Mixed-field orientation of molecules without rotational symmetry
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The mixed-field orientation of an asymmetric-rotor molecule with its permanent dipole moment non-parallel to the principal axes of polarizability is investigated experimentally and theoretically. We find that for the typical case of a strong, nonresonant laser field and a weak static electric field complete 3D orientation is induced if the laser field is elliptically polarized and if its major and minor polarization axes are not parallel to the static field. For a linearly polarized laser field solely the dipole moment component along the most polarizable axis of the molecule is relevant resulting in 1D orientation even when the laser polarization and the static field are non parallel. Simulations show that the dipole moment component perpendicular to the most-polarizable axis becomes relevant in a strong dc electric field combined with the laser field. This offers an alternative approach to 3D orientation by combining a linearly-polarized laser field and a strong dc electric field arranged at an angle equal to the angle between the most polarizable axis of the molecule and its permanent dipole moment.

PACS numbers: 37.20.+j, 33.15.-e

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to control the rotational motion and to angularly confine molecules has various applications in molecular sciences. This includes studies of steric effects in chemical reactions, both bimolecular and photoinduced, and the possibility to investigate molecules from their own point of view, the molecular frame. The latter mitigates the usual blurring of experimental observables caused by the random orientation of molecules in uncontrolled samples. Access to molecular frame measurements is crucial in several applications, notably in various modern schemes aiming at observing the (coupled) motion of nuclei and electrons during chemical reactions.1–7

Methods based on the use of moderately intense, nonresonant, near-infrared laser pulses have proven particularly useful for controlling the alignment and, in conjunction with weak dc electric fields, orientation of a broad range of molecules. Alignment refers to the confinement of molecule-fixed axes along laboratory-fixed axes, and orientation usually refers to the molecular dipole moment pointing in a particular direction.8 For a linear molecule, only a single axis needs to be confined in space to ensure complete rotational control. This can be achieved by a linearly polarized laser pulse, which will align the most polarizable axis (MPA), i.e. the internuclear axis of the molecule. This is termed one-dimensional (1D) alignment.9 Combined with a (weak) static electric field it can also control the head-versus-tail order of a polar molecule, i.e., induce 1D orientation.10–15 Complete rotational control of asymmetric top molecules requires the confinement of three molecular axes to laboratory frame fixed axes, resulting in 3D alignment. In the adiabatic limit, where the laser pulse is turned on slower than the rotational periods of the molecule, it has been shown that an elliptically polarized laser pulse can induce 3D alignment.16–18 For polar molecules, where the permanent dipole moment (DM) is parallel to the MPA it has also been shown that 3D orientation, defined as 3D alignment and a unique direction of the DM, can be achieved by combining the elliptically polarized laser pulse with a weak static electric field parallel to the major polarization axis.17,18 For most asymmetric top molecules, the DM does, however, not coincide with any principal axis of polarizability. While 3D alignment is expected to work well for these less symmetric molecules, it remains to be explored if the combined action of a linearly or elliptically polarized laser pulse and a weak or strong static electric field can efficiently induce 3D orientation. Also, as discussed here, the precise meaning of 3D orientation must be specified for molecules with low symmetry.

In the current work we investigate 3D alignment and orientation of asymmetric top molecules where the DM is not parallel to a principal axis of polarizability. Our studies are motivated by the fact that many important biomolecules, e.g., amino acids, nucleic acids, peptides, and DNA strands, belong to this class of molecules. Controlling how they are turned in space would be of significant value in novel and emerging schemes for time-resolved molecular imaging.7,19,20 Following the conclusions from the current work, this three-dimensional control is indeed possible. Our studies fo-
with respect to the \( z \) i.e., in the molecular plane and at an angle of 57.1°. The components of CPC are determined to be 

\[ \mu_x = 0 \text{ D}, \mu_y = 4.37 \text{ D}, \mu_z = 2.83 \text{ D}, \]

i.e., in the molecular plane and at an angle of 57.1° with respect to the \( z \) axis. The static polarizability components of CPC are determined to be 

\[ \alpha_{xx} = 7.88 \text{ Å}^3, \]

\[ \alpha_{yy} = 12.0 \text{ Å}^3 \text{ and } \alpha_{zz} = 22.3 \text{ Å}^3. \]

![FIG. 1. Sketch of the molecular structure of 6-chloropyridazine-3-carbonitrile (CPC). The molecule is chosen because the DM is off-set by 57.1° from the MPA and because the atomic composition makes it possible to determine its 3-dimensional spatial orientation through Coulomb explosion imaging.](image)

**II. MOLECULAR STRUCTURE AND ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF CPC**

A sketch of the molecular structure of CPC and the position of the MPA and DM vector are shown in Fig. 1. The planar molecule consists of an aromatic pyridazine ring with a nitrite and a chlorine substituent. The N-N bond is shorter than the C-N and C-C bonds in the aromatic ring causing the bond angle of the substituents on the ring to bend slightly towards the pyridazine nitrogens, as can be seen from the energy optimized geometry of the molecule shown in Fig. 1. Quantum chemical calculations\(^{21}\) determine the electric dipole moment of CPC to be 5.21 D with \( \mu_x = 0 \text{ D}, \mu_y = 4.37 \text{ D}, \mu_z = 2.83 \text{ D}, \)
i.e., in the molecular plane and at an angle of 57.1° with respect to the \( z \) axis. The static polarizability components of CPC are determined to be 

\[ \alpha_{xx} = 7.88 \text{ Å}^3, \]

\[ \alpha_{yy} = 12.0 \text{ Å}^3 \text{ and } \alpha_{zz} = 22.3 \text{ Å}^3. \]

**III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

Most aspects of the experimental setup have been described previously\(^4,22,23\) and only a few pertinent details will be given here. A few mbar of CPC (ChemFuture PharmaTech, > 97% chemical purity) was seeded in a helium carrier gas at a backing pressure of 90 bar and expanded into vacuum through a pulsed Even-Lavie valve\(^{24}\) heated to 170°C. The expansion was skimmed twice before entering an electrostatic deflector, where the molecules were deflected according to the effective dipole moment \( \mu_{ef} \) of their specific rotational quantum state.\(^{22}\)

The quantum-state-dispersed molecular beam entered a velocity map imaging (VMI) spectrometer where it was crossed at 90° by two collinear laser beams. The laser beams were focused by a spherical lens (\( f = 30 \text{ cm} \)) mounted on a motorized translation stage. This allowed for the height of the focal to be scanned with high precision. Hereby it is possible to measure the vertical intensity profile of the molecular beam such that the effect of the electrostatic deflector can be characterized. Furthermore, the focused laser beams can be directed to the most deflected molecules which are the ones residing in the lowest lying rotational states and, thereby, those that undergo the strongest alignment and orientation.\(^{22}\) The molecules were aligned and oriented by the combined effect of pulses from one of the laser beams (YAG pulse, \( \lambda = 1064 \text{ nm}, \gamma_{FWHM} = 10 \text{ ns}, \omega_0 = 34 \mu \text{m}, I_{YAG} = 8 \times 10^{11} \text{ W/cm}^2 \), injection seeded) and the weak static electric field from the VMI spectrometer (\( E_s \) was varied between values of 571 V/cm and 714 V/cm). The polarization of the YAG pulse can be rotated to rotate the molecular alignment with respect to the static field direction which is fixed by the VMI spectrometer axis – see Sec. V B. The YAG beam was overlapped in space and time with pulses from a second laser (probe pulse: 800 nm, 30 fs, 24 μm, \( I_{probe} = 4 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \)). These short pulses multiply ionized the molecules, which then fragmented into charged ions. The ions were projected onto a 2-dimensional particle detector in order to detect their recoil directions. For CPC molecules, Cl\(^+\) ion momenta were recorded to determine the spatial orientation of the C-Cl bond axis with respect to the laboratory frame. The N\(^+\) or H\(^+\) fragment ion distributions were recorded to provide information about the orientation of the molecular plane in the laboratory frame. All experiments were conducted on deflected, state-selected molecular samples at a repetition rate of 20 Hz, limited by the YAG laser.

**IV. THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION**

Theoretically we investigated the rotational dynamics of the CPC molecule in combined static electric and non-resonant laser fields polarized either linearly or elliptically. Due to the complexity of this system, we retreated to a quasi-static description. We assumed that the in-
teraction with the laser pulse can be described within the adiabatic limit. We applied a two-photon rotating-wave approach averaging over the rapid oscillations of the nonresonant field. In the framework of the rigid-rotor approximation, we solved the time-independent Schrödinger equation of the CPC molecule in a field configuration equivalent to the experimental one. It is convenient to define a laser-polarization-fixed frame (LPFF) \((X, Y, Z)\), For the elliptically polarized field, the major polarization axis defines the \(Z\)-axis and the minor polarization the \(Y\)-axis. For the linearly polarized field, the \(Z\)-axis is defined by the polarization axis. The homogeneous electrostatic field of strength \(E_0\) is contained in the \(YZ\)-plane at an angle \(\beta\) with respect to the \(Z\) axis. The relation between the LPFF and the MFF \((x, y, z)\) (defined in Fig. 1) is given by the Euler angles \(\Omega = (\phi, \theta, \chi)\). The Hamiltonian of this system is

\[
H = J_x^2 B_x + J_y^2 B_y + J_z^2 B_z + H_s + H_l
\]

(1)

with the rotational constant \(B_x, B_y\) and \(B_z\) and the interaction operators \(H_s\) and \(H_l\) with the dc and ac electric fields, respectively.

The Stark interaction reads 

\[
H_s = -E_s \cdot \mu = -E_s \mu_x \cos \theta_{\mu_x} = -E_s \mu_y \cos \theta_{\mu_y} \cos \theta_{\mu_y}
\]

(2)

with the absolute value of the electric dipole moment \(\mu\), and its two components \(\mu_x\) and \(\mu_y\). The angles between the electric field and \(\mu\), and the MFF \(z\) and \(y\)-axes, \(\theta_{\mu_x}\), \(\theta_{z}\) and \(\theta_{y}\), respectively, are given by the relations

\[
\cos \theta_{z} = \cos \beta \cos \theta + \sin \beta \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\
\cos \theta_{y} = \cos \beta \sin \theta \sin \chi + \sin \beta (\cos \phi \cos \chi - \cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \chi)
\]

(3)

\[
\cos \theta_{\mu_y} = \cos (57.1^\circ) \cos \theta_{z} + \sin (57.1^\circ) \cos \theta_{y}.
\]

(4)

The interaction of the molecule with a nonresonant elliptically polarized laser field can be written as

\[
H_l = -\frac{I_{ZZ}}{2\epsilon_0} (\alpha_{zz} \cos^2 \theta_{zz} + \alpha_{yy} \cos^2 \theta_{yz})
\]

(5)

\[
-\frac{I_{YY}}{2\epsilon_0} (\alpha_{yy} \cos^2 \theta_{yy} + \alpha_{zz} \cos^2 \theta_{yz})
\]

(6)

where \(I_{YY}\) and \(I_{ZZ}\) are the intensities of the polarization components along the LPFF \(Y\) and \(Z\) axes, respectively. The total intensity is \(I_{YAG} = I_{YY} + I_{ZZ}\), and \(I_{ZZ} = 3I_{YY}\) is used here. \(\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}\) and \(\alpha_{ii}\) are the \(i\)-th diagonal element of the polarizability tensor, with \(i = x, y, z\). \(\epsilon_0\) the dielectric constant and \(c\) is the speed of light. \(\theta_{pq}\) are the angles between the LPFF \(P\)-axis and the MFF \(q\)-axis, and they are related to the Euler angles as follows

\[
\cos \theta_{zz} = \cos \theta, \\
\cos \theta_{yy} = \sin \theta \sin \chi, \\
\cos \theta_{yz} = \sin \phi \sin \theta, \\
\cos \theta_{yy} = \cos \phi \cos \chi - \cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \chi.
\]

If the laser field is linearly polarized, the interaction with this field is obtained by setting \(I_{YY} = 0\) and \(I_{YAG} = I_{ZZ}\) in Eq. 6.

The time-independent Schrödinger equation of the Hamiltonian Eq. 1 was solved by expanding the wave function in a basis set formed by linear combinations of field-free symmetric top wave functions, i.e. Wigner functions.\(^{25}\) For each field configuration, we constructed a basis that respects the symmetries of the corresponding irreducible representation.\(^{26}\)

Let us shortly summarize the symmetries of this system in the mixed-field configurations. In the field-free case, they are given by the spatial group \(SO(3)\) and the molecular point group \(D_2\).\(^{26,27}\) As a consequence, the total angular momentum \(J\) and its projection \(M\) onto the \(Z\)-axis of the LPFF are good quantum numbers, but the projection of \(J\) onto the \(x\)-axis of the MFF \((K)\) is not well defined. The symmetries of the Hamiltonian Eq. 1 with a linearly polarized laser and a dc electric field tilted by an angle \(\beta\) have been analyzed in detail in Ref. 26. For an elliptically polarized laser field in the \(YZ\) plane and with the dc field parallel to the \(Z\)-axis, i.e., \(\beta = 180^\circ\), a \(\pi\)-rotation around the LPFF \(Z\)-axis and the reflection on the \(YZ\)-plane (the laser polarization plane) are the symmetry operations and \(M\) is not a good quantum number, but its parity is. For the other two cases, \(\beta = 90^\circ(2n + 1)\) and \(\beta \neq 180^\circ\), the system has the same symmetries as in the corresponding field configuration with a linearly polarized laser field, see Ref. 26.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Alignment

We start by showing that a linearly polarized YAG pulse induces 1D alignment of the CPC molecules. For this purpose, the emission directions of \(\text{Cl}^+\) ions are detected. The expected action of the YAG pulse is that it aligns the MPA along its polarization axis and as such an experimental observable that provides direct and precise information about the spatial orientation of this axis would be ideal. Unlike in higher-symmetry molecules, e.g., iodobenzene,\(^{13}\) no such observable exists. The emission direction of \(\text{Cl}^+\) ions comes close, assuming axial recoil along the C-Cl bond axis, since the C-Cl axis is only off-set by 3 degrees from the MPA. When only the linearly-polarized probe pulse is applied, polarized perpendicular to the detector, the \(\text{Cl}^+\) image shown in Fig. 2 (a) is circularly symmetric, as expected for randomly oriented molecules. When the YAG pulse is included the \(\text{Cl}^+\) ions tightly localize along its polarization axis parallel to the detector plane, see Fig. 2 (b). These observations show that the C-Cl bond axes of the CPC molecules are aligned along the YAG pulse polarization axis, i.e., that 1D alignment is induced. The degree of alignment is quantified by determining the average value of \(\cos^2 \theta_{2D}, \langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle\), where \(\theta_{2D}\) is the angle
between the YAG pulse polarization and the projection of a Cl+ ion velocity vector on the detector screen. Only a confined radial range is used to determine ⟨cos² θ₂D⟩. This range at the outermost part of the images is marked by circles in Fig. 2(b). It corresponds to ions originating from a highly directional Coulomb explosion process. The derived values are plotted as a function of the YAG pulse intensity, I_{YAG} in Fig. 2(c). ⟨cos² θ₂D⟩ rises from 0.5, the value characterizing a sample of randomly oriented molecules, at I_{YAG} = 0 W/cm² to 0.93 at the highest value of I_{YAG}. This behaviour is fully consistent with many previous studies of 1D adiabatic alignment. The ⟨cos² θ₂D⟩ values determined underestimate the true degree of alignment due to the offset between the C-Cl axis and the MPA and imperfect axial recoil.

In order to investigate the effect on the molecular alignment when the YAG pulse polarization is changed from linear to elliptical, an ellipticity ratio of 3:1 was applied, i.e., the intensity along the major polarization axis of the YAG pulse is three times the intensity along the minor axis. For these measurements, N+ and H+ images, displayed in Fig. 3, are used to infer information about the molecular alignment. The images represent either a "side-view" when the major polarization axis is parallel to the detector (vertical in Fig. 3), i.e., the molecules are watched from the side, or an "end-view" when the major polarization axis is perpendicular to the detector, i.e., the molecules are watched from the end.

For N+ ions, the molecule is imaged in side-view. Fig. 3(a1) shows the image obtained with the probe pulse by itself, polarized vertically, and serves as a reference. In panel (a2) measurements including the linearly polarized YAG pulse are shown. The N+ ions appear as two distinct areas at large radii along the vertical axis and as two pairs of wings protruding nearly horizontally from the vertical centerline. The 1D alignment means that the MPA of the molecules is confined along the vertical Z axis and it implies that the recoiling N+ ions from the CN group will be ejected vertically, either up or down depending on the orientation of the molecule. These ions form the two distinct centerline regions of signal similar to the Cl+ ion structure (Fig. 2(b)) used for the determination of the 1D alignment discussed above. The wing structure is interpreted as N+ ions originating from Coulomb explosion of the N atoms in the aromatic ring. Since the linearly polarized YAG pulse does not impose any constraint on the rotation of the ring the N+ ions will be emitted in a double-torus-like pattern. Upon projection on the 2D detector plane this gives the wing-structure. Covariance analysis confirms that in the wing-structure the two N+ ions from a single molecule are predominately produced on the same sides of the tori supporting this interpretation. When the YAG pulse polarization is changed to elliptical the ion structure (Fig. 3(a3)) is obtained. The N+ ions from the ring are confined close to the vertical axis, whereas the N+ ion emission structure from the CN group is practically unchanged. This shows that the alignment of the MPA is not much changed while the molecular plane is no longer free to rotate, but instead it is confined to the polarization plane. This demonstrates that the molecule is 3D aligned. The corresponding end-view images of H+ in row (b) corroborate this interpretation: With a linearly polarized YAG pulse the H+ ions emerge in the circularly symmetric pattern shown in panel (b2), corresponding to free rotation of the molecular plane around the YAG pulse polarization axis. (Note that in this measurement the probe pulse polarization is perpendicular to the detector plane). For an elliptically polarized YAG pulse, the H+ ions are angularly localized around the vertical minor polarization axis, i.e., the molecular plane is confined to the polarization plane. The radial structures of panel (b2) and (b3) are the same, confirming that the...
long axes of the molecules remain aligned along the major polarization axis. Thus, the H\(^+\) images confirm that the CPC molecules are 3D aligned by the elliptically polarized YAG pulse.

### B. Orientation

First we discuss the orientation that results from the combined action of a linearly polarized, moderately intense laser and a weak static electric field. All previous studies comprised molecules where the permanent dipole moment was parallel to the MPA including linear rotors,\(^{11,12,29}\) symmetric tops\(^{4,15}\) and asymmetric tops.\(^{13,20}\) In these cases 1D orientation, defined as 1D alignment and a preferred direction of the permanent dipole moment, was induced. For studies employing ion imaging, as in the current work, orientation was observed when the molecule was rotated away from the sideview geometry used in pure alignment measurements; see Fig. 4(a) for a sketch of this experimental approach. In practice this was done by rotating the YAG pulse polarization to angles where \(\beta \neq 90^\circ\). This provides a component of the static field along the dipole moment which mixes the pendular states of the tunneling doublet to form the corresponding oriented states. The experimental findings showed that the degree of orientation increased monotonically as \(\beta\) was rotated from 90° towards 0° or 180°.\(^{11}\) Later experiments and analysis have identified this behaviour as resulting from nonadiabatic dynamics in the mixed-field orientation.\(^{29-32}\) In the following we investigate if the angular offset of the dipole moment from the MPA in CPC influences the efficiency of mixed-field orientation and if the degree of orientation peaks when the MPA or the permanent dipole moment is directed along the static field from the VMI spectrometer. The experimental observables used are the Cl\(^+\) ion images which provide information about the orientation of the MPA.

Examples of Cl\(^+\) ion images recorded for \(\beta = 50^\circ\) (140°) are shown as insets in Fig. 5. For \(\beta = 50^\circ\) (140°), more (less) ions are detected on the upper half of the detector than on the lower half. In analogy with previous studies we interpret these observations as 1D orientation due to the combined effect of the YAG laser field and the static electric extraction field,\(^{13}\) where the DM \(z\)-component orients along the projection of the dc electric field onto the MPA. This implies that the partially negative nitrile end will be directed towards the repeller electrode where the potential is highest and the C-Cl bond towards the extractor electrode where the potential is lowest – see Fig. 4(a). As a consequence, Cl\(^+\) ions are expected to be ejected upwards (downwards) for \(\beta = 50^\circ\) (140°). This is in agreement with the up-down asymmetry in the images.

The degree of 1D orientation is quantified by dividing the number of ions detected on the upper half of the detector by the total amount of ions detected \((N_{\text{up}}/N_{\text{total}})\), corrected for the slight up-down detector asymmetry. This asymmetry parameter, is plotted in Fig. 5 as a function of \(\beta\) recorded for two values of the static electric field. The figure shows that the degree of orientation increases gradually as the MPA is rotated towards the direction of the static electric field. This behaviour is similar to that observed for molecules such as OCS\(^{20}\) and iodobenzene\(^{13}\) where the MPA and the permanent dipole moment are parallel. The experimental findings are rationalized by our computational treatment – discussed in section VI.

Next we investigated 3D orientation. In previous studies 3D orientation was defined as 3D alignment occurring together with a preferred direction of the permanent dipole moment axis, which coincides with the MPA for molecules with rotational point group symme-
try like iodobenzene and benzonitrile (molecular point group $C_{2v}$). In the case of molecules like CPC (molecular point group $C_{s}$) full 3D orientation [Fig. 4(c)] requires preferred directions in space for at least two components of the dipole moment. For CPC this would be the z-axis and the y-axis – see Fig. 1. A slightly less complete 3D confinement of the molecular rotations is 3D alignment and 1D orientation of one of the principal axes of polarizability. This can be either the z-axis (the MPA) as illustrated in Fig. 4(b) or the y-axis which will be discussed in section VI.

In the experiment we measured Cl$^+$ images at different values of $\beta$ for an elliptically polarized YAG pulse with the same parameters as the one used to induce 3D alignment (where $\beta = 0^\circ$ or 90$^\circ$). The results, displayed in Fig. 5, are very similar to the results obtained with the linearly polarized YAG pulse and establish that 1D orientation of the z-axis occurs. No information about the y-axis can be extracted from this ion species. Images of H$^+$ and N$^+$ ions were also recorded. Both ion species are confined along the vertical centerline of the images which shows that 3D alignment occurs - similar to the case presented in Fig. 3(a3) and Fig. 3(b3) – as expected. Neither of these ion species are, however, well suited to extract information about the orientation of the y-axis. At this point we, therefore, conclude that the CPC molecules are, at least, 3D aligned and simultaneously have their z-axis 1D oriented.

VI. THEORETICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS

A. Dc field only

In this subsection we consider only the influence of a static electric field on the CPC molecule, i.e., $I_{YAG} = 0$ W/cm$^2$. The $\mu_z$ ($\mu_y$) term of the Stark effect interaction couples states with different parity under inversion along the molecular z (y) axis. As the dc field strength is increased, the electric dipole moment $\mu$ gets oriented along the electric field axis. The expectation values $\langle \cos \theta_{\mu} \rangle$, $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle$ and $\langle \cos \theta_{sy} \rangle$, see Eq. 5, 3 and 4, measure the orientation of $\mu$ and of the molecular z and y axes, respectively. They are presented as a function of the dc field strength $E_{s}$ in Fig. 6. For the rotational ground state and $E_{s} = 714$ V/cm, we compute $\langle \cos \theta_{\mu} \rangle = 0.327$, $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle = 0.384$, and $\langle \cos \theta_{sy} \rangle = 0.141$. In such a weak field the orientation of the y-axis, corresponding to the largest dipole moment component, is smaller than the orientation of the z axis, see Fig. 6, because the energy gap from the ground state to the first level with odd parity under inversion along the y-axis ($|J_{K_s,K_z}M\rangle = |11_1\rangle$) is larger than to the first level with odd parity under the inversion along the z-axis ($|10_1\rangle$). When $E_{s}$ is increased, the hybridization of the pendular levels increases, and this trend in the orientation is inverted; we encounter that $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle < \langle \cos \theta_{sy} \rangle$ for $E_{s} \geq 10$ kV/cm, see Fig. 6. In the strong-dc-field regime $\lim_{E_{s} \to \infty} \langle \cos \theta_{\mu} \rangle = 1$, $\lim_{E_{s} \to \infty} \langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle = \cos(57.1^\circ) = 0.543$ and $\lim_{E_{s} \to \infty} \langle \cos \theta_{sy} \rangle = \cos(32.9^\circ) = 0.840$.

To investigate the influence of $\mu_y$ on the dc-field orientation, we have considered a molecule with the same rotational constants and polarizability as CPC, but with $\mu_z = 2.83$ D and $\mu_y = 0$ D. When this 0-CPC molecule is exposed to an electric field, only its z-axis gets oriented along the Z-axis. For weak dc fields, the ground states of the CPC and 0-CPC molecules show similar values of $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle$: we find relative differences between 1% and 5% for 100 V/cm $\leq E_{s} \leq 700$ V/cm. By increasing $E_{s}$, these relative differences increase and are larger than 10% for $E_{s} \geq 1.2$ kV/cm. The 0-CPC always orients better, i.e., its orientation cosine $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle$ is larger than the corresponding ones $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle$ and $\langle \cos \theta_{sy} \rangle$ of the CPC. Both molecules share the same field-free energy level structure, but the $\mu_z$ and $\mu_y$ Stark interactions couple different states, which provoke a larger orientation for 0-CPC in spite of its smaller dipole moment. Only in the strong dc-field regime, when the pendular levels are strongly hybridized these two systems show a similar orientation. We obtain $\langle \cos \theta_{sz} \rangle = 0.955$ for the CPC ground state and $\langle \cos \theta_{sx} \rangle = 0.966$ for the 0-CPC ground state at $E_{s} = 200$ kV/cm.

B. Linearly polarized laser plus dc field

We now consider the molecule in a linearly polarized strong laser field, when tunneling doublets of aligned states are formed.\textsuperscript{10} In an additional tilted weak electric field, the terms in $\mu_z$ and $\mu_y$ in Eq. 2 couple states in the same doublet and between neighbouring doublets, respectively. For the experimentally employed field-strengths, the interaction due to the nonresonant laser field dominates. For $I_{YAG} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$, the energy
TABLE I. Orientation and alignment of the ground state of the CPC molecule in a dc electric field and an linearly polarized YAG pulse of $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$ forming an angle of $\beta = 40^\circ$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_0$ [V/cm]</th>
<th>$\langle \cos^2 \theta_{Zz} \rangle$</th>
<th>$\langle \cos \theta_{Zz} \rangle$</th>
<th>$\langle \cos \theta_{Yy} \rangle$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

splittings of the sublevels in the lowest two pendular doublets are smaller than $10^{-8}$ cm$^{-1}$, the energies of the two doublets differ by 0.20 cm$^{-1}$, and the MPA is strongly aligned along the Z-axis with $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{Zz} \rangle > 0.98$ for these four levels. For a weak dc field, the 0.20 cm$^{-1}$ energy gap between two consecutive doublets is larger than the interaction due to the dc field: for $E_0 = 714$ V/cm, $E_0 \mu_z = 0.034$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E_0 \mu_y = 0.053$ cm$^{-1}$. Note that these quantities provide upper bounds to the dc field interactions because the angular dependence in Eq. 2 is set to 1, which holds only for fully oriented states. As a consequence, for weak dc fields, the coupling is only significant between states in the same doublet and the states become oriented or antioriented along the LPFF Z-axis, but no orientation of the molecular $y$-axis is achieved. This can be illustrated by a comparison between the CPC and 0-CPC results in this field configuration. For $E_0 \geq 10$ V/cm, these molecules present the same mixed-field orientation of the $z$-axis ($\cos \theta_{Zz}$) with relative differences smaller than 0.01%. At the experimental field regime, the mixed-field orientation of both systems is dominated by the Stark interaction due to $\mu_y$, and the contribution of $\mu_y$ can be neglected. In Fig. 7 we observe that in this regime the orientation cosines along the LPFF Z axis of the CPC ground state, i.e., $\langle \cos \theta_{Zz} \rangle$ and $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle$, are larger than those along the LPFF Y axis, i.e., $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle$ and $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle$. For the CPC ground state, the degrees of orientation and alignment are presented in Tab. I for $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$ and $\beta = 40^\circ$. As $E_0$ is increased (to values $E_0 = 5$ kV/cm or $E_0 = 50$ kV/cm in Tab. I and up to $E_0 = 100$ kV/cm in Fig. 7), the coupling due to $\mu_y$ is enhanced and the molecular $y$-axis gets oriented along the LPFF Y-axis, whereas $\langle \cos \theta_{Zz} \rangle$ and $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle$ keep constant values. In this strong electric field regime, the CPC molecule is completely 3D oriented. Thus, the difference between the CPC and 0-CPC systems appears only for strong dc fields where they are 3D and 1D oriented, respectively. However, even in this regime, they still have the same value of $\langle \cos \theta_{Zz} \rangle$.

If the dc field is parallel to the linearly polarized laser field, the molecules will be 1D oriented but there are no constraints in the $y$-axis. If the dc field is perpendicular to the linearly polarized laser field, due to symmetry no orientation along this axis (the Z-axis) exists. The MPA is aligned along the Z-axis to a degree determined by the laser intensity, e.g., for the ground state, $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{Zz} \rangle = 0.985$ at $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$. Thus, $\mu_y$ lies close to the plane perpendicular to the $Z$-axis which includes the dc field, and the molecular $y$-axis gets oriented along the dc field (the Y-axis). For $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$, $E_0 = 714$ V/cm, and $\beta = 90^\circ$, $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle = 0.250$ for the ground state. Increasing the dc field strength, this orientation is enhanced, e.g., $\langle \cos \theta_{y} \rangle = 0.731$ for $E_0 = 5$ kV/cm, and the molecular plane is confined to the plane spanned by the laser field and the static field. This corresponds to 3D alignment plus 1D orientation of the $y$-axis.

C. Elliptically polarized laser plus dc field

Let us now discuss the case of an elliptically polarized laser field. The molecule becomes 3D aligned with the most polarizable axis (the $z$-axis) confined along the Z-axis (the major polarization axis) and the second most polarizable axis confined along the minor polarization axis. Our calculation shows that $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{Zz} \rangle > \langle \cos^2 \theta_{y} \rangle$, e.g., $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{Zz} \rangle = 0.981$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{y} \rangle = 0.913$ for $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$. In this field configuration, the four lowest lying states with even parity under the reflection on the LPFF $ZY$ plane belong to 4 irreducible representations. These states are quasi degenerate and form a quadruplet. For $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$, the energy splittings are $7.83 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-1}$, $7.64 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-1}$ and $4.37 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-1}$. In an additional electric field with $\beta \neq 0^\circ, 90^\circ$ these states all have the same symmetry and are Stark coupled. Now, both dc-field couplings, due to $\mu_z$ and $\mu_y$, are significantly larger than their energy splittings. This confinement of the molecular plane to the polarization plane is illustrated in Fig. 7 and Tab. II for...
the CPC ground state with $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$ and $\beta = 40^\circ$. This demonstrates that the combined action of elliptically polarized strong ac fields and weak dc-fields induces 3D orientation.

Within the adiabatic description, the orientation cosines show a constant value even for $E_s \geq 10$ V/cm (Fig. 7). Note that for the linear and elliptically polarized pulses, the orientation along the LPFF $Z$ axis is very similar, and $(\cos \theta_{Zz})$ and $(\cos \theta_{Yy})$ have very similar values in both field configurations, see Fig. 7. By further increasing $E_s$ above 50 kV/cm, $(\cos \theta_{Zz})$ and $(\cos \theta_{Yy})$ present a slightly decreasing and increasing trend, respectively. They slowly approach the limits $\lim_{E_s \to \infty} (\cos \theta_{Zz}) = \cos(57.1^\circ) = 0.543$ and $\lim_{E_s \to \infty} (\cos \theta_{Yy}) = \cos(32.9^\circ) = 0.840$, which should be reached once the Stark interaction dominates the laser one, i.e., the mixed-field orientation in this regime resembles the brute-force orientation technique, but providing three-dimensional control due to the ellipticity of the ac field instead of the one-dimensional control of a pure dc field. Let us mention that at least two non-zero components of $\mu$ are required to achieve a 3D orientation for molecules without rotational symmetry.

If the dc electric field forms an angle of $\beta = 0^\circ$ with the major polarization axis of the laser pulse the following cosine expectation values were obtained for $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$ and $E_s = 10$ V/cm: $(\cos^2 \theta_{Zz}) = 0.981$, $(\cos^2 \theta_{Yy}) = 0.914$, $(\cos \theta_{Zz}) = (\cos \theta_{Yy}) = 0.990$, $(\cos \theta_{S})$ $= 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$. This means that the CPC molecule is 3D aligned and the $z$-axis 1D oriented – similar to the case for higher symmetry molecules studied previously. The $y$-axis is equally likely to point either ‘upward’ or ‘downward’. If $\beta$ is changed to 90$^\circ$ the results are: $(\cos^2 \theta_{Zz}) = 0.981$, $(\cos^2 \theta_{Yy}) = 0.914$, $(\cos \theta_{Zz}) = 5.4 \times 10^{-6}$, $(\cos \theta_{Yy}) = (\cos \theta_{S}) = 0.954$, which shows that the molecules are still 3D aligned, now with the $y$-axis 1D oriented along the static electric field whereas the $z$-axis points either ‘forward’ or ‘backward’.

Analogous features are found for the excited rotational/pendular levels. The complexity of their field-dressed dynamics is significantly enhanced due to the large number of avoided crossings. These avoided crossings provoke abrupt changes on their directional properties, which play an important role for the mixed-field orientation of the molecular beam.

For the experimentally accessed regime of dc field strengths, $(\cos \theta_{Zz})$ is practically independent of $I_{\text{YAG}}$, whereas $(\cos \theta_{Yy})$ increases until a large orientation is reached for high intensities of elliptically polarized pulse, e.g., $(\cos \theta_{Zz}) = 0.96$ and $(\cos \theta_{Yy}) = 0.28$ for and $I_{\text{YAG}} = 5 \times 10^{10}$ W/cm$^2$, $E_s = 500$ V/cm and $\beta = 40^\circ$. Regarding the behaviour of the orientation cosines $(\cos \theta_{Zz})$ and $(\cos \theta_{Yy})$ versus $\beta$, three different regimes are observed: i) for weak alignment lasers, when the pendular doublets are not yet formed, or the energy splitting between two neighbouring doublets is larger than the dc-field interaction, $(\cos \theta_{Zz})$ or $(\cos \theta_{Yy})$ monotonically increase with $\beta$, respectively; ii) for stronger laser fields, the energy separations between the doublets are significantly reduced, and the orientation is independent of $\beta$; iii) if the dc-field interaction is much larger than the laser-field interaction, the orientation in both directions reaches a maximum at $\beta = 57.1^\circ$, because the effect of the static field becomes optimal at this field configuration. In particular, this time-independent description predicts an orientation of the MPA along the $Z$ axis independent of $\beta$ and $E_s$. Thus, the smooth behaviour of $N_{\text{up}}/N_{\text{total}}$ versus $\beta$ in Fig. 5 cannot be reproduced with this theoretical treatment. Indeed, the authors have recently demonstrated that only a time-dependent study can reproduce the intriguing physical phenomena taking place in the mixed-field orientation experiments of $C_{2v}$ symmetric molecules.

The detailed quasi-static description of the CPC molecule in mixed dc and linearly or elliptically polarized non-resonant ac fields presented here provides a solid basis for a future time-dependent study of this system, which is beyond the scope of this work. Let us remark that the knowledge of the adiabatic energy structure in mixed-fields is required for an adequate interpretation of the non-adiabatic phenomena taking place in the field-dressed dynamics, such as the formation of pendular doublets.

For completeness, we theoretically investigated the mixed-field orientation of thermal samples of CPC, in order to mimic the state-selection, assuming that the alignment and orientation processes are adiabatic. For an elliptically polarized laser with $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$, $E_s = 714$ V/cm, and $\beta = 40^\circ$, the molecular sample at 1 K is strongly aligned but practically not oriented, consistent with experimental findings: $(\cos^2 \theta_{Zz}) = 0.949$ $(\cos^2 \theta_{Yy}) = 0.931$, $(\cos^2 \theta_{S}) = 0.680$, $(\cos \theta_{Zz}) = 0.015$, $(\cos \theta_{Yy}) = 0.021$, and $N_{\text{up}}/N_{\text{total}} = 0.51$. By reducing the temperature to 0.1 K, the alignment is slightly improved to $(\cos^2 \theta_{Zz}) = 0.980$ $(\cos^2 \theta_{Yy}) = 0.976$, $(\cos^2 \theta_{S}) = 0.868$ and the orientation is strongly increased $(\cos \theta_{Zz}) = 0.36$, $(\cos \theta_{Yy}) = 0.43$ and $N_{\text{up}}/N_{\text{total}} = 0.68$. This demonstrates even that for our very cold molecular beams ($\sim 1$ K) the state-selection of low-energy rotational states is crucial for the creation of orientation.

### TABLE II. Orientation and alignment of the ground state of the CPC molecule in a dc electric field and an elliptically polarized YAG pulse with $I_{\text{YAG}} = 8 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$ and $\beta = 40^\circ$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_s$ [V/cm]</th>
<th>$(\cos^2 \theta_{Zz})$</th>
<th>$(\cos \theta_{Zz})$</th>
<th>$(\cos^2 \theta_{Yy})$</th>
<th>$(\cos \theta_{Yy})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a combined experimental and theoretical investigation of mixed-field orientation of the 6-chloropyridazine-3-carbonitrile (CPC) molecule. Our studies are motivated by the fact that this molecule represents the large class of important species where the permanent dipole moment does not coincide with any of the three principal axes of polarizability.

Experimentally we showed that the combination of an elliptically polarized laser pulse and a weak static electric field, not coinciding with either the major or the minor polarization axis of the light field, leads to 3D alignment of the molecule and 1D orientation of the most polarizable axis along the major polarization axis. The experiment is not capable of determining whether the second most polarizable axis is also oriented along the minor polarization axis but our calculation shows that it should be the case. This situation represents the most comprehensive degree of rotational control and is termed complete 3D orientation. If the elliptically polarized pulse is polarized such that the major (minor) polarization axis is parallel to the static field the molecule is 3D aligned and only the most (second most) polarizable axis is 1D oriented. Furthermore, our calculations showed that complete 3D orientation can also be achieved using a linearly polarized laser pulse and a strong dc electric field arranged under an angle similar to the angle between the most polarizable axis and the dipole moment.

Overall, it is clear that mixed-field orientation with appropriately polarized laser fields and weak dc fields is an effective tool for confining how complex molecules are turned in space. Even stronger control will be achievable in upcoming experiments combining strong dc electric fields and linearly-polarized laser fields. The degree of angular control demonstrated provides excellent prospects for the recording of molecular movies of complex molecules using ion-, electron-, or photon-imaging experiments.
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9. Similar considerations apply for symmetric top molecules.
21. Gaussian 2003 B3LYP/aug-cc-pv-t calculations performed by Frank Jensen, Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University.


