Optical properties of magnesium doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N (0.61 $\leq x \leq$ 0.73)
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We investigate the optical properties of Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N:Mg with aluminum content of 0.61 $\leq x \leq$ 0.733 in comparison to undoped and silicon doped reference samples. The ordinary dielectric functions, excitation, and emission spectra are reported at different temperatures. A comprehensive analysis yields quantitative data on the valence band structure of the ternary alloy, i.e., splitting and order of valence bands with different symmetries. Finally, the near band gap emission in AlGaN:Mg is found to be most probably dominated by donor to free-hole recombination.

I. INTRODUCTION

Increasing interest in ultraviolet (UV) light emitting diodes has led to great efforts in the development of doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N layers. While n-type doping with silicon has frequently been reported with reasonable success,1–4 p-type doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N is apparently much harder to achieve. For implementation of doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N layers into functional devices like light emitting diodes or laser diodes, the optical properties of the doped injection layers have to be understood in detail. Very important are therefore the knowledge of the dielectric function, splittings and oscillator strengths of interband transitions, and recombination mechanisms. Yet there are no data available how doping, especially by the acceptor candidate Mg, influences these important optical properties.

Here, we present a systematic study on optical properties of Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N:Mg ($x = 0.61, 0.66,$ and 0.733) and compare to Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N:Si and unintentionally doped (UID) Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N. By a combination of spectroscopic ellipsometry and photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy (PLE), we are able to determine the valence band sub-structure of the samples which is supported by kp theoretical calculations. This allows to analyze localization effects in the emission properties correctly. With Mg doping, the luminescence spectra change their structure from broad unstructured bands in UID samples which is supported by theoretical calculations. This consequently has been reported with reasonable success,1–4 p-type doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N:Mg, influences these important optical properties.

A thin AlN buffer layer of about 80 nm thickness grown at 600°C was used to initiate the growth. A 1 μm AlN layer grown at 1200°C was used as high temperature template followed by a 1.2 μm thick Mg-doped AlGaN layer grown under 1000°C. Trimethyl aluminum (TMA), trimethyl gallium, and ammonia were used as Al, Ga, and nitrogen sources. High purity H$_2$ served as the carrier gas. Biscyclo-pentadienyl magnesium (Cp$_2$Mg) was used as source for Mg doping. The magnesium concentration in the semi-insulating layers was estimated to be on the order of $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ from growth parameters. The three Mg-doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N samples were grown under the same conditions, except the flow rate of TMA which was varied leading to different aluminum compositions ($x$). A TMA flow of 12 sccm led to $x = 0.610$, 20 sccm to $x = 0.660$, and 35 sccm to $x = 0.733$, respectively. Additionally, an unintentionally doped (UID) Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N sample and a silicon doped Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N sample have been investigated for comparison.

The Al composition and the structural quality of the samples were determined by high-resolution x-ray diffraction (Bede D1 HR-XRD) using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. Rocking curves were recorded for the symmetric (002) and the skew-symmetric (102) reflections, as well as reciprocal space maps (RSM) for the asymmetric (105) reflections (not shown here). According to the (105) asymmetrical XRD RSMs obtained from the three Mg-doped AlGaN samples, the AlN template reciprocal lattice points are not in vertical alignment with that of the AlGaN epilayers, indicating that AlGaN is not pseudomorphically strained on the AlN template. The Al composition was calculated and is summarized in Table I together with lattice parameters and rocking curve full widths at half maximum (FWHM) values. The (002) and (102) rocking curves are presented in Fig. 1. For the (002) rocking curves a detector slit of 0.5 mm was used, while for the (102) rocking curves the detector was open. This fact is visible by the presence of a part of the AlN layer reflex in pressure (50 Torr) metal organic chemical vapor deposition.

II. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES AND EXPERIMENTAL

Three Mg-doped AlGaN samples with different Al composition were grown on (0001) sapphire substrates by low pressure (50 Torr) metal organic chemical vapor deposition.5 A thin AlN buffer layer of about 80 nm thickness grown at 600°C was used to initiate the growth. A 1 μm AlN layer grown at 1200°C was used as high temperature template followed by a 1.2 μm thick Mg-doped AlGaN layer grown under 1000°C. Trimethyl aluminum (TMA), trimethyl gallium, and ammonia were used as Al, Ga, and nitrogen sources. High purity H$_2$ served as the carrier gas. Biscyclo-pentadienyl magnesium (Cp$_2$Mg) was used as source for Mg doping. The magnesium concentration in the semi-insulating layers was estimated to be on the order of $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ from growth parameters. The three Mg-doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N samples were grown under the same conditions, except the flow rate of TMA which was varied leading to different aluminum compositions ($x$). A TMA flow of 12 sccm led to $x = 0.610$, 20 sccm to $x = 0.660$, and 35 sccm to $x = 0.733$, respectively. Additionally, an unintentionally doped (UID) Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N sample and a silicon doped Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N sample have been investigated for comparison.
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Spectroscopic ellipsometry was performed at two different liquid nitrogen cooled charge-coupled device cameras. The light was dispersed by a grating monochromator and detected by a temperature variable liquid helium cooled cryostat. Emitted light from the samples was analyzed using an automated Cary 4000 UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer. The ordinary dielectric function was extracted from the ellipsometric parameters \( \Psi \) and \( \Delta \) using a multi-layer fit procedure as published earlier. Finally, PLE was recorded at the synchrotron light source DORIS III at DESY, Hamburg (Germany) using the superluminescence at beamline I at 10 K (and at 295 K for the upper trace in Fig. 7). Details can be found elsewhere.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to get a first insight into the expected emission and absorption properties in the range of the fundamental band gap, it is essential to consider the valence band ordering at the \( \Gamma \) points of the Brillouin zone and the polarization dependent transition probabilities into the conduction band.

We use the known alloy composition and lattice parameters (Table I) of the biaxially compressively strained films to calculate the valence band structure and thus the transition energies (Table I). Theoretical model calculations by the \( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{p} \) method are performed using an approach published earlier making use of exciton transition energies. A band gap bowing of \( b = 0.9 \text{ eV} \) (Ref. 11) is adopted describing the transition from the conduction band to the valence band having \( \Gamma_9 \) symmetry according to Eq. (1). Unstrained lattice parameters are interpolated linearly between values from Ref. 12 for GaN and Ref. 13 for AlN. All used parameters are summarized in Table II. For the alloy composition range investigated in this study, the valence band order is the same as for AlN. We thus have a lowest energy transition between the conduction band and valence band with \( \Gamma_7 \) symmetry (to distinguish the two transitions involving \( \Gamma_7 \) valence bands, we label them in indices as \( a+b \) and \( a-b \) by increasing energy). The relative oscillator strengths of these transitions were also calculated using exciton transition energies. The ordinary dielectric function was extracted from the ellipsometric parameters \( \Psi \) and \( \Delta \) using a multi-layer fit procedure as published earlier. Finally, PLE was recorded at the synchrotron light source DORIS III at DESY, Hamburg (Germany) using the superluminescence at beamline I at 10 K (and at 295 K for the upper trace in Fig. 7). Details can be found elsewhere.

### Table I: Summary of structural properties of the Al\(_{x}\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)N:Mg layers and results from \( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{p} \) theoretical calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Al content ( x )</th>
<th>0.610</th>
<th>0.660</th>
<th>0.733</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMA flow (scm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a (\text{Å}) )</td>
<td>3.119</td>
<td>3.119</td>
<td>3.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c (\text{Å}) )</td>
<td>5.083</td>
<td>5.066</td>
<td>5.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{xx} )</td>
<td>(-7.4 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(-6.1 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(-4.0 \times 10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{zz} )</td>
<td>(4.4 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(3.1 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(2.7 \times 10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(002)FWHM (arc sec)</td>
<td>380.4</td>
<td>414.5</td>
<td>337.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(102)FWHM (arc sec)</td>
<td>599.3</td>
<td>679.3</td>
<td>608.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FIG. 1. XRD \( \omega \)-rocking curves for (002) reflections (black curves) and (102) reflections (red curves) of the three Mg-doped AlGaN samples grown on (0001) sapphire substrate, with the corresponding FWHM value of the (002) and (102) Bragg peaks given on the left side.

### Table II: Parameters entering in \( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{p} \) theoretical calculations.

| \( E_{c1} \) (room temp.) | 3.412 eV (Ref. 14) | 6.183 eV (Ref. 15) |
| \( E_{c2} \) (low temp.) | 3.478 eV (Ref. 14) | 6.255 eV (Ref. 15) |
| \( b \)                 | 0.9 eV (Ref. 11)   | 221 meV (Ref. 15)  |
| \( \Delta_a \)         | 12.3 meV (Ref. 16) | 221 meV (Ref. 15)  |
| \( \Delta_{\alpha} \)  | 16.7 meV (Ref. 16) | 13 meV (Ref. 15)   |
| \( a - D_1 \)          | -6.5 eV (Ref. 16)  | -6.9 eV (Ref. 10)  |
| \( a - D_2 \)          | -11.2 eV (Ref. 16) | -15.2 eV (Ref. 10) |
| \( D_3 \)             | 4.9 eV (Ref. 16)   | 8.3 eV (Ref. 10)   |
| \( D_4 \)             | -5.0 eV (Ref. 16)  | -4.15 eV (Ref. 10) |
| \( a \)               | 3.18926 Å (Ref. 12) | 3.11197 Å (Ref. 13) |
| \( c \)               | 5.18523 Å (Ref. 12) | 4.98089 Å (Ref. 13) |
calculated and found to decrease for increasing aluminum concentration for $E \perp \mathbf{c}$ (Table I, $O_{T_{7+,\perp}}$). The ellipsometric results presented here are therefore mainly sensitive to transitions from lower energy valence bands, the onset will be dominated by the valence band having $\Gamma_9$ symmetry as discussed in Ref. 17. The calculated energy situation at room temperature is presented in Fig. 2. There, symbols represent the values for the specific samples and the continuous lines an unstrained reference case. In PLE, we find the absorption onset dominated by the transition from the highest valence band as will be discussed below.

A. Dielectric function

The complex ordinary dielectric functions (DFs, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + i\varepsilon_2$) of the three Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N layers are compared in Fig. 3. We observe no clear excitonic features at the band edge, i.e., in $\varepsilon_1$ there is a step-like increase around the band gap which can be interpreted as broadened excitons superimposed to the onset of the exciton continuum. Differences between the energy positions of the absorption onsets are attributed to small differences in aluminum concentrations or strain. This is in line with x-ray diffractometry results and most likely caused by different Al intake due to chemical variations of the gas phase by presence of Si or Mg dopants. More striking are the changes of the above gap absorption due to different dopants. While both UID Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N and Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N:Si reach a value of $\varepsilon_2 = 1.76$ at 5.5 eV, the Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N:Mg layer has only $\varepsilon_2 = 1.48$. Its absorption remains weaker for increasing photon energies up to around 7.8 eV where the situation changes. Redistribution of oscillator strength also is observable at these higher photon energies. At around 8.5 eV Si doping seems to decrease, while Mg doping increases the oscillator strength, while all kind of doping leads to increasing broadening of features. The reason for the observed redistribution of oscillator strength remains unclear at the moment. The behavior of $\varepsilon_1$ (related to the refractive index) below the band gap is determined by the energy position and amplitude of the high energy feature $E_1(B)$ at around 7.5 eV rather than by the amplitude of $\varepsilon_2$ above the gap.

In a next step, we compare the ordinary DFs of Al$_{0.66}$Ga$_{0.34}$N:Mg layers with $x = 0.61$, 0.66, and 0.733 with those of GaN and AlN. Please note that $x = 0.66$ refers to the same sample as in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4 the DFs are upshifted (not to scale) to make the energy positions of their features better visible. High energy features are again shifted due to the concentration of the layers, visible both in $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$. The exact energy values for the features in the DFs were obtained by a method described in detail earlier. In the same...
reference already critical points for $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}$ ($0.11 \leq x \leq 0.53$) have been analyzed which we adopt here. The resulting energies as a function of alloy composition are presented in Fig. 5. The nonlinear interpolation of the high energy features is expressed by the usual bowing relation also valid for the band gap

$$E(\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}) = xE(\text{AlN}) + (1-x)E(\text{GaN}) - bx(1-x),$$

where $b$ denotes the bowing parameter and $E$ represents the energy of the discussed feature. For the different transitions these bowing parameters are $b = 0.9 \text{ eV} (E_0$, fundamental band gap), $0.2 \text{ eV} (E_1(B))$, $0.1 \text{ eV} (E_1(C))$, and $0.5 \text{ eV} (E_2)$. The labeling is done according to Ref. 15. Corresponding interpolation curves are also shown in Fig. 5. Note, that already in zincblende $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}$ alloys the behavior that $E_2$ was shifting to smaller energies with increasing aluminum content, while all other contributions show an upward shift confirmed by both experiments and theory.18

### B. Valence band splitting

Next, we contribute experimentally to the ongoing discussion of the valence band sub-structure in $\text{AlGaN}$. The calculated results in Fig. 2 (at room temperature) show an order of the valence bands as in $\text{AlN}$. Ellipsometry results show unambiguously the absorption onset related with the $\Gamma_9$ valence band. This transition is shown as a function of temperature in Fig. 6 for the Mg doped sample with $x = 0.733$. The overall shift of the characteristic energy amounts to 75 meV from low to room temperature and follows the Viña relation.20 This is very similar to the value for $\text{AlN}$ which is reported to be 74 meV.21

Additional data obtained by PLE at low temperature are compared in the next step. The direct comparison of spectra obtained by both techniques is presented in Fig. 7. The PLE detection window was shifted from the low temperature value of 3.59 eV to 3.44 eV at room temperature. In Fig. 7, it can be clearly seen that the PLE onset occurs at lower energy than the absorption onset characterized by the dielectric function. This behavior can be explained by comparison with calculated transition energy values (marked by arrows in Fig. 7). The PLE onset obviously is related to the transition with participation of the $\Gamma_7+$ valence band.

![FIG. 6. Imaginary part of the dielectric functions obtained at different temperatures for $\text{Al}_{x_{735}}\text{Ga}_{0.267}\text{N}:\text{Mg}$. The $\varepsilon_2$ traces for $T = 295 \text{ K}$ and $10 \text{ K}$ are compared to excitation spectra in Fig. 7. The temperature dependence of the absorption onset is reported in the upper tableau. An overall change of $\approx 75 \text{ meV}$ is found.](image)

![FIG. 7. Direct comparison of photoluminescence excitation spectra (black and red curves) and the imaginary part of the dielectric functions (green curves) at low and room temperature for $\text{Al}_{x_{735}}\text{Ga}_{0.267}\text{N}:\text{Mg}$. PLE monitors are at 3.59 eV (low temperature) and 3.44 eV (room temperature). Photoluminescence is also shown for comparison (in blue). The arrows mark the calculated transition energies between conduction band and the first two valence sub-bands.](image)
The experimental configuration used for recording PLE is sensitive to a superposition of light absorption polarized along $\mathbf{E} \perp \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{E} \parallel \mathbf{c}$. The relative oscillator strengths given in Table I for the transition from the highest valence band into the conduction band $(O_{7\frac{7}{2},1})$ are therefore lower limits. In Fig. 8, we demonstrate PLE spectra of all three $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}:\text{Mg}$ samples and mark the calculated transitions by arrows.

An estimate of the relative absorption strength based on adding oscillator strengths according to Malus’ law for an angle of incidence of 35° on the sample surface—corresponding approximately to 15° inside the sample—yields for about 50% increased values for the lowest energy transition and a reduced value for the transition related to the valence band with $\Gamma_6$ symmetry compared to the oscillator strength for $\mathbf{E} \perp \mathbf{c}$. An additional but unknown factor increasing the PLE sensitivity to the highest valence band is the luminescence mechanism of the channel observed to record PLE spectra. The detection windows for PLE were centered at 3.59 eV ($x = 0.733$), 4.07 eV ($x = 0.66$), and 3.49 eV ($x = 0.61$), respectively, representing low energy defect recombination bands to allow for measuring the full band gap spectral region. If the monitored low energy defect band is strongly associated with the highest valence band, a further increase in PLE sensitivity to this transition would be natural.

The corresponding PL spectra are also shown in Figs. 7 and 8. A significant Stokes shift is found, i.e., an energy separation between the characteristic energy of the PLE edge and the peak position of PL. At low temperature (Fig. 8), the energy distances of 146, 164, and 118 meV are found for increasing aluminum concentration. Additionally, for $\text{Al}_{0.733}\text{Ga}_{0.267}\text{N}:\text{Mg}$ we measure a value of 103 meV at room temperature (Fig. 7). The photoluminescence signal can therefore not be related to band-to-band or free exciton recombination, but additional localization effects have to be considered.

C. Temperature dependence of emission

Photoluminescence spectra were recorded at variable temperatures from $T = 5$ K up to room temperature for all samples. In Fig. 9, a comparison of the near band-gap signals from the differently doped samples at same aluminum concentration ($x = 0.66$) is shown. We observe a continuous energy shift to lower energies with increasing temperature due to increasing electron-phonon interaction. The UID and the silicon doped samples exhibit very similar behavior. Both show a broad unstructured PL band, whose peak energies decrease for about 30–40 meV for the full temperature range. This is lower than the decrease of the band gap due to electron-phonon interaction which amounts to 75 meV for the ordinary absorption edge in the samples with $x = 0.733$ (see above). In contrast, the Mg doped sample exhibits a PL band containing several distinguishable sub-structures. At above $\approx 100$ K a lower energy contribution dominates the spectrum. The overall peak shift amounts to 72 meV, while the high energy wing undergoes a shift similar to the spectra from UID and Si doped samples. We assign the small absolute temperature dependent shift to localization in the ternary alloy material which is as well a probable cause for a part of the observed large Stokes shifts.

![Fig. 8. Low temperature ($T = 10$ K) comparison of photoluminescence (blue curves) and photoluminescence excitation (PLE, red curves) spectra of $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}:\text{Mg}$. All spectra are normalized and shifted vertically. The original PLE spectra are superposed by averaged curves (black) to enhance visibility. The arrows mark the calculated low temperature interband transition energies related to the highest valence band (with $\Gamma_7$, symmetry, black arrow) and the second valence band (with $\Gamma_6$, symmetry, blue arrow). PLE monitors are at 3.49 eV, 4.07 eV, and 3.59 eV for increasing $x$.](image)

![Fig. 9. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of $\text{Al}_{0.66}\text{Ga}_{0.34}\text{N}$ at different selected temperatures (from bottom to top: 5 K, 50 K, 100 K, 200 K, and 295 K, respectively). The spectra are normalized and shifted vertically for better visibility. For the Mg doped sample (top, blue) the maxima of the low energy contribution dominating at elevated temperatures are marked by symbols as a guide to the eye.](image)
The obvious influence of Mg doping on the PL spectra is the occurrence of the distinguishable low energy side band. This finding is in agreement with earlier published work by Suzuki et al.\textsuperscript{23} who presented comparable spectra of Al\textsubscript{0.94}Ga\textsubscript{0.06}N:Mg, namely the occurrence of an additional peak after Mg doping at lower energy than the main near band-gap contribution. The origin of this peak was however not unambiguously clarified yet. Suzuki et al.\textsuperscript{23} discussed the possibility of a free-electron to neutral acceptor (e\textsuperscript{−}A\textsubscript{0}) transition as origin of the band. The acceptor ionization energy \(E_A\) can then be estimated by the distance \(\Delta E\) of the low energy shoulder to the main near band gap recombination because both bands would be subject to the same localization effects.

To test this hypothesis, we extract the energy differences between main near band gap emission peak and the Mg related shoulder in all our Al\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1−x}N:Mg samples. Temperature dependent spectra are shown in Fig. 10. The spectra were fitted by several Gaussian bands to account for the different contributions including phonon replicas. The distances between these bands are within fitting accuracy (±10 meV) constant as a function of temperature and are \(\Delta E = 50\,\text{meV}\) for \(x = 0.61\), 45 meV for \(x = 0.66\), and 85 meV for \(x = 0.73\). However, the fits for sample A (\(x = 0.61\)) are less reliable as peak assignment is difficult in the PL spectra because no clear change in the dominant peak is found for this sample. Here, we suspect already at low temperature the Mg-related peak to be dominant and measure the distance \(\Delta E\) instead to the weak high energy shoulder. Nevertheless, the relative low values of \(\Delta E\) are in conflict to expected Mg acceptor activation energies of >250 meV. Earlier reports relying on cathodoluminescence spectra yielded values of \(E_A \approx 700\,\text{meV}\) for our alloy concentration range.\textsuperscript{24}

Alternatively, Mg related bound exciton emission was mentioned by Suzuki et al.\textsuperscript{23} as a possible origin. In favour of this interpretation are the small values of \(\Delta E\) which then resemble exciton localization energies which are according to Haynes’ rule\textsuperscript{25} dependent on the acceptor ionization energy. The latter cannot be directly determined from \(\Delta E\) alone. However, it remains unclear why the acceptor bound exciton would increase in relative intensity with increasing temperature. For acceptor bound exciton emissions we expect the opposite behavior.

We therefore put forward a third interpretation. Incorporation of Mg acceptor centers in AlGaN lowers the Fermi energy. Thus facilitated incorporation of compensating donors like Si\textsubscript{Al} and O\textsubscript{N} is probable, similar to the case of AlN.\textsuperscript{26} We propose the acceptor centers introduced by Mg doping are optically inactive around the band edge, i.e., they mainly contribute to nonradiative centers\textsuperscript{23} or cluster.\textsuperscript{27} The additionally introduced donors would therefore probably dominate the emission properties of such AlGaN layers. We are well aware of the ongoing discussion if Si\textsubscript{Al} and O\textsubscript{N} are incorporated as shallow donors or deep DX centers in AlN and high Al content AlGaN\textsuperscript{28,29} however a neutral donor to free-hole transition (h\textsuperscript{+}D\textsuperscript{0}) would be possible in both cases supported by an increased hole concentration due to Mg doping. The energy distance from the additional luminescence band to the main near band gap recombination would then resemble the donor ionization energy \(E_D\) instead of \(E_A\). Our estimated values between 45 and 85 meV are in reasonable agreement to earlier published donor activation energies in AlGaN of similar composition both for Si\textsubscript{Al} (Ref. 30) and for O\textsubscript{N}.\textsuperscript{31} The temperature dependence of the PL spectra (Fig. 10) also supports the interpretation of the low energy wing recombination bands as h\textsuperscript{+}D\textsuperscript{0} transitions. From Arrhenius analysis of the peak intensities we estimated the activation energies of the h\textsuperscript{+}D\textsuperscript{0} transitions. It is found that the activation energies are identical to \(\Delta E\) values as given above. This means the donor activation is the most important quenching process of the h\textsuperscript{+}D\textsuperscript{0} recombination channel while the decreasing intensity of the main near band gap emission can at the same time be explained by a possible large lattice relaxation of shallow donors to DX centers and/or by increasing nonradiative recombination via deep centers introduced by Mg doping.

**IV. SUMMARY**

We have studied the optical properties of Al\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1−x}N:Mg thin films with aluminum content of 0.61 ≤ \(x\) ≤ 0.733 in comparison to unintentionally and silicon doped Al\textsubscript{0.66}Ga\textsubscript{0.34}N layers. We have discussed differences in the dielectric functions of Al\textsubscript{0.66}Ga\textsubscript{0.34}N due to different dopant incorporation which occur partly as additional broadening mechanism above the band gap. Additionally, the aluminum concentration dependence of high energy features in the dielectric function was determined where we complemented earlier published results.\textsuperscript{11} The valence band ordering of the Mg-doped samples was determined by means of

![Fig. 10. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of Al\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1−x}N:Mg at different selected temperatures (from bottom to top: 5 K, 50 K, 100 K, 200 K, and 295 K, respectively). The spectra are shifted vertically for better visibility. In the spectra for \(x = 0.733\) and 0.66 the transition to the dominating low energy transition at elevated temperatures is clearly visible. For \(x = 0.61\) the same transition dominates at low and at room temperature.](image-url)
spectroscopic ellipsometry and photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy. We have shown that the ordinary dielectric function is sensitive to the transition involving the valence band with Γ9 symmetry, while PLE sets already in for the higher valence band with Γ7 symmetry. Photoluminescence spectra of all samples were presented at different temperatures. We identified a low energy wing of the near band-edge emission as being caused by Mg doping and discussed its origin. The most likely identification of the band is a neutral donor to free-hole transition mirroring the donor activation energy rather than the Mg-acceptor activation energy. Estimated donor activation energies are in good agreement to earlier found values for similar aluminum concentrations.
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