INVESTIGATING THE TeV MORPHOLOGY OF MGRO J1908+06 WITH VERITAS

E. ALIU¹, S. ARCHAMBAULT², T. AUNE³, B. BEHERA⁴, M. BEILICKE⁵, W. BENBOW⁶, K. BERGER⁷, R. BIRD⁸, J. H. BUCKLEY⁵, V. BUGAEV⁵, J. V. CARDENZANA⁹, M. CERRUTI⁶, X. CHEN^{4,10}, L. CIUPIK¹¹, E. COLLINS-HUGHES⁸, M. P. CONNOLLY¹², W. CUI¹³, J. DUMM¹⁴, V. V. DWARKADAS¹⁵, M. ERRANDO¹, A. FALCONE¹⁶, S. FEDERICI^{4,10}, Q. FENG¹³, J. P. FINLEY¹³, H. FLEISCHHACK⁴, P. FORTIN⁶, L. FORTSON¹⁴, A. FURNISS¹⁷, N. GALANTE⁶, D. GALL¹⁸, G. H. GILLANDERS¹², S. GRIFFIN², S. T. GRIFFITHS¹⁸, J. GRUBE¹¹, G. GYUK¹¹, D. HANNA², J. HOLDER⁷, G. HUGHES⁴, T. B. HUMENSKY¹⁹, P. KAARET¹⁸, M. KERTZMAN²⁰, Y. KHASSEN⁸, D. KIEDA²¹, F. KRENNRICH⁹, S. KUMAR⁷, M. J. LANG¹², A. S. MADHAVAN⁹, G. MAIER⁴, A. J. MCCANN²², K. MEAGHER²³, J. MILLIS²⁴, P. MORIARTY²⁵, R. MUKHERJEE¹, D. NIETO¹⁹, A. O'FAOLÁIN DE BHRÓITHE⁸, R. A. ONG³, A. N. OTTE²², D. PANDEL²⁶, N. PARK²⁷, M. POHL^{4,10}, A. POPKOW³, H. PROKOPH⁴, J. QUINN⁸, K. RAGAN², J. RAJOTTE², G. RATLIFF¹¹, L. C. REYES²⁸, P. T. REYNOLDS²⁹, G. T. RICHARDS²³, E. ROACHE⁶, J. ROUSSELLE³, G. H. SEMBROSKI¹³, K. SHAHINYAN¹⁴, F. SHEIDAEI²¹, A. W. SMITH²¹, D. STASZAK², I. TELEZHINSKY^{4,10}, K. TSURUSAKI¹⁸, J. V. TUCCI¹³, J. TYLER², A. VARLOTTA¹³, V. V. VASSILIEV³, S. VINCENT⁴, S. P. WAKELY²⁷, J. E. WARD⁵, A. WEINSTEIN⁹, R. WELSING⁴, AND A. WILHELM^{4,10}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Barnard College, Columbia University, NY 10027, USA

² Physics Department, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁴ DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

⁵ Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

⁶ Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Amado, AZ 85645, USA

⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy and the Bartol Research Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

⁸ School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

¹⁰ Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany

¹¹ Astronomy Department, Adler Planetarium and Astronomy Museum, Chicago, IL 60605, USA

¹² School of Physics, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland

¹³ Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

¹⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

¹⁵ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

¹⁶ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 525 Davey Lab, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

¹⁷ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

¹⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Van Allen Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

¹⁹ Physics Department, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

²⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, DePauw University, Greencastle, IN 46135-0037, USA

²¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

²² Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

²³ School of Physics and Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State Street NW, Atlanta, GA 30332-0430, USA

²⁴ Department of Physics, Anderson University, 1100 East 5th Street, Anderson, IN 46012, USA

²⁵ Department of Life and Physical Sciences, Galway-Mayo Institute of Technology, Dublin Road, Galway, Ireland

²⁶ Department of Physics, Grand Valley State University, Allendale, MI 49401, USA

²⁷ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

²⁸ Physics Department, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 94307, USA

²⁹ Department of Applied Physics and Instrumentation, Cork Institute of Technology, Bishopstown, Cork, Ireland

Received 2013 December 3; accepted 2014 April 24; published 2014 May 15

ABSTRACT

We report on deep observations of the extended TeV gamma-ray source MGRO J1908+06 made with the VERITAS very high energy gamma-ray observatory. Previously, the TeV emission has been attributed to the pulsar wind nebula (PWN) of the *Fermi*-LAT pulsar PSR J1907+0602. We detect MGRO J1908+06 at a significance level of 14 standard deviations (14σ) and measure a photon index of $2.20 \pm 0.10_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$. The TeV emission is extended, covering the region near PSR J1907+0602 and also extending toward SNR G40.5–0.5. When fitted with a two-dimensional Gaussian, the intrinsic extension has a standard deviation of $\sigma_{\text{src}} = 0.44 \pm 0.02$. In contrast to other TeV PWNe of similar age in which the TeV spectrum softens with distance from the pulsar, the TeV spectrum measured near the pulsar location is consistent with that measured at a position near the rim of G40.5–0.5, 0.33 away.

Key words: gamma rays: general – gamma-ray burst: individual (MGRO J1908+06, VER J1907+062) – pulsars: individual (PSR J1907+0602) – ISM: supernova remnants

Online-only material: color figures, supplemental data (FITS) file (tar.gz)

1. INTRODUCTION

MGRO J1908+06 was discovered by the Milagro collaboration during their seven-year survey of the Northern Hemisphere in very high energy (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV) gamma rays at a median energy of 20 TeV (Abdo et al. 2007). Observations with

the High Energy Stereoscopic System (HESS) of the location of MGRO J1908+06 were performed as part of a Galactic plane survey (Aharonian et al. 2006a), and after the announcement of the Milagro source, follow-up observations confirmed an extended VHE source, HESS J1908+063 (Aharonian et al. 2009). The extended VHE source was also confirmed by observations

with the Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System (VERITAS; Ward 2008). These results noted the possibility of an association with the nearby shell-type supernova remnant (SNR) G40.5–0.5 (Green 2009). However, the measured extension of the VHE source, well beyond the boundary of the radio SNR, required either an additional source of gamma rays or the presence of dense molecular matter with which ultra-relativistic particles could interact and produce gamma rays (Aharonian et al. 2009). Abdo et al. (2009) discovered a radio-quiet gamma-ray pulsar, PSR J1907+0602, in the vicinity of MGRO J1908+06 with the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on the *Fermi* satellite. A later investigation by the *Fermi*-LAT team concluded that MGRO J1908+06 and HESS J1908+063 were the pulsar wind nebula (PWN) of PSR J1907+0602 (Abdo et al. 2010). (Hereafter J1908 will be used to generically refer to the VHE source.)

Milagro observed emission from J1908 with a flux corresponding to $\sim 80\%$ of the Crab Nebula flux at 20 TeV and an upper limit on the intrinsic source extension of 2.6° (Abdo et al. 2007). The lower energy threshold and better angular resolution of HESS allowed further investigation of the morphology of the source. A fit of a two-dimensional-Gaussian function to the excess map gave a centroid of R.A. = $286^\circ 98'$ and decl. = $6^\circ 27' (l = 40^\circ 23' 9''.2 \pm 2''.4_{\text{stat}}$ and $b = -0^\circ 47' 10''.1 \pm 2''.4_{\text{stat}}$ with systematic errors of $20''$ per axis) and an intrinsic extension of $\sigma_{\text{src}} = 0.34^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ (Aharonian et al. 2009).

PSR J1907+0602 has a characteristic age of 19.5 kyr and a spin-down power of 3×10^{36} erg s^{-1} (Abdo et al. 2010). The time-averaged flux density at 1.51 GHz was $3.4 \mu\text{Jy}$ in measurements made with the Arecibo 305 m radio telescope. From the position and the dispersion measure, the distance was estimated to be 3.2 kpc with a nominal error of 20% (Abdo et al. 2010). *Chandra* observations of the location of PSR J1907+0602 revealed a faint X-ray counterpart with a non-thermal component that is possibly extended (Abdo et al. 2010).

Downes et al. (1980) estimated the age of SNR G40.5–0.5 to be 20–40 kyr, and its distance is estimated by Yang et al. (2006) to be 3.4 kpc. Both quantities are compatible with the measured properties of PSR J1907+0602; thus the two objects may be physically associated. However, distance estimates using other methods imply a distance of 5.5–8.5 kpc (Yang et al. 2006; Downes et al. 1980) for the SNR. The *Fermi*-LAT team used a Very Large Array Galactic Plane Survey (VGPS; Stil et al. 2006) 1420 MHz continuum image to estimate the position of the SNR to be R.A. = $286^\circ 79'$, decl. = $6^\circ 50'$, with an angular diameter of $0.43'$. At a distance of 3.2 kpc, the separation between the SNR and the pulsar is then 28 pc (Abdo et al. 2010). If the pulsar is the progenitor of SNR G40.5–0.5, and assuming that the pulsar originated at the center of the SNR, with the characteristic age of 19.5 kyr, the transverse velocity of the pulsar would be 1400 km s^{-1} (Abdo et al. 2010). This velocity is ~ 3 times higher than the typical velocity given to a pulsar due to a supernova “kick” (Gaensler & Slane 2006), but three other pulsars are estimated to have transverse velocities above 1000 km s^{-1} : PSR B2224+65 ($\sim 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Chatterjee & Cordes 2003), PSR B2011+38 ($\sim 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Hobbs et al. 2005, and references therein), and PSR B1508+55 ($\sim 1100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Chatterjee et al. 2005). Table 1 summarizes the parameters of PSR J1907+0602 and SNR G40.5–0.5.

VERITAS observed J1908 repeatedly during 2007–2012, obtaining a total exposure of 62 hr. Here, we describe this deep TeV observation taken in order to help understand the physical origin of the emission. We describe the observations in

Table 1
Parameters of PSR J1907+0602 and SNR G40.5–0.5

Parameter	PSR J1907+0602	SNR G40.5–0.5
Right ascension	$286^\circ 978'$	$286^\circ 786'$
Declination	$6^\circ 038'$	$6^\circ 498'$
Age	19.5 kyr	20–40 kyr
Distance	3.2 kpc	3.4

Note. Data are from Abdo et al. (2010) except for G40.5–0.5 distance (Yang et al. 2006) and age (Downes et al. 1980).

Section 2 and analysis in Section 3. We present our results on the morphology and spectrum of the TeV emission in Section 4. We discuss interpretations of the results in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS

VERITAS is an array of four imaging atmospheric-Cherenkov telescopes located at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory in southern Arizona at an altitude of 1280 m above sea level (Holder et al. 2011). Imaging cameras, consisting of 499 photomultiplier tubes located in the focal plane of each telescope, detect Cherenkov light emitted by extensive air showers initiated in the upper atmosphere by gamma rays and cosmic rays. VERITAS has a field of view of 3.5° and is sensitive in the range 100 GeV–30 TeV. The system typically operates in “wobble” mode, where the location of the target is offset from the center of the field of view, allowing for simultaneous background measurements (Fomin et al. 1994).

The data presented here span 6 yr (2007–2012) and consist of observations taken both before and after the relocation of one of the VERITAS telescopes in 2009 to improve the sensitivity of the array (Perkins et al. 2009), but prior to the upgrade of the VERITAS cameras to high-efficiency photomultiplier tubes during the summer of 2012 (Kieda 2011). HESS J1908+063 was initially targeted by VERITAS in 2007 and 2008, after the announcement of the HESS detection. These observations were taken to calibrate the analysis methods used for the VERITAS sky survey (Ward 2008, 2010). These data were taken both in a “mini-survey” grid, consisting of a mosaic of exposures covering the source position, and using varying wobble offsets, 0.5° – 0.7° , from the quoted position for HESS J1908+063. The north wobble of VERITAS observations of the nearby X-ray binary SS433 (Safi-Harb & Ogelman 1997) taken in 2009 and 2010 provided additional exposure on J1908. Finally, observations wobbling east and west about a target position approximately halfway between the positions of SS433 and J1908 were taken in 2011 and 2012.

The data taken in standard wobble mode, centered on J1908, make up approximately one third of the data set. North wobbles on the SS433 location make up approximately another one third of the full set. Finally, the remaining third were taken as east/west wobbles on the location between SS433 and J1908. The full data set consists of 210 data segments, each lasting, on average, 20 minutes. After dead-time corrections and removal of periods of bad weather and hardware problems, the total useful exposure is ~ 62 hr. The average pointing offset of the telescopes from the centroid of the extended emission is 0.8° , and the average zenith angle is 31° .

3. ANALYSIS

The raw data were calibrated and cleaned, and quality-selection criteria based on the number of photomultiplier tubes

Figure 1. Map of VHE gamma-ray excess counts in the region near MGRO J1908+06. The color scale shows the excess counts. The black cross shows the VERITAS best fitted position. The three black circles show the regions used for spectral analysis. The white circle shows the size of the VERITAS point-spread function (PSF). The red ellipse shows the extent of SNR G40.5–0.5 in radio continuum emission. The black “x” shows the location of PSR J1907+0602. The white cross shows the HESS best fitted position and the white contours are from a HESS excess map at levels of 4σ , 5σ , 6σ , and 7σ . The grids on this and all sky maps in this paper are at intervals of $0^\circ.5$.

(A color version and FITS images are available in the online journal.)

contained in the images and the position of the image in the camera were applied. The shape and orientation of the gamma-ray images were parameterized by their principal moments (Hillas 1985). The reduction of background cosmic ray events was performed by placing standard selection criteria, optimized using *Monte Carlo* simulations and real data from the Crab Nebula, on the shower image parameters. The following selection criteria, optimized for sources with photon indices of 2.5 or harder, were used in the analysis: “size” or total signal in the telescope images > 1000 digital counts (~ 200 photoelectrons), mean scaled width between 0.05 and 1.1, and mean scaled length between 0.05 and 1.2 (Daum et al. 1997; Krawczynski et al. 2006). For the VHE images and morphology studies presented here, the residual cosmic ray background was estimated using the ring background model (e.g., Berge et al. 2007). For the spectral analysis, the reflected-region model was used (Aharonian et al. 2001). Regions with radii of $0^\circ.3$ around the nearby stars 19 Aquilae and 22 Aquilae as well as the SS433 W2 region (R.A., decl. = 287.4, 5.03; Safi-Harb & Ogelman 1997) were excluded from the background estimation. A region centered on the VHE source with a radius of $0^\circ.7$ was also excluded from the background analysis. All of the results presented here were verified using two independent analysis packages.

4. RESULTS

4.1. VHE Morphology

Figure 1 shows an image of the VHE gamma-ray excess counts in the region near MGRO J1908+06. Each pixel represents an integration over a radius of $0^\circ.1$. There is strong emission

near the location of PSR J1907+0602, although the peak of the excess counts is slightly offset to the west. The TeV emission also extends toward SNR G40.5–0.5. For comparison, we show the HESS significance contours for an integration radius of $0^\circ.22$ (de Oña Wilhelmi et al. 2008). The maps agree well in the general localization of the TeV emission, but with a small overall shift discussed further below.

We include a supplemental file containing the gamma-map maps in FITS format. Each pixel represents an integration over a radius of $0^\circ.1$. The first extension, “SignificanceSkyMap,” gives the significance of the gamma-ray detection in each pixel in standard deviations, the second extension, “ExcessSkyMap,” gives the gamma-ray excess counts, and is shown in Figure 1.

The TeV image shown in Figure 1 is a “correlated excess map.” The map has a bin size of $0^\circ.025$, but the counts in each bin represent a summation of events in which the reconstructed shower arrival direction is within $0^\circ.1$ of the center of the bin. This integration radius is close to the intrinsic angular resolution of the shower reconstruction and our analysis is essentially a standard VERITAS point source analysis. To quantitatively model the emission morphology, we used an “uncorrelated excess map,” where each bin represents a summation only over events with reconstructed shower arrival directions within the bin boundaries. The measured gamma-ray excesses in different bins are, thus, not correlated. The uncorrelated excess map used had a bin size of $0^\circ.1$.

We first modeled the uncorrelated excess map with a single, symmetric two-dimensional Gaussian summed with a constant offset. Examining the residuals, we found that the bulk of the contribution to the χ^2 arose from near the edges of the map

Figure 2. VERITAS spectra for the central $1^\circ 0$ (diameter) of J1908. The green diamonds show the VERITAS spectrum for the whole region (0.5° radius region); the green line is a power-law fit to those data. The black line shows the power-law fit to the HESS J1908+063 data. The magenta hexagon shows the Milagro measurement. The VERITAS data are in good agreement with the HESS and Milagro results. The image data are available in the online journal as the second extension of supplemental FITS file.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

where the effective exposure is limited. We also found that the counts deviated from zero by more than expected from statistical fluctuations in regions away from the source. To account for these deviations, we included a systematic error of 3.2 counts/bin in our fit. Restricting the fit to a circular region of radius 1.1° (corresponding to twice the value for σ from the initial two-dimensional-Gaussian fit), we found a centroid of (R.A., decl.) = $(286^\circ 84 \pm 0^\circ 02, 6^\circ 22 \pm 0^\circ 02)$ and an intrinsic extension of $\sigma_{\text{src}} = 0.44 \pm 0.02$. The fit had a $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 983/291$ and the constant offset was -0.19 ± 0.34 . Using this region of interest, we detect J1908 at a significance level of 14 standard deviations (σ). We assign the source name VER J1907+062. The large values for χ^2/dof indicate that a simple two-dimensional Gaussian is a very poor representation of the true morphology. Our best fitted position differs from the position reported for HESS J1908+063 by 0.15° . This is larger than the quoted statistical uncertainties, but a relatively small fraction of the Gaussian width. The shift may arise due to systematic effects, e.g., the fact that the emission is not well described by a symmetric two-dimensional Gaussian or a difference in energy bands between the two measurements.

4.2. Spectrum

A spectrum derived from a single source region with a radius of 0.5° , to account for the extended nature of the TeV emission and allow direct comparison with the HESS spectrum (Aharonian et al. 2009), is shown in Figure 2. This region was centered at the source position obtained from the single two-dimensional-Gaussian fit to the 1.1° region of interest described

above. The diameter of 1.0° is approximately equal to the FWHM of the fitted Gaussian ($2.35\sigma_{\text{src}} = 1.03^\circ$). The spectrum was unfolded using VERITAS effective collection areas that were calculated using *Monte Carlo* simulations of extensive air showers passed through the analysis chain with a configuration corresponding to the data-taking conditions and the analysis selection criteria. The resulting effective areas are used to calculate a differential photon flux. The differential spectral points were fit with a simple power law of the form:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = F_0 \times 10^{-12} \times \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{-\Gamma_{\text{VHE}}} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

The spectrum contains 1154 photons after background subtraction, compared to the HESS J1908+063 spectrum which had 689. The best-fit parameters were $\Gamma_{\text{VHE}} = 2.20 \pm 0.10_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$ and $F_0 = 4.23 \pm 0.41_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.85_{\text{sys}}$, with $\chi^2/\text{DoF} = 0.99$. This result is in good agreement with the results from HESS and Milagro. The spectrum is harder and the total flux is lower than measured by ARGO-YBJ, but the difference is significant only at the 2.5σ level (Bartoli et al. 2012). The Milagro spectrum requires an exponential cutoff between 10 and 40 TeV when the photon index at low energies is fixed to $\Gamma = 2.1$ (Smith 2010). We fitted the VERITAS data with an exponentially cutoff power law and place a 90% confidence lower limit on the cutoff energy of 17.7 TeV.

We extracted spatially resolved spectra from two circular regions with 0.2° radii placed to capture the emission near the PSR and the SNR, but not overlap, see Figure 1. One region contains the pulsar location (“PSR region” below), is centered at R.A. = $286^\circ 968$, decl. = $6^\circ 015$ (J2000), and has 237 net counts. The other region is near the boundary of SNR G40.5–0.5 (“SNR region”), is centered at R.A. = $287^\circ 032$, decl. = $6^\circ 418$, and has 238 net counts. The angular separation between the centers of the two regions is 0.408° .

To search for spectral variations with magnitudes comparable to the systematic error on the absolute spectral index measurement, we performed a differential measurement to minimize the systematic uncertainties. Specifically, we calculated the ratio of the fluxes of the two spectra in each energy bin and then fitted a power law to the ratios. The best fitted photon index for the ratio versus energy is then equal to the difference in photon indices of the two spectra. Because the response of the array changed when one telescope was relocated in 2009, we calculated separate spectra for the two epochs and then fit the whole set of flux ratios simultaneously. We find that $\Gamma_{\text{PSR}} - \Gamma_{\text{SNR}} = -0.04 \pm 0.20$. There is no statistically significant evidence for variation in photon index between the two regions. The spectra of both regions are marginally harder than the spectrum of the 1.0° diameter region, $\Gamma_{\text{VHE}} - \Gamma_{\text{PSR}} = -0.29 \pm 0.12$ and $\Gamma_{\text{VHE}} - \Gamma_{\text{SNR}} = -0.20 \pm 0.14$.

5. DISCUSSION

The TeV emission from J1908 is strong in the region near PSR J1907+0602 and also extends toward SNR G40.5–0.5. A key question regarding the nature of the emission is whether it is solely due to a PWN associated with PSR J1907+0602 (Abdo et al. 2010) or whether there are additional sources of TeV emission.

We estimate a physical size for J1908 of 50 pc, assuming the pulsar distance of 3.2 kpc and adopting twice the $\sigma = 0.44 \pm 0.02$ width of the two-dimensional Gaussian fit as the source diameter. Comparison with other TeV PWNe shows that

J1908 is larger than other TeV PWNe of similar age (see Figure 6 in Kargaltsev et al. (2013)³⁰). However, PWN size may depend on environment and interaction with the host SNR, particularly for older PWNe. Also, J1908 could be made consistent with the size-versus-age relation found for other PWNe if the pulsar is assumed to be a factor of two older than the spin-down age. This is within the uncertainties associated with spin-down age estimates (Gaensler & Frail 2000) and would also reduce the proper motion to a more typical value.

The 1–10 TeV luminosity of J1908 of $3 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ is 1% of the spin-down power of PSR J1907+0602 of $3 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which gives a TeV gamma-ray efficiency similar to that of other PWNe with pulsars of similar spin-down power (Kargaltsev et al. 2013). X-ray observations reveal hard emission from the location of the pulsar that is possibly extended and could be a compact X-ray PWN or possibly a bow shock (Abdo et al. 2010; Pandel & Scott 2012). Most, but not all, TeV PWN with similar pulsar spin-down power are detected in X-rays (Kargaltsev et al. 2013). Thus, the gross properties of J1908, except possibly its extent, are compatible with its identification as a TeV PWN powered by PSR J1907+0602.

For J1908, we find that the spectrum measured near the SNR boundary at a projected distance of 23 pc from the pulsar is the same, within uncertainties, as the spectrum measured near the pulsar up to energies of at least 10 TeV, see Figure 2. This may present issues with interpretation of the TeV emission as solely powered by PSR J1907+0602.

If PSR J1907+0602 was born at the center of G40.5–0.5, then electrons nearer to the SNR were emitted at earlier times than the electrons near the current pulsar location. These older populations of electrons would have cooled over the lifetime of the pulsar via synchrotron emission and inverse Compton (IC) scattering. Assuming an interstellar magnetic field of $3 \mu\text{G}$ and that the seed photons for IC scattering are predominately from the cosmic microwave background (CMB), the cooling time for the 63 TeV electrons needed to produce 10 TeV photons is 12 kyr (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2007). Comparing this cooling time with the pulsar age of ~ 19.5 kyr, one would expect significant softening or a fall-off at high energies in the spectrum from the region near the SNR. Thus, the lack of softening is incompatible with the behavior expected due to electron cooling. The discrepancy is worse if the characteristic age underestimates the true age, as needed to reduce the pulsar velocity to a more typical value.

Spectral softening is also seen in TeV PWNe containing pulsars without very high proper motions. The TeV PWN most similar to J1908 is HESS J1825–137 with a physical size of ~ 36 pc and a parent pulsar with a characteristic age of 21 kyr, but a proper motion of only $0''.023 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Pavlov et al. 2008). The TeV spectrum of HESS J1825–137 softens with distance from the pulsar, with a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.83 \pm 0.09$ at the pulsar location and $\Gamma = 2.25 \pm 0.06$ at a projected offset of 24 pc (Aharonian et al. 2006b). The change in photon index, $\Delta\Gamma = 0.42 \pm 0.11$ should be compared with that measured above in J1908 at a similar physical separation from the pulsar, $\Delta\Gamma = -0.04 \pm 0.20$. Thus, the lack of spectral softening in J1908 far from the pulsar may again argue against interpreting it as a single PWN, even if the pulsar was not born in G40.5–0.5.

A potential counter example is the TeV source TeV J2032+4130 that is a PWN associated with PSR J2032+4127.

³⁰ We note that the size of 70 pc for HESS J1825–137 quoted by Kargaltsev et al. (2013) is a factor of ~ 2 larger than the size of 36 pc measured in Aharonian et al. (2006b).

Table 2
Properties of TeV SNRs with Ages of 20–60 kyr

Name	Age	Luminosity (1–10 TeV)	Radio?	CO?
MGRO J1908+06	20–40 kyr	$3 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	No	No
HESS J1731–347	27 kyr	$2 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	Yes	Yes
W51C	30 kyr	$2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	Yes	Yes
W28	58 kyr	$2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	Yes	Yes
IC 443	1–30 kyr	$1 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	No	Yes

Notes. The column “Radio?” indicates whether or not there is radio continuum emission coincident with the TeV emission in the SNR. The column “CO?” indicates whether or not there is CO line emission coincident with the TeV emission in the SNR. References are: HESS J1731–347 (HESS Collaboration 2011), W51C (Aleksic et al. 2012), W28 (Aharonian et al. 2008), and IC 443 (Acciari et al. 2009; Paredes & Persic 2010). The luminosity of W28 was taken from Aharonian et al. (2008), but for other sources, the luminosities were calculated from the differential fluxes found in the references.

VERITAS observations of the source show no spectral evolution across its extent (FWHM) of 11 pc (Aliu et al. 2014). The TeV emission from TeV J2032+4130 appears to be confined to a void apparent in infrared and radio images. Aharonian et al. (2009) note that J1908 lies in a void in molecular line emission integrated over $v_{\text{LSR}} = 25.3\text{--}30.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ corresponding to distances of 1.5–1.8 kpc. The physical size of J1908 would be compatible with that TeV J2032+4130 at this distance. However, Abdo et al. (2010) estimate a distance of 3.2 ± 0.6 kpc for PSR J1907+0602 based on the pulsar’s dispersion measure and place a lower limit on the distance of 3 kpc based on its X-ray flux. Thus, the distance to PSR J1907+0602 is incompatible with a location in the molecular line emission void unless both pulsar distance estimates are incorrect.

Another source, in addition to the PWN associated with PSR J1907+0602, may contribute to the observed VHE emission. Fitting the VHE excess map using a model with two 2D Gaussians provides only marginal improvement, at the $<95\%$ confidence level, over the fit using a single 2D Gaussian. Thus, we do not claim detection of two separate sources of emission. However, the observed morphology could be produced by two interacting sources or two sources superimposed along the line of sight.

Some SNRs produce TeV emission via IC interactions of electrons accelerated in the SNR shock or via interactions of accelerated hadrons with surrounding target material such as molecular clouds. Comparison of the 1420 MHz radio continuum emission from SNR G40.5–0.5 with the TeV emission shows there is little or no radio emission in the regions of strong TeV emission, see Figure 3. Figure 4 shows the VERITAS excess map with contours of CO line emission integrated over $v_{\text{LSR}} = 45\text{--}65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ overlaid (the CO map was kindly provided by Yang et al. 2006). The distance to the CO gas at these velocities, 3.4 kpc, is compatible with the distance to the pulsar and the SNR within the uncertainties. There is CO emission, and thus molecular clouds, at the boundary of the TeV emission, but not coincident with it. In other middle-aged SNRs with TeV emission, the TeV emission is positionally well correlated with CO line and/or radio continuum emission, see Table 2. Thus, it is unlikely that SNR G40.5–0.5 is the main source of the observed TeV emission.

The extended morphology of the TeV emission could be due to interaction of the pulsar wind with molecular clouds or the expanding SNR shell. A shock formed at such an interaction could reaccelerate energetic particles. Alternatively,

Figure 3. Map of radio continuum intensity at 1420 MHz in the region near MGRO J1908+06. The color scale shows the brightness temperature in K. Data are from the VGPS (Stil et al. 2006). The red contours show VHE gamma-ray excess counts and are the same as in Figure 4. The white ellipse shows the extent of SNR G40.5–0.5. The white “x” shows the location of PSR J1907+0602.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. VERITAS map with CO emission contours overlaid. The black contours show the CO emission and are truncated at the boundaries of the available CO map. The color scale shows the excess counts and the red contours are at levels of 35, 50, and 65 counts. These approximately correspond to significance levels of 3σ , 4σ , and 5σ . The white ellipse shows the extent of SNR G40.5–0.5. The white “x” shows the location of PSR J1907+0602.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

the molecular clouds may provide seed photons for IC scattering on high-energy electrons from the pulsar wind. The dominant seed photons in J1908 are likely microwave photons from the CMB. IR photons from molecular clouds with typical temperatures of 10–50 K are more energetic than the CMB photons, thus, lower-energy electrons with longer cooling times suffice to produce TeV photons. The increased radiation energy density, relative to the CMB, near the observed clouds may brighten the TeV emission near the clouds, causing the extended morphology.

In conclusion, J1908 appears to be physically somewhat larger than other TeV PWNe of similar age and the TeV spectrum does not appear to soften with distance from the pulsar, as observed in similar TeV PWNe and expected from electron cooling. There is no strong TeV emission associated with the radio-bright shell of SNR G40.5–0.5. Interaction of the pulsar wind with nearby molecular clouds or the SNR shock could explain the large size and lack of spectral softening in J1908. It is also possible that another PWN, associated with an undetected second pulsar located near the southern edge of SNR G40.5–0.5, could contribute to the VHE emission, but this would require the presence of a second, and as yet undetected, pulsar.

We thank Ji Yang of the Chinese Academy of Sciences' Purple Mountain Observatory for providing the CO maps and the anonymous referee for providing useful comments. This research is supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Smithsonian Institution, by NSERC in Canada, by Science Foundation Ireland (SFI 10/RFP/AST2748) and by STFC in the U.K. We acknowledge the excellent work of the technical support staff at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory and at the collaborating institutions in the construction and operation of the instrument. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Facility: VERITAS

REFERENCES

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2009, *Sci*, **325**, 840
 Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **711**, 64
 Abdo, A. A., Allen, B. T., Berley, D., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, **664**, L91
 Acciari, V. A., Aliu, E., Arlen, T., et al. 2009, *ApJL*, **698**, L133

- Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A., Barrio, J., et al. 2001, *A&A*, **370**, 112
 Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Anton, G., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **499**, 723
 Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Bazer-Bachi, A. R., et al. 2006a, *ApJ*, **636**, 777
 Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Bazer-Bachi, A. R., et al. 2006b, *A&A*, **460**, 365
 Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Bazer-Bachi, A. R., et al. 2008, *A&A*, **481**, 401
 Aleksic, J., Alvarez, E. A., Antonelli, L. A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **541**, 13
 Aliu, E., Aune, T., Behera, B., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **783**, 16
 Bartoli, B., Bernardini, P., Bi, X. J., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **760**, 110
 Berge, D., Funk, S., & Hinton, J. 2007, *A&A*, **466**, 1219
 Chatterjee, S., & Cordes, J. M. 2003, *ApJL*, **600**, L51
 Chatterjee, S., Vlemmings, W. H. T., Briskin, W. F., et al. 2005, *ApJL*, **630**, L61
 Daum, A., Hermann, G., Hess, M., et al. 1997, *Aph*, **8**, 1
 de Oña Wilhelmi, E., Djannati-Atai, A., & Renaud, M. for the HESS Collaboration 2008, in AIP Conf. Proc. 1085, High Energy Gamma-ray Astronomy, ed. F. A. Aharonian, W. Hofmann, & F. Rieger (Melville, NY: AIP), **273**
 Downes, A. J. B., Salter, C. J., & Pauls, T. 1980, *A&A*, **92**, 47
 Fomin, V. P., Stepanian, A. A., Lamb, R. C., et al. 1994, *Aph*, **2**, 137
 Gaensler, B. M., & Frail, D. A. 2000, *Natur*, **406**, 158
 Gaensler, B. M., & Slane, P. O. 2006, *ARA&A*, **44**, 17
 Green, D. A. 2009, *BASI*, **37**, 45
 HESS Collaboration, Abramowski, A., Acero, F., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **531**, A81
 Hillas, A. M. 1985, in Proc. 19th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., ed. F. C. Jones, J. Adams, & G. M. Mason (Washington, DC: NASA), 445
 Hobbs, G., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G., & Kramer, M. 2005, *ApJ*, **360**, 974
 Holder, J., Aliu, E., Arlen, T., et al. 2011, *ICRC*, **12**, 137
 Kargaltsev, O., & Pavlov, G. 2007, *ApJ*, **670**, 655
 Kargaltsev, O., Rangelov, B., & Pavlov, G. G. 2013, in The Universe Evolution. Astrophysical and Nuclear Aspects, ed. I. Strakovsky & L. Blokhintsev (Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers) (arXiv:1305.2552)
 Kieda, D. 2011, *ICRC*, **9**, 14
 Krawczynski, H., Carter-Lewis, D. A., Duke, C., et al. 2006, *Aph*, **25**, 380
 Pandel, D., & Scott, R. 2012, in AIP Conf. Proc. 1505, High Energy Gamma-ray Astronomy, ed. F. A. Aharonian, W. Hofmann, & F. Rieger (Melville, NY: AIP), **329**
 Paredes, J. M., & Persic, M. 2010, *MmSAI*, **81**, 204
 Pavlov, G. G., Kargaltsev, O., & Briskin, W. F. 2008, *ApJ*, **675**, 683
 Perkins, J. S., Maier, G., & The VERITAS Collaboration 2009, in 2009 Fermi Symposium, ed. W. N. Johnson & D. J. Thompson, (arXiv:0912.3841)
 Safi-Harb, S., & Ogelman, H. 1997, *ApJ*, **483**, 868
 Smith, A. J. for the Milagro Collaboration 2010, in 2009 Fermi Symposium, ed. W. N. Johnson & D. J. Thompson, eConf C0911022 (arXiv:1001.3695)
 Stil, J. M., Taylor, A. R., Dickey, J. M., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 1158
 Ward, J. E. 2008, AIP Conf. Proc. 1085, Proc. 4th International Meeting on High Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy (Melville, NY: AIP), **301**
 Ward, J. E. VERITAS Collaboration 2010, Proc. 8th INTEGRAL Workshop, The restless Gamma-Ray Universe (Proceedings of Science), **5**
 Yang, J., Zhang, J.-L., Cai, Z.-Y., Lu, D.-R., & Tan, Y.-H. 2006, *ChJAA*, **6**, 210