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- QCD dynamics at low x at HERA
- Azimuthal jet decorrelation
- Forward jets: inclusive approach
- Forward jets in multijet configurations
- What have we learned about low x dynamics at HERA
QCD dynamics at low $x$

Low $x \rightarrow$ long gluon cascades, need for approximations

**DGLAP**: $\sum(n_s \alpha_s \ln Q^2)^n$
- *Strong ordering in $k_t$* transverse momenta of emissions
- Expected to fail at very small Bjorken $x$

**BFKL**: $\sum(n_s \alpha_s \ln 1/x)^n$, designed to describe small $x$ region
- Random walk in transverse plane, no $k_t$ ordering
- Strong ordering in longitudinal momenta

**CCFM**: $\sum(n_s \alpha_s \ln Q^2)^n$ & $\sum(n_s \alpha_s \ln 1/x)^n$
- Angular ordering, no $k_t$ ordering at low $x$
- Expected to work both at large and small $x$
Questions we ask when studying low $x$ dynamics at HERA

• Do we really need BFKL (CCFM) evolution to describe all HERA data at low $x$? (would higher orders in fixed order DGLAP, which already include $\log 1/x$ terms, be enough?)

• Which QCD calculation method (model) provides best description of the small-x data?

• Are HERA small-x data sensitive to unintegrated Parton Density Functions uPDF (can we determine uPDF required by BFKL&CCFM schemes from HERA data?)
Strategies to answer „small-x“ questions

Study of hadronic final states which reflect the kinematic structure of gluon emissions

- Azimuthal dijet separation - variable particularly sensitive to amount of gluon radiation and its $k_t$ distribution
- Inclusive forward jets: restrict phase space to suppress DGLAP and enhance BFKL evolution
- 3-jets: tag multiple emissions at small $x$
- 3-jets subsamples with forward jets designed to control kinematics of emissions

HERA covers about 4 rapidity units $\Rightarrow$ we expect about 3 - 4 hard emissions.
NLO parton level MC

DISENT, NLOJET++

NLOJET++

- NLO calculation for inclusive jets in Breit Frame, inclusive dijets
- LO calculation for trijets
- Trijet calculation contains $\alpha_s \ln 1/x$ terms!

- NNLO calculation for dijets
- NLO calculation for trijets
- LO calculation for fourjets
QCD models based on DGLAP, CCFM and CDM

• RAPGAP: implements DGLAP evolution with $k_t$ ordering
• RAPGAP RESOLVED: also evolution from "hadronic photon" side, in a sense breaks ordering, but within DGLAP scheme

• CASCADE: implements CCFM evolution
• No $k_t$ ordering in small-$x$ region
• Requires $uPDF$ (only gluon implemented so far)

• ARIADNE: implements Color Dipoles Model:
• Quasi-classical color dipoles radiate independently
• No $k_t$ ordering
Azimuthal correlations in dijets

Azimuthal jet separation $\Delta \Phi^*$ sensitive to:
- HO terms of perturbative expansion
- evolution scheme:

• DGLAP LO : jets back-to-back in HCMS
• DGLAP HO : $k_{tg} \neq 0$ but limited (ordering) $\rightarrow \Delta \phi^*$
  spectrum of limited width
• BFKL, CCFM: „random walk“ in $k_{tg} \rightarrow$ wider $\Delta \phi^*$
  distribution in comparison to DGLAP
• BFKL, CCFM employ uPDF $\rightarrow \Delta \phi^*$ spectrum in LO

• We expect that azimuthal jet separation is sensitive not only to parton dynamics but also to uPDF
Azimuthal correlations in dijets
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H1 99-00 data (64 pb\(^{-1}\)):
DIS: 5 < Q\(^2\) < 100 GeV\(^2\)

- 2 jets with:
  - \(-1 < \eta_{\text{jet}} < 2.5\) (LAB)
  - \(E^{*}_{Tj} > 5\) GeV (HCM)

\[ \Delta \Phi^* = |\Phi_{\text{jet1}} - \Phi_{\text{jet2}}| \]

in HCM

- one parton radiation (NLO 2-jet) not enough to describe the data
- two parton radiation (NLO 3-jet) still systematically low at low \(x_{Bj}\), low \(\Delta \Phi^*\)
Azimuthal correlations in dijets

Comparison with CASCADE (CCFM):
- two sets of gluon uPDF: A0, J2003
- $\Delta\phi^*$ distribution very sensitive to choice of uPDF
Forward jet strategy

Suppress DGLAP evolution in $Q^2$:

$$p_{T,jet}^2 \approx Q^2$$

Enhance BFKL evolution in $x$:

$$x_{jet} \gg x_B$$

$$x_{jet} = \frac{E_{jet}}{E_p}$$

- In resolved photon processes quark from matrix element can become "forward jet"
- Forward jet is not "100% clean" signature for non-ordered, non-DGLAP evolution
Forward jets

H1 data in the kinematic region:

- $10^{-4} < x < 4 \times 10^{-3}$
- $5 < Q^2 < 85 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $p_{T,\text{jet}} > 3.5 \text{ GeV}$

- $7^\circ < \Theta_{\text{jet}} < 20^\circ$

- $x_{\text{jet}} = \frac{E_{\text{jet}}}{E_p} > 0.035$
- $0.5 < \left(\frac{p_{T,\text{jet}}}{Q^2}\right)^2 < 2$

- NLO-DGLAP (DISENT) too low
- Scale: $\mu_R^2 = \mu_F^2 = \langle p_{T,\text{dijet}} \rangle$

- RAPGAP direct $\approx$ NLO-DGLAP, too low
- Resolved component helps a lot, only lowest x-bin too low
- CDM very close to RAPGAP(DIR+RES)
The NLO Jet Vertex for Mueller-Navelet and Forward Jets: the Gluon Part

J. Bartels\textsuperscript{1a}, D. Colferai\textsuperscript{1b}, and G.P. Vacca\textsuperscript{2}

What remains is the numerical evaluation of the cross section formulae, using the results derived in this paper. ... experimental cuts have to be formulated. We hope to be able to report first numerical results in the near future.
3-jet inclusive sample

Selection: at least 3 jets with $p_{t,jet} > 4$ GeV

Evolution with strong $k_t$ ordering not favoured

- Reasonably good description by 3-jet NLO for $M_{3-jet} > 25$ GeV
- Opening of the phase space results in poor description at low $x$
- CDM unlike DGLAP(dir+res) provides excellent description
3-jet subsamples

- 1f+2c described fairly well by 3-jet NLO which includes log 1/x term - not much of free phase space left for additional radiations

- 2f+1c discrepancy at lowest $x_B$ and forward rapidities where unordered emissions are expected to be important

- 2f+1c mainly 4-jet, 3-jet NLO is LO calculation for this sample → we really need 4-jet NLO to look for non-DGLAP effects
Summary and Conclusions

DGLAP (both parton level NLO and ME+PS) fail to describe many aspects of small $x$ data:

- Azimuthal decorrelation in inclusive dijets
- Forward jets inclusive
- 3-jets inclusive at very small $x$
- Subset of 3-jet sample with enhanced gluon radiation in proton direction

- Need for full resummation of log $1/x$ terms in perturbative expansion has not been proven, nevertheless BFKL (CCFM) evolution may be useful tool for description of small-$x$ HERA data with potential to determine $u$PDF

- Color Dipol Model based on quasi-classical radiation of uncorrelated color dipols, describes most of the small-$x$ data and seems to contain most salient features of QCD evolution in many-parton processes.

- HERA data still wait for interpretation in terms of NLO BFKL calculation (e.g. inclusive jets at $x_{Bj} \approx 10^{-4}$)
Backup slides
3-jet subsamples

Forward: \( \eta_{\text{jet}} > 1.74; x_{\text{jet}} > 0.035 \)
forward (not central) \( \eta_{\text{jet}} > 1 \)
Central jet: \(-1 < \eta_{\text{jet}} < 1\)

Two samples studied:
• 1 Forward + 2 central (1f+2c)
• 1 Forward + 1 non-central + 1 central (2f+1c)
dominated by 2-gluon radiation i.e. 4-jet
sample \( q+q^{\bar{\text{b}}}+g+g \)
3-jet sample: forward + 2 hardest

Forward jet: $p_{t,jet} > 6 \text{ GeV}$,
$7^0 < \Theta_{jet} < 20^0$, $x_{jet} > 0.035$

Other jets: $p_{t,jet} > 6 \text{ GeV}$

- $\Delta \eta_1 > 1$ $\Delta \eta_2 > 1$ CDM $\approx$ DGLAP (RAPGAP & 3-jet NLO) $\approx$ data, phase space exhausted, no freedom for dynamical variations
- $\Delta \eta_2 < 1$ DGLAP (RAPGAP & 3-jet NLO) fail to describe the data, while CDM describes the data fairly well. Contrast with more inclusive sample
Incl. forward jet requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>ZEUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Q^2$ [GeV$^2$]</td>
<td>5 - 85</td>
<td>20 - 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.7</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{Bj}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$ - $4 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$ - $5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{T,jet}$ [GeV]</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{jet}$ ($\theta_{jet}$)</td>
<td>1.74 - 2.79 ($20^\circ$ - $7^\circ$)</td>
<td>2 - 4.3 ($15.4^\circ$ - $1.6^\circ$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{jet}$</td>
<td>&gt; 0.035</td>
<td>&gt; 0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r = p_{T,jet}^2/Q^2$</td>
<td>0.5 - 5.0</td>
<td>0.5 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ZEUS: DESY-07-100 (July 2007) submitted to EPJ C
- H1: EPJ C46 (2006) 27

significantly increased coverage with FPC!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DISENT</th>
<th></th>
<th>NLOJET++</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>H1</strong></td>
<td><strong>ZEUS</strong></td>
<td><strong>H1</strong></td>
<td><strong>ZEUS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R^2$</td>
<td>$&lt; p_{T,dijet}^2 &gt;$</td>
<td>$Q^2$</td>
<td>$(p_{T,jet1}^2 + p_{T,jet2}^2 + p_{T,fwdjet}^2)/3$</td>
<td>$Q^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_T^2$</td>
<td>$&lt; p_{T,fwdjet}^2 &gt;$</td>
<td>$Q^2$</td>
<td>$(p_{T,jet1}^2 + p_{T,jet2}^2 + p_{T,fwdjet}^2)/3$</td>
<td>$Q^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proton PDF</td>
<td>CTEQ6M</td>
<td>CTEQ6M</td>
<td>CTEQ6M</td>
<td>CTEQ6M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>