MULTIWAVELENGTH EVIDENCE FOR QUASI-PERIODIC MODULATION 
IN THE GAMMA-RAY BLAZAR PG 1553+113


ABSTRACT

We report for the first time a γ-ray and multiwavelength nearly-periodic oscillation in an active galactic nucleus. Using the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) we have discovered an apparent quasi-periodicity in the γ-ray flux (E > 100 MeV) from the GeV/TeV BL Lac object PG 1553+113. The marginal significance of the 2.18 ± 0.08 year-period γ-ray cycle is strengthened by correlated oscillations observed in radio and optical fluxes, through data collected in the OVRO, Tuorla, KAIT, and CSS monitoring programs and Swift UVOT. The optical cycle appearing in ~ 10 years of data has a similar period, while the 15 GHz oscillation is less regular than seen in the other bands. Further long-term multi-wavelength monitoring of this blazar may discriminate among the possible explanations for this quasi-periodicity.

Subject headings: gamma rays: galaxies — gamma rays: general — BL Lacertae objects: general — BL Lacertae objects: individual (PG 1553+113) — galaxies: jets — accretion, accretion disks
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Among active galactic nuclei (AGN), blazars are distinguished by erratic variability at all energies on a wide range of timescales. They are generally thought to be powered by supermassive black holes (SMBHs, $10^8-10^9 \, M_\odot$), such as PG 1553+113 (IES 1553+113, $z \sim 0.49$, Danforth et al. 2010; Aliu et al. 2015; Abramowski et al. 2015) is an optically/X-ray selected BL Lac object (Falomo & Treves 1990) emitting variable GeV/TeV $\gamma$ radiation (Aleksic et al. 2015; Abramowski et al. 2015). As typical in very-high-energy (VHE) BL Lacs, the energetic non-thermal emission of PG 1553+113 originates in a relativistic jet and has a spectral energy distribution (SED) with two humps, overwhelming any other component from either the nucleus or the host galaxy.

The Large Area Telescope (LAT) on the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope is providing continuous monitoring of the high-energy $\gamma$-ray sky. The apparent modulation noted in the $\gamma$-ray flux of PG 1553+113 stimulated the multi-frequency and long-term variability study described in this paper.

In §2 we describe the Fermi LAT data analysis and the sources of multiwavelength data; §3 details the multiple approaches used for lightcurves and cross-correlation analysis; §4 outlines preliminary scenarios to interpret these results.

2. Fermi LAT and RADIO, OPTICAL, X-RAY DATA

The LAT is a pair conversion detector with a 2.4 sr field of view, sensitive to $\gamma$ rays from $\sim 20$ MeV to $>300$ GeV (Atwood et al. 2009). The present work uses the new Pass 8 LAT database (Atwood et al. 2013). The LAT operating mode allows it to cover the entire sky every two $\sim 1.6$-hour spacecraft orbits, providing a regular and uniform view of $\gamma$-ray sources, sampling timescales from hours to years. This work uses observations of PG 1553+113 covering $\sim 6.9$ years (2008 August 4 to 2015 July 19, Modified Julian Day, MJD 54682.65–57222.65). The LAT data analysis employed the standard ScienceTools v10r0p5 package, selecting events from 100 MeV–$300$ GeV with P8R2_SOURCE_V6 instrument response functions, in a circular Region of Interest of 10° radius centered on the position of PG 1553+113. It used files gll_iem_v06 and iso_P8R2_SOURCE_V6_v06 to model the Galactic and isotropic diffuse emission. Contamination due to the $\gamma$-ray-bright Earth limb is avoided by excluding events with zenith angle $>90°$. An unbinned maximum likelihood model fit technique is applied to each time bin with a power-law spectral model and photon index fixed to the 3FGL Catalog average value ($1.604 \pm 0.025$, Acero et al. 2015) for PG 1553+113. The resulting lightcurves are shown in Fig. 1.

Optical R-band data covering an interval of $\sim 9$ years (2005 April 19 to 2015 March 29, MJD 53479-57110) are reported in Fig. 2. Most unpublished observations were performed as part of the Tuorla blazar monitoring program (Takalo et al. 2008). The data are reduced using a semi-automatic pipeline (Nilsson et al. in prep.). Public data from the Katzman Automatic Imaging Telescope (KAIT) and the Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) programs are also added. V-band magnitudes are scaled to the R-band values.

1. INTRODUCTION

...
As part of an ongoing blazar monitoring program supporting Fermi (Richards et al. 2011), the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) 40-m radio telescope has been observing PG 1553+113 continually (about every 1 to 23 days) since 2008 August. Figure 2 reports published 15 GHz lightcurves for the period from 2008 August 19 to 2014 May 18 (MJD 54697-56795). OVRO instrumentation, data calibration and reduction are described in Richards et al. (2011).

Swift observed PG 1553+113 110 times between 2005 April 20 and 2015 July 18 (unabsorbed 0.3–2 keV flux lightcurve in Figure 2). X-Ray Telescope (XRT) data were first calibrated and cleaned (xrtpipeline, XRTDAS v.3.0.0) and energy spectra extracted from a region of 20 pixel (≈47") radius, with a nearby 20 pixel radius region for background. Individual XRT spectra are well fitted with a log-parabolic model, with column density fixed to the Galactic value of \(3.6 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Kalberla et al. 2011). Aperture photometry (5" radius) for the UVOT V-band filter was performed.

3. TEMPORAL VARIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CROSS CORRELATION ANALYSIS

We performed continuous wavelet transform (CWT) and Lomb-Scargle Periodogram (LSP) analyses on the lightcurves. Fig. 3 shows clear peaks at \(\sim 2\) years for \(\gamma\)-ray and optical power spectra. We also made an epoch folding (pulse shape) analysis used to extract the period, shape, amplitude and phase, with uncertainties (Larsson 1996). The \(\chi^2\) for the folded pulse as a function of trial periods was fitted with a model containing 4 Fourier components, giving a period of \(798 \pm 30\) days (\(2.18 \pm 0.08\) years), consistent with the CWT and LSP findings (Fig. 3). The value of the signal power peak does not change using regular 20-day and 45-day bins or an adaptive-bin technique (Lott et al. 2012) for construction of the LAT lightcurve.

A direct Power Density Spectrum (PDS) constructed from a LAT count-rate lightcurve using exposure-weighted aperture photometry (Corbet et al. 2007; Kerr 2011) above 100 MeV for a region with 3° radius with 600 second time bins (Fig.
4), confirms previous results with a peak at $2.16 \pm 0.08$ years, at $82 \times$ the mean power level. The low-frequency modulation prevents an easy fit subtraction to the PDS continuum. The peak is $\sim 5$ times the mean level using a 4th order polynomial fit.

The significance of any apparent periodic variation depends on what assumption is made about spurious stochastic variability mimicking a periodic variation. The significance of the $\sim 2$-year $\gamma$-ray periodicity is difficult to assess given the limited length of the $\gamma$-ray lightcurve. Red-noise, i.e. random and relatively enhanced low-frequency fluctuations over intervals comparable to the sample length, hinders the evaluation of periodicity significance (e.g. Hsieh et al. 2005; Lasky et al. 2015). We have approached the problem with two procedures:

1) The red-noise is assumed to be produced by similar amplitude flares (as seen in PG 1553+113 and some other LAT blazars), and the probability for these to line up in a regular pattern is estimated. The coherence of the periodic modulation was investigated by studying phase variations along the lightcurve. The local phase at each minimum and maximum was estimated by correlating a one-period long data segment with the Fourier template of the full lightcurve. The rms variations relative to a perfectly coherent modulation was 27.4 days. The chance probabilities for 3, 4 and 5 random events to be distributed with at least this coherence, as estimated by Monte Carlo simulations, are 0.0535, 0.0105 and 0.0027 respectively, implying a chance probability of a few percent for the 3.5-peak $\gamma$-ray lightcurve of PG 1553+113.

2) We modeled the red-noise using Monte Carlo simulations with a first-order autoregressive process as the null hypothesis to assess whether the signal is consistent with a stochastic origin. Non-linear influence on the PDS is minimal thanks to the evenly spaced $\gamma$-ray lightcurve. The power peak in Fig. 3 is above the 99% confidence contour level, i.e. has $< 1\%$ chance of being a statistical fluctuation. The optical power peak has $< 5\%$ chance of being a statistical fluctuation.

Although the $\gamma$-ray periodicity signal alone is not compelling, the 9.9-years of optical data support the finding of a periodic oscillation in PG 1553+113. The optical data, although affected by seasonal gaps, were analyzed using the
Fig. 3.— Left top panel: pulse shape (epoch-folded) $\gamma$-ray ($E > 100$ MeV) flux lightcurve at the 2.18 year period (two cycles shown). Left bottom panels: 2D plane contour plot of the CWT power spectrum (scalogram) of the $\gamma$-ray lightcurve, using a Morlet mother function (filled color contour). The side panel to this is the 1D smoothed, all-epoch averaged, spectrum of the CWT scalogram showing a signal power peak in agreement with the 2.18-year value, also showing the LSP. Dashed lines depict increasing levels of confidence against red-noise calculated with Monte Carlo simulation. The $\gamma$-ray signal peak is above the 99% confidence contour level ($< 1\%$ chance probability of being spurious). Right top panel: pulse shape from epoch folding of the optical flux lightcurve at the 2.18 year period (two cycles shown). Right bottom panels: the same CWT and LSP diagrams for the optical lightcurve. The optical signal peak is above the 95% confidence contour level.

The same techniques as for the $\gamma$-ray data. This analysis gives a period of $754 \pm 20$ days ($2.06 \pm 0.05$ years), consistent within uncertainties with the $\gamma$-ray results (Fig. 3).

Fig. 4.— Power Density Spectrum (PDS) of the LAT 0.1 – 300 GeV count rate lightcurve of PG 1553+113 from a 3° exposure-weighted aperture photometry technique with 600-second time bins.

The less coherent 15 GHz lightcurve (5.7-years OVRO data) shows a signal power peak at $1.9 \pm 0.1$ year, with an additional power component at a 1.2-year timescale. Swift XRT data show a factor of 5 variation linearly correlated with the $\gamma$-ray flux, while the synchrotron peak frequency shows a factor $\sim 6$ increase during high X-ray states, as suggested by Reimer et al. (2008).

The long-term X-ray count rate lightcurve from the Rossi-XTE ASM instrument (1996 February 20 to 2010 September 11) and the Swift-BAT (from 2005 May 29) were also analyzed but do not show any signal above the low-frequency noise, because of insufficient statistics.

An important diagnostic for multi-frequency periodicity analysis is the discrete cross-correlation function (DCCF) used with two independent and complementary approaches. In the first procedure, flux variations are modeled assuming a simple power law $\propto 1/f^{\alpha}$ (with $f = 1/t$) in the PDS as measured directly from the lightcurve data, allowing us to estimate the cross-correlations significance avoiding the assumption of equal variability in all sources at the cost of a model assumption (Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014). For the $\gamma$-ray lightcurve with 20-day binning we obtain a best fit $\alpha = 0.8$, but the error is unconstrained, indicating that the length of the data set is too short (i.e. below five cycles), relative to the suspected periodic modulation, to enable a reliable data characterization. The 45-day bin lightcurve yields a best fit $\alpha = 0.1$ with unconstrained error. The optical PSD is constrained: the best fit value is $\alpha = 1.85$, with $1\sigma$ limits at [1.75, 2.00]. The 15 GHz flux light curve a slope of $\alpha = 1.4$, with unconstrained limits on the $\alpha$ values as for the $\gamma$-ray data.
The DCCF between the unbinned radio lightcurve and the 20-day bin γ-ray lightcurve results in a most probable time lag for radio-flux lagging the γ-ray flux by 50 ± 20 days, with a 98.14% significance for the best PSD fit with a range of [89.56%-99.99%] when fit errors are taken into account (Fig. 5), using the fitting procedure of Max-Moerbeck et al. (2014). The DCCF between the unbinned optical lightcurve and the 20-day bin γ-ray lightcurve results in a most probable time lag for γ-ray flux lagging the optical flux by 130 ± 14 days, with a 99.14% significance for the best PSD fit and [96.09%-99.97%] when fit errors are taken into account (Fig. 5). The DCCF peak is broad, however, and consistent with no lag. This is also seen when the optical data are rebinned into 20-day intervals, as shown in the bottom panel, where the most probable lag is 10 ± 51 days.

In the second procedure, the significance of the γ-ray – radio correlation was estimated to be 95% by a mixed source correlation procedure (Fuhrmann et al. 2014), cross-correlating the PG 1553+113 lightcurve with those of 132 comparison sources in that work, and evaluating the average DCCF level for time lags −100 to +100 days. The γ-ray – optical correlation is significant at the 99% level, even though partly limited by the number of comparison sources and optical lightcurve gaps. With only 132 comparison lightcurves we can measure a minimum probability-value of 0.0075, therefore in principle a 99% level of significance, but in this approach the error in that estimate is hard to determine. With the mixed source methods there are two limitations: 1) the assumption that all the sources can be described with the same model for the variability, and 2) the sample variance due to the limited number of lightcurves must be assessed. The optical flux is found to lead the γ-ray variations by 75 ± 27 days and the radio by 158 ± 10 days (γ-ray variations lead the 15 GHz flux variations by 83 ± 27 days). The possible reverse γ-ray–optical time lag decreases to 28 ± 27 days when the optical lightcurve is binned.

The possible optical–γ-ray lag was already pointed out by Cohen et al. (2014), using KAIT unbinned optical lightcurves and LAT data. The high degree of γ-ray-radio correlation in PG 1553+113 is not typically found in other individual blazars/AGN (see Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014). Significant cross-correlations are, nevertheless, found when stacking blazar samples (radio lagging γ-rays; Fuhrmann et al. 2014).

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Factors that led to the indication of a possible ~ 2-year periodic modulation in PG 1553+113 are: the continuous all-sky survey of Fermi; the increased capability of the new Fermi LAT Pass 8 data; and the long-term radio/optical monitoring of γ-ray blazars. Although the statistical significance of periodicity is marginal in each band, the consistent positive cross-correlation between bands strengthens the case, making PG 1553+113 the first possible quasi-periodic GeV γ-ray blazar and a prime candidate for further studies. Hints of possible γ-ray periodicities are rare in literature (for example Sandrinelli et al. 2014). The similarity of the low- and high-energy modulation in PG 1553+113 is also a novel behavior for AGN (Rieger 2004, 2007). Any periodic driving scenario should be related to the relativistic jet itself or to the process feeding the jet for this VHE BL Lac object. We outline, as examples, four possibilities:

1. Pulsational accretion flow instabilities, approximating periodic behavior, are able to explain modulations in the energy outflow efficiency. Magnetically-arrested and magnetically-dominated accretion flows (MADAF) could be suitable regimes for radiatively inefficient BL Lacs (Fragile & Meier 2009), characterized by advection-dominated accretion flows and subluminal, turbulent and peculiar radio kinematics (Karouzos et al. 2012; Piner & Edwards 2014). Such kinematics are sometimes explained as a precessing or helical jet (Conway & Murphy 1993). MADAF in a inner disc portion can be able to efficiently impart energy to particles in the jets of VHE BL Lacs (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011). Periodic instabilities are believed to have short periods, ~ 10^6 s · (M_{MBH}/10^8 M_☉) (Honma et al. 1992), but MHD simulations of magnetically choked accretion flows are seen to produce longer periods for slow-spinning SMBH (McKinney et al. 2012).

2. Jet precession (e.g., Romero et al. 2000; Stirling et
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3. A mechanism analogous to low-frequency QPO from Galactic high-mass binaries/microquasars could produce an accretion-outflow coupling mechanism as the basis of the periodicity (Fender & Belloni 2004). King et al. (2013) ascribed the radio QPO in the FSRQ CGRO J1359+4011 to this mechanism. However BL Lac objects like PG 1553+113 are thought to possess a lower accretion rate. The microquasar QPO mechanism of Lense-Thirring precession (Wilkins 1972) requires that the inner accretion flow forms a geometrically thick torus rather than a standard thin disc as the latter warps (Bardeen-Petterson effect, Bardeen & Petterson 1975) rather than precesses (Ingram et al. 2009). A low mass accretion rate means that the accretion process probably forms an Advection-Dominated Accretion Flow (ADAF), so it can precess (Fragile & Meier 2009). The X-ray emission in PG 1553+113 is probably from the jet rather than from the flow, making it unlikely that the changing inclination of the hot flow causes the QPO. However, Lense-Thirring precession of the flow could affect the jet direction, giving the QPO as in (2) above.

4. The presence of a gravitationally bound binary SMBH system (Begelman et al. 1980; Barnes & Hernquist 1992) with a total mass 10^8 M_☉ and a milli- pc separation in the early inspiral gravitational-wave driven regime, might be another hypothesis. Keplerian binary orbital motion, would induce periodic accretion perturbations (Valtonen et al. 2008; Pihajoki et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015) or jet nutation expected from the misalignment of the rotating SMBH spins, or the gravitational torque on the disc exerted by the companion (Katz 1997; Romero et al. 2000; Caproni et al. 2013; Graham et al. 2015). Significant acceleration of the disc evolution and accretion onto a binary SMBH system is depicted by modeling (Nixon et al. 2013; Doğan et al. 2015).

Binary SMBH induced periodicities have timescales ranging from ~ 1 to ~ 25 years (Komossa 2006; Rieger 2007). The SMBH total mass in PG 1553+113, estimated utilizing the putative link between inflow/accretion (disc luminosity) and outflow/jet (jet power) in blazars (Ghisellini et al. 2014), is ~ 1.6 × 10^8 M_☉, using a 0.1 M_☉ rate and Doppler factor D = 30, in agreement with estimates for VHE BL Lacs (Woo et al. 2005).

The observed 2.18-year period is equivalent to an intrinsic orbital time T_Kep ≤ T_obs/(1 + z) ~ 1.5 years, and the binary system size would be 0.005 pc (~ 100 Schwarzschild radii). The probability to be observing such milli-pe system, estimated from the binary mass ratios ~ 0.1 – 0.01 and the GW-driven regime lifetime (Peters 1964), f_{GW} ~ 10^{-5} years might be too small.

Periodicities claimed for AGN are often controversial; however PG 1553+113 may potentially represent a key γ-ray/multimessenger laboratory in the hypothesis of low-frequency gravitational wave emission and may have associated PeV neutrino emission (Padovani & Resconi 2014). VLBI structure observations, radio/optical polarization data, and a prolonged multifrequency monitoring campaign will shed light on the situation. If the periodic modulation is real and coherent, as would be expected for a binary scenario, then subsequent maxima would be expected in 2017 and 2019, well within the possible lifetime of the Fermi mission.
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