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Abstract

We discuss the higher order QCD corrections to the forward backward asymmetry for bottom quark production which have been calculated in the past. There exist two definitions for this quantity. One is finite in the limit that the mass of the heavy quark becomes equal to zero whereas the other one is mass singular. Further we also investigate the validity of the massless quark approach for the second order correction.

1 Introduction

Experiments carried out at electron positron colliders like LEP and SLC have provided us with a wealth of information about the constants appearing in the standard model of the electroweak and strong interactions. One among them is the electroweak mixing angle defined by $\theta_W$. The latter can be very accurately extracted from the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}$ which is measured in leptonic and heavy quark channels. However the measurements on the Z-peak show that the value of this quantity for the bottom quark given by $A_{FB}^b = 0.0994$ [1] deviates from the standard model fit $A_{FB}^b = 0.1038$ by $3 \, \sigma$ with 99.9 % confidence level [2]. Therefore it is important to evaluate the theoretical predictions which have been made in the past.

The forward backward asymmetry $A_{FB}^b$ is measured in the following process

$$e^+ + e^- \rightarrow Z(\gamma^*)(q) \rightarrow b(\bar{b})(p) +'X',$$

where $X$ denotes any inclusive hadronic state. The unpolarized cross section is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma^b(s)}{d \cos \theta} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \left[ \sigma_{VV}(s) f^v_T(\rho) + \sigma_{AA}(s) f^a_T(\rho) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4} \sin^2 \theta \left[ \sigma_{VV}(s) f^v_L(\rho) + \sigma_{AA}(s) f^a_L(\rho) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4} \cos \theta \left[ \sigma_{VA}(s) f^v_A(\rho) \right], \quad \rho = \frac{4m^2}{s}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\theta$ is the angle between the outgoing quark and the incoming electron and $\sqrt{s}$ and $m$ denote the centre of mass energy and the quark mass respectively. Note that in the
expression for the cross section above the electro-weak corrections are neglected and all QCD corrections are described by the structure functions $f^k_l$ ($k = T, L, A; l = v, a$). The Born cross sections in Eq. (1.2) can be written as

$$\sigma_{VV}(s) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} N \left[ e^2 e^2 + \frac{2s(s - M_Z^2)}{|Z(s)|^2} e_l e_q C_{V,\ell} C_{V,q} \right. \left. + \frac{s^2}{|Z(s)|^2} \left( C^2_{V,\ell} + C^2_{A,\ell} \right) C^2_{V,q} \right], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sigma_{AA}(s) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} N \left[ \frac{s^2}{|Z(s)|^2} \left( C^2_{V,\ell} + C^2_{A,\ell} \right) C^2_{A,q} \right], \quad (1.4)$$

$$\sigma_{VA}(s) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} N \left[ \frac{2s(s - M_Z^2)}{|Z(s)|^2} e_l e_q C_{A,\ell} C_{A,q} \right. \left. + 4 \frac{s^2}{|Z(s)|^2} C_{A,\ell} C_{A,q} C_{V,\ell} C_{V,q} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

where $N$ denotes the number of colours in the case of the gauge group $SU(N)$ (in QCD one has $N = 3$). Furthermore in the expressions above we adopt for the $Z$-propagator the energy independent width approximation

$$Z(s) = s - M_Z^2 + i M_Z \Gamma_Z. \quad (1.6)$$

The charges of the lepton and the quark are given by $e_\ell$ and $e_q$ respectively and the electro-weak angle $\theta_W$ appears in the electroweak constants as follows.

$$C_{A,\ell} = -\frac{1}{2 \sin 2\theta_W}, \quad C_{V,\ell} = C_{A,\ell} \left( 1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W \right),$$

$$C_{A,u} = C_{A,d} = -C_{A,\ell}, \quad C_{V,u} = -C_{A,\ell} \left( 1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \right), \quad C_{V,d} = C_{A,\ell} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \right). \quad (1.7)$$

The functions $f^k_l$ ($k = T, L, A; l = v, a$) in Eq. (1.1) can be computed order by order in perturbative QCD

$$f^k_l(\rho) = \int_{\sqrt{\rho}}^1 dx C^{l,NS}_{k,q} \left( x, \rho, \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\mu$ stands for the factorization as well as the renormalization scale. and $p$ denotes the momentum of the outgoing quark (see Eq. (1.1)). The non-singlet quark coefficient functions, represented by $C^{l,NS}_{k,q}$ appear in the fragmentation functions $F_k(x, s)$, where now
$p$ represents the momentum of the outgoing hadron, which have been calculated up to order $\alpha_s^2$ for massless quarks in [3]. The kernel $\Gamma^{NS}$ which arises from mass factorization contain all mass singularities of the type $\ln \mu^2/m^2$. The forwardbackward asymmetry is defined by

$$A_{FB}^b(s) = \frac{3\sigma_{VA}(s) f_A^b(\rho)}{4\sigma^b(s)},$$  

with

$$\sigma^b(s) = \sigma_{VV}(s) \left[ f_T^v(\rho) + f_L^v(\rho) \right] + \sigma_{AA}(s) \left[ f_T^a(\rho) + f_L^a(\rho) \right].$$  

In the literature there exist two different type of definitions for the functions $f^v_k$ and $f^a_k$ ($k = T, L$). The one given in [4], hereafter called RN, leads to the expression

$$f^l_k(\rho) = \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{1} dx C_{k,q}^{l,NS,-} \left( x, \rho, \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS,-}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right),$$  

with

$$\int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS,-}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right) = 1.$$  

The relation above holds in all orders of perturbation theory which follows from the conservation of the vector current (flavour number conservation). This definition has as consequence that $\sigma^b$ is finite in the limit $m \to 0$ and it becomes equal to

$$\sigma^b(s) = \sigma_{tot}(s)_{m \to 0} \left( \sigma_{VV}(s) + \sigma_{AA}(s) \right) R_{e^+ e^-},$$  

where $R_{e^+ e^-} = \sigma(e^+ e^- \to \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+ e^- \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$. The second definition, hereafter called CS, is given in [5]. In this case $f^l_k$ becomes

$$f^l_k(\rho) = \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{1} dx C_{k,q}^{l,NS,\pm} \left( x, \rho, \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS,\pm}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right).$$  

This expression becomes mass singular in second order perturbation theory because

$$\int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS,\pm}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right) = 1 + a_s(\mu^2) \int_0^1 dz \left[ P^{NS,(2)}_{qq}(z) \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + a_{q\bar{q}}(z) \right],$$  

$$a_s(\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{4\pi}.$$  

In contrast to the denominator the numerator in Eq. (1.9) is the same for both definitions and $f^A_a$ given by

$$f^A_a(\rho) = \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{1} dx C_{A,q}^{A,NS,-} \left( x, \rho, \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \int_0^1 dz \Gamma^{NS,-}_{qq} \left( z, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \right),$$  

is finite for $m \to 0$ by virtue of Eq. (1.12). Notice that the experimentalists measure $A_{FB}^b$ according to the definition given in Eq. (1.14) (CS). For the bottom quark this has no numerical consequences as we will see later on.
2 Calculation

In the Born approximation we have to compute
\[ V \to b + \bar{b} \quad \text{with} \quad V = \gamma^*, Z. \] (2.1)

The order \( \alpha_s \) corrections are obtained from the one-loop corrections to the reaction in Eq. (2.1) and the gluon bremsstrahlung process
\[ V \to b + \bar{b} + g. \] (2.2)

Both contributions have been calculated for general masses in [6]. For the order \( \alpha_s^2 \) corrections a semi analytical formula only exists for the total cross section \( \sigma_{tot} \) in Eq. (1.13) see [7]. Here one has used the optical theorem which relates the total cross section to the imaginary part of the current-current correlator. This theorem cannot be applied to the quantities \( \sigma_{VA} \) in Eq. (1.9) and \( \sigma^b \) if one uses the definition in Eqs. (1.14), (1.15). Because of the complexity due to the presence of the mass the order \( \alpha_s^2 \) corrections to the latter quantities have been calculated in the limit \( m \to 0 \) in [4], [5], [8]. except when a mass singularity occurs which reveals itself as \( \ln s/m^2 \). We can distinguish between dominant and small corrections. The dominant ones are given by the two-loop virtual corrections to the Born process in Eq. (2.1), the one-loop corrections to the bremsstrahlung reaction in (2.2) and the two-gluon bremsstrahlung process given by
\[ V \to b + \bar{b} + g + g. \] (2.3)

In addition we have a reaction which will play a very important role in the definition of \( \sigma^b \) in Eq. (1.9). In this case two bottom and two anti-bottom quarks appear in the final state i.e.
\[ V \to b + \bar{b} + b + \bar{b}. \] (2.4)

This reaction is represented by two types of graphs. In graph Fig. 1a the (anti-)bottom

Figure 1: process \( V \to b + \bar{b} + b + \bar{b} \). \( x \) denotes the detected quark.
quark is a primary quark because the detected quark is attached to the vector boson $V$ whereas in graph Fig. 1b the (anti-)bottom quark becomes a secondary quark because it is mediated by a gluon. The difference between the definitions for $A_{FB}$ in Eqs. (1.11) and (1.14) can be traced back to the interference terms between Figs. 1a and 1b. For the total cross section one has two pairs of identical quarks which provides us with a statistical factor $(1/2!)^2$. In this case the logarithmic mass singularities $\ln s/m^2$ cancel when these interference terms are added to the remaining contributions arising from the other reactions discussed above. However if one of the (anti-)bottom quarks is detected in the final state then only one pair of identical quarks or anti-quarks is left and the statistical factor becomes $1/2!$. In this case the cancellation of the mass singularities will not occur and we are left with the term in Eq. (1.15).

Besides the dominant corrections there are also small contributions to the forward backward asymmetry. One is given by the process in Fig. 2a which contains the triangular quark loop computed in [9]. The latter also appears in Fig. 2b which is calculated in [10]. Finally we have the process

$$V \to b + \bar{b} + q + \bar{q},$$

(2.5)

where $q$ represents all quarks lighter than the bottom quark. The square of all graphs corresponding to the process in Fig. 3a are added to the analogous type of graphs in Fig. 1a which give rise to the term proportional to $n_f$ (number of light flavours) in the expression for $A_{FB}$. The interference term between Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b is very small (see [8]). The same holds for the squared diagrams corresponding to Fig. 3b which are calculated in [11]. If one adopts the definition in Eq. (1.11) the result is finite in the limit $m \to 0$ so that one can put the mass equal to zero right from the start and use $n$-dimensional regularization (see [4]). In this case the expression for the forward-backward asymmetry becomes very simple and it reads

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{triangular quark loop corrections to $V \to b + \bar{b}$ (a) and $V \to b + \bar{b} + g$ (b).}
\end{figure}
Figure 3: process $V \to b + \bar{b} + q + \bar{q}$. $x$ denotes the detected quark.

\[
A_{FB}^{RN,b}(s) = A_{FB}^{b,(0)}(s) \left[ 1 - 3 a_s(\mu^2) C_F + a_s^2(\mu^2) \left\{ \frac{21}{2} C_F^2 + C_A C_F \left( 11 \ln \frac{s}{\mu^2} - \frac{123}{2} \right) \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. \left. - \frac{123}{2} \right) + n_f C_F T_f \left( -4 \ln \frac{s}{\mu^2} + 22 \right) \right\} \right],
\]

(2.6)

where $C_A = 3$, $C_F = 4/3$ and $T_f = 1/2$ denote the colour factors and $n_f$ represents the number of light flavours. For the definition in Eq. (1.14) which becomes mass singular in second order we obtain [5]

\[
A_{FB}^{CS,b}(s) = A_{FB}^{RN,b}(s) + 4 A_{FB}^{b,(0)}(s) C_F \left( C_F - \frac{1}{2} C_A \right) a_s^2(\mu^2) \left[ \left( \frac{13}{2} - 6 \zeta(2) \right) \ln \frac{s}{m^2} - 8.179 \right].
\]

(2.7)

3 Results

In tables 1 and 2 we have shown the forward backward asymmetries for charm and bottom quark respectively. The percentage of the higher order QCD correction are put between parentheses. For comparison we have also presented the initial state QED corrections in leading log approximation. They are of the same order as the QCD corrections. Further we infer that the approximation by putting the mass of the heavy flavour equal to zero is excellent for charm production but less good for bottom production. Since no exact calculation of the second order corrections to $A_{FB}$ exists we can estimate the error of the approximation by comparing the order $\alpha_s$ corrected result $A_{FB}^{(1)}$ for $m = 0$ and $m \neq 0$. For charm production the difference between the massive and massless quark approach is 0.28% which is much smaller than the difference between $A_{FB}^{(1)}$ and $A_{FB}^{(2)}$ which is about 1.37%. The latter is the same for the bottom quark but now the approximation becomes
# Table 1: The forward-backward asymmetry of the charm quark at $\sqrt{s} = M_Z$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$m_c = 0$ GeV/c²</th>
<th>$m_c = 1.50$ GeV/c²</th>
<th>$m_c = 0.0$ GeV/c²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>0.0712</td>
<td>0.0712</td>
<td>0.0712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(1)}$</td>
<td>0.0685 (- 3.78 %)</td>
<td>0.0687 (- 3.50 %)</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(2)}$</td>
<td>0.0675 (- 5.16 %)</td>
<td>0.0677 (- 4.87 %)</td>
<td>0.0610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Table 2: The forward-backward asymmetry of the bottom quark at $\sqrt{s} = M_Z$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$m_b = 0.0$ GeV/c²</th>
<th>$m_b = 4.50$ GeV/c²</th>
<th>$m_b = 0.0$ GeV/c²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>0.1002</td>
<td>0.1002</td>
<td>0.1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(1)}$</td>
<td>0.0964 (- 3.78 %)</td>
<td>0.0972 (- 2.99 %)</td>
<td>0.0938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{FB}^{(2)}$</td>
<td>0.0950 (- 5.16 %)</td>
<td>0.0958 (- 4.36 %)</td>
<td>0.0962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

worse and the difference between the result for $m_b = 0$ and $m_b = 4.50$ GeV/c² becomes 0.79%. Therefore in the case of top-quark production all higher order radiative corrections have to be calculated for massive quarks. The second issue is the difference between the definitions given in [4] and [5] leading to expressions $A_{FB}^{RN,b}$ and $A_{FB}^{CS,b}$ presented in Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) respectively. In the case of bottom production a miraculous cancellation occurs in the second term of Eq. (2.7) so that $A_{FB}^{(2)}$ is the same for both approaches. This is due to the input parameters used to produce the results in table 2 in particular to the chosen value of the bottom mass. If the mass becomes smaller like in the case of charm production (see table 1) one observes a small difference $A_{FB}^{RN,c} - A_{FB}^{CS,c} = -0.0002$. It is clear that the formula for $A_{FB}^{CS}$ in Eq. (2.7) cannot be applied to light quark production like $u, d, s$ for which $m \sim 0$. In this case one has to observe hadrons rather than quarks in the final state. However this entails the introduction of a fragmentation function $D_q^H(z, \mu^2)$ describing the fragmentation of a quark into a hadron. Hence the definition of the functions $f_k^l(\rho)$ in Eq. (1.8) has to be changed and it reads 

$$ f_k^l(\rho) = \int_{\sqrt{s}}^1 dx C_{k,q}^{l,NS} \left( x, \rho, \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \int_0^1 dz D_q^H(z, \mu^2). \tag{3.1} $$

Finally we want to make a remark on the numerical results given above. All formulae and results are presented when $A_{FB}$ refers to the quark axis. If the thrust axis is chosen, the result in table 2 becomes $A_{FB}^{(2)} = 0.0962$ instead of $A_{FB}^{(2)} = 0.0958$.
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