Integration of Ganglioside GT$_{1b}$ Receptor into DPPE and DPPC Phospholipid Monolayers: An X-Ray Reflectivity and Grazing-Incidence Diffraction Study

C. E. Miller,* D. D. Busath,† B. Strongin,‡ and J. Majewski*

*Manuel Lujan Neutron Scattering Center, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, and †Department of Physiology and Developmental Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah

ABSTRACT Using synchrotron grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction (GIXD) and reflectivity, the in-plane and out-of-plane structures of mixed-ganglioside GT$_{1b}$-phospholipid monolayers were investigated at the air-liquid interface and compared with monolayers of the pure components. The receptor GT$_{1b}$ is involved in the binding of lectins and toxins, including botulinum neurotoxin, to cell membranes. Monolayers composed of 20 mol % ganglioside GT$_{1b}$, the phospholipid dipalmitoyl phosphatidylethanolamine (DPPE), and the phospholipid dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC) were studied in the gel phase at 23°C and at surface pressures of 20 and 40 mN/m, and at pH 7.4 and 5. Under these conditions, the two components did not phase-separate, and no evidence of domain formation was observed. The x-ray scattering measurements revealed that GT$_{1b}$ was intercalated within the host DPPE/DPPC monolayers, and slightly expanded DPPE but condensed the DPPC matrix. The oligosaccharide headgroups extended normally from the monolayer surfaces into the subphase. This study demonstrated that these monolayers can serve as platforms for investigating toxin membrane binding and penetration.

INTRODUCTION

Cell membranes in the immune system, nervous system, placentas, and transformed malignant cancer cells are rich in glycolipids (1). Their complex biochemical and biophysical properties (1,2), and their place in the lipidome (3), were recently reviewed. Because of their extensive hydrogen-bonding capacity, they are interesting both as potential nucleation sites for lateral organization in the plasmalemma, and as receptors or ligands for binding extracellular agents.

Ganglioside lipids consist of a sphingosine base (with its hydrophobic tail) linked by a peptide bond to a fatty acid and also to a chain of highly soluble cyclic sugar residues (e.g., glucose or galactose) with one (GM), two, or three (GT) sialic-acid branches (4). The neutral sugar tails and negatively charged sialic residues constitute highly soluble hydrophilic headgroups on the diacyl lipids, such that the critical micelle concentrations for mono-, di-, and trisialogangliosides are 10–40 nM (5), higher than those of similar phospholipids, e.g., ~0.5 nM for dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (6). Ganglioside lipids partition into rafts (7), presumably because of their saturated ceramide tails. The aggregative properties of ganglioside lipids were thoroughly reviewed (5).

To highlight their importance in a large variety of cellular processes, ganglioside lipids bind to lectins, serving as immunological and cell-adhesion receptors. They participate in cell signaling, oncogenesis, and cell differentiation (8–16). They are important in placentation and nerve growth, and they participate in myelin stability and nerve regeneration (17,18). Viral entry and budding also involve protein associations with ganglioside lipids (19–22).

Ganglioside lipids are important to the mechanisms of how bacterial toxins bind and gain entry to the interior of cells. Cholera toxin (23–25) binds specifically to GM$_1$, whereas botulinum neurotoxin type A (26–35) and tetanus toxin (28) bind strongly to trisialogangliosides.

Ganglioside lipid headgroups are known to be perpendicular to the membrane, based on vesicle electrophoresis, atomic force microscopy, and molecular dynamics simulations (1). Recently, neutron and x-ray reflectometry were used to characterize GM$_1$-containing monolayer structures (36,37). Moreover, x-ray reflectometry (XR) has the ability to characterize the electron-density profile normal to the membrane surface.

We present x-ray scattering studies of GT$_{1b}$, a prominent neuronal glycolipid, which is the primary ganglioside receptor for botulinum neurotoxin type A, and is important in most or all of the processes mentioned above. The receptor GT$_{1b}$ is probably surrounded in the cell-membrane raft by saturated lipids such as sphingomyelin. As an approximation of this environment, we used monolayers of dipalmitoyl phosphatidylethanolamine (DPPE) or dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), which, with their saturated tails, are likely to be similar in structure to other raft lipids. By varying the surface pressure, we examined the tail-packing properties under both relatively tight and loosely packed conformations. In addition, the use of DPPE and DPPC enabled the use of grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction (GIXD). This technique is very valuable in the determination of in-plane structural parameters and the evaluation of detailed molecular intercalation and spacing properties of the lipid mixture. Using XR and GIXD, we evaluated the thickness of the sugar...
headgroup layer quantitatively, and determined how headgroup structure and spacing change when DPPE or DPPC molecules are interposed between GT1b molecules. We also addressed the question of how the presence of GT1b molecules affects the extent of in-plane order of host DPPE or DPPC molecules. The results bespeak the homogeneity of GT1b distribution in such a bilayer, and lay the groundwork for studies of more raft-like mixtures of lipids. Moreover, these studies demonstrate that these monolayers are stable up to 20 mol % of GT1b. This relatively high concentration of GT1b will maximize interactions of proteins that associate with GT1b, making these monolayers an ideal platform for investigating toxin membrane-binding and penetration.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials**

Lipid monolayers were composed using DPPE (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine), DPPC (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine), and trisialoganglioside GT1b (Cer-Glc-Gal(NeuAc-NeuAc)-GalNAc-Gal-Glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine), DPPC (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine), and trisialoganglioside GT1b (Cer-Glc-Gal(NeuAc-NeuAc)-GalNAc-Gal-Glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine), DPPE and DPPC were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL), and GT1b (catalog No. G3767) was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All lipids were used without further purification. The chemical structure of each lipid is presented in Fig. 1. Lipids were dissolved in chloroform/methanol 90:10 (~1.2 mg/mL), and deposited on an H2O buffer subphase (pH 7.4 or 5). Buffer chemicals were purchased from Sigma, and prepared using Millipore (Billerica, MA) H2O with 170 mM NaCl, 8.3 mM sodium phosphate. The pH was adjusted by titration with NaOH or HCl. All surface pressure-area isotherms were performed on a Nima Langmuir trough (Nima Technology, Coventry, England) at 23°C (±1°C) at a compression rate of 10 cm²/min. All isotherm results are the averages of at least three measurements, and deviations were <5%.

**X-ray reflectivity**

The theory of XR and GIXD was presented in detail elsewhere (38–41), and the scattering geometries were also previously illustrated (41,42), so only a short discussion will be given here. All synchrotron x-ray measurements were performed using the liquid surface diffractometer at the BW1 (undulator) beam line at Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor (HASYLAB), Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) (Hamburg, Germany). A temperature-controlled Langmuir trough, equipped with a Wilhelmy balance for surface-pressure measurements and a motorized barrier for surface-area variation, was mounted on the diffractometer. The trough was enclosed in a sealed, helium-filled canister where the oxygen level was constantly maintained at <2%, to minimize beam damage. The synchrotron x-ray beam was monochromated to a wavelength of λ = 1.304 Å by the (200) Bragg reflection from a beryllium monochromator crystal in Laue geometry. By tilting the reflecting crystal planes out of the vertical plane, the monochromatic beam was deflected down to impinge on the horizontal liquid surface at a shallow glancing angle.

Reflectivity, $R$, is defined as the ratio of the intensity of x-rays specularly reflected from a surface relative to that of the incident x-ray beam. When measured as a function of wave-vector transfer ($q = |k_{out} - k_{in}| = 4\pi\sin(\alpha)/\lambda$, where $\alpha$ is the grazing angle, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the x-ray beam), the reflectivity curve contains information on the sample-normal profile of the in-plane average of the electron density. Reflectivities with $q_z$ values from 0.01–0.8 Å⁻¹ were measured using a NaI scintillation detector, and reasonable statistics were obtained for values of $R \approx 10^{-10}$. Typical scanning times for this $q_z$ range were 30 min. The absolute reflectivity was derived by subtracting the background, followed by normalization to the incident beam flux. The data were reduced and plotted as $R/R_0$ versus the perpendicular scattering vector, $q_z$. Division by Fresnel reflectivity, $R_0$, increases the visibility of the reflectivity profile by removing the sharp $q_z^2$ decrease of the reflectivity attributable to Fresnel’s law. The error bars in the data represent statistical errors in the measurements (standard deviation, $\sigma$).

Analysis of the measured reflectivity curves was performed using a model-free approach (43–45). In this method, the electron-density profile was parameterized using cubic B-splines. The coefficients in the series were determined by constrained nonlinear least-squares methods, in which the smoothest solution with the lowest $\chi^2$ was chosen. We present a family of models deviating by a maximum of 5% of the minimum $\chi^2$. As a result, there is a broadening of the electron-density distribution, which is a measure of the uncertainty in the real-space structure. In this manner, detailed information on electron-density distribution in the direction normal to the interface was determined. Fluorescence microscopy measurements (data not shown) showed a homogenous monolayer structure, with no visible domains.

---

**FIGURE 1** Chemical structure of GT1b, DPPE, and DPPC. A bar of length 10 Å is shown for reference. The saccharide region of GT1b is not drawn to scale, for better visibility of its chemical structure.
Grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction

Grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction can provide information about any lateral ordering within the system, comparable to wide-angle x-ray diffraction and grazing-incidence small-angle x-ray scattering (46–49). For the GIXD experiments, the x-ray beam was adjusted to strike the surface at an incident angle of 0.11°, which corresponds to \( q_z = 0.85 \alpha \), where \( q_z = 0.0219 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) is the critical scattering vector for total external reflection from the buffered liquid subphase. At this angle, the incident wave is totally reflected, whereas the refracted wave becomes evanescent, traveling along the liquid surface. Such a configuration maximizes surface sensitivity. The dimension of the x-ray beam footprint on the liquid surface was \( \approx 2 \text{ mm} \times 50 \text{ mm} \). For in-plane diffraction measurements, a Soller collimator (JJ X-ray, Liseleje, Denmark), consisting of closely spaced vertical plates, was placed before a vertical, one-dimensional position-sensitive detector with vertical acceptance 0 < \( q_z < 1.2 \text{ Å}^{-1} \), yielding a lateral resolution of \( \Delta q_{xy} = 0.0084 \text{ Å}^{-1} \).

From three-dimensional (3D) crystals, strong diffraction from a set of crystal planes with interplanar spacing \( d \) occurs only when the Bragg law \((n \lambda = 2d \sin \theta)\) is obeyed. More precisely, diffraction occurs only when the scattering vector, \( q \), coincides with points of the reciprocal 3D lattice with integer Miller indices \((h, k, l)\), giving rise to Bragg spots. In our two-dimensional (2D) systems, the monolayers are a mosaic of 2D crystals with random orientation about the direction normal to the subphase, and can therefore be described as 2D powders. Because of the lack of restriction on the scattering vector component \( q_z \) along the direction normal to the 2D crystal, Bragg scattering extends as continuous Bragg rods in reciprocal space (see Als-Nielsen et al. (38)).

The scattered intensity was measured by scanning over a range of horizontal scattering vectors

\[
q_{xy} = \left( q_x^2 + q_y^2 \right)^{1/2} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left[ \cos^2(\alpha_x) + \cos^2(\alpha_y) - 2\cos(\alpha_x)\cos(\alpha_y)\cos(2\theta_{xy}) \right]^{1/2},
\]

where \( 2\theta_{xy} \) is the angle between the incident and diffracted beam projected onto the horizontal plane, \( q_x \) is the combination of horizontal components \( q_x \) and \( q_y \), and \( \alpha_x \) and \( \alpha_y \) are the incident and the scattered angles, respectively (38,39). Note that only for \( \alpha_x \approx 0 \) is \( q_x \approx (4\pi/\lambda) \sin(2\theta_{xy})/2 \). Bragg peaks are the intensity resolved in the \( q_{xy} \)-direction and integrated over channels along the \( z \)-direction in the position-sensitive detector. Conversely, the Bragg rod profiles are the intensity resolved in the \( q_{xy} \)-direction and integrated over channels along the \( z \)-direction in the position-sensitive detector. The configuration of the position-sensitive detector (described above) allowed Bragg-peak and Bragg-rod measurements to be made simultaneously. The position of the maxima of the Bragg peaks, \( q_{xy} \), allows the determination of the repeat distances \( d = 2\pi/q_{xy} \) of the 2D lattice. From the widths of the peaks, corrected for the instrument resolution, it is possible to determine the 2D crystalline in-plane coherence length, \( L_{xy} \) (the average distance in the direction of the reciprocal lattice vector \( q_{xy} \) over which there is ‘near-perfect’ crystallinity). The intensity distribution along the Bragg rod was analyzed to determine the direction and magnitude of the molecular tilt (measured from the water-surface normal), the coherently scattering length of the molecule, \( L_c \), and the magnitude of molecular motion or surface roughness, \( \alpha \), of the crystallite (Debye-Waller factor).

RESULTS

Surface pressure-area isotherms

Pressure-area isotherms for GT\textsubscript{1b}, DPPE, DPPC, 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPE, and 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in the 100% GT\textsubscript{1b} isotherm, the large size of the GT\textsubscript{1b} headgroup caused a nonzero surface pressure even at areas per molecule above 100 Å\(^2\). This behavior is typical for a fluid-phase monolayer with a large, bulky headgroup (50). The pure DPPE monolayer had a much sharper pressure increase, distinctive for a solid-phase monolayer. The GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPE lipid monolayer could almost be superimposed on the isotherm of pure DPPE. If there were significant phase separation of the two components, the expected isotherm would have been a linear combination of the pure DPPE and pure GT\textsubscript{1b} isotherm. This indicates that GT\textsubscript{1b} molecules, up to a mole fraction of 20%, are incorporated into DPPE matrix and do not significantly disturb the packing of DPPE. However, the 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC monolayer showed a condensing effect, designated as a lower surface pressure, in the liquid-solid phase transition. Above surface pressures of 10 mN/m, isotherms of DPPC and 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC are almost identical.

**FIGURE 2** Pressure-area isotherms for GT\textsubscript{1b}, DPPE, DPPC, 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPE, and 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC. Isotherm of GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPE lipid monolayer could almost be superimposed on isotherm of pure DPPE. This indicated that GT\textsubscript{1b} molecules, up to a mole fraction of 20%, are incorporated into DPPE matrix and do not significantly disturb the packing of DPPE. However, the 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC monolayer showed a condensing effect, designated as a lower surface pressure, in the liquid-solid phase transition. Above surface pressures of 10 mN/m, isotherms of DPPC and 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPC are almost identical.

Reflectivity analysis

Reflectivity measurements of lipid monolayers at the air-liquid interface enabled a determination of the average electron-density profile normal to the interface. The experimentally measured, Fresnel-divided reflectivity profiles for a pure GT\textsubscript{1b} monolayer, a pure DPPE monolayer, and a 1:4 mol % GT\textsubscript{1b}/DPPE monolayer on an H\(_2\)O/buffer subphase (pH 7.4, 20 mN/m) are shown in Fig. 3 a. More quantitative de-
tails were obtained using cubic B-spline fits to “invert” the reflectivity profile into real-space structures. The corresponding electron-density profiles, \( \rho(z) \), obtained from the cubic B-spline fits, are shown in Fig. 3b (solid curves). The family of models deviating by a maximum of 5% of the minimum \( \chi^2 \) is shown for each monolayer, which reflects the uncertainty in the real-space structure.

In Fig. 3b, the headgroup and tail region of pure DPPE are distinguishable with a maximum headgroup electron density of 1.36\( \rho_{\text{subphase}} \), in agreement with previous studies of similar systems (52,53). The electron density of the tail region corresponds to an average area per molecule of \( \sim 43 \) Å\(^2\). The electron-density profile of the 1:4 GT\(_{1b}\)/DPPE monolayer was similar to pure DPPE, with the addition of electron density at larger depth attributable to the GT\(_{1b}\) saccharide region, clearly extending (20–25 Å) into the liquid subphase from the DPPE headgroup region. The profile also reveals a lower electron density of the saccharide region at a depth of \( \sim 29 \) Å, consistent with the single sugar chain in the GT\(_{1b}\) chemical structure. For the mixed monolayer, the alkyl tail region had the same thickness, roughness, and electron density as pure DPPE, indicating that an out-of-plane staggering of the two components did not occur.

In the case of pure GT\(_{1b}\) at 20 mN/m, the total length (30–35 Å, measured between inflection points on the electron-density distribution) was significantly less than expected from the molecular structure. Because of the large size of the hydrophilic saccharide headgroup at large area per molecule, the saccharide region can adopt many conformations that do not fully extend into the subphase. At higher surface pressures (\( \sim 35 \) mN/m), the reduction in area per molecule caused the saccharide region to extend and the total thickness of pure GT\(_{1b}\) to be equivalent to the total thickness of the GT\(_{1b}\)/DPPE monolayer (data not shown). Pure GT\(_{1b}\) was unstable at surface pressures >35 mN/m.

Based on a reflectivity analysis, a 20 mol % of GT\(_{1b}\) within a DPPE matrix provided sufficient spacing between GT\(_{1b}\) molecules laterally, and allowed the GT\(_{1b}\) receptor to assume full extension from the membrane surface. On average, GT\(_{1b}\) molecules are \( \sim 15–20 \) Å apart along any particular direction, which does not significantly disturb the packing of the host lipid matrix. There were no significant structural changes in the equivalent monolayers at 20 mN/m and at pH 5 (data not shown), suggesting sufficient shielding by mobile ions from the bath at pH 7.4. At pH 5, the sialic-acid residues in the GT\(_{1b}\) saccharide region are expected to have a neutral charge. There were also no remarkable changes in the real-space structure at a surface pressure of 40 mN/m, except for a slight lengthening of the tail region, presumably because of a near-zero molecular tilt imposed by a decrease in area per molecule.

Fig. 4a shows the experimentally measured, Fresnel-divided reflectivity profiles for a DPPC monolayer and a 1:4 GT\(_{1b}\)/DPPC monolayer, compared with the same GT\(_{1b}\) monolayer in Fig. 3, on an H\(_2\)O/buffer subphase (pH 7.4, 20 mN/m). The corresponding electron-density profiles, \( \rho(z) \), obtained from the cubic B-spline fits, are shown in Fig. 4b (solid curves).

There are two key differences between DPPC-based monolayers compared with DPPE and its mixture: (1), For pure DPPC, the electron density of the headgroup region was 1.28\( \rho_{\text{subphase}} \), compared with 1.36\( \rho_{\text{subphase}} \) in the case of the pure DPPE. This decrease is attributable to the greater volume of the DPPC headgroup (54). (2), The larger volume of the DPPC headgroups also causes the DPPC tails to have greater tilt relative to the surface normal (48,55). This is evident in the electron-density profile as a shorter thickness of the tail region compared with DPPE. The total thickness of the pure DPPC monolayer (\( \sim 23 \) Å) is approximately half of a DPPC bilayer (47.0 Å) in the \( L_{\beta} \) phase at 20°C (57). The distance from the end of the hydrocarbon chain to the maximum headgroup electron density of water with buffer, \( \rho_{\text{subphase}} = 0.339 \text{ e}^{-/\text{Å}^3} \). In the electron-density profile of the GT\(_{1b}\)/DPPE monolayer, the saccharide group of GT\(_{1b}\) is clearly evident as a large electron-density increase extending \( \sim 20 \) Å into the subphase from the DPPE headgroup region (at \( \sim 22 \) Å, dashed line). (b) Illustration of one DPPE molecule and one GT\(_{1b}\) molecule in their approximate orientation at the liquid surface. Dashed line at depth equal to 0 Å represents average position of alkyl tails/air interface.
density (~20 Å) of the DPPC monolayer at 20 mN/m (Fig. 4b) matches well with the value, $D_{H2}/2 = 21.4$ Å, previously measured in gel-phase DPPC bilayers (58).

Similar to the GT$_{1b}$/DPPE monolayer, a 20 mol % of GT$_{1b}$ within a DPPC matrix provided sufficient spacing between GT$_{1b}$ molecules laterally, and allowed the GT$_{1b}$ saccharide region to assume full extension from the surface. When comparing monolayers of GT$_{1b}$/DPPC with GT$_{1b}$/DPPE, there was no difference in the electron density and length scale of the saccharide groups extending (20–25 Å) into the subphase. The main dissimilarity was in the electron density of the headgroups, very similar to the difference in electron density of pure DPPE and DPPC. However, in the case of GT$_{1b}$/DPPE, we observed a small decrease in headgroup electron density compared with pure DPPE. This observation is consistent with our in-plane GIXD data below. Again, based on reflectivity results there were no remarkable changes in the real-space structure at a surface pressure of 40 mN/m, except for a slight lengthening of the tail region, presumably because of a decrease in molecular tilt imposed by a decrease in area per molecule. There were also no significant structural changes with the equivalent monolayers at pH 5.

**GIXD ANALYSIS**

The GIXD measurements provided in-plane structural information on the ordered, diffraction portion of the monolayer. Diffraction from the alkyl tails was observed in the $q_{xy}$ region ~1.1 to ~1.7 Å$^{-1}$, corresponding to $d$-spacings of ~5.7 to ~3.7 Å. No diffraction from the lipid headgroups (within a lower $q_{xy}$ region) was detected. The Bragg peaks obtained for DPPE, 1:4 GT$_{1b}$/DPPE, DPPC, and 1:4 GT$_{1b}$/DPPC monolayers at pH 7.4 and 20 mN/m are shown in Fig. 5a. The Bragg-rod profile for each monolayer is shown in Fig. 5b. Analysis of the Bragg-rod profile was performed by approximating the lipid alkyl tails as tilted cylinders with length $L_e$ and constant electron density (38).

For DPPE, three Bragg peaks were observed at $q_{xy} = 1.43$ Å$^{-1}$, $q_{xy} = 1.46$ Å$^{-1}$, and $q_{xy} = 1.49$ Å$^{-1}$. The presence of these Bragg peaks is indicative of an oblique 2D unit cell. The peaks can be indexed in a semihexagonal unit cell as {0, 1}, {1, 0}, and {1, -1}, respectively, similar to the findings of Wu et al. (59). The integrated intensities of Bragg peaks ($-0.05$ Å$^{-1} \leq q_x \leq 0.9$ Å$^{-1}$) were approximately the same, in agreement with the multiplicity rule. The observed Bragg peaks gave rise to a primitive 2D unit cell with dimensions of $|a| = 4.88$ Å, $|b| = 4.98$ Å, and $\gamma = 118.3^\circ$, and an area per two alkyl chains of 42.74 Å$^2$. Similarly, for the 1:4 GT$_{1b}$/DPPE monolayer, the observed Bragg peaks gave rise to an oblique cell with dimensions of $|a| = 4.89$ Å, $|b| = 5.02$ Å, and $\gamma = 117.6^\circ$, and an area per two alkyl chains of 43.47 Å$^2$. The GT$_{1b}$/DPPE monolayer exhibited a 1.7% increase in area per molecule, indicating that the presence of GT$_{1b}$ caused slight packing inefficiencies in the ordered portion of the film. This expansion of the unit cell supports the idea that GT$_{1b}$ is intercalated within the DPPE matrix, because all measurements were performed at constant surface pressure, and we observed no diffraction from pure GT$_{1b}$. If there were significant phase separation of the two components, the diffraction signal would have contained a component equivalent to pure DPPE. The Bragg-peak analysis is summarized in Table 1.

Bragg-rod analysis revealed a molecular tilt of 20.6$^\circ$ for DPPE and 24.0$^\circ$ for the GT$_{1b}$/DPPE monolayer. This increase in tilt of the lipid tails is consistent with the area-per-molecule increase shown by the shift to lower $q_{xy}$ values for the Bragg peaks and a slight decrease in electron density of the headgroup (DPPE vs. GT$_{1b}$/DPPE) measured by reflectivity (Fig. 3b). The other values obtained from the Bragg-rod analysis were $L_e \approx 18$ Å and $\sigma \approx 1.5$ Å, and the tilt directions for DPPC and DPPE were approximately toward their nearest neighbor ($a + b$ direction; Fig. 5c). The tilt directions for the mixtures (GT$_{1b}$/DPPE and GT$_{1b}$/DPPC) slightly deviated from those of their nearest neighbor, which resulted in additional distortion of the unit cell, from distorted...
hexagonal to oblique (especially visible in the case of GT1b/DPPE, where three Bragg peaks are evident). There were no remarkable changes to the in-plane packing at a surface pressure of 40 mN/m, except for an expected decrease in molecular tilt (∼0°) and area per molecule (∼40.36 Å²). Because the data reported here use a monolayer at 20 mN/m as a model membrane, there was a large difference in the tilt angle of DPPE hydrocarbon tails (20.6°) compared with previous observations of zero tilt in phosphatidylethanolamine bilayers (60–63). This discrepancy is a result of the relatively larger area per molecule imposed on the monolayers at 20 mN/m. At 40 mN/m, the hydrocarbon tails had hexagonal packing, indicated by one Bragg peak, and were not tilted.

For DPPC, two Bragg peaks were observed at \( q_{xy} = 1.31 \) Å\(^{-1} \) and \( q_{xy} = 1.46 \) Å\(^{-1} \). The presence of two Bragg peaks is indicative of a distorted hexagonal 2D cell with \( |a| = |b| \) and \( \gamma \neq 120 \), with the Miller indices \( \{0,1\}, \{1,0\} \) and \( \{1, -1\} \). The observed Bragg peaks give rise to a primitive 2D unit cell with dimensions of \( |a| = 5.19 \) Å, \( |b| = 5.19 \) Å, and \( \gamma = 112.2^\circ \), and an area per two alkyl chains of 49.80 Å². This area per molecule matches reasonably well with previous gel-phase DPPC bilayer work (49,64). The small discrepancy is attributable to the monolayer’s surface pressure of 20 mN/m. Similarly for the 1:4 GT1b/DPPC monolayer, the observed Bragg peaks give rise to a primitive 2D unit cell with dimensions of \( |a| = 5.15 \) Å, \( |b| = 5.15 \) Å, and \( \gamma = 112.9^\circ \), and an area per two alkyl chains of 48.87 Å². In contrast to the GT1b/DPPC monolayer, the GT1b/DPPC system exhibited a 1.9% decrease in area per molecule, indicating that the presence of GT1b caused a slight condensing effect in the ordered portion of the film. This observation is consistent with isotherm results. A similar condensing effect was observed in previous work for GM1/DPPC monolayers (51). Condensing of the unit cell supports the idea that GT1b is intercalated within the DPPC matrix, because all measurements were performed at constant surface pressure, and we observed no diffraction from pure GT1b. If there were phase separation of the two components, the diffraction signal would have contained a component equivalent to pure DPPC.

Bragg-rod analysis revealed a molecular tilt of 35.3° for DPPC and 33.5° for the GT1b/DPPC monolayer. This decrease in tilt of the lipid tails is consistent with the area-per-molecule decrease shown by the shift to larger \( q_{xy} \) values for the Bragg peaks.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Our x-ray scattering measurements revealed that pure GT1b can form a stable monolayer up to a surface pressure of ∼35

---

**TABLE 1 In-plane structural parameters obtained from GIXD analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition:</th>
<th>( a, b ) (Å)</th>
<th>( \gamma ) (°)</th>
<th>Area per molecule (Å²)</th>
<th>In-plane coherence length ( L_{xy} ) (Å)</th>
<th>In-plane Bragg Peaks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \pi = 20 ) mN/m, pH 7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPE</td>
<td>( \pm 0.002 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.2 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.4 ) (</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1b/DPPE</td>
<td>4.877</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>42.74</td>
<td>120, 145, 460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.892</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>43.47</td>
<td>170, 145, 700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1b/DPPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.186</td>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>80,* 410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.150</td>
<td>112.9</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>60,* 390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Large uncertainty because \( \{0,1\} \) and \( \{1,0\} \) Bragg peaks could not be resolved separately.

---

**FIGURE 5** Grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction from ordered alkyl tail regions of DPPE, GT1b/DPPE, DPPC, and GT1b/DPPC monolayers. (a) Bragg peaks. (b) Bragg rods. The DPPE and GT1b/DPPE data are offset from DPPC and GT1b/DPPC data in each case for clarity. The three GIXD Bragg peaks indicate packing of the lipid tails in an oblique 2D unit cell. Miller indices of each peak are provided. (a) Gray arrows highlight unit-cell expanding for GT1b/DPPE monolayer, and condensing for GT1b/DPPC monolayer. Bragg peaks were fit using Voight functions (solid gray lines). (b) Bragg rods were fitted (solid line) by approximating the coherently scattering part of the alkyl tail by a cylinder with length \( L_c \) and constant electron density. The sharp peak at \( q_z = 0.01 \) Å\(^{-1} \) is so-called Yoneda-Vineyard peak (66), which arises from interference between x-rays diffracted up into a monolayer and x-rays diffracted down and then reflected up by interface. (c) Top view of arrangement of hydrocarbon tails of DPPE and DPPC molecules within unit cells at 20 mN/m. Their azimuthal tilt direction is approximately along the \( a + b \) direction, and molecules are tilted from surface normal by angles indicated in the text.
mN/m, but there was no observable in-plane ordering of its alkyl tails. The XR showed that the total out-of-plane length of pure GT$_{1b}$ is much shorter than expected from its chemical structure, probably because of the ‘‘coil-like’’ conformation of the saccharide group at 20 mN/m. This reveals that pure GT$_{1b}$ is not a suitable model membrane for studying interactions with proteins. However, at 20 mol %, GT$_{1b}$ can be fully integrated within a host DPPE or DPPC monolayer matrix at surface pressures of 20 and 40 mN/m and pH values of 7.4 and 5. For these mixtures and surface pressures, the GT$_{1b}$ saccharide groups were clearly visible, extending ~20 Å into the liquid subphase from the phospholipid headgroups. These finding are analogous to earlier work with 30 mol % GM$_1$ in egg PC bilayers, where the GM$_1$ headgroups exhibited full extension into the aqueous phase (65). The saccharide region of pure GT$_{1b}$ exhibits a measured electron density of 1.26$\rho _{subphase}$ (measured at a depth of 20 Å; Fig. 3 b), similar to the value of the DPPC headgroup. In the GT$_{1b}$ mixture with DPPE or DPPC, one would expect the density of the saccharide region to be 1.05$\rho _{subphase}$ = (0.20(1.26$\rho _{subphase}$ − $\rho _{subphase}$) + $\rho _{subphase}$), according to the molar ratio of the components and the measured electron density of the saccharide region of pure GT$_{1b}$. The observed electron density of the saccharide region in the mixture is 1.20$\rho _{subphase}$ (measured at a depth of ~35Å; Fig. 3 b), much larger than the expected value. This is most likely due to a lack of hydration in the case of pure GT$_{1b}$, associated with the ‘‘coil-like’’ conformation, which could enhance the interaction between neighboring saccharide groups, limiting the access of water molecules. Within the GT$_{1b}$ mixtures, the spacing between adjacent saccharide groups provided by the lipid matrix enables full hydration of the saccharide region, and therefore measured electron density increases.

Grazing-incidence x-ray diffraction shows that the incorporation of 20 mol % GT$_{1b}$ does not substantially alter the in-plane packing of DPPE and DPPC. Because of the very high packing efficiencies of pure DPPE (relative to pure DPPC because of the larger phosphatidylcholine headgroup volume (48,55)), GT$_{1b}$ incorporation caused an increase in area per molecule (+1.7%). However, when GT$_{1b}$ was incorporated with DPPC, there was a slight but measurable decrease (−1.9%) in area per molecule.

One of the goals in characterizing GT$_{1b}$/DPPC and GT$_{1b}$/
DPPC was to find indications of whether phase separation of the two components occurred in each monolayer system. Using outcomes from x-ray reflectivity, GIXD, and pressure-area isotherms, we observed no evidence to support significant phase separation. The GIXD from GT$_{1b}$/DPPC and GT$_{1b}$/DPPC exhibited different unit-cell parameters than did pure DPPE and DPPC, respectively. If complete phase separation had occurred, we would expect scattering equivalent to that of pure DPPE/DPPC, because we observed no scattering from pure GT$_{1b}$. Finally, pressure-area isotherms showed no signs of phase separation, in that no isotherm results showed a linear combination of isotherms of the pure components. All three pieces of evidence support the idea that GT$_{1b}$ is intercalated well with DPPE/DPPC, and does not significantly separate into discrete domains.

In both cases, the oligosaccharide headgroups extended normally from the monolayer surfaces into the subphase, with full access to the water environment. Our study demonstrated that these monolayers are stable at up to 20 mol % of GT$_{1b}$. This relatively high concentration of GT$_{1b}$ will maximize interactions of proteins that associate with GT$_{1b}$, making these monolayers an ideal platform for investigating toxin membrane-binding and penetration.
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