Fermi-LAT Observations of the Gamma-Ray Burst GRB 130427A


The observations of the exceptionally bright gamma-ray burst (GRB) 130427A by the Large Area Telescope aboard the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope provide constraints on the nature of these unique astrophysical sources. GRB 130427A had the largest fluence, highest-energy photon (95 GeV), longest γ-ray duration (20 hours), and one of the largest isotropic energy releases ever observed from a GRB. Temporal and spectral analyses of GRB 130427A challenge the widely accepted model that the nonthermal high-energy emission in the afterglow phase of GRBs is synchrotron emission radiated by electrons accelerated at an external shock.

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are thought to originate from collapsing massive stars or merging compact objects (such as neutron stars or black holes), and are associated with the formation of black holes in distant galaxies. GRB 130427A was detected by both the Large Area Telescope (LAT) and the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) aboard the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope. The LAT is a pair-conversion telescope that observes photons from 20 MeV to >300 GeV with a 2.4-steradian field of view (J). The GBM consists of 12 sodium iodide (NaI, 8 keV to 1 MeV) detectors and two bismuth germanate (BGO, 200 keV to 40 MeV) detectors, positioned around the spacecraft to view the entire unoccluded sky (2).

In the standard model of GRBs, the blast wave that produces the initial, bright prompt emission later collides with the external material surrounding the GRB (the circumburst medium) and creates shocks [see, e.g., (3)]. These external shocks accelerate charged particles, which produce photons through synchrotron radiation. Until this burst, the high-energy emission from LAT-detected GRBs had been well described by this model, but GRB 130427A challenges this widely accepted model. In particular, the maximum possible photon energy prescribed by this model is surpassed by the late-time high-energy photons detected by the LAT. The LAT detected high-energy γ-ray emission from this burst for almost a day, including a 95-GeV photon [which was emitted at 128 GeV in the rest frame at redshift z = 0.34 (4)] a few minutes after the burst began and a 32-GeV photon (43 GeV in the rest frame) after more than 9 hours. These were more energetic and were detected at considerably later times than the previous record holder, an 18-GeV photon detected by the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) aboard the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory more than 90 min after GRB 940217 began (5).

Observations
At 07:47:06.42 UTC on 27 April 2013 (T0), when Fermi was in the regular survey mode, the GBM triggered on GRB 130427A. The burst was sufficiently hard and intense to initiate an autonomous repoint request (6)—a spacecraft slewing maneuver that keeps the burst within the LAT field of view for 2.5 hours, barring Earth occultation. At the time of the GBM trigger, the Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) was slewing between two planned targets; the BAT triggered on the ongoing burst at 07:47:57.51 UTC immediately after completing the slew (7), 51.1 s after the GBM trigger. The CARMA millimeter-wave observatory localized this burst to R.A. = 173.1369°, Dec. = 27.6989° (J2000) with an uncertainty of 0.4 arc sec (8). The Rapid Telescopes for Optical Response (RAPTOR) detected bright optical emission from the GRB, peaking at a red-band magnitude of R = 7.03 ± 0.03 around the GRB trigger time before fading to R ≈ 10 about 80 s later (9). The Gemini-North observatory reported a redshift of z = 0.34 (4), and an underlying supernova has been detected (10). A total of 58 observatories have reported observations of this burst as of September 2013.

At the time of the GBM trigger, the GRB was 47.3° from the LAT boresight, well within the LAT field of view. The autonomous repoint request brought the burst to 20.1° from the LAT boresight, based on the position calculated by the GBM flight software. It remained in the LAT field of view for 715 s until it became occulted by Earth, reemerging from Earth occultation at T0 + 3135 s. Within the first ~80 ks after the trigger, the LAT detected more than 500 photons with energies greater than 100 MeV associated with the GRB; the previous record holder was GRB 090902B, with ~200 photons (11). In addition, the LAT detected 15 photons with energies greater than 10 GeV (versus only 3 photons for GRB 090902B). Using the LAT Low Energy (LLE) event selection (12), which considerably increases the LAT effective collecting area to lower-energy γ-rays down to 10 MeV (with adequate energy reconstruction down to 30 MeV) (13, 14), thousands of counts above background were detected between T0 and T0 + 100 s.

Temporal Characteristics
The temporal profile of the emission from GRB 130427A varies strongly with energy from 10 keV to ~100 GeV (Figs. 1 and 2). The GBM light curves consist of an initial peak lasting for a few seconds, a much brighter multipeaked emission episode lasting ~10 s, and a dim, broad peak at T0 + 120 s, which fades to an undetectable level after ~300 s [also seen in the Swift light curve (7)]. The triggering pulse observed in the LLE (>10 MeV) light curve is more sharply peaked than the NaI- and BGO-detected emission at T0.
The LLE light curve between \( T_0 + 4 \) s and \( T_0 + 12 \) s exhibits a multipeaked structure. Some of these peaks have counterparts in the GBM energy range, although the emission episodes are not perfectly correlated (e.g., the sharp spike in the LLE light curve at \( T_0 + 9.5 \) s is not relatively bright in the GBM light curves) because of the spectral evolution with energy.

The LAT-detected emission, however, does not appear to be temporally correlated with either the LLE or GBM emission beyond the initial spike at \( T_0 \). Photons with energies greater than 1 GeV are first observed ~10 s after \( T_0 \), and the LAT-detected GBM emission has ended, consistent with a delayed onset of the high-energy emission (13). The delayed onset is not caused by a progressively increasing LAT acceptance due to slewing, because the slew started at \( T_0 + 33 \) s. Instead, it reflects the true evolution of the GBR emission.

GRB spectra are generally well described by phenomenological models such as the Band function (15) or the smoothly broken power law [SBPL (16)]. For GRB 130427A, however, the extra power-law component becomes significant only after the GBM-detected emission has faded (Fig. 3). During the initial peak (\( T_0 - 0.1 \) s to \( T_0 + 4.5 \) s), there are only a few LAT-detected photons, and the emission is well fit by an SBPL. For the brightest part of the burst (\( T_0 + 4.5 \) s to \( T_0 + 11.5 \) s), we did not use the GBM-detected emission because of the substantial systematic effects caused by extremely high flux (17); however, there are no photons with energies greater than 1 GeV in this time interval, and the energy spectrum above 30 MeV is well described by a single power law without a break (Fig. 3) (note that the LAT did not suffer from any pile-up issues). Photons with energies greater than 1 GeV are detected in the last time interval (\( T_0 + 11.5 \) s to \( T_0 + 33.0 \) s), including a 73-GeV photon at \( T_0 + 19 \) s. Unlike other bright LAT bursts, the LAT-detected emission from GRB 130427A appears to be temporally distinct from the GBM-detected emission, which suggests that the GeV and keV-MeV photons arise from different emission regions or mechanisms.

### Temporally Extended High-Energy Emission

To characterize the temporally extended high-energy emission, we performed an unbinned maximum likelihood analysis of the LAT data for \( E > 100 \) MeV. We modeled the LAT photon spectrum as a power law with a spectral index \( \alpha \) [i.e., the spectrum \( N(E) \propto E^{-\alpha} \)]. We found evidence of spectral evolution during the high-energy emission. In contrast to another study (18) that used longer time intervals in the spectral fits, we found that the LAT (\( E > 100 \) MeV) spectrum of the GRB is well described by a power law at all times, but with a varying spectral index (17).

During the first pulse around \( T_0 \), emission at \( >100 \) MeV is faint and soft; the pulse contains only a few photons, and their energies are all <1 GeV (Fig. 2). This is followed by a period during which there is no significant emission at \( >100 \) MeV, while the GBM emission is at its brightest. Starting around \( T_0 + 5 \) s, emission at \( >100 \) MeV is detectable again but remains dim until \( \sim T_0 + 12 \) s. The spectral index fluctuates between \( \alpha \sim -2.5 \) and \( \alpha \sim -1.7 \). At late times (longer than \( T_0 + 300 \) s), we measured typical spectral indices of \( \alpha \sim -2 \), consistent with the indices of other LAT bursts (13). During the time intervals with the hardest spectra, the LAT observed the highest-energy photons—such as the 73-GeV photon at \( T_0 + 19 \) s and the record-breaking 95-GeV photon at \( T_0 + 244 \) s—that severely restrict the possible mechanisms that could generate the high-energy afterglow emission (table S2).

The temporally extended photon flux light curve is better fit by a broken power law than by a single power law. We found a break after a few hundred seconds, with the temporal index steepening from \(-0.85 \pm 0.08 \) to \(-3.15 \pm 0.08 \)
For a single power-law fit to the energy flux light curve, we found a temporal index of $-1.17 \pm 0.06$, consistent with other LAT bursts (13).

The GBM and Swift energy flux light curves are also shown in Fig. 2. The Swift X-Ray Telescope (XRT) began observing the burst at $T_0 + 190$ s; the reported XRT + BAT (0.3 to 10 keV) light curve is a combination of XRT data and BAT-detected emission (15 to 150 keV) extrapolated down into the energy range of the XRT. The XRT + BAT light curve shows the unabsorbed flux in the range 0.3 to 10 keV (7). During the initial part of the burst, the GBM (10 keV to 10 MeV) light curve peaks earlier than both the XRT + BAT (0.3 to 10 keV) and LAT (>100 MeV) light curves. The GBM light curve peaks again at $\sim T_0 + 120$ s [see also (7)], whereas the LAT light curve shows a sharp and hard peak at $T_0 + 200$ s. The BAT + XRT light curve peaks again as well at the same time as the LAT light curve, but the peak is much broader.

**Interpretation**

The energetics of GRB 130427A place it among the brightest LAT bursts. For GRB 130427A, the fluence at 10 keV to 20 MeV measured with the GBM in the 400 s following $T_0$ is $\sim 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$. The issue with pulse pile-up and the uncertainties in the calibration of the GBM detectors contribute to a systematic error that we estimate to be less than 20%; the statistical uncertainty [$0.01 \times 10^{-3}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$] is negligible with respect to the systematic one (17). The fluence at >100 MeV measured with the LAT in the 100 ks following $T_0$ is 7 ($\pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$). The total LAT fluence is therefore $\sim 20\%$ of the GBM fluence, similar to other bright LAT GRBs (13, 19).

For a total fluence at 10 keV to 100 GeV of $4.9 \times 10^{-3}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$, the total apparent isotropic $\gamma$-ray energy (i.e., the total energy release if there were no beaming) is $E_{\gamma,\text{iso}} = 1.40 \times 10^{54}$ ergs, using a flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with reduced Hubble constant $h = 0.71$ and dark energy density $\Omega = 0.73$; this value implies a luminosity distance of 1.8 Gpc for $z = 0.34$. This value of $E_{\gamma,\text{iso}}$ is only slightly less than the values for other bright LAT hyperenergetic events, which include GRB 080916C, GRB 090902B, and GRB 090926A (19).

The emission region must be transparent against absorption by photon-photon pair production, which has a significant effect at the energies of the LAT-detected emission. Viable models of GRBs therefore require highly relativistic, jetted plasma outflows with bulk jet Lorentz factors $\Gamma$ greater than $\sim 100$ (20). The 73-GeV photon at $T_0 + 19$ s (table S2) provides the most stringent limit on $\Gamma$. Assuming that the variability time scale re-

![Fig. 1. Light curves for the Fermi-GBM and LAT detectors during the brightest part of the emission in 0.064-s bins, divided into five energy ranges. The NaI and BGO light curves were created from a type of GBM data (continuous time or CTIME) that does not suffer from saturation effects induced by the extreme brightness of this GRB (17); for these light curves, we used NaI detectors 6, 9, and 10, and BGO detector 1. The open circles in the bottom panel represent the individual LAT “transient” class photons and their energies; the solid circles indicate photons with a $>0.9$ probability of being associated with this burst (17).](image-url)
reflects the size of the emitting region, and that the MeV and GeV emissions around the time of the 73-GeV photon at $t_0 + 19$ s are cospatial, the requirement that the optical depth due to $\gamma\gamma$ opacity be less than 1 then implies that the minimum bulk Lorentz factor is $\Gamma_{\text{min}} = 455 \pm 16$. Here, a SBPL fit to the GBM spectrum in the interval 11.5 to 33.0 s (table S1) and a minimum variability time scale of 0.04 ± 0.01 s are used (17). The cospatial assumption is, however, questionable given the different time histories in the MeV and GeV emission. Moreover, values of $\Gamma_{\text{min}}$ that are smaller by a factor of 2 to 3 can be realized for models with time-dependent $\gamma$-ray opacity in a thin-shell model (21).

The delayed onset of the LAT-detected emission with respect to the GBM-detected emission is an important clue to the nature of GRBs (13). For GRB 130427A, the LAT-detected emission becomes harder and more intense after the GBM-detected emission has faded (Fig. 3). This suggests that the GeV emission is produced later than the keV-MeV emission and in a different region. In particular, if the keV-MeV emission comes from interactions within the outflow itself, the GeV emission arises from the outflow’s interactions with the circumburst medium.

---

**Fig. 2. Temporally extended LAT emission.** Top: LAT energy flux (blue) and photon flux (red) light curves. The photon flux light curve shows a significant break at a few hundred seconds (red dashed line), whereas the energy flux light curve is well described by a single power law (blue dashed line). The 10 keV to 10 MeV (GBM, gray) and 0.3 to 10 keV (XRT + BAT, light blue) energy flux light curves are overplotted. The inset shows an expanded view of the first 50 s with a linear axes, with the photon flux light curve from the GBM (in units of $10^{-2}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) plotted in gray for comparison. Middle: LAT photon index. Bottom: Energies of all the photons with probabilities >90% of being associated with the GRB (17). Solid circles correspond to the photon with the highest energy for each time interval. Note that the photons plotted here are “source” class photons, whereas the photons in Figs. 1 and 3 are “transient” class photons (17). The vertical gray lines indicate the first two time intervals during which the burst was occulted by Earth. As the autonomous repoint request moved the center of the LAT field of view toward the GRB position, the effective collecting area in that direction increased, so that after ~100 s the rate of photons increased even though the intrinsic flux decreased.
The explosive relativistic outflow of a GRB sweeps up and drives a shock into the circumburst medium. The medium could have, for instance, a uniform density \( n_0 \) (cm\(^{-3}\)) or a \( n(r) \propto r^{-2} \) density profile resulting from the stellar wind of the type Ic supernova progenitor star associated with GRB 130427A (10). The LAT observations of GRB 130427A challenge the scenario in which the GeV photons are nonthermal synchrotron radiation emitted by electrons accelerated at the external forward shock (22, 23). In this model, the time of the brightest emission corresponds to the time \( t_{\text{dec}} \) when most of the outflow energy is transferred to the shocked external medium. The Lorentz factor \( \Gamma(t_{\text{dec}}) \) of the shock outflow in the external medium at the deceleration time \( t_{\text{dec}} \) is a lower limit for the initial bulk outflow Lorentz factor \( \Gamma_0 \), because a relativistic reverse shock would lower the shocked fluid Lorentz factor below \( \Gamma_0 \), and the engine time scale \( T_{\text{GRB}} \) can be longer than \( t_{\text{dec}} \), the deceleration time scale for an impulsive explosion. The activity of the central engine that produces the blast wave is revealed by the keV-MeV emission from particles accelerated at colliding-wind shocks. For GRB 130427A, the GeV emission starts to decay as a power law in time by \( t \approx 20 \) s (Fig. 2), and most of the keV-MeV radiation has subsided by \( t \approx 12 \) s (Fig. 1). The blast wave is in the self-similar deceleration phase at \( t > t_{\text{dec}} = \max\{t_{\text{dec}}, T_{\text{GRB}}\} \), where \( T_{\text{GRB}} \) is the engine time scale (over which most of the outflow energy was released). Here, \( t_{\text{dec}}(s) \approx 2.4 \left( E_{\text{iso}}/(10^{53} \text{ erg}) \right)^{1/2} \left( \Gamma_{*}/1000 \right)^{3/2} \) for a uniform external medium, and \( t_{\text{dec}}(s) \approx 6.3 \left( E_{\text{iso}}/(10^{55} \text{ erg}) \right)^{1/2} \left( \rho/(0.1 \text{ g/cm}^3) \right)^{1/2} \left( \Omega_0/(500 \text{ yr}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} \) for a stellar wind medium of density \( \rho = \rho_0 \left( 1 + t/t_{\text{dec}} \right)^{m} \), with \( \rho_0 = 10^{51} \text{ g/cm}^3 \) and \( m = 0.3 \).

Most of the fluence from GRB 130427A was radiated before \( t \approx 12 \) s, which suggests that \( t_{\text{dec}} \approx 12 \) to 15 s. Defining \( t_1 = t_{\text{dec}}(10 \text{ s}) \) yields \( t_1 \approx 1 \) to 2, which gives \( \Gamma(t_{\text{dec}}) \approx 540 \left( E_{\text{iso}}/10^{54} \text{ erg} \right)^{1/8} \) for the uniform-density case, where \( E_{\text{iso}} = E_{\text{iso}}/(10^{55} \text{ erg}) \) is the isotropic energy release of the GRB. For a wind medium, \( \Gamma(t_{\text{dec}}) \approx 450 \left( E_{\text{iso}}/(4\pi/0.1) \right)^{1/4} \). Both values are close to the \( \gamma \gamma \) opacity estimate of \( \Gamma_{\text{GRB}} \).

The presence of high-energy photons at times \( t > t_{\text{dec}} \) is incompatible with these \( \gamma \) rays having a synchrotron origin. Equating the electron energy loss time scale due to synchrotron radiation with the Larmor time scale for an electron to execute a gyration gives a conservative limit on the maximum synchrotron photon energy \( E_{\text{max, syn}} \approx 2^{5/2} [27/(16\alpha_0)] n_0^{3/2} \Gamma(1 + z) = 79(\Gamma_0/10^3) \text{ MeV} \), where \( \alpha_0 \) is the fine-structure constant (17). Using \( \Gamma(t) \) derived by Blandford and McKee (24) in the adiabatic limit, we find that the maximum synchrotron photon energy \( E_{\text{max, syn}} < 7\Gamma(3n_0)^{3/8} [t/(200 \text{ s})]^{1/8} \text{ GeV} \), which agrees with results from integration over surfaces of equal arrival time in the self-similar regime (25), when a scaling factor of 27/16\( \pi \) is included (17).

The 95-GeV photon in the early afterglow and the 32-GeV photon at \( T_0 + 34.4 \text{ s} \) therefore is incompatible with leptonic synchrotron radiation in the standard afterglow model with shock Fermi acceleration (Fig. 4). If the emission mechanism for the GeV photons is not synchrotron radiation, the highest-energy photons can still be
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**Fig. 3. Time-resolved spectral models for the GBM- and LAT-detected emission.** Top: The combined NaI and BGO light curve from Fig. 1 (arbitrarily scaled) with the LAT-detected photons overlaid (same as the solid circles in Fig. 1). The time intervals are colored to correspond with the spectral models in the lower plot. The GBM data between 4.5 and 11.5 s are not included because they are substantially affected by pulse pile-up (17). Bottom: The models (thick curves) that best fit the data are plotted with 1\( \sigma \) error contours (thin dashed lines). Each curve ends at the energy of the highest-energy LAT photon detected within that time interval. An extra power law is statistically significant when fitting the data from \( T_0 + 11.5 \text{ s} \) to \( T_0 + 33.0 \text{ s} \) (17).
The most intense source of target photons is the operative, such as external Compton processes. If this single spectral component produces the emission from optical to multi-GeV energies. If this is similar to those found in calculations of electromagnetic cascades created when the \( \gamma \)-ray opacity of ultrahigh energy (UHE, \( >100 \) TeV) photons in the jet plasma is large (34). An electromagnetic cascade induced by ultrarelativistic hadrons will be confirmed by coincident detection of neutrinos, but even for GRB 130427A with its extraordinary fluence, only a marginal detection of neutrinos is expected with IceCube, and none has been reported (35). Because the UHE \( \gamma \)-ray photons induce cascades both inside the radiating plasma and when they travel through intergalactic space (e.g., (36, 37)), a leptonic or hadronic cascade component in GRBs, which is a natural extension of colliding shell and blast wave models, might be required to explain the high-energy emission of GRB 130427A provided that the required energies are not excessive. The observations described above demonstrate nonsynchrotron emission in the afterglow phase of the bright GRB 130427A, contrary to the hitherto standard model of GRB afterglows.
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Fig. 4. Curves of maximum synchrotron photon energy. The black dots show the LAT detection times of photons with energies greater than \( 1 \) GeV and \( >90\% \) probability of association with GRB 130427A. Adiabatic and radiative predictions for maximum synchrotron photon energy in uniform interstellar medium (ISM) and wind environments are plotted using the relations described in (17). Red and blue curves refer to the ISM and wind cases, respectively. The solid and dashed lines refer to the adiabatic and radiative cases with \( \Gamma_0 = 1000 \), and the dot-dashed and double dot-dashed lines represent the adiabatic case with \( \Gamma_0 = 500 \) and \( \Gamma_0 = 2000 \), respectively. The dotted lines show an extreme possibility where acceleration takes place on the inverse of the Larmor angular frequency, in the case of an adiabatic blast wave with \( \Gamma_0 = 1000 \). For cases with uniform external medium, \( E_{\text{iso}}(10^{55} \text{ erg})/\Gamma_0 (\text{ cm}^{-3}) = 1 \). The wind normalization was chosen to give the same value of \( t_d \) for both wind and ISM cases. The vertical dotted lines show periods of Earth avoidance when the LAT could not observe GRB 130427A.