RECEIVED: October 8, 2012

REVISED: December 4, 2012

ACCEPTED: January 7, 2013

PUBLISHED: February 4, 2013

Isolated prompt photon pair production at hadron colliders with k_T -factorization

A.V. Lipatov

*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University,
119991 Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: lipatov@theory.sinp.msu.ru

ABSTRACT: In the framework of the k_T -factorization approach, the isolated prompt photon pair production in pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions at high energies is studied. The consideration is based on the quark-antiquark annihilation, quark-gluon scattering and gluon-gluon fusion subprocesses, where the non-zero transverse momenta of incoming partons are taken into account. The unintegrated quark and gluon densities in a proton are determined using the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin prescription. Additionally, we apply the CCFM-evolved unintegrated gluon as well as valence and sea quark distributions. The numerical analysis covers the total and differential production cross sections and extends to specific angular correlations between the produced prompt photons. Theoretical uncertainties of our evaluations are studied and comparison with the NLO pQCD calculations is performed. The numerical predictions are compared with the recent experimental data taken by the DØ, CDF, CMS and ATLAS collaborations at the Tevatron and LHC energies.

KEYWORDS: QCD Phenomenology

ARXIV EPRINT: [1210.0823](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0823)

This paper is dedicated to my father, who passed away on November 16, 2012.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Theoretical framework	3
3	Numerical results	8
4	Conclusions	12

1 Introduction

The production of prompt photon pairs¹ in hadronic collisions at high energies is of great interest at present [1–5]. It provides a major background to searches for rare or exotic processes in both the Tevatron and the LHC. In particular, it represents a major source of large and irreducible background to searches for the Higgs boson in the low mass range, where its first indications (with mass of about 125-126 GeV) have been found very recently. It is also a significant background to searches for a new heavy resonances [6], cascade decays of a new heavy particles [7] and to searches for some effects of physics beyond the Standard Model. For example, so called Universal Extra-Dimensions predict non-resonant diphoton production associated with significant missing transverse energy [8, 9]. Other extra-dimension models, such as Randall-Sundrum model [10], predict the production of gravitons, which would decay into photon pairs with a narrow width. From another side, studies of prompt diphoton production provide a particularly clean test of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) and soft-gluon resummation methods implemented in theoretical calculations since the produced photons are largely insensitive to the effects of final-state hadronization, and their energies and directions can be measured with high precision. Therefore, it is essential to have an accurate pQCD predictions for corresponding cross sections and related kinematical distributions.

The leading contributions to the production of prompt photon pairs at hadron collisions are the quark-antiquark annihilation $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, gluon-gluon fusion $gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and quark-gluon scattering $qg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma q$ subprocesses. Prompt photons may also originate from single or double fragmentation processes of the partons produced in the hard interaction [11]. However, a photon isolation requirement which involved in the measurements [1–5] significantly reduces the rate for these processes.² In the framework of standard QCD, theoretical calculations of diphoton production cross sections have been carried out at next-to-leading order (NLO) [12, 13] and next-to-next-to-leading-log approximation (NNLL) [14]. Recently the

¹Usually the photons are called “prompt” if they are coupled to the interacting quarks.

²See discussion in section 2.

next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) pQCD calculations became available [15]. A fixed-order NLO pQCD calculations [12, 13] implemented by the DIPHOX program accounts fragmentation subprocesses, but the gluon-gluon fusion $gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ contribution is considered only at LO. In the NNLL calculations [14] implemented by the RESBOS program the effects of initial state soft gluon radiation in the NLO calculations are analytically resummed to all orders in the strong coupling constant. Both these calculations reproduce the main features of recent Tevatron and LHC data [1–5], but none of them describes all aspects of the data. So, there is significant underestimation [4] of diphoton cross sections measured by the CMS collaboration in the regions of phase space where two photons have an azimuthal angle difference $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 2.8$. The similar observation was made [5] by the ATLAS collaboration: more photon pairs are seen in data at low $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ values, while the NLO and NNLL pQCD predictions favour a larger back-to-back production ($\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \sim \pi$). At the Tevatron energies, there is the same problem [1–3] common to both NLO [12, 13] and NNLL [14] pQCD calculations in the description of events with low diphoton mass $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ or low azimuthal angle distance $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ (and also with moderate diphoton transverse momentum $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$). Such disagreement between the collinear QCD predictions and the available data indicates [1–5] the necessity of including higher order corrections beyond NLO.

We note, however, that an alternative description of diphoton production at high energies can be achieved within the framework of k_T -factorization QCD approach [16–19]. This approach is based on famous Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) [20–22] or Ciafaloni-Catani-Fiorani-Marchesini (CCFM) [23–26] equations and provides solid theoretical grounds for the effects of initial state gluon radiation and intrinsic non-zero parton transverse momentum. A detailed description and discussion of the k_T -factorization formalism can be found, for example, in reviews [27–29]. Here we would like to only mention that the main part of high-order radiative QCD corrections is naturally included into the leading-order k_T -factorization formalism. Moreover, the soft gluon resummation formulas implemented in NNLL calculations [14] are the result of the approximate treatment of the solutions of CCFM equation, as it was shown in [30]. The k_T -factorization approach has been successfully applied recently, in particular, to describe the prompt photon and Drell-Yan pair production at HERA, Tevatron and LHC [31–38].

In the present paper we apply the k_T -factorization QCD approach to diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ and pp collisions at high energies. Our main goal is to give a systematic analysis of available Tevatron data taken by the DØ and CDF collaborations [1–3] and recent LHC measurements [4, 5] performed by the CMS and ATLAS collaborations. The consideration is based on the off-shell (depending on the non-zero transverse momenta k_T of incoming quarks and gluons) production amplitudes of quark-antiquark annihilation $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, quark-gluon scattering $qg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma q$ and gluon-gluon fusion $gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ partonic subprocesses.³ The unintegrated (i.e. k_T -dependent) quark and gluon densities in a proton are defined by using the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin (KMR) prescription [39, 40]. This approach is the simple formalism to construct the unintegrated parton distributions from the known conventional

³In the case of gluon-gluon fusion we will neglect the transverse momenta of incoming gluons in the corresponding production amplitude but keep true off-shell subprocess kinematics. See section 2 for more details.

ones. In addition to the KMR approach, we apply the CCFM-evolved gluon [41] and valence quark densities [42]. Since there are theoretical difficulties in obtaining the full quark distributions directly from CCFM equation,⁴ we use a some simplified way to evaluate the unintegrated sea quark densities within the CCFM dynamics, as it was done previously in [32]. So, we try to estimate the dependence of the k_T -factorization predictions on the non-collinear evolution scheme. This dependence in general can be significant [43] and it is a special subject of study in the k_T -factorization QCD approach. We calculate total and differential diphoton production cross sections and estimate the theoretical uncertainties of our predictions. Special attention is put to the specific kinematic properties of the produced photon pair which are strongly related to the non-zero transverse momenta of initial partons. As it was pointed out in [44], jet or photon correlations may provide a good way to explore the k_T and small- x dynamics. In the case of diphoton production, such investigations in the framework of k_T -factorization approach are performed for the first time.

The outline of our paper is following. In section 2 we recall shortly the basic formulas of the k_T -factorization approach with a brief review of calculation steps. In section 3 we present the numerical results of our calculations and a discussion. Section 4 contains our conclusions.

2 Theoretical framework

As it was mentioned above, the leading contributions to the diphoton production at high energies are the quark-antiquark annihilation, quark-gluon scattering and gluon-gluon fusion subprocesses:

$$q(k_1) + \bar{q}(k_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_3) + \gamma(k_4), \quad (2.1)$$

$$q(k_1) + g(k_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_3) + \gamma(k_4) + q(k_5), \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(k_1) + g(k_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_3) + \gamma(k_4), \quad (2.3)$$

where the four-momenta of all particles are given in parentheses. Although last two of them are higher-order processes, their contributions are quantitatively comparable to those from quark-antiquark annihilation in the diphoton invariant mass range of interest, due to the significant gluon luminosity in this kinematical region.

Let us start from the kinematics. In the center-of-mass frame of colliding particles we can write:

$$p^{(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1, 0, 0, 1), \quad p^{(2)} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1, 0, 0, -1), \quad (2.4)$$

where $p^{(1)}$ and $p^{(2)}$ are the four-momenta of colliding protons, $\sqrt{s}$ is the total energy of the process under consideration and we neglect the masses of protons. The initial parton four-momenta in the high energy limit can be written as follows:

$$k_1 = x_1 p^{(1)} + k_{1T}, \quad k_2 = x_2 p^{(2)} + k_{2T}, \quad (2.5)$$

⁴See, for example, [27–29] and references therein for more information.

where k_{1T} and k_{2T} are their transverse four-momenta. It is important that $\mathbf{k}_{1T}^2 = -k_{1T}^2 \neq 0$, $\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2 = -k_{2T}^2 \neq 0$. From the conservation laws we can easily obtain the following relations for subprocesses (2.1) and (2.3):

$$\mathbf{k}_{1T} + \mathbf{k}_{2T} = \mathbf{k}_{3T} + \mathbf{k}_{4T}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$x_1 \sqrt{s} = |\mathbf{k}_{3T}| e^{y_3} + |\mathbf{k}_{4T}| e^{y_4}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$x_2 \sqrt{s} = |\mathbf{k}_{3T}| e^{-y_3} + |\mathbf{k}_{4T}| e^{-y_4}, \quad (2.8)$$

and the similar ones for subprocess (2.2):

$$\mathbf{k}_{1T} + \mathbf{k}_{2T} = \mathbf{k}_{3T} + \mathbf{k}_{4T} + \mathbf{k}_{5T}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$x_1 \sqrt{s} = |\mathbf{k}_{3T}| e^{y_3} + |\mathbf{k}_{4T}| e^{y_4} + m_{5T} e^{y_5}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$x_2 \sqrt{s} = |\mathbf{k}_{3T}| e^{-y_3} + |\mathbf{k}_{4T}| e^{-y_4} + m_{5T} e^{-y_5}, \quad (2.11)$$

where k_{3T} , k_{4T} and k_{5T} are the transverse four-momenta of corresponding particles, y_3 , y_4 and y_5 are their center-of-mass rapidities and m_{5T} is the transverse mass of produced quark (or antiquark) having mass m .

The off-shell partonic amplitudes of (2.1) and (2.2) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(q^* \bar{q}^* \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) &= e^2 e_q^2 \epsilon_\mu(k_3) \epsilon_\nu(k_4) \times \\ &\times \bar{u}(k_2) \left[\gamma^\nu \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_3 + m}{(k_1 - k_3)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_4 + m}{(k_1 - k_4)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu \right] u(k_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(q^* g^* \rightarrow \gamma \gamma q) = e^2 e_q^2 g t^a \epsilon_\lambda(k_2) \epsilon_\mu(k_3) \epsilon_\nu(k_4) \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{F}_i^{\mu\nu\lambda}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\nu \frac{\hat{k}_4 + \hat{k}_5 + m}{(k_4 + k_5)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_1 + \hat{k}_2 + m}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\lambda u(k_1), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_3 + \hat{k}_5 + m}{(k_3 + k_5)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{\hat{k}_1 + \hat{k}_2 + m}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\lambda u(k_1), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\nu \frac{\hat{k}_4 + \hat{k}_5 + m}{(k_4 + k_5)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_3 + m}{(k_1 - k_3)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\mu u(k_1), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_4^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\lambda \frac{\hat{k}_5 - \hat{k}_2 + m}{(k_5 - k_2)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_4 + m}{(k_1 - k_4)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu u(k_1), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_5^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_3 + \hat{k}_5 + m}{(k_3 + k_5)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_4 + m}{(k_1 - k_4)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu u(k_1), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_6^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \bar{u}(k_5) \gamma^\lambda \frac{\hat{k}_5 - \hat{k}_2 + m}{(k_5 - k_2)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_3 + m}{(k_1 - k_3)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\mu u(k_1), \quad (2.19)$$

where e and e_q are the electron and quark (fractional) electric charges, g is the strong charge, $\epsilon(k_2)$, $\epsilon(k_3)$ and $\epsilon(k_4)$ are the polarization four-vectors of corresponding particles and a is the eight-fold color index. When we calculate the matrix elements squared, the summation on the polarizations of produced photons is carried out by usual covariant formula:

$$\sum \epsilon^\mu(k_i) \epsilon^{*\nu}(k_i) = -g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.20)$$

where $i = 3, 4$. In the case of initial off-shell gluon we apply the BFKL prescription [16–19]:

$$\sum \epsilon^\mu(k_2) \epsilon^{*\nu}(k_2) = \frac{k_{2T}^\mu k_{2T}^\nu}{\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

This formula converges to the usual one (2.20) after azimuthal angle averaging in the $|\mathbf{k}_{2T}| \rightarrow 0$ limit. Since we do not neglect the transverse momentum of incoming quarks, the standard on-shell quark spin density matrix has to be replaced by a more complicated expression. To evaluate it we will follow an approximation proposed in [31, 32]. We “extend” the original diagram and consider the off-shell quark line as internal line in the extended diagram. The “extended” process looks like follows: the initial on-shell quark with four-momentum p and mass m radiates a quantum (say, photon or gluon) and becomes an off-shell quark with four-momentum k . So, for the extended diagram squared we can write:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \sim \text{tr} \left[\bar{\mathcal{T}}^\mu \frac{\hat{k} + m}{k^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu u(p) \bar{u}(p) \gamma_\nu \frac{\hat{k} + m}{k^2 - m^2} \mathcal{T}_\mu \right], \quad (2.22)$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the rest of the original matrix element. The expression presented between $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^\mu$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ now plays the role of the off-shell quark spin density matrix. Using the standard on-shell condition $\sum u(p) \bar{u}(p) = \hat{p} + m$ and performing the Dirac algebra one obtains in the massless limit $m \rightarrow 0$:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \sim \frac{2}{(k^2)^2} \text{tr} \left[\bar{\mathcal{T}}^\mu \left(k^2 \hat{p} - 2(p \cdot k) \hat{k} \right) \mathcal{T}_\mu \right]. \quad (2.23)$$

Now, using the Sudakov decomposition $k = xp + k_T$ (where k_T is the off-shell quark non-zero transverse four-momentum, $k^2 = k_T^2 = -\mathbf{k}_T^2$) and neglecting the second term in the parentheses in (2.23) in the small- x limit, we easily obtain:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \sim \frac{2}{xk^2} \text{tr} \left[\bar{\mathcal{T}}^\mu x \hat{p} \mathcal{T}_\mu \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Essentially, we have neglected here the negative light-cone momentum fraction of the incoming quark. The properly normalized off-shell spin density matrix is given by $x\hat{p}$, while the factor $2/xk^2$ has to be attributed to the quark distribution function (determining its leading behavior). With this normalization, we successfully recover the on-shell collinear limit when k is collinear with p . Further calculations are straightforward and in other respects follow the standard QCD Feynman rules. We only mention that the method of orthogonal amplitudes [45, 46] has been applied to avoid the long output. The evaluation of traces in (2.12)–(2.19) was done using the algebraic manipulation system FORM [47].

The matrix element squared of gluon-gluon fusion subprocess (2.3) was calculated a long time ago in the on-shell limit $|\mathbf{k}_{1T}| \rightarrow 0$, $|\mathbf{k}_{2T}| \rightarrow 0$. The simple analytical expression can be found, for example, in [48]. In our phenomenological study, we apply it with one remark: numerically, we keep the exact off-shell kinematics given by (2.5)–(2.8).

In according to the k_T -factorization theorem, to calculate the cross section of diphoton production one should convolute off-shell partonic cross sections with the relevant unintegrated quark and/or gluon distributions in a proton:

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j=q,g} \int \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^*(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2) f_i(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mu^2) f_j(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2, \mu^2) dx_1 dx_2 d\mathbf{k}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2, \quad (2.25)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^*(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2)$ is the off-shell partonic cross section and $f_i(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ is the unintegrated parton densities in a proton. The contributions from quark-antiquark annihilation, quark-gluon scattering and gluon-gluon fusion can be easily rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma = \sum_q \int \frac{1}{16\pi(x_1 x_2 s)^2} |\bar{\mathcal{M}}(q^* \bar{q}^* \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)|^2 \times \\ \times f_q(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mu^2) f_q(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2, \mu^2) d\mathbf{k}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{3T}^2 dy_3 dy_4 \frac{d\phi_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_2}{2\pi}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\sigma = \sum_q \int \frac{1}{256\pi^3(x_1 x_2 s)^2} |\bar{\mathcal{M}}(q^* g^* \rightarrow \gamma\gamma q)|^2 \times \\ \times f_q(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mu^2) f_g(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2, \mu^2) d\mathbf{k}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{3T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{4T}^2 dy_3 dy_4 dy_5 \frac{d\phi_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_2}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_3}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_4}{2\pi}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\sigma = \int \frac{1}{16\pi(x_1 x_2 s)^2} |\bar{\mathcal{M}}(gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)|^2 \times \\ \times f_g(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2, \mu^2) f_g(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2, \mu^2) d\mathbf{k}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{2T}^2 d\mathbf{k}_{3T}^2 dy_3 dy_4 \frac{d\phi_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_2}{2\pi}, \quad (2.28)$$

where ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 are the azimuthal angles of initial partons and produced photons, respectively. If we average these expressions over ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 and take the limit $|\mathbf{k}_{1T}| \rightarrow 0$ and $|\mathbf{k}_{2T}| \rightarrow 0$, then we recover the corresponding formulas in the collinear QCD factorization.

Concerning the unintegrated quark and gluon densities in a proton, we apply the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin (KMR) approach [39, 40] to calculate them. The KMR approach is the formalism to construct the unintegrated parton distributions from the known conventional ones. In this approximation the unintegrated quark and gluon distributions are given by

$$f_q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) = T_q(\mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) \frac{\alpha_s(\mathbf{k}_T^2)}{2\pi} \times \\ \times \int_x^1 dz \left[P_{qq}(z) \frac{x}{z} q\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}_T^2\right) \Theta(\Delta - z) + P_{qg}(z) \frac{x}{z} g\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}_T^2\right) \right], \quad (2.29)$$

$$f_g(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) = T_g(\mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) \frac{\alpha_s(\mathbf{k}_T^2)}{2\pi} \times \\ \times \int_x^1 dz \left[\sum_q P_{gq}(z) \frac{x}{z} q\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}_T^2\right) + P_{gg}(z) \frac{x}{z} g\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}_T^2\right) \Theta(\Delta - z) \right], \quad (2.30)$$

where $P_{ab}(z)$ are the usual unregulated LO DGLAP splitting functions. The theta functions which appears in (2.29) and (2.30) imply the angular-ordering constraint $\Delta = \mu/(\mu + |\mathbf{k}_T|)$ specifically to the last evolution step to regulate the soft gluon singularities. Numerically, for the input we have used leading-order parton densities $xq(x, \mu^2)$ and $xg(x, \mu^2)$ from MSTW'2008 set [49].

Another the solution for the unintegrated gluon distributions have been obtained in [41] from the CCFM evolution equation where all input parameters have been fitted to describe the HERA data on the proton structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$. The proposed gluon densities (namely, sets A0 and B0) have been applied to the number of QCD processes in the

framework of the Monte-Carlo generator CASCADE [50–52]. To accomplish the CCFM-evolved gluon densities, one should apply the relevant unintegrated quark distributions. Below we use the following way to evaluate the $f_q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$, as it was done previously in [32]. The unintegrated valence quark densities $f_q^{(v)}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ have been obtained [42] from the numerical solution of the CCFM-like equation. Next, to calculate the unintegrated sea quark density $f_q^{(s)}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ we restrict here to the case where the gluon-to-quark splitting occurs as the last evolution step and convolute the CCFM-evolved unintegrated gluon distribution with the standard leading-order DGLAP splitting function⁵ $P_{qg}(z)$:

$$f_q^{(s)}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mathbf{k}_T^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 f_g(x/z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2) P_{qg}(z) dz. \quad (2.31)$$

In the region of small $\mathbf{k}_T^2 < q_0^2$ the scale in the strong coupling constant α_s is kept to be fixed at $q_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$. Applying these two different approaches to evaluate the unintegrated quark and gluon distributions in a proton, we can estimate the uncertainties of our calculations connected with the non-collinear parton evolution scheme. Note that to avoid the double counting we take into account valence quarks only in (2.26).

As it is well-known, the perturbation theory becomes nonapplicable when the wavelength of the emitted photon (in the emitting quark rest frame) becomes larger than the typical hadronic scale $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV}^{-1})$. Then the nonperturbative effects of hadronization or fragmentation must be taken into account. Accordingly, the calculated cross section can be split into two pieces

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\text{dir}}(\mu^2) + \sigma_{\text{fragm}}(\mu^2), \quad (2.32)$$

with $\sigma_{\text{dir}}(\mu^2)$ representing the perturbative contribution and $\sigma_{\text{fragm}}(\mu^2)$ the fragmentation contribution. In the fragmentation processes photons are produced through the fragmentation of a parton (produced in a hard subprocess) into a single photon carrying a large fraction z of parent parton momentum. These processes are described in terms of quark-to-photon $D_{q \rightarrow \gamma}(z, \mu^2)$ and gluon-to-photon $D_{g \rightarrow \gamma}(z, \mu^2)$ fragmentation functions [56]. In our calculations for quark-gluon scattering (2.2) we choose the fragmentation scale μ^2 to be the invariant mass of the quark + photon subsystem, and restrict $\sigma_{\text{dir}}(\mu^2)$ to $\mu \geq M \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$. Under this condition, the contribution $\sigma_{\text{dir}}(\mu^2)$ is free from divergences (so the mass of the light quark m can be safely sent to zero) and we checked that the sensitivity of our results to the choice of M is reasonably soft. As far as the fragmentation contribution $\sigma_{\text{fragm}}(\mu^2)$ is concerned, its size is dramatically reduced by the photon isolation cuts implemented in the experimental analysis [1–5]. The isolation condition required that the hadronic transverse energy E_T^{had} , deposited inside a cone with aperture R centered around the photon direction in the pseudo-rapidity η and azimuthal angle ϕ plane, is smaller than some value E_T^{max} . In the recent measurements [1–5] performed at the Tevatron and LHC, these parameters were taken $R \sim 0.4$ and $E_T^{\text{max}} \sim 1 - 2 \text{ GeV}$. According to the estimates [3], the contribution from $\sigma_{\text{fragm}}(\mu^2)$ amounts to about 10-15% of the visible cross section and therefore is neglected in our consideration.

⁵The off-shell splitting function $P_{qg}(z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mathbf{q}_T^2)$ (with $\mathbf{k}_T$ and $\mathbf{q}_T$ being the transverse momenta of off-shell gluon and quark) has been proposed in [53, 54] and it was applied very recently to study vector boson production in [55]. We plan to investigate the corresponding off-shell effects in forthcoming publications.

The multidimensional integrations in (2.26)–(2.28) have been performed by the means of Monte Carlo technique, using the routine VEGAS [57]. The full C++ code is available from the author on request.

3 Numerical results

We now are in a position to present our numerical results. First we describe our theoretical input and the kinematic conditions. After we fixed the unintegrated gluon distributions, the cross sections (2.26)–(2.28) depend on the renormalization and factorization scales μ_R and μ_F . Numerically, we set them to be equal to $\mu_R^2 = \mu_F^2 = (\xi M_{\gamma\gamma})^2$. In order to estimate the scale uncertainties of our calculations we vary the parameter ξ between 1/2 and 2 about the default value $\xi = 1$. Since the expression for the off-shell quark spin density matrix has been derived in the massless approximation, numerically we neglect the quark masses. We use the LO formula for the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu^2)$ with $n_f = 4$ active quark flavors at $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 200 \text{ MeV}$, so that $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1232$.

Experimental data for prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron come from both the CDF [1, 2] and DØ [3] collaborations. Several differential cross section have been determined: as a function of the diphoton invariant mass $M_{\gamma\gamma}$, the transverse momentum and rapidity of photon pair $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $y_{\gamma\gamma}$, the azimuthal angle difference between the produced photons $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and the cosine of the polar scattering angle θ^* of leading photon in the Collins-Soper frame. Recent DØ data [3] refer to the kinematic region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 0.9$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 0.9$, $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 21 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ with the total energy $\sqrt{s} = 1960 \text{ GeV}$, and an additional cut $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ was applied. The measurements of double differential cross sections $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}dp_T^{\gamma\gamma}$, $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}d\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}d|\cos\theta^*|$ for three subdivisions of $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ range (namely $30 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 50 \text{ GeV}$, $50 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 80 \text{ GeV}$ and $80 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 350 \text{ GeV}$) have been presented also. The CDF data [2] refer to the kinematic region defined by $|y^{\gamma 1}| < 1$, $|y^{\gamma 2}| < 1$, $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 17 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 15 \text{ GeV}$. In this analysis, the diphoton cross section as a function of variable $z = p_T^{\gamma 2}/p_T^{\gamma 1}$, the ratio of sub-leading to leading photon transverse momentum ($0 < z < 1$), has been measured additionally. Also two specific kinematic cases have been examined separately: differential cross sections for $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Previous CDF data [1] refer to the kinematic region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 0.9$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 0.9$, $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 14 \text{ GeV}$, $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 13 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 1960 \text{ GeV}$.

First experimental data for prompt diphoton production in pp collisions at the LHC come from the CMS [4] and ATLAS [5] collaborations. The CMS data [4] have been obtained in the kinematic region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 2.5$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 2.5$ (except pseudo-rapidity region $1.44 < \eta < 1.57$ for both photons), $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 23 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ with the total energy $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$. The measurements for more tight central region with $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 1.44$ and $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 1.44$ have been presented additionally. The ATLAS data [5] refers to the kinematic region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 2.37$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 2.37$ (with the exclusion of the region $1.37 < \eta < 1.52$ for both photons), $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 16 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 16 \text{ GeV}$ with the with the same total energy.

The results of our calculations are shown in figures 1-10 in comparison with the Tevatron data [1–3] and in figures 11-15 in comparison with the LHC data [4, 5]. The solid histograms are obtained with the KMR parton densities by fixing both the factorization and

Source	$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X)$ [pb]
k_T -factorization (CCFM)	9.4
k_T -factorization (KMR)	$7.6^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$ (scales)
NLO pQCD [12, 13] (DIPHOX)	10.58 ± 0.55
NNLL pQCD [14] (RESBOS)	11.31 ± 2.45
CDF data [2]	12.47 ± 0.21 (stat.) ± 3.74 (syst.)

Table 1. The total cross section of diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions calculated in the kinematical region defined by $|y^{\gamma^1}| < 1$, $|y^{\gamma^2}| < 1$, $p_T^{\gamma^1} > 17$ GeV and $p_T^{\gamma^2} > 15$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 1960$ GeV.

renormalization scales at the default value, whereas the upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to the scale variation as it was described above (in left panels). The dash-dotted histograms corresponds to the predictions obtained with the CCFM-evolved parton densities. Also, in figures 1-6 we plot for comparison the NNLL pQCD predictions [14] (as given by RESBOS program) listed in [1, 3]. The relative contributions to the diphoton cross sections from quark-antiquark annihilation, quark-gluon scattering and gluon-gluon fusion subprocesses are shown separately by the dash-dotted, dotted and dashed histograms, respectively, in right panels (here we used the KMR parton distributions).

We note that different kinematic variables under consideration probe different aspects of the diphoton production mechanism. For instance, the $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectrum is particularly sensitive to potential contributions from physics beyond the SM. The $\cos\theta^*$ distribution probes the angular momentum of the final state, which should be different for QCD-mediated production as compared, for example, to the decay of a scalar Higgs boson $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (see [14]).

We find that the k_T -factorization approach supplemented with the KMR parton densities reasonably well describe a full set of experimental data taken at the Tevatron and LHC. Moreover, the shape and absolute normalization of measured cross sections are adequately reproduced within the theoretical and experimental uncertainties. The description of the data achieved with the CCFM-evolved parton densities is a bit worse, but still satisfactory (except the kinematical region connected with the moderate and high transverse momenta of incoming quarks and gluons, see discussion below). At the Tevatron, there is some discrepancy between recent CDF data [2] and the KMR predictions at very high diphoton invariant masses (see figure 7). In the case of CCFM parton densities, this discrepancy becomes a more pronounced. Note, however, that the small- x approximation which used in our consideration is broken at high $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ and the more accurate treatment of, in particular, off-shell quark spin density matrix is needed. This point, of course, is of importance but it is out of our present consideration. From another side, we would like to point out that k_T -factorization approach provides a better description of all kinematic variables (in comparison with the NLO/NNLL pQCD calculations [12–14]) at low $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ values, where expected effects connected with the small- x physics becomes important. In the collinear QCD factorization, observed large discrepancy between data and NLO/NNLL pQCD predictions [12–14] at low $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ attributes to a fragmentation contributions and higher-order QCD corrections to the gluon-gluon fusion subprocess [1–5, 15]. However, the main part of these corrections are already effectively taken into account in our calculations. It is

Source	$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X)$ [pb]
k_T -factorization (CCFM)	25.6
k_T -factorization (KMR)	$25.3^{+9.7}_{-7.2}$ (scales)
NLO pQCD [12, 13] (DIPHOX)	$27.3^{+3.0}_{-2.3}$ (scales) ± 1.1 (PDFs)
CMS data [2]	31.0 ± 1.8 (stat.) $^{+2.0}_{-2.1}$ (syst.) ± 1.2 (lumi.)

Table 2. The total cross section of diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions calculated in the kinematical region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 1.44$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 1.44$, $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 23$ GeV and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 20$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV.

a radiative QCD corrections described by the ladder-type diagrams which are naturally included into the k_T -factorization formalism at LO level.⁶ At the LHC, our predictions agree well with the CMS data [4] in a whole kinematical range and slightly overestimate the ATLAS data [5] at low values of $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and high values of $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. This point, probably, can indicate the some inconsistency of the CMS and ATLAS data and, of course, needs in a further theoretical and experimental investigations. Note also that the NLO pQCD predictions [12, 13] underestimate the measured $\cos\theta^*$ distributions at both the Tevatron and LHC energies [2–5]. This underestimation is more significant for the central rapidity range ($|\eta| < 1.44$) at the LHC. Our predictions agree well with the data [2–5] within the uncertainties. It could be important for experimental detection of Higgs boson signal and further investigations of Higgs properties.

As it was expected, the main important properties of the k_T -factorization approach clearly manifest themselves in the calculated $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$, z and $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions. In the naive LO pQCD approximation, photons produced in $2 \rightarrow 2$ subprocesses are back-to-back in the transverse plane and are balanced in p_T due to momentum conservation. Therefore, these three distributions must be simply a delta functions: $d\sigma/dp_T^{\gamma\gamma} \sim \delta(p_T^{\gamma\gamma})$, $d\sigma/dz \sim \delta(z - 1)$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \sim \delta(\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} - \pi)$. When the higher-order QCD processes are considered, the presence of additional quarks and/or gluons in the final state allows these distributions to be more spread. In the k_T -factorization approach, taking into account the non-vanishing initial parton transverse momentum leads to the violation of back-to-back kinematics even at LO approximation. So, despite the fact that one from contributed subprocesses is the $2 \rightarrow 3$ subprocess (that makes the difference between the k_T -factorization predictions and ones from the collinear approximation of QCD not well pronounced), obtained perfect description of measured $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions [1–5] with the KMR parton densities is notable. Specially we point out a reasonably description of the Tevatron and LHC data at low $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ where the significant underestimation of the data by the NLO/NNLL pQCD calculations [12–14] was observed (see also figures 2, 4 and 6). The predictions based on the CCFM parton densities also differs from the KMR ones, especially at low $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ values. The important role of such correlations for understanding an interaction dynamics is well known [27–29, 44]. In particular, as it was demonstrated in [58], these observables strongly depend on the unintegrated parton densities involved in the calculations and therefore can be used as a test to distinguish the different approaches of non-collinear parton evolution. Here we demonstrated this point again.

⁶See review [23–26] for more details.

Source	$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X)$ [pb]
k_T -factorization (CCFM)	45.1
k_T -factorization (KMR)	$48.0^{+18.1}_{-13.9}$ (scales)
NLO pQCD [12, 13] (DIPHOX)	$52.7^{+5.8}_{-4.2}$ (scales) ± 2.0 (PDFs)
CMS data [2]	62.4 ± 3.6 (stat.) $^{+5.3}_{-5.8}$ (syst.) ± 2.5 (lumi.)

Table 3. The total cross section of diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions calculated in the kinematical region defined by $|\eta^{\gamma 1}| < 2.5$, $|\eta^{\gamma 2}| < 2.5$ (except pseudo-rapidity region $1.44 < \eta < 1.57$ for both photons), $p_T^{\gamma 1} > 23$ GeV and $p_T^{\gamma 2} > 20$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV.

We would like to note also that the scale uncertainties of our calculations are quite small at $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 0$ and increases when $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \rightarrow \pi$. Similar to $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions, the calculated $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions also directly connected with the unintegrated quark and gluon distributions due to transverse momentum conservation. Perfect overall agreement of our calculations and the data on the $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ shows that the KMR prescription for evaluation of unintegrated parton densities reproduces well the transverse momenta of initial quarks and gluons in a probed kinematical region.

Special interest for the k_T -factorization phenomenology is connected also with the events with low invariant mass and high transverse momentum of produced photon pair. The CDF collaboration has studied this kinematical region using a special condition $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ in their experimental analysis [2]. Within the mentioned above CDF cuts on the transverse momenta of the produced photons, such measurements provide us with an additional test for unintegrated quark and gluon distributions $f_a(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ at moderate and high $\mathbf{k}_T^2$ values. It is clearly illustrated in figures 7-10 where the significant difference between the KMR and CCFM predictions is observed. One can see that, in contrast with the CCFM-based calculations, the k_T -factorization predictions with the KMR partons agree well with the CDF data. The observed difference between the KMR and CCFM predictions is connected with the shape of corresponding parton (mainly, gluon) densities as a function of $\mathbf{k}_T^2$ (the comparison of different unintegrated gluon distributions are given in, for example, [27–29]). Note that the events with back-to-back kinematics are strongly suppressed in this case (see figure 10). The NLO/NNLL pQCD calculations [12–14] predictions in this kinematical region are also underestimate the data. An opposite condition (namely, $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$) corresponds to the selection of events with kinematics similar to the decay of a heavy particle with low transverse momentum into a photon pair, such as, for example, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Such events are better described [2] by the NLO pQCD calculations [12, 13] than ones with low invariant mass and high transverse momentum. Our predictions with the KMR densities agree within the uncertainties with the data [2], but overall agreement is a bit worse. However, the CCFM-based calculations agree well with the CDF data.

Concerning a relative contributions to the calculated diphoton production cross sections, we find that quark-antiquark subprocess dominates at both the Tevatron and LHC energies in the probed kinematical regions.⁷ The contribution from quark-gluon scatter-

⁷We strongly disagree with the estimations [59] of relative contributions from the gluon-gluon fusion and quark-antiquark annihilation subprocesses performed for the Tevatron energy.

ing is similar to gluon-gluon fusion one at low diphoton invariant masses and overshoot gluon-gluon fusion contribution at high $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ values. As it was expected [4, 5], the role of both these subprocesses increases significantly at the LHC energies. We note also that the contribution from $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma g$ subprocess, which is order of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s\alpha^2)$, is already taken into account in our calculations. To be precise, this contribution is effectively included to the quark-antiquark annihilation one due to the initial state gluon radiation (see also [27–29]). Of course, it is in a clear contrast with the collinear QCD factorization where these contributions should be calculated separately.

The predicted total cross sections for the Tevatron conditions are listed in table 1 and for LHC conditions are listed in tables 2 and 3, respectively. Our results are slightly below the Tevatron data and NLO/NNLL pQCD predictions [12–14] but agree with them within the theoretical and experimental uncertainties. Concerning the CCFM predictions, we point out a two important points. First, these predictions have been obtained in approximation that the sea quarks originates due to the last gluon splitting only, and therefore the contributions from quarks coming from the earlier steps of the evolution cascade are not taken into account. However, it is not evident a priori, whether the last gluon splitting dominates or not. In principle, the relative size of these contributions can be estimated within the KMR approach which enables us to discriminate between the various components of the quark distributions (see, for example, [32] and references therein). Second, as it was noted above, we neglected the effects connected with the off-shellness of gluon-to-quark splitting function $P_{qg}(z)$ in the present phenomenological study. We plan to investigate both these points (which can improve an overall agreement between the CCFM predictions and the data) in forthcoming publications.

In conclusion, we would like to stress a number of important achievements demonstrated by the k_T -factorization QCD approach. As a general feature, the k_T -factorization predictions are found to be perfectly compatible with the available data, in particular, on the production of prompt photons, Drell-Yan lepton pairs, heavy quarks as well as various quarkonium states at modern colliders [31–38, 58, 60–62]. The k_T -factorization approach succeeds in describing the polarization phenomena observed in ep and pp interactions (see, for example, [60–62] and references therein). The latter is essentially related to the initial gluon off-shellness, which dominates the gluon polarization properties and has a considerable impact on the kinematics. So, we believe that the k_T -factorization formalism holds a possible key to understanding the production dynamics at high energies.

4 Conclusions

We have investigated the isolated prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ and pp collisions at the Tevatron and LHC energies within the framework of the k_T -factorization QCD approach. Our consideration is based on the quark-antiquark annihilation, quark-gluon scattering and gluon-gluon fusion subprocesses, where the non-zero transverse momenta of incoming partons are taken into account. The unintegrated parton densities in a proton are determined using the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin prescription. In addition to the KMR approach, we applied the CCFM-evolved gluon and valence quark densities. In this case, to

evaluate the unintegrated sea quark distribution we restrict to the case where the gluon-to-quark splitting occurs as the last evolution step and convolute the CCFM-evolved unintegrated gluon distribution with the standard leading-order DGLAP splitting function. So we tried to estimate the dependence of our numerical predictions on the non-collinear evolution scheme which is a special subject of study in the k_T -factorization approach. We obtained a reasonably well agreement between our predictions (with the KMR parton densities) and recent data taken by the DØ, CDF, CMS and ATLAS collaborations. The achieved description of the data in several kinematical regions (in particular, at low azimuthal angle distance between produced photons) is better as compared to the one obtained in the framework of collinear QCD factorization (with NLO or even NNLL accuracy). Also, we describe reasonably well the Tevatron and LHC data on the $\cos\theta^*$ distributions. Such quantities (which are underestimated by the NLO pQCD calculations) probe the angular momentum of the final state and are different for QCD-mediated production as compared to the decay of a scalar Higgs boson. It could be important for experimental detection of Higgs and further theoretical and experimental investigations in this field. We studied the dependences of our numerical results on the standard scale variation. We found that these scale uncertainties are quite small at $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 0$, although they exceed, of course, the uncertainties of NLO/NNLL pQCD calculations in general.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank N.P. Zotov, S.P. Baranov and H. Jung for their encouraging interest and helpful discussions. Author is also grateful to DESY Directorate for the support in the framework of Moscow — DESY project on Monte-Carlo implementation for HERA — LHC. This research was supported in part by the FASI of Russian Federation (grant NS-3920.2012.2), RFBR grants 11-02-01454-a and 12-02-31030, grant of president of Russian Federation (MK-3977.2011.2) and the grant of Ministry of education and sciences of Russia (agreement 8412).

References

- [1] CDF collaboration, D. Acosta et al., *Measurement of the cross section for prompt diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 022003 [[hep-ex/0412050](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., *Measurement of the cross section for prompt isolated diphoton production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 052006 [[arXiv:1106.5131](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] D0 collaboration, V. Abazov et al., *Measurement of direct photon pair production cross sections in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **690** (2010) 108 [[arXiv:1002.4917](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the production cross section for pairs of isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *JHEP* **01** (2012) 133 [[arXiv:1110.6461](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [5] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the isolated di-photon cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 012003 [[arXiv:1107.0581](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] S. Mrenna and J.D. Wells, *Detecting a light Higgs boson at the Fermilab Tevatron through enhanced decays to photon pairs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 015006 [[hep-ph/0001226](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G. Giudice and R. Rattazzi, *Theories with gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rept.* **322** (1999) 419 [[hep-ph/9801271](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] T. Appelquist, H.-C. Cheng and B.A. Dobrescu, *Bounds on universal extra dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 035002 [[hep-ph/0012100](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for diphoton events with large missing transverse energy in 7 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 121803 [[arXiv:1012.4272](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370 [[hep-ph/9905221](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] K. Koller, T. Walsh and P. Zerwas, *Testing QCD: direct photons in e^+e^- collisions*, *Z. Phys. C* **2** (1979) 197 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] T. Binoth, J. Guillet, E. Pilon and M. Werlen, *A full next-to-leading order study of direct photon pair production in hadronic collisions*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **16** (2000) 311 [[hep-ph/9911340](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] T. Binoth, J.P. Guillet, E. Pilon and M. Werlen, *Beyond leading order effects in photon pair production at the Tevatron*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 114016 [[hep-ph/0012191](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] C. Balázs, E.L. Berger, P.M. Nadolsky and C.-P. Yuan, *Calculation of prompt diphoton production cross-sections at Tevatron and LHC energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 013009 [[arXiv:0704.0001](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini, *Diphoton production at hadron colliders: a fully-differential QCD calculation at NNLO*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 072001 [[arXiv:1110.2375](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] L. Gribov, E. Levin and M. Ryskin, *Semihard processes in QCD*, *Phys. Rept.* **100** (1983) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] E. Levin, M. Ryskin, Y. Shabelski and A. Shuvaev, *Heavy quark production in semihard nucleon interactions*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **53** (1991) 657 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, *High-energy factorization and small $\times$ heavy flavor production*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **366** (1991) 135 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] J.C. Collins and R.K. Ellis, *Heavy quark production in very high-energy hadron collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360** (1991) 3 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] E. Kuraev, L. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, *Multi-Reggeon processes in the Yang-Mills theory*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **44** (1976) 443 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] E. Kuraev, L. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, *The Pomeron singularity in nonabelian gauge theories*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** (1977) 199 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] I. Balitsky and L. Lipatov, *The Pomeron singularity in quantum chromodynamics*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **28** (1978) 822 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [23] M. Ciafaloni, *Coherence effects in initial jets at small Q^2/s* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **296** (1988) 49 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] S. Catani, F. Fiorani and G. Marchesini, *QCD coherence in initial state radiation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **234** (1990) 339 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] S. Catani, F. Fiorani and G. Marchesini, *Small $\times$ behavior of initial state radiation in perturbative QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **336** (1990) 18 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] G. Marchesini, *QCD coherence in the structure function and associated distributions at small $\times$* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **445** (1995) 49 [[hep-ph/9412327](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] SMALL $\times$ collaboration, B. Andersson et al., *Small $\times$ phenomenology: summary and status*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **25** (2002) 77 [[hep-ph/0204115](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] SMALL $\times$ collaboration, J.R. Andersen et al., *Small $\times$ phenomenology: summary and status*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **35** (2004) 67 [[hep-ph/0312333](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] SMALL $\times$ collaboration, J.R. Andersen et al., *Small $\times$ phenomenology: summary of the 3rd Lund small $\times$ workshop in 2004*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **48** (2006) 53 [[hep-ph/0604189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] A. Gawron and J. Kwiecinski, *Resummation effects in Higgs boson transverse momentum distribution within the framework of unintegrated parton distributions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 014003 [[hep-ph/0309303](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] S. Baranov, A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Deep inelastic prompt photon production at HERA in the kt -factorization approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 094034 [[arXiv:1001.4782](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Study of non-collinear parton dynamics in the prompt photon photoproduction at HERA*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 094027 [[arXiv:0907.3303](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Prompt photon photoproduction at HERA in the k_T -factorization approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 054002 [[hep-ph/0506044](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Prompt photon hadroproduction at high energies in the k_T -factorization approach*, *J. Phys. G* **34** (2007) 219 [[hep-ph/0507243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] S. Baranov, A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Prompt photon hadroproduction at high energies in off-shell gluon-gluon fusion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 074024 [[arXiv:0708.3560](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] A. Lipatov, M. Malyshev and N. Zotov, *Testing for k_t -factorization with inclusive prompt photon production at LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **699** (2011) 93 [[arXiv:1102.1134](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] A. Lipatov, M. Malyshev and N. Zotov, *Drell-Yan lepton pair production at high energies in the k_t -factorization approach*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 117 [[arXiv:1110.6582](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] A. Lipatov, M. Malyshev and N. Zotov, *Prompt photon and associated heavy quark production at hadron colliders with k_t -factorization*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 104 [[arXiv:1204.3828](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Kimber, A.D. Martin and M. Ryskin, *Unintegrated parton distributions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 114027 [[hep-ph/0101348](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] G. Watt, A. Martin and M. Ryskin, *Unintegrated parton distributions and inclusive jet production at HERA*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **31** (2003) 73 [[hep-ph/0306169](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] H. Jung, *Un-integrated PDFs in CCFM*, [hep-ph/0411287](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] M. Deak, H. Jung, and K. Kutak, *Quark initiated jet-production in k_t -factorisation*, talk presented at *16th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects*, University College London, London U.K., April 7-11, 2008.

- [43] B. Kniehl, V. Saleev, A. Shipilova and E. Yatsenko, *Single jet and prompt-photon inclusive production with multi-Regge kinematics: from Tevatron to LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 074017 [[arXiv:1107.1462](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] F. Hautmann and H. Jung, *Angular correlations in multi-jet final states from k -perpendicular-dependent parton showers*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 113 [[arXiv:0805.1049](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] R.E. Prange, *Dispersion relations for Compton scattering*, *Phys. Rev.* **110** (1958) 240.
- [46] S. Baranov, *Single and pair production of B/c mesons in pp , ep and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **60** (1997) 1322 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Symbolic manipulation with FORM*, Computer Algebra Nederland, Amsterdam The Netherlands (1991).
- [48] E.L. Berger, E. Braaten and R. Field, *Large p_T production of single and double photons in proton proton and pion-proton collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **239** (1984) 52 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] A. Martin, W. Stirling, R. Thorne and G. Watt, *Parton distributions for the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63** (2009) 189 [[arXiv:0901.0002](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] H. Jung, *The CCFM Monte Carlo generator cascade*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **143** (2002) 100 [[hep-ph/0109102](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] H. Jung and G. Salam, *Hadronic final state predictions from CCFM: the hadron level Monte Carlo generator CASCADE*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **19** (2001) 351 [[hep-ph/0012143](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] H. Jung, S. Baranov, M. Deak, A. Grebenyuk, F. Hautmann, et al., *The CCFM Monte Carlo generator cascade version 2.2.03*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 1237 [[arXiv:1008.0152](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] S. Catani and F. Hautmann, *High-energy factorization and small $\times$ deep inelastic scattering beyond leading order*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **427** (1994) 475 [[hep-ph/9405388](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] S. Catani and F. Hautmann, *Quark anomalous dimensions at small $\times$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **315** (1993) 157 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] F. Hautmann, M. Hentschinski and H. Jung, *Forward Z-boson production and the unintegrated sea quark density*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **865** (2012) 54 [[arXiv:1205.1759](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] M. Fontannaz, J. Guillet and G. Heinrich, *Isolated prompt photon photoproduction at NLO*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **21** (2001) 303 [[hep-ph/0105121](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] G.P. Lepage, *A new algorithm for adaptive multidimensional integration*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **27** (1978) 192 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] S. Baranov, A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *B meson production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at Tevatron with k_T factorization*, *Yad. Fiz.* **67** (2004) 856 [[hep-ph/0302171](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] V. Saleev, *Diphoton production at Tevatron in the quasi-multi-Regge-kinematics approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 114016 [[arXiv:0911.5517](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] S. Baranov, A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Prompt J/ψ production at LHC: new evidence for the k_t -factorization*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 014034 [[arXiv:1108.2856](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] S. Baranov, A. Lipatov and N. Zotov, *Inclusive J/ψ photoproduction and polarization at HERA in the k_t -factorization approach*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1631 [[arXiv:1012.3022](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] S. Baranov and N. Zotov, *Production and polarization of v mesons in the k_T -factorization approach in more detail*, *JETP Lett.* **88** (2008) 711 [[arXiv:0810.4928](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

Figure 1. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of diphoton invariant mass $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ and transverse momentum $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Left panel: the solid histograms correspond to the results obtained with the KMR parton densities at the default scale, and the upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to standard scale variations, as it is described in the text. The dash-dotted histograms correspond to the results obtained with the CCFM parton distributions. The dotted histograms represent the NNLL pQCD predictions [14] (as given by RESBOS program) listed in [3]. Right panel: the different contributions to the diphoton cross sections calculated at the default scale with the KMR partons. The dashed, dash-dotted and dotted histograms correspond to the gluon-gluon fusion, quark-antiquark annihilation (where only valence quarks are taken into account) and quark-gluon scattering subprocesses. The solid histograms represent the sum of these contributions. The experimental data are from D0 [3].

Figure 2. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $|\cos\theta^*|$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 1. The experimental data are from D0 [3].

Figure 3. The double differential cross section $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}dp_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron calculated for three subdivisions of $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ range. The solid histograms correspond to the results obtained with the KMR parton densities at the default scale, and the upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to standard scale variations, as it is described in the text. The dash-dotted histograms correspond to the results obtained with the CCFM parton distributions. The dotted histograms represent the NNLL pQCD predictions [14] (as given by RESBOS program) listed in [3]. The experimental data are from D0 [3].

Figure 4. The double differential cross section $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}d\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron calculated for three subdivisions of $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ range. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 3. The experimental data are from D0 [3].

Figure 5. The double differential cross section $d\sigma/dM_{\gamma\gamma}d|\cos\theta^*|$ of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron calculated for three subdivisions of $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ range. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 3. The experimental data are from D0 [3].

Figure 6. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 1. The experimental data are from CDF [1].

Figure 7. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of diphoton invariant mass $M_{\gamma\gamma}$. Two kinematic cases are shown separately: differential cross sections for $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. The solid histograms correspond to the results obtained with the KMR parton densities at the default scale, and the upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to standard scale variations, as it is described in the text. The dash-dotted histograms correspond to the results obtained with the CCFM parton distributions. The experimental data are from CDF [2].

Figure 8. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of diphoton transverse momentum $p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Two kinematic cases are shown separately: differential cross sections for $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 7. The experimental data are from CDF [2].

Figure 9. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of diphoton rapidity $y_{\gamma\gamma}$ and variable $z = p_T^{\gamma 2}/p_T^{\gamma 1}$. Two kinematic cases are shown separately: differential cross sections for $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 7. The experimental data are from CDF [2].

Figure 10. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $\cos\theta^*$. Two kinematic cases are shown separately: differential cross sections for $M_{\gamma\gamma} > p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} < p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 7. The experimental data are from CDF [2].

Figure 11. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of diphoton invariant mass. Left panel: the solid histograms correspond to the results obtained with the KMR parton densities at the default scale, and the upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to standard scale variations, as it is described in the text. The dash-dotted histograms correspond to the results obtained with the CCFM parton distributions. Right panel: the different contributions to the diphoton cross sections calculated at the default scale with the KMR partons. The dashed and dotted histograms correspond to the gluon-gluon fusion and quark-gluon scattering subprocesses. The solid histograms represent the sum of these contributions. The experimental data are from CMS [4].

Figure 12. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of diphoton transverse momentum. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 11. The experimental data are from CMS [4].

Figure 13. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 11. The experimental data are from CMS [4].

Figure 14. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of $|\cos\theta^*|$. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 11. The experimental data are from CMS [4].

Figure 15. The differential cross section of prompt photon pair production in pp collisions at the LHC. Notation of all histograms is the same as in figure 11. The experimental data are from ATLAS [5].