H.E.S.S. detection of TeV emission from the interaction region between the supernova remnant G349.7+0.2 and a molecular cloud
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ABSTRACT

G349.7+0.2 is a young Galactic supernova remnant (SNR) located at the distance of 11.5 kpc and observed across the entire electromagnetic spectrum from radio to high energy (HE; 0.1 GeV < E < 100 GeV) γ-rays. Radio and infrared observations indicate that the remnant is interacting with a molecular cloud. In this paper, the detection of very high energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ-ray emission coincident with this SNR with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) is reported. This makes it one of the farthest Galactic SNR ever detected in this domain. An integral flux $\Phi(E > 400 \text{ GeV}) = (6.5 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.3_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-11} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ corresponding to ~0.7% of that of the Crab Nebula and to a luminosity of ~10$^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ above the same energy threshold, and a steep photon index $\Gamma_{\text{HE}} = 2.8 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}}$ are measured. The analysis of more than 5 yr of Fermi-LAT data towards this source shows a power-law-like spectrum with a best-fit photon index $\Gamma_{\text{HE}} = 2.2 \pm 0.04_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.10_{\text{sys}}$. The combined γ-ray spectrum of G349.7+0.2 can be described by either a broken power-law (BPL) or a power-law with exponential (or sub-exponential) cutoff (PLC). In the former case, the photon break energy is found at $E_{\text{br}} \approx 55^{+70}_{-30} \text{ GeV}$, slightly higher than what is usually observed in the HE/VHE γ-ray emitting middle-aged SNRs known to be interacting with molecular clouds. In the latter case, the exponential (respectively sub-exponential) cutoff energy is measured at $E_{\text{cutoff}} \approx 1.4^{+1.8}_{-0.5} \text{ (respectively 0.35^{+0.7}_{-0.3})}$ TeV. A pion-decay process resulting from the interaction of the accelerated protons and nuclei with the dense surrounding medium is clearly the preferred scenario to explain the γ-ray emission. The BPL with a spectral steepening of 0.5-1 and the PLC provide equally good fits to the data. The product of the average gas density and the total energy content of accelerated protons and nuclei amounts to $n_{\text{HI}} W_{\text{p}} \approx 5 \times 10^{12} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$. Article number, page 1 of 9
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1. Introduction

The question of the origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays (CRs) dates back one century. In the 1930s, Baade & Zwicky (1934) proposed supernovae (SNe) as probable sources of Galactic CRs. According to the diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) theory (e.g. Bell 1978), particles are accelerated at the supernova remnant (SNR) shock waves. The spectrum of the accelerated particles follows a power-law shape with exponential cutoffs and spectral indices of \( p \approx 2 \) compatible with radio measurements. Such spectra have also been observed in \( \gamma \)-rays from several isolated SNRs (e.g. Aharonian et al. 2007). However, recent Fermi-LAT observations of SNRs interacting with molecular clouds (MC) have revealed spectral breaks above a few GeV (Abdo et al. 2009, 2010b) providing evidence in support of an interaction between the SNR and the MC. These masers and molecular line emissions are measured at similar velocities which, together with HI absorption measurements, originally placed the centre of the SNR (Frail et al. 1996) and of line emissions from several molecular transitions (Reynoso & Mangum 2000) provide evidence in support of an interaction between the SNR and the MC. These masers and molecular line emissions are measured at similar velocities which, together with HI absorption measurements, originally placed the SNR at a distance of \( \sim 22.4 \) kpc. Tian & Leahy (2014) have revised the kinematic distance to \( \sim 11.5 \) kpc based on updated knowledge of the kinematics in the inner Galaxy (Dame & Thaddeus 2008). Rodriguez-Fernandez & Combes (2008) together with high-resolution 21 cm HI (from the Southern Galactic Plane Survey, SGPS; McClure-Griffiths et al. 2012) and CO data (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). Thus, G349.7+0.2 is located at the near edge of the Far 3 kpc Arm rather than on the far side of the Galaxy. This distance estimate was confirmed by Yasumori et al. (2014). At the revised distance, the SNR radius and age are \( \sim 3.8 \) pc and \( \sim 1800 \) yr, respectively. The overall X-ray emission of G349.7+0.2 is best fit with two thermal components from the shocked SN ejecta and circumstellar material, and results in a blast wave velocity estimate of \( \sim 700–900 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Slane et al. 2002; Lazendic et al. 2005). In the high-energy (HE; 0.1 GeV < \( E \) < 100 GeV) \( \gamma \)-ray domain, Castro & Slane (2010) discovered an unresolved \( \gamma \)-ray source coincident with G349.7+0.2 based on Fermi-LAT observations, designated as 2FGL J1718.1-3725 in the two-year Fermi-LAT catalog (Nolan et al. 2012). The spectrum was best fit with a simple power-law with \( \Gamma_{100} = 2.1 \pm 0.1 \), and the addition of an exponential cutoff was found to only marginally improve the fit.

In this paper the detection of very high energy (VHE, \( E > 100 \) GeV) \( \gamma \)-ray emission coincident with this SNR in observations with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) experiment is reported. H.E.S.S. observations and data analysis results are presented in Sect. 2 together with the analysis of more than 5 yr of Fermi-LAT data towards G349.7+0.2. Based on all the available multi-wavelength data, the SNR-MC scenario to account for the broadband spectral energy distribution of G349.7+0.2 is discussed in Sect. 3 in the light of recent theoretical works aimed at explaining the \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum of such interacting SNRs.

2. Analysis

2.1. H.E.S.S. observations

H.E.S.S. is an array of five imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs) located in the Khomas Highland of Namibia at an altitude of 1800 m above sea level (Aharonian et al. 2006a). The fifth telescope (28-m diameter) started operation in September 2012. All H.E.S.S. data used in this paper have been taken with the four-telescope array, which detects \( \gamma \)-rays above an energy threshold of \( \sim 100 \) GeV and covers a field of view of 5° diameter. The primary particle direction and energy are reconstructed with event-by-event resolutions of \( \sim 0.1^\circ \) and \( \sim 15\% \), respectively.

The data set for the source analysis includes observations taken from 2004 to 2012 and is summarized in Table 1. Two data sets are made up of Galactic Scan runs from previous Galactic Plane surveys in 2004 and 2008 (Carrigan et al. 2013). A set of 24 dedicated runs were taken using the so-called wobble mode for which the source is alternatively offset from the pointing direction by a small distance varying from 0.4° to 0.75°. This method allows for the evaluation of the signal and the background from the same observation. A fourth data set is composed of wobble runs dedicated to the observation of other nearby sources, in particular RX J1713.7–3946 (Aharonian et al. 2007) located at \( \sim 2.5^\circ \) from G349.7+0.2. The total data set comprises 113 hours of observations (live time) after applying quality cuts.

Data have been analysed with the H.E.S.S. Analysis as described in de Naurois & Rolland (2009) and using Standard cuts. The analysis has been cross-checked with an independent data calibration chain and multivariate analysis method (Ohm et al. 2009). The extraction region is defined as a circular region of radius \( \theta = 0.1^\circ \) centred on the nominal position of the X-ray source G349.7+0.2 from the Chandra Supernova Remnant Catalog \(^1\) \( \alpha _{2000} = 17^\text{h}17^\text{m}59^\text{s}, \delta _{2000} = -37^\circ26'21'3' \). After background subtraction with the reflected background method (Berge et al. 2007), an excess of 163 VHE \( \gamma \)-rays is detected within the analysis region, which corresponds to a significance level of 6.6 \( \sigma \) according to Eq. 17 from Li & Ma (1983). Given the existence of GeV emission and a source extent of \( \sim 2.5' \times 2' \) (much smaller than the H.E.S.S. PSF), an unresolved VHE \( \gamma \)-ray signal was expected and only one source position and extent have been tested. The excess is point-like within the H.E.S.S. point spread function (PSF) uncertainties and the best fit position of the VHE emission within the extraction region is found to be \( \alpha _{2000} = 17^\text{h}17^\text{m}57^\text{s}8 \pm 20^\text{s}, \delta _{2000} = -37^\circ26'39'6 \pm 24'0, \) compatible with the X-ray position of G349.7+0.2. An upper limit on the source extent of 0.04° (95% confidence level, CL), larger than the SNR size seen in radio and X-rays, is obtained based on the log-likelihood method profile width.

Figure 1 shows the excess count image smoothed with a Gaussian of width 0.06° which corresponds to the 68% containment radius of the H.E.S.S. PSF for this analysis. The SNR G349.7+0.2 and the H.E.S.S. analysis region are indicated by solid green and white dashed circles, respectively. As seen in the inset image, the 2 \( \sigma \) error contours of the H.E.S.S. best fit position show that the position of the VHE source is compatible
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\(^1\) http://hea-www.cfa.harvard.edu/ChandraSNR/G349.7+00.2/
Table 1. Details of the data set for the analysis of G349.7+0.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Live Time (hours)</th>
<th>Number of runs</th>
<th>Offset (Mean offset) (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Scan 1</td>
<td>05-07/2004</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.6–2.3 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Scan 2</td>
<td>05-06/2008</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.7–1.5 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G349.7+0.2 wobble runs</td>
<td>04-09/2010</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5–0.7 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>04/2004-09/2012</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0.8–2.3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The energy spectrum of the VHE emission coincident with G349.7+0.2 is extracted above 220 GeV and fitted using the forward folding technique described in [Piron et al. (2001)]. The resolution-unfolded spectrum is shown on Figure 2. The spectrum is well described by a power-law defined as \(d\Phi/dE \propto E^{-\Gamma}\) with a photon index of \(\Gamma_{\text{VHE}} = 2.8 \pm 0.27_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{syst}}\) (\(\chi^2/\nu = 54.1/56\)). The integrated photon flux above 400 GeV is \(F(E > 400\text{ GeV}) = (6.5 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.3_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-13}\ \text{ph cm}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}\) which corresponds to 0.7% of the Crab Nebula flux (Aharonian et al. 2006a) and to a luminosity of \(\sim 10^{34}\ \text{erg s}^{-1}\) above the same energy threshold. Spectral models of a curved power-law and a power-law with exponential cutoff do not improve the fit of the spectrum significantly.

2.2. Fermi-LAT observations

The LAT detector is the main instrument on board the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope (Fermi). It consists of a pair-conversion imaging telescope detecting \(\gamma\)-ray photons in the energy range between 20 MeV and \(\geq 300\) GeV, as described by Atwood et al. (2009). The LAT has a effective area of \(\sim 8000\ \text{cm}^2\) on-axis above 1 GeV, a field of view of \(\sim 2.4\) sr and an angular resolution of \(\sim 0.6\)° (68% containment radius) at 1 GeV for events converting in the front section of the tracker.

A GeV \(\gamma\)-ray excess associated with G349.7+0.2 was first reported by Castro & Slane (2010) using 1 year of Fermi-LAT data. Since this discovery, several improvements have been made both in the instrument response functions (IRFs) and in the data analysis software. The following analysis was performed using 5.25 yr of data collected from 2008 August 4 to 2013 November 6. The latest version of the publicly available Fermi Science Tools (v9r32p5) was used, with the P7REP_SOURCE_V15 IRFs and the user package enrico (Sanchez & Deil 2013). Events at normal incidence (cos(\(\theta\)) > 0.975), with zenith angles smaller than 100°, and flagged as source class events were selected to perform a binned likelihood analysis. A region of 10° around the position of G349.7+0.2 was analysed. All sources from the Fermi-LAT two-year source catalog [Nolan et al. 2012] within 12° around the target were added. The ones closer than 8° (i.e. the 95% containment radius of the LAT PSF for front- and back-converted events at 200 MeV), and with a significance larger than 3, were modeled simultaneously (with fixed positions). Additionally, the Galactic and extra-galactic diffuse models were used with their respective normalization treated as
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\(^2\) More information about the performance of the LAT can be found at the FSSC: http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc
\(^3\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/documentation/Cicerone/
free parameter. The likelihood analysis was performed with the `gtlike` tool. To determine the significance of the signal, the test-statistic (TS) method was used: $TS = -2 \ln \left( \frac{L_{\text{null}}}{L_{\text{stat}}} \right)$, where $L_{\text{null}}$ and $L_{\text{stat}}$ are the maximum likelihood value for a model with and without an additional source, respectively.

For the spatial analysis, $\gamma$-ray events with $5 \text{ GeV} < E_\gamma < 300 \text{ GeV}$ were selected. Such a selection provides a good instrument PSF and both low source confusion and background level from the Galactic $\gamma$-ray diffuse emission. The TS in this energy band is $\sim 10^{-2}$. The source position, determined by `gtfindsrc`, is $\alpha_{12000} = 17:17:58.00 \pm 3.5 \text{stat} \pm 4.0 \text{syst}$, $\delta_{12000} = -37.26'42''00 \pm 54''0 \text{stat} \pm 60''0 \text{syst}$. The systematic errors are estimated according to the 2FGL catalog (Nolan et al. 2012). The Fermi-LAT source is compatible with the H.E.S.S. position at less than 1$\sigma$ and is consistent with the radio shell of G$349.7+0.2$, as shown in Figure 1. The Fermi-LAT residual count map shows no evidence of a significant source extension after point like source subtraction.

For the spectral analysis, front- and back-converted events in the 0.2–300 GeV energy range were selected. The lower bound was chosen in order to reduce both the systematic uncertainties on the Fermi-LAT PSF and acceptance, and the level of Galactic $\gamma$-ray diffuse emission. In the following the effect of the underlying Galactic diffuse emission on the source flux was estimated by varying artificially the normalization of the Galactic background model by $\pm 6\%$ from the best-fit value. The systematic uncertainties related to the IRFs are not estimated here as background model by varying artificially the normalization of the Galactic diffuse emission. The source TS value from this analysis is $\sim 60$. The best fit parameters were determined by the likelihood method. The source TS value from this analysis is $\sim 210$ and the best fit photon index $\Gamma_{\text{HE}} = 2.2 \pm 0.04 \text{stat} \pm 0.03 \text{syst}$. The energy flux at the decorrelation energy $E_D = 3.8 \text{ GeV}$ is $F_{E_D} = (6.1 \pm 0.43 \text{stat} \pm 0.2 \text{syst}) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The flux in the full energy range is $F_{0.2-300 \text{ GeV}} = (2.8 \pm 0.32 \text{stat} \pm 0.14 \text{syst}) \times 10^{-8} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

Analyses assuming log-parabola and smoothed broken power-law spectrum models were performed in the same energy range. No improvement of the fit was found indicating that there is no significant deviation from a pure spectral power-law. A binned spectral analysis was also performed following the same method described above in each energy bin. The resulting spectrum is shown in Figure 3 and discussed in Sect. 2. A 99% CL upper limit was calculated in the bins with a signal significance lower than 3 $\sigma$. The statistical uncertainties are given at 1 $\sigma$.

2.3. Combined analysis

The $\gamma$-ray source detected with Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. towards G$349.7+0.2$ shows that the object is a luminous Galactic SNR, with luminosities in the 0.2–300 GeV energy range and above 400 GeV of $L_{\text{HE}} \sim 3 \times 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $L_{\text{VHE}} \sim 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively, assuming a distance of 11.5 kpc. The VHE spectrum from G$349.7+0.2$ is well fitted with a steep power-law shape with photon index $\Gamma_{\text{VHE}} = 2.8 \pm 0.27 \text{ stat} \pm 0.20 \text{syst}$, which represents a steepening from the one measured at HE by Fermi-LAT ($\Gamma_{\text{HE}} = 2.2 \pm 0.04 \text{stat} \pm 0.13 \text{syst}$) of $\Delta \Gamma = 0.60 \pm 0.27 \text{ stat} \pm 0.23 \text{syst}$. The position of the spectral break is estimated through a likelihood ratio test statistic (Rolke et al. 2005) applied to the H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT data, taking both statistical and systematic uncertainties into account:

$$\Lambda(E_{\text{null}}, \theta) = \frac{\sup \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}(E_{\text{null}}, \theta)}{\mathcal{L}(E_{\text{stat}}, \theta)} \right)}{\sup \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}(E_{\text{null}}, \theta)}{\mathcal{L}(E_{\text{null}}, \theta)} \right)},$$

where $E_{\text{null}}$ is the tested hypothesis. The supremum in the denominator is determined over the full parameter space. The spectral indices and the normalization of the photon spectrum are considered as nuisance parameters represented by the $\theta$ variable. They are set free. The minimum of the likelihood ratio is reached at the photon energy $E_{\text{null},\gamma} = 55 \text{ GeV}$, and the 68% confidence interval is $[25; 125] \text{ GeV}$. The $\gamma$-ray spectral steepening is thus precisely at the transition between the Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. domains. A cutoff $E_{\text{cutoff}}$ and $E_{\text{select}}$ where $E_{\text{cutoff}}$ is the cutoff energy and $\beta$ defines the spectral shape in the cutoff region could also accommodate the steep and faint VHE spectrum at these intermediate energies. Following the same method as for the broken power-law $\gamma$-ray spectrum, the spectral turnover is found to be at $E_{\text{cutoff}} = 1.4 \pm 0.6 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.5 (\text{syst}) \text{ TeV}$ assuming a power-law photon spectrum with an exponential (respectively sub-exponential, $\beta=0.5$) cutoff. The shape of the cutoff in the photon spectra with respect to that in the particle spectrum depends on the emission process, and exponential cutoffs in the particle spectrum typically result in sub-exponential cutoffs in the photon spectrum for pion decay (Kelner et al. 2006) and inverse Compton emission (Lefa et al. 2012). A power-law particle spectrum is predicted by DSA\footnote{Non-linear acceleration effects in CR modified shocks may even give rise to slightly concave spectra. An even more pronounced concave shape, steep at HE and hard at VHE $\gamma$-rays (Gabici et al. 2009) or a parabolic shape peaking at VHE (Ellison & Bykov 2011), may occur in the case of a MC illuminated by CRs escaping from a nearby SNR.}, and a cutoff is generally formed. Such a spectrum can be interpreted as the emission from accelerated particles at the SNR shock, the cutoff being due to either escape of the highest energy particles or limitation of the acceleration because of the SNR age or radiative losses (for leptons) (Aharonian et al. 2007). On the other hand, $\gamma$-ray broken power-law spectra with $E_{\text{null},\gamma} \sim 10^{2}$ GeV have been observed in several SNRs known to be interacting with MCs (see Jiang et al. 2010 and references therein), such as W28 (Aharonian et al. 2008, Abdo et al. 2010a), W51C (Abdo et al. 2009, Aleksic et al. 2012), W49B (Abdo et al. 2010b), Brun et al. 2011, IC443 (Acero et al. 2009, Ackermann et al. 2013) or W41 (Aharonian et al. 2006b, Castro et al. 2013). The CR spectral shape (broken power-law and exponential cutoff power-law) underlying this $\gamma$-ray spectrum will be investigated in the following sections in view of the $\gamma$-ray emission scenarios.

3. Discussion

3.1. Multi-wavelength considerations

In order to address the question of the origin of the $\gamma$-ray emission from G$349.7+0.2$, the published radio and X-ray data from the SNR have been assembled. Radio flux densities are provided by Green (2009) ($F_{\nu}(1 \text{ GHz}) = 20 \text{ Jy}$) and Clark & Caswell (1976) ($F_{\nu}(408 \text{ MHz}) = 31 \text{ Jy}$, $F_{\nu}(5 \text{ GHz}) = 9.1 \text{ Jy}$). X-ray observations of G$349.7+0.2$ with Chandra have revealed the thermal nature of the SNR emission, from both the ejecta and shocked circumstellar medium (Lazendic et al. 2005). A power-law (non-thermal) component was estimated to contribute to less than 2.6% (at 3$\sigma$ CL) of the total flux in the 0.5 – 10 keV range for any photon index between 1.5 and 3. This translates into a
flux upper limit of $1.7 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. A post-shock Hydrogen density of $\sim 7$ cm$^{-3}$, leading to an ISM density of $\sim 1.7$ cm$^{-3}$, is consistent with the assumption of a strong shock was derived from the soft component of the SNR thermal X-ray spectrum (Lazendic et al. 2005). From $^{12}$CO observations, Dubner et al. (2004) reported that G349.7+0.2 is associated with a MC, whose total mass and average density are estimated to be $M_{\text{MC}} \sim 5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ and $n_{\text{MC}} \sim 2 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ at 11.5 kpc, respectively. Another density estimate comes from the presence of 5 OH (1720 MHz) masers (Frail et al. 1996) and strong H$_2$ lines (Hewitt et al. 2003) towards the centre of the remnant, both tracers originating from shocked molecular region of very high density ($n \sim 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$). As discussed by Lazendic et al. (2005) and Castro & Slane (2010), these differences in density estimates indicate that the SNR is expanding in an inhomogeneous, likely clumpy, medium.

### 3.2. SNR shell emission

To quantify the total amount of energy required to explain the $\gamma$-ray spectrum, a simple time-independent one-zone model of accelerated particles and their associated broadband emission is compared to the multi-wavelength (radio and X-ray) data described in the previous section. A power-law with exponential cutoff model for the CRs spectrum is adopted: $$dN/dE \propto E^{-\gamma} \exp(-E/E_{\text{cut}}).$$ Typical values for the SN explosion energy and for the fraction that goes into CR acceleration are assumed: $E_{\text{SN}} = 10^{51}$ erg and $\epsilon_{\text{CR}} \sim 0.1$ (i.e. $W_p + W_e = \epsilon_{\text{CR}} E_{\text{SN}}$, where $W_p$ are the total amount of explosion energy going into protons and electrons acceleration, respectively). Photon spectra from non-thermal Bremsstrahlung (NBr), Inverse Compton (IC) and proton-proton (p-p, followed by $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$) processes are computed according to Blumenthal & Gould (1970), Baring et al. (1999), Kafexhiu et al. (2014) (the hadronic emission is multiplied by the factor $\sim 1.5$ to take into account nuclei heavier than Hydrogen, Dermer 1986).

A NBr-dominated scenario requires an electron to proton ratio $K_{\text{ep}} \geq 0.2$, which is much higher than the values expected from CR abundances and from the modeling of the broadband emission from several SNRs, which lie in the $\sim 10^{-7}$ to $10^{-5}$ range (Katz & Waxman 2009). The IC-dominated scenario requires a spectral shape much harder than the one observed at GeV energies. Moreover, values of both the energy content in radiating electrons ($W_e = 8 \times 10^{30}$ d/(11.5 kpc)$^2$ erg) and the magnetic field ($B \leq 4\mu G$) on IC CMB are unrealistic. The optical interstellar radiation field from Porter et al. (2008) has a negligible effect on the IC emission in this region of the Galaxy, while the previous parameters change to $W_e = 10^{30}$ d/(11.5 kpc)$^2$ erg and $B \leq 8\mu G$, when accounting for the infrared interstellar radiation fields. However the energy density of the different photon fields from Porter et al. (2008) is known to be subject to large uncertainties at small scales. Because of the large electron to proton ratio and the low magnetic field required in NBr- and IC-dominated scenarios, the leptonic origin of the $\gamma$-ray emission is disfavored.

The $n^0$ decay dominated scenario leads to a product of the average gas density and the total energy content of accelerated hadrons of $n_H W_p \sim 5 \times 10^{31}$ d/(11.5 kpc)$^2$ erg cm$^{-3}$, similar to what has been derived for W51C (Abdo et al. 2009) and W49B (Abdo et al. 2010c). To constrain the parameters of the primary proton distribution, its resulting photon spectrum from p-p interactions (computed using the parametrization of Kafexhiu et al. 2014) is compared to the observed photon spectrum through a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fitting procedure using the gammaphot package.\footnote{https://github.com/zblz/gammaphot} The best-fit proton spectral parameters are a spectral index $p = 2.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ and a cutoff energy of $E_{\text{cut}} = 6.8^{+1.0}_{-3.4}$ TeV. With an ISM density of $\sim 1.7$ cm$^{-3}$, as discussed in the previous section, the $n^0$ decay scenario would require a too large energy content in the accelerated protons and nuclei of $\sim 3 \times 10^{51}$ erg. Thus the $\gamma$-ray emission coincident with G349.7+0.2 clearly cannot arise from the whole SNR shell assumed to evolve in an homogeneous $\sim 1.7$ cm$^{-3}$ ISM, but rather from the region of the SNR-MC interaction. The $n^0$-decay emission spectrum obtained with the best fit proton distribution is shown on Figure 3. In the standard modelings of gamma-ray emission from MC illuminated by CRs from a nearby, non-interacting, source, the VHE emission from these escaping CRs is expected to be harder than the HE emission from particles still confined in the source (Gabici et al. 2009, Ellison & Bykov 2011). This is opposite to what is observed here from G349.7+0.2. Together with the CR energetics constraints, another scenario, in which the particular interaction region between the blast wave and the cloud at the origin of the HE/VHE emission, must be investigated.

### 3.3. SNR-MC interaction scenario

As mentioned in Sect. 2.3, spectral breaks at $\sim 1$–20 GeV have recently been observed in several interacting SNRs. These spectral features are not a priori predicted by the DSA theory and several theoretical scenarios have been put forward in order to explain $\gamma$-ray spectral breaks. They can be due to either acceleration effects on particles residing within the interacting SNR (Inoue et al. 2010, Uchiyama et al. 2010, Malkov et al. 2011, Tang et al. 2011, Malkov et al. 2012) or diffusion of particles escaping from the SNR shock and diffusing in the MC (Li & Chen 2010, Ohira et al. 2011, Li & Chen 2012, Aharonian & Atoyan
In particular ion-neutral collisions occurring when fast shocks interact with partially ionized material can lead to Alfvén wave damping [O'C Drury et al. 1996; Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005] and hence, to the reduction of the confinement of the highest energy particles which escape the system. As shown by Malkov et al. (2011) in the case of W44, and recently generalized by Malkov et al. (2012) (see their Equation 4), a break naturally occurs at a few GeV, above which the particle spectrum steepens by one power $\Delta p = 1$. Ohira et al. (2011) have investigated the distribution of CRs escaping from a SNR assumed to be of finite size, based on the escape-limited model of CR acceleration described in Ohira et al. (2010). In this model, once the forward shock approaches the MC modeled as a shell, the power-law spectrum is rounded when it encounters the MC, is found. Both scenarios could be of finite size, based on the escape-limited model of CR acceleration described in Ohira et al. (2010). In this model, once the forward shock approaches the MC and the free parameters are those of a broken power-law and a power-law with exponential cutoff discussed in section 3.1. The three hypothesis provide equally good fits to the $\gamma$-ray data ($\Delta BIC < 2$) and lead to $\gamma$-ray spectra consistent with the $\gamma$-ray parameters given in section 3.1.

4. Conclusion

H.E.S.S. observations have led to the discovery of the distant, MC-interacting, SNR G349.7+0.2 in the VHE $\gamma$-ray domain. Although faint ($F(E > 400$ GeV) $\sim 0.7\%$ of the Crab Nebula), its flux corresponds to a luminosity of $\sim 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$, owing to its location in the Far 3 kpc Arm of the Galactic centre, at $\sim 11.5$ kpc. The point-like shape of the VHE emission does not allow for an investigation of the morphology. Nonetheless, the combined Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. spectrum, together with several other observational lines of evidence, strongly suggest that the $\gamma$-ray emission results from the interaction between the SNR and the adjacent MC. By taking into account radio and X-ray data, the leptonically dominated scenarios for the origin of the $\gamma$-ray emission are strongly disfavored, and the decay from hadronic interactions require a total energy content in CRs $m_\text{H}W_\gamma \sim 5 \times 10^{51}$ (d/11.5 kpc)$^2$ erg cm$^{-2}$. Although the $\gamma$-ray spectrum and the inferred proton distributions are statistically compatible with a broken power-law and a power-law with exponential cutoff, the former shape is reminiscent of most of the $\gamma$-ray-emitting SNRs known to be interacting with MCs.
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