Adaptive modulation in the Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ magnetic shape-memory Heusler alloy
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The origin of incommensurate structural modulation in Ni-Mn based Heusler-type magnetic shape-memory alloys (MSMAs) is still an unresolved issue in spite of intense focus on it due to its role in the magnetic field induced ultrahigh strains. In the archetypal MSMA Ni$_2$MnGa, the observation of “nonuniform displacement” of atoms from their mean positions in the modulated martensite phase, premartensite phase, and charge density wave as well as the presence of phason broadening of satellite peaks has been taken in support of the electronic instability model linked with a soft acoustic phonon. We present here results of a combined high-resolution synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction (SXRPD) and neutron powder diffraction (NPD) study on Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ using a (3+1)D superspace group approach, which reveals not only uniform atomic displacements in the modulated structure of the martensite phase with physically acceptable ordered magnetic moments in the antiferromagnetic phase at low temperatures, but also the absence of any premartensite phase and phason broadening of the satellite peaks. Our HRTEM studies and first-principles calculations of the ground state also support uniform atomic displacements predicted by powder diffraction studies. All these observations suggest that the structural modulation in the martensite phase of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$MSMA can be explained in terms of the adaptive phase model. The present study underlines the importance of superspace group analysis using complementary SXRPD and NPD in understanding the physics of the origin of modulation as well as the magnetic and the modulated ground states of the Heusler-type MSMAs. Our work also highlights the fact that the mechanism responsible for the origin of modulated structure in different Ni-Mn based MSMAs may not be universal and it must be investigated thoroughly in different alloy compositions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic shape-memory Heusler alloys (MSMAs) in the Ni-Mn-X (X = Ga, In, Sn) system have enormous potential for technological applications due to a rich variety of properties ranging from generation of extremely large magnetic field induced strain (∼10%) to pronounced magnetocaloric and barocaloric effects, large magnetoresistance, anomalous Hall effect, and large exchange bias [1–6]. The technologically significant physical properties of these alloys are intimately linked with the coupling between structural and magnetic degrees of freedom below the magnetostructural (martensite) phase transition. Since the modulated crystal structure of the martensite phase due to its low detwinning stress is known to play an important role in deciding the response of these alloys to the external magnetic field, there is currently a lot of interest in understanding the origin of the modulated structure of the martensite phase itself [7–17]. Two different models have been proposed in the literature for the origin of modulation in the MSMAs. The first one is the adaptive phase model in which the modulated structure is considered as a nanotwinned state of the Bain distorted phase, which maintains the invariance of the habit plane between the high-temperature austenite and the low-temperature martensite phases [14,15]. Commensurate modulated structure, uniform atomic displacements, and the absence of any premartensite phase transition as well as phason strains are the key manifestations of this model. The second model, based on charge density wave (CDW) coupled to a soft transverse acoustic (TA2) mode [18–20], can, on the other hand, explain nonuniform atomic displacements, the incommensurate nature of modulation, and the existence of the premartensite phase as well as phasons [10–12,21,22]. It has been proposed that the formation of discommensurations in the form of stacking faults and antiphase boundaries can in principle result in an average incommensurate modulated structure even for the adaptive phase model [14–16]. However, one of the key features for distinguishing between the two models for the origin of modulation is the identification of the nature (uniform versus nonuniform) of the atomic displacements.

Recently we have shown that the origin of modulation in the Ni$_2$MnGa shape-memory Heusler alloy cannot be explained within the framework of the adaptive phase model as the modulated structure has nonuniform atomic displacements.
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Also the fact that the incommensurate martensite phase results from an incommensurate premartensite phase and not directly from the austenite phase does not support the adaptive phase model [11]. The presence of phasons [23] and the broadening of the superpeak due to phason strains [12] in \( \text{Ni}_2\text{MnGa} \) also go against the concept of adaptivity. On the other hand, the Ni-Mn-In MSMAs do not exhibit the premartensite (precursor) phase formation as the austenite phase transforms directly to the martensite phase. This suggests that these alloys may be model systems for investigating the applicability of the adaptive phase model for structural modulation through a careful analysis of the structure of the martensite phase. Here, we present results of Rietveld analysis of high-resolution synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction (SRXPD) and neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data on a Ni-Mn-In alloy, \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \), using the \((3+1)\)D superspace group approach. Our analysis reveals that the modulated structure of the martensite phase of \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \) involves uniform displacements of atoms with respect to their positions in the Bain distorted basic cell. This conclusion is well supported by high-resolution transmission electron microscopic studies as well as first-principles calculations of the ground state of these alloys. All these observations comprehensively rule out the applicability of the CDW based soft mode model and support the adaptive modulation model for \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \). Further, in contrast to \( \text{Ni}_2\text{MnGa} \), Rietveld analysis of the neutron powder diffraction pattern reveals antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlations for Mn spins at two different crystallographic positions of the martensite phase with full and partial Mn occupancies. The AFM ordering is further supported by isothermal magnetization measurements that reveal a double hysteresis loop due to a spin-flop transition induced by a very low magnetic field of 0.05 T at 2 K.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The details of sample preparation, measurements [magnetization, SRXPD, NPD, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM)], Rietveld refinements, and first-principles calculations are given in the Supplemental Material [24]; also see [25–36]. The low-field (500 Oe) magnetization curves of \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \) recorded under zero field cooled (ZFC), field cooled cooling (FCC) and field cooled warming (FCW) conditions at 0.05 T in the temperature range 2–400 K are shown in Fig. 1(a). It reveals a sharp jump in magnetization at the Curie temperature \( T_c \approx 315 \) K due to a ferromagnetic (FM) transition, followed by a decrease in magnetization at the first-order martensite transition temperature \( T_M \approx 295 \) K. The bifurcation of the ZFC and FCC curves below \( T \approx 145 \) K is in agreement with earlier reports [3] where it has been attributed to the coexistence of antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic exchange interactions in the martensite phase [37]. Our isothermal magnetization \( M(H) \) plot at 2 K [see Fig. 1(b)] indicates a typical antiferromagnetic ground state with a spin-flop transition occurring at a very low magnetic field of \( \pm 0.05 \) T leading to the opening up of a double hysteresis loop above this field. The fact that the spin-flop transition occurs at such a low field suggests that both the FM and AFM states are nearly degenerate in the martensite phase, even though the ground state is dominated by AFM interactions.

We now turn towards the structure of the austenite and martensite phases using SRXPD patterns recorded at 350 K (austenite phase) and 235 K (martensite phase), respectively. In the first step of the structure analysis, we performed indexing of the powder diffraction patterns by the Le Bail technique, which refines the unit cell parameters and profile broadening functions to obtain the best fit between the observed and calculated profiles in the least-squares sense for a given space group. At 350 K, all the observed Bragg peaks could be indexed well with the cubic austenite structure (space group \( Fm-3m \)) and the refined lattice parameter is found to be 6.0048(3) \( \text{Å} \). The presence of the superstructure peaks like (111) and (200) in the SRXPD pattern [inset of Fig. 2(a)] confirms that the structure corresponds to the ordered \( L_2^2 \) type [38]. At 235 K, many more reflections appear and the cubic austenite peaks split into two or more peaks clearly indicating a noncubic structure. A careful analysis of all the observed low-intensity peaks revealed that the martensite structure at 235 K cannot be explained in terms of a simple Bain distorted unit cell and requires consideration of modulation of the Bain distorted unit cell as reported in other MSMAs [7,8,11,39,40]. Superspace \((3+1)\)D formalism [41–44] is a powerful tool to investigate such complex modulated structures and we employed this formalism to investigate the structure of the modulated martensite phase in \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \). Following the superspace group formalism, the SRXPD pattern was divided into two sets of reflections: (1) main reflections corresponding to the Bain distorted basic structure and (2) satellite reflections due to the modulation whose intensity is in general much less than the intensity of the main reflections. All the main reflections corresponding to the basic structure could be indexed with a monoclinic cell with space group \( I2/m \) and Le Bail refinement gave us lattice parameters as \( a = 4.3983(1) \, \text{Å}, b = 5.6453(2) \, \text{Å}, c = 4.3379(1) \, \text{Å}, \) and \( \beta = 92.572(2)^\circ \). After obtaining the cell parameters for the basic structure, the full SRXPD pattern including both the main and the satellite reflections was considered for Le Bail refinement using the superspace group formalism. The satellite reflections were indexed using a modulation wave vector \( \mathbf{q} = (0,0,\frac{1}{2}) \) and superspace group \( I2/m(a0y)/000 \). Although this commensurate wave vector could index many of the satellite reflections, some of the calculated satellite reflections were found to be shifted away from the observed reflection positions, as can be clearly seen in the inset of Fig. 2(b).

![FIG. 1. (a) Magnetization (ZFC, FC, and FW) as a function of temperature at 0.05 T and (b) magnetization as a function of field \( M(H) \) at 2 K. Inset shows the \( M(H) \) in expanded scale, where spin-flop transitions are marked by arrows.](image-url)
Therefore the wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \) was allowed to be refined and an excellent match between the observed and calculated profiles was obtained for an incommensurate modulation wave vector \( \mathbf{q} = 0.359 \pm 0.007 \) \( \text{Å}^{-1} \) [see Fig. 2(c)]. This indicates that the martensite phase of \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \) has an incommensurate modulation which is \( 3M \) like (see Ref. [10] for definition of this notation). The SXRPD pattern shows second-order satellites [indicated by blue arrows in Fig. 2(c)] which is consistent with \( 3M \)-like modulation. A similar \( 3M \) (sometimes also labeled as \( 6M \)) for \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.4}\text{In}_{0.6} \) modulated martensite structure has been reported for another Ni-Mn-In shape-memory alloy composition with martensite transition temperature higher than the present alloy composition [17]. It is interesting to note that the peak broadening of both the main and satellite reflections could be successfully modeled using anisotropic strains as per Stephen’s model without invoking fourth-rank strain tensor for the peak broadening of both the main and satellite reflections [47]. It is interesting to note that the peak broadening of both the main and satellite reflections could be successfully modeled using anisotropic strains as per Stephen’s model without invoking fourth-rank strain tensor for the peak broadening of both the main and satellite reflections.
phase at room temperature confirmed the absence of any discernible antite disorder (for details, see the Supplemental Material [24], Sec. A III). In the next step, we therefore considered a “uniform displacement” model for Rietveld refinement in which the amplitudes of modulation for all the atomic sites were constrained to be identical. The results of Rietveld refinement for the uniform displacement model are found to be physically realistic [see Fig. 4(b)] from the Rietveld refinement of SXRPD data considering the adaptive phase model. Since all three kinds of atoms lie in the projection an “average color” is used. The size of such a lattice structure is marked as a rectangle in (a).

After getting the correct atomic modulation model from the analysis of SXRPD, we now proceed to discuss the magnetic structure of the martensite phase using neutron diffraction data collected at 3 K. Rietveld refinements using neutron diffraction data also support the uniform atomic displacement model (see the Supplemental Material [24], Sec. A IV for more details). There are four possible magnetic subgroups of the nuclear superspace group $I2/m(001)$ (i.e., magnetic superspace groups or mSSGs) due to time reversal symmetry breaking: (i) $I2/m(001)$, (ii) $I2'/m(001)$, (iii) $I2'/m(001)$, and (iv) $I2'/m(001)$. Of these, only (i) and (iv) allow nonzero magnetic moments. The (i) and (iv) mSSGs restrict magnetic moments along the $b$ axis of the monoclinic cell. Out of these two, our Rietveld refinement (see the Supplemental Material [24], Sec. A IV) reveals that the magnetic structure can be described by $I2/m(001)$ mSSG in which the magnitude of the Mn magnetic moments of the fully occupied site (2$a$) and partially occupied site (2$d$) are equal (1.18 $\mu_B$) but antiferromagnetically correlated. This is consistent with the double hysteresis-loop-type $M(H)$ plot shown in Fig. 1(b). Thus both the magnetization and neutron results confirm that the low-temperature martensite phase of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ contains antiferromagnetic correlations.

Additional support for the uniform displacement model was obtained through high-resolution transmission electron microscopy studies (HRTEM). The martensite phase of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ was observed by in situ cooling of the sample down to 100 K. Figure 5(a) shows a noise filtered HRTEM image recorded along the [210] zone axis. It represents the martensite phase (100 K). The bright spots correspond to the projected atom rows. The crystal lattice is characterized by a specific stacking of (001) basic planes (distance 2.1 Å), which can be regarded as horizontal twinned lamellae. (b) Crystal lattice model of the unit cell consisting of six (001) planes. The specific stacking, marked by dark lines, corresponds to the uniform displacement model. Since all three kinds of atoms lie in the projection an “average color” is used. The size of such a lattice structure is marked as a rectangle in (a).

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**FIG. 4.** Distance (selected) as a function of $t$ parameters derived from (a) the Nonuniform atomic displacement model (electronic instability model) showing unphysical values (less than 2.5 Å), and (b) the uniform atomic displacement model (adaptive phase model) showing values that are expected for these kinds of intermetallic compounds and alloys.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**FIG. 5.** (a) High-resolution TEM image of the Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ crystal recorded along the [210] zone axis. It represents the martensite phase (100 K). The bright spots correspond to the projected atom rows. The crystal lattice is characterized by a specific stacking of (001) basic planes (distance 2.1 Å), which can be regarded as horizontal twinned lamellae. (b) Crystal lattice model of the unit cell consisting of six (001) planes. The specific stacking, marked by dark lines, corresponds to the uniform displacement model. Since all three kinds of atoms lie in the projection an “average color” is used. The size of such a lattice structure is marked as a rectangle in (a).

### Table I. Atomic positions ($x, y, z$), atomic displacement parameter ($U_{iso}$) and amplitudes ($A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2$) of the modulation function of the modulated martensite phase (235 K) of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ obtained from the Rietveld refinement of SXRPD data considering the adaptive phase model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wyckoff correlation</th>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>Atom position</th>
<th>amplitude</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$U_{iso}$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni1</td>
<td>4$h$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \ 0.25 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td>0.0084(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>2$a$</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0.0084(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In1</td>
<td>2$d$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0.5 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td>0.0084(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>2$d$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0.5 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td>0.0084(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>0.1015(7)</td>
<td>0 0.018(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_1$</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>0.0259(14)</td>
<td>0 0.0034(19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_2$</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in (a) includes a region (white rectangle) of such a stacking sequence. The atom positions are consistent with the simulated positions obtained using Rietveld refined coordinates for the uniform displacement model. It has to be mentioned that the \textit{in situ} cooling of the thin TEM samples does not always generate a perfect (4-2) twinned structure of six atomic planes obtained for the average structure using the bulk sample. The HRTEM images often show stacking faults, which locally could lead to different periodicities, say, of seven atomic planes.

The conclusions based on the structure refinements and HRTEM studies were verified using first-principles calculations (the details of which are given in the Supplemental Material [24], Sec. B). Even though we obtained the convergence of the self-consistent calculation for the uniform as well as nonuniform atomic displacement models, many quantities (such as the Fermi energy, local magnetic moments, etc.) appeared to be unrealistic for the nonuniform displacement model. In particular, the total energy appears to be incomparably high (several hundred rydbergs per unit cell) for the nonuniform atomic displacement model with respect to that of the uniform atomic displacement model. Therefore, the nonuniform displacement modulation model does not appear to be realistic.

It is evident from the foregoing results of SXRPD, NPD, and HRTEM investigations as well as the \textit{ab initio} calculations of the ground state that the modulated structure of the Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ Heusler alloy involves uniform displacement of atoms. It is interesting to note that the experimentally observed phonon dispersion curves for Ni$_2$MnGa reveal a dip in one of the acoustic branches ($T_A^\perp$) around wave vector $q \sim (\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} 0)$ whereas the same acoustic branch of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ ($\sim$Ni$_{93.3}$Mn$_{14.2}$In$_{16.5}$) does not reveal any dip, although the entire branch has rather low energy [19,48–51]. Surprisingly, the previous first-principles calculations for stoichiometric Ni$_2$MnIn predicted similar qualitative features as those of Ni$_2$MnGa for the $T_A^\perp$ phonon branch whereas experimentally Ni$_2$MnIn does not undergo a martensite transition [19,20,48–51]. This shows that the first-principles calculations are unable to capture the essential features of experimentally observed phonon dispersion curves. However, the difference in the nature of the $T_A^\perp$ acoustic branch Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ and stoichiometric or off-stoichiometric Ni-Mn-Ga alloys in the experimental phonon dispersion curves clearly suggest that the formation of the martensite phase in the In based alloy may not be mediated by phonon softening. This provides additional support for the possibility of adaptive modulation in Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ MSMA.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have critically evaluated the applicability of two existing models (electronic instability and the nanotwinning based adaptivity models) for the origin of modulation in the Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ magnetic shape-memory alloy. We carried out Rietveld analysis of high-resolution SXRPD and powder neutron diffraction patterns of the austenite and modulated martensite phases of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ using (3+1)D superspace formalism. We have considered both nonuniform and uniform displacement models of incommensurate modulation in the Rietveld refinements and shown that the nature of modulation in Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ involves uniform atomic displacement of atoms as expected for the model based on adaptivity. This is also supported by HRTEM and \textit{ab initio} calculations. However, investigation of electronic structure using single crystal of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1.4}$In$_{0.6}$ MSMA will be of further use for unambiguous proof of adaptivity in this system. Further we have shown that the magnetic structure of the martensite phase at 3K is site disordered antiferromagnetic where Mn atoms at two different crystallographic positions are coupled antiferromagnetically.

The present study underlines the importance of superspace group analysis of the diffraction data to understand the physics of modulation in magnetic shape-memory Heusler alloys.
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