of Tyr228 is repelled. These changes are superimposed to the overall titration and have to be regarded. Overall we can conclude that at least a semi-quantitative estimate on the thermodynamic signature of the fragments is possible; however, a detailed control of the involved structural changes is mandatory, particular with respect to the residual solvation structure. Only then does the assignment of a fragment to be a more enthalpic or entropic starting point for subsequent lead optimization make sense. However, for such weak binders, a modulation of the solvation structure can easily shift the thermodynamic signature from “more enthalpic” to “more entropic”. One caveat cannot be concealed. The two isostructural chloro-aromatic fragments **2** and **5** could be prospective starting points for lead optimization, and thermodynamics clearly renders **2** the more promising candidate. Experience in thrombin research, however, shows that both the P1 chloro-thiophene and the *m*-chloro-benzyl group are promising; thus, a weak starting point such as **5** can easily gain potency upon optimization and turn into a “well-suited” one with respect to the final optimization product. The multitude of fragments detected in a lead discovery campaign have to be seen as a kind of idea generator and information source to map active-site properties of the studied target protein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ITC Measurements. ITC experiments were performed using an ITC200 system from GE Healthcare (Northampton, MA, USA). Details about the applied measuring protocols have been described elsewhere.¹³

Thrombin was obtained from CSL Behring (Marburg, Germany) and purified from Beriplast. Thrombin was extracted by dialysis using an experimental buffer of 50 mM sodium tetraphosphosphate, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% polyethylene glycol 8000 at pH 7.8. Subsequently, the protein could be used for all titration experiments. The protein concentration was measured by absorbance at 280 nm using a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer from Thermo Scientific.

For studying the influence of bound hirudin, 250 μ M hirudin peptide (54–65) (sulfated) from Bachem (Switzerland) in TSP buffer was preincubated with 27.5 μ M thrombin and afterward titrated. Displacement ligands from 50 mM DMSO stock solutions were diluted to 0.25 mM in ITC buffer and subsequently the DMSO

concentration in all solutions was adjusted to 3%. All titrations were performed in duplicate in order to save hirudin material.

All ITC experiments were performed at 25 °C with a reference power of 5 kcal/s after a stable baseline had been reached. The pre-titration delay was set to 300 s. For titrations, ligand injections of 0.3 μ L (to prevent artifacts arising from small syringe leakages or air in the syringe) were followed by 19–27 injections of 1.5–2.0 μ L, with at least a 180 s interval between subsequent injections. Data integration and evaluation was performed with Nitpic²⁵ and Sedphat.²⁶

X-ray Data. Crystallization and Soaking. Human α -thrombin (from Enzyme Research Laboratories, South Bend, IN, USA) was dissolved in the crystallization buffer (20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 350 mM NaCl, 2 mM benzamidine, pH 7.5) at 10 mg/mL. The hirudin fragment Hirudin (54–65) (sulfated) obtained from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland) was dissolved in the crystallization buffer at 2.5 mg/mL. In the next step, 40 μ L of the solution of the hirudin fragment was mixed with 160 μ L of the thrombin solution. After incubation for 1 h at 4 °C, crystallization was carried out at 4 °C by the hanging-drop method. The hirudin/thrombin solution was mixed 1:1 with the reservoir solution (20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 27% polyethylene glycol 8000, pH 7.5) and 2 μ L of this solution was placed to the center of a cover slide. Immediately after mixing of protein and reservoir buffer, microseeding was performed with a horse hair. The wells of the crystallization trays were filled with 500 μ L of the reservoir buffer. Subsequently the coverslips were placed over the wells and sealed. Crystals of good diffraction quality could be obtained after 10–14 days.

For soaking, a DMSO stock solution of the inhibitors (**A**, **B**, and **C** (50 mM) and fragments **1–6** (500 mM)) was diluted 1:10 with a solution containing crystallization and reservoir buffer at a 1:1 ratio resulting in the final soaking concentration containing 5 or 50 mM of the respective compound and 10% DMSO. A crystal without visible imperfections was selected and transferred into the soaking solution for 24 h.

Data Collection and Processing. For data collection at 110 K, crystals were prepared using a cryoprotectant solution of 20% glycerol in reservoir buffer for 2 min.

The data sets of **1**, **2**, **5**, and **A** were collected at the synchrotron DESY (Hamburg) at beamline P14, using a wavelength of 0.976 Å and a Pilatus 6M detector. Data sets of **3**, **4**, **5**, and **C** were collected at the synchrotron BESSY (Berlin) at beamline 14.2 (wavelength of 0.9184 Å) and beamline 14.3 (wavelength of 0.895 Å), both using Rayonix MX-225 detectors.

For compounds **1**, **2**, **3**, **5**, **6**, **A**, and **C**, data processing and scaling was performed with the XDS program package.²⁷ For fragment **4**, data processing and scaling was performed using the HKL2000 package.²⁸

Structure Determination and Refinement. The coordinates of human thrombin (PDB codes 1H8D²⁹ and 4UE7) were used for molecular replacement with Phaser from the CCP4 program package.³⁰

For initial rigid-body refinement of the protein molecule, followed by repeated cycles of maximum-likelihood energy minimization simulated annealing and *B*-factor refinement, the program PHENIX³¹ was used. For determining the temperature factors for the structures anisotropic *B*-factor refinement was applied. A randomly chosen 5% set of all data was used for the calculation of R_{free} and not used during the refinement. Amino acid side chains were fit into σ -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ and $F_o - F_c$ electron density maps using Coot.³² After the first refinement cycle, water molecules and subsequently ions and ligands were located in the electron density and added to the model. Restraints were applied to bond lengths and angles, planarity of aromatic rings, and van der Waals contacts. Multiple side chain conformations were built in case an appropriate electron density was observed and maintained during the refinement, and if the minor populated side chain showed at least 20% occupancy. The final models were validated using PHENIX validation options and Coot. The Ramachandran plot was calculated with MolProbity³³ integrated in the PHENIX package. Data collection, unit cell parameters, and refinement statistics are given in Table 1. Analysis of temperature

factors was performed with MolProbity. The naming of the protein amino acids was done according to Bode et al.²⁹

Protein Data Bank and Accession Codes. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the following accession codes: 1 (SAF9), 2 (4UD9), 3 (4UEH), 4 (4UE7), 5 (SAFY), 6 (SAHG), A (4UDW), and C (SAFZ).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00812.

Figure A, thermodynamic parameters determined by direct ITC titrations for the ligands A, B, and C in the presence and absence of Hirudin; Figure B, cluster dendrogram (PDF)

Formula strings (CSV)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +49-6421-28-21313. E-mail: klebe@mail.uni-marburg.de

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Martina Schäfer and Marie Fricke (Bayer Healthcare, Berlin) for the initial discussion of the thermodynamic and structural data. Furthermore, we gratefully acknowledge the beamline staff at BESSY II (Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin) in Berlin and Desy (EMBL) in Hamburg, Germany, for providing us outstanding support during the data collection. We also thank the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin for the travel support. The present study was kindly supported by the ERC Advanced Grant No. 268145-DrugProfilBind awarded to G.K.

■ ABBREVIATIONS USED

FBLD, fragment-based lead discovery; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; S1, S2, S3, ..., P1, P2, P3, ..., classification of pockets and ligand positions according to the Berger and Schlechter notation used for proteases

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Ladbury, J. E.; Klebe, G.; Freire, E. Adding calorimetric data to decision making in lead discovery: a hot tip. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2010**, *9* (1), 23–27.
- (2) Rees, D. C.; Congreve, M.; Murray, C. W.; Carr, R. Fragment-based lead discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2004**, *3* (8), 660–672.
- (3) Taylor, J. D.; Gilbert, P. J.; Williams, M. A.; Pitt, W. R.; Ladbury, J. E. Identification of novel fragment compounds targeted against the pY pocket of v-Src SH2 by computational and NMR screening and thermodynamic evaluation. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2007**, *67* (4), 981–990.
- (4) Olsson, T. S. G.; Williams, M. A.; Pitt, W. R.; Ladbury, J. E. The thermodynamics of protein-ligand interaction and solvation: insights for ligand design. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *384* (4), 1002–1017.
- (5) Ferenczy, G. G.; Keserü, G. M. How are fragments optimized? A retrospective analysis of 145 fragment optimizations. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56* (6), 2478–2486.
- (6) Chaires, J. B. Calorimetry and thermodynamics in drug design. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **2008**, *37*, 135–151.

- (7) Borsi, V.; Calderone, V.; Fragai, M.; Luchinat, C.; Sarti, N. Entropic contribution to the linking coefficient in fragment-based drug design: a case study. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53* (10), 4285–4289.
- (8) Martin, S. F.; Clements, J. H. Correlating structure and energetics in protein-ligand interactions: paradigms and paradoxes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2013**, *82*, 267–293.
- (9) de Kloe, G. E.; Bailey, D.; Leurs, R.; de Esch, I. J. P. Transforming fragments into candidates: small becomes big in medicinal chemistry. *Drug Discovery Today* **2009**, *14* (13–14), 630–646.
- (10) Congreve, M.; Chessari, G.; Tisi, D.; Woodhead, A. J. Recent Developments in Fragment-Based Drug Discovery. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51* (13), 3661–3680.
- (11) Freire, E. A thermodynamic approach to the affinity optimization of drug candidates. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* **2009**, *74* (5), 468–472.
- (12) Klebe, G. Applying thermodynamic profiling in lead finding and optimization. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2015**, *14* (2), 95–110.
- (13) Rühmann, E.; Betz, M.; Fricke, M.; Heine, A.; Schäfer, M.; Klebe, G. Thermodynamic signatures of fragment binding: Validation of direct versus displacement ITC titrations. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **2015**, *1850* (4), 647–656.
- (14) Broecker, J.; Vargas, C.; Keller, S. Revisiting the optimal cvalue for isothermal titration calorimetry. *Anal. Biochem.* **2011**, *418* (2), 307–309.
- (15) Tellinghuisen, J. Isothermal titration calorimetry at very low c. *Anal. Biochem.* **2008**, *373* (2), 395–397.
- (16) Turnbull, W. B.; Daranas, A. H. On the value of c: can low affinity systems be studied by isothermal titration calorimetry? *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125* (48), 14859–14866.
- (17) Zhang, Y. L.; Zhang, Z. Y. Low-affinity binding determined by titration calorimetry using a high-affinity coupling ligand: a thermodynamic study of ligand binding to protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B. *Anal. Biochem.* **1998**, *261* (2), 139–148.
- (18) Baum, B.; Muley, L.; Heine, A.; Smolinski, M.; Hangauer, D.; Klebe, G. Think twice: understanding the high potency of bis(phenyl)methane inhibitors of thrombin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2009**, *391* (3), 552–564.
- (19) Biela, A.; Sielaff, F.; Terwesten, F.; Heine, A.; Steinmetzer, T.; Klebe, G. Ligand binding stepwise disrupts water network in thrombin: enthalpic and entropic changes reveal classical hydrophobic effect. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55* (13), 6094–6110.
- (20) Imai, Y. N.; Inoue, Y.; Nakanishi, I.; Kitaura, K. Cl-pi interactions in protein-ligand complexes. *Protein Sci.* **2008**, *17* (7), 1129–1137.
- (21) Ahmed, H. U.; Blakeley, M. P.; Cianci, M.; Cruickshank, D. W. J.; Hubbard, J. A.; Helliwell, J. R. The determination of protonation states in proteins. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2007**, *63* (8), 906–922.
- (22) Pinto, D. J. P.; Orwat, M. J.; Koch, S.; Rossi, K. A.; Alexander, R. S.; Smallwood, A.; Wong, P. C.; Rendina, A. R.; Luettgen, J. M.; Knabb, R. M.; He, K.; Xin, B.; Wexler, R. R.; Lam, P. Y. S. Discovery of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-7-oxo-6-(4-(2-oxopiperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-c]pyridine-3-carboxamide (apixaban, BMS-562247), a highly potent, selective, efficacious, and orally bioavailable inhibitor of blood coagulation factor Xa. *J. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *50* (22), 5339–5356.
- (23) Hilpert, K.; Ackermann, J.; Banner, D. W.; Gast, A.; Gubernator, K.; Hadváry, P.; Labler, L.; Müller, K.; Schmid, G.; Tschoop, T. B. Design and synthesis of potent and highly selective thrombin inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37* (23), 3889–3901.
- (24) Keller, S.; Vargas, C.; Zhao, H.; Piszczek, G.; Brautigam, C. A.; Schuck, P. High-Precision Isothermal Titration Calorimetry with Automated Peak-Shape Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **2012**, *84* (11), 5066–5073.
- (25) Houtman, J. C.; Brown, P. H.; Bowden, B.; Yamaguchi, H.; Appella, E.; Samelson, L. E.; Schuck, P. Studying multisite binary and ternary protein interactions by global analysis of isothermal titration calorimetry data in SEDPHAT: Application to adaptor protein complexes in cell signaling. *Protein Sci.* **2007**, *16* (1), 30–42.

- (26) Bernett, M. J.; Blaber, S. I.; Scarisbrick, I. A.; Dhanarajan, P.; Thompson, S. M.; Blaber, M. Crystal structure and biochemical characterization of human kallikrein 6 reveals that a trypsin-like kallikrein is expressed in the central nervous system. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277 (27), 24562–24570.
- (27) Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2010**, 66 (2), 125–132.
- (28) Otwinowski, Z.; Minor, W. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol.* **1997**, 276, 307–326.
- (29) Bode, W.; Mayr, I.; Baumann, U.; Huber, R.; Stone, S. R.; Hofsteenge, J. The refined 1.9 Å crystal structure of human alpha-thrombin: interaction with D-Phe-Pro-Arg chloromethylketone and significance of the Tyr-Pro-Pro-Trp insertion segment. *EMBO J.* **1989**, 8 (11), 3467–3475.
- (30) CCP4. The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **1994**, 50 (5), 760–763.
- (31) Adams, P. D.; Afonine, P. V.; Bunkóczi, G.; Chen, V. B.; Davis, I. W.; Echols, N.; Headd, J. J.; Hung, L.-W.; Kapral, G. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; McCoy, A. J.; Moriarty, N. W.; Oeffner, R.; Read, R. J.; Richardson, D. C.; Richardson, J. S.; Terwilliger, T. C.; Zwart, P. H. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2010**, 66 (2), 213–221.
- (32) Emsley, P.; Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2004**, 60 (12), 2126–2132.
- (33) Chen, V. B.; Arendall, W. B.; Headd, J. J.; Keedy, D. A.; Immormino, R. M.; Kapral, G. J.; Murray, L. W.; Richardson, J. S.; Richardson, D. C. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2010**, 66 (1), 12–21.